

sheet no 154

Turdus chalcivorus

I. *Turdus chalcivorus* Gm. m.
Age 80. *Chalcivorus* Linn.
Chalcivorus grandis
♂ A. head - granular
Aug 185-1 133 x 78
Sept 3-164 8.22
Little = 8% .70
100-80 144.70

II

Vanadinahäls *Grönländs*

• 66 *Grönländs* *Long*

2000 *Gr. A* *Long* *of the time*

Gr. B 42-11 132.44

Gr. C 3-8 4.62

Gr. D 1-7 1/4 .60

26-10 1/4 127.66

There was a regular Periodical
Classification of the Shetrum Village in
17280 the result of which is here exhibited.
The rates of assessment actually levied however
differ from those fixed by the Survey and
continue to be levied by the Shetrumdars
at the Periodical rates and the Scribes in
Statement No II show the amount thus
actually collected -

It will be seen that a considerable portion of the 1st land of the Village has been always well and it is asserted (I think from all the experience I could possibly make the assertion true) that it is not capable of being turned to account the land being poor and salted or cut up into deep ravines - The Pemassee shows a still greater extent of waste to have been wasted than at present.

It will further be seen that there is little waste land and that the whole of the available ^{land} is under Cultivation. The Garden Lands are too small in extent for highly increased but much of it remains waste or is occupied by houses and backyards on which nothing is paid and I think on the whole that the statement of Cultivation rendered by the Shrotondars partly false, is prevented the average capabilities of the villages. Now come to changes. The Shrotondars give Rs 200 ^{to} as the expense of Collection Management & is paid exclusively of course of the Shrotondars. This consists of salaries to Muzungoodas & some other necessary village officials.

Tollers				Total			
According to the former assessment		Present assessment		According to the former assessment		Present assessment	
£	s	d	q	£	s	d	q
54	11	10		16	15	11	
58	8	6		16	15	11	
1	12	10		1	10	7	
58	12	6		57	12	6	
47	1	18		47	1	18	
254	5	16		262	5	16	
108	11	2		108	11	2	
6	11	10		6	11	10	
11	6	11		11	6	11	
2	1	4		2	1	4	
102	18	8		102	18	8	
75	10	1		75	10	1	
4	5	3		4	5	3	

[illegible]

Particulars of Cultivation from T. 1261 to T. 1270-

	Salt		Diet		Cotton		Salt		Salt			
	1899	1900	1899	1900	1899	1900	1899	1900	1899	1900		
1261	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1262	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1263	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1264	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1265	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1266	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1267	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1268	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1269	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12
1270	1899	12	1818	5-23	3	154	2-1	8	47	4	524	12

III

[illegible]

Manigani	23	-
Par	23	3-6
Portage	26	-
Tronche & Rivier	21	-
Baran & Chayer	5	7

J. L. W.
1409

*This is a
been first
to the
you
and
1999*

~~T. H. R.~~
~~1999~~

728 2-4
Lot 1880

Sheet No. 155

VII

Rajkumari		Grantee
Rs. A. Annas		
24 3/4 72	36.28	
Plot 1 - 1/2	1.86	
Settlement - 2 1/2	18	
28-10 1/4		37.82

Rajkumari		Grantee
Rs. A. Annas		
24 54-15 72		
Plot 2 - 1 1/2	2.1	
Settlement - 4 1/2	37	
57-4 1/2 75.67		

IX

Rajkumari		Grantee
Rs. A. Annas		
24 82-6 1/2 185.83		
Plot 3 - 1 1/2	4.88	
Settlement - 6 1/2	55	
85-14 1/2 195.46		

X

Rajkumari		Grantee
Rs. A. Annas		
24 41-8 34.41		
Plot 1 - 8 1/2	2.04	
Settlement - 3 1/2	28	
12-15 1/2 56.73		

one change the right to the grant. But in as much as there are some heirs of the grantee who are entitled to 1/4 of the land being granted the whole amount of being unfurnished on the same grounds as before. In the present case the decision to be granted as requested by some, who have for consideration whether each share is to be treated on its own merits in regard to the sale of Dist. But -

That this Village has always been regarded as one grant is clear from the circumstances that shares of separate shares have not been taken Cognizance of by the District authorities but rather, referred to the other shares, the divided or share allowed to be alienated to third parties.

Particulars of Dist. Part payable -

Share	Grantee	1/4 of	Share	Total
	proportion	of	proportion	
	Share	1/4	Share	
I	133-5-10	16-8-0	62-8-0	77-0-0
II	117-11-3	14-8-0	55-2-3	69-10-3
III	78-7-6	9-12-0	36-12-2	46-8-2
IV	94-0-3	11-12-0	44-1-6	55-13-6
V	94-0-3	11-12-0	44-1-6	55-13-6
VI	103-13-4	13-12-0	51-7-4	65-3-4
VII	24-13-5	4-4-0	16-8-6	28-9-6
VIII	69-10-11	8-12-0	32-11-0	41-7-0
IX	104-8-4	13-0-0	49-6-6	62-0-6
X	52-4-2	6-8-0	24-8-2	31-0-2
XI	78-7-6	9-12-0	36-12-2	46-8-2
XII	26-2-1	3-4-0	12-4-0	15-8-0
XIII	78-7-6	9-12-0	36-12-2	46-8-2
XIV	78-7-6	9-12-0	36-12-2	46-8-2
XV	78-7-6	9-12-0	36-12-2	46-8-2
XVI	26-2-1	3-4-0	12-4-0	15-8-0
XVII	1234-13-5	156-0-0	558-2-7	744-2-7

adoption is not to be

For the sake of the share to II who claims

XI

Prasimbalalai's female				Wife
By 26 grandsons				
By	61-12%	81-63	7	
Net	2-5%	3-06	grand	
Collins	4%	40		
<u>64-6% 85-09</u>				

XII

Anandabhalalai's son of				Wife
25 grandsons who was at the same time				
By	20-9%	27-20	daughters son	
Net	2-12%	1-03	of the son of Prasimbalalai	
Collins	1%	13	who was the wife of the	
<u>21-7% 28-26</u>				grandson of his grand son female

XIII

Prasimbalalai's daughter				Wife
11 sons of				
By	61-12%	81-62	grandsons	
Net	2-5%	3-07	son of the grand son	
Collins	4%	40	of the grand son	
<u>64-6% 85-09</u>				son of Prasimbalalai's daughter

XIV

Prasimbalalai's grandson				2 sons
55 grandsons				
By	61-12%	81-62	grandson	
Net	2-5%	3-07	of the grand son	
Collins	4%	40	of the grand son	
<u>64-6% 85-09</u>				

Prasimbalalai's grandson, both belonging to a divided family, the claim of greater proximity, if at all sustainable, must be prosecuted in the Civil Courts.

It shares at V, Thulasiammal's claim recognition of her share distinct from that of Prasimbalalai on the ground that her husband was divided from Prasimbalalai. The sub-Allottee allowed the woman by his order to enjoy separate possessions of her portion of the proceeds of the share, but the claimant has filed a suit at Court to establish her division & therefore think it proper to put the two names together pending the decision of the Court.

Share at XVI. This share is with me Ananta Narayana Pillai by mortgage to his father by Rangaswami who died several years ago without issue or any undivided share.

Subject to this mortgage it is claimed by me Ananta Narayana Pillai, on the ground that he is the nearest, tho' divided, heir of the deceased Rangaswami, the being his divided brother's daughter-in-law.

Again it is claimed by me Prithvi Chari as gift to his father by Lakshminammal, wife of Rangaswami, and a stamp deed of gift is produced bearing date in 1848.

This deed of gift is denied by the other claimant & soon disproving it true, I doubt whether a gift, such as this professes to be, that is one without transfer of possession which for about 40 years unquestionably remains with the mortgage, is any gift at all and will hold good in law. Under these circumstances

For Office

Sheet No 156

On Dec 14 31 of 1861 from Vasudeva
 Charri asking for separate settlement
 of the several shares
 Rejected 26 Jan 65
 WMB
 Offg. H.

XV

Thiannaichari grandsons
 15. 45 grandsons
 H. A. share of the grant
 Dec 61-12 3/4 81. 62
 Tot 2-5 1/4 3. 67
 Totten 1-4 1/6 .40
 64-6 3/4 85.09

Sonabhai Annam
 Annabhai Annam
 Annabhai Annam

H. A. share
 Dec 20-9 1/2 27.20
 Tot - 12 1/2 1. 03
 Totten - 1 10/16 .13
 21-7 10/16 28.36

XVI

Circumstances require the name
 of Sonabhai Annam as the most eligible
 heir to this share subject of course to the
 claim of the mortgagee and to that of
 the alleged donee if he chooses to sue
 prosecute it at the Civil Courts. She
 is the same individual as sharer No X.
 The name however is not put in, the
 matter of the contending claims being
 submitted for the orders of the Commission.
 25th Sep 1861 Madrasw Pillai
 H. C. B.

1850-51
 1851-52
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1852-53
 1853-54
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1854-55
 1855-56
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1856-57
 1857-58
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1858-59
 1859-60
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1860-61
 1861-62
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1862-63
 1863-64
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

The above cases of the same family for the last 12 years, the same which was made in 1858-59 to the present because of the same, the village being an ancient Chokham.

1850-51
 1851-52
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1852-53
 1853-54
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1854-55
 1855-56
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1856-57
 1857-58
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1858-59
 1859-60
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1860-61
 1861-62
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1862-63
 1863-64
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1850-51
 1851-52
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1852-53
 1853-54
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1854-55
 1855-56
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1856-57
 1857-58
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1858-59
 1859-60
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1860-61
 1861-62
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1862-63
 1863-64
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1850-51
 1851-52
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1852-53
 1853-54
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1854-55
 1855-56
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1856-57
 1857-58
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1858-59
 1859-60
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1860-61
 1861-62
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1862-63
 1863-64
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1850-51
 1851-52
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1852-53
 1853-54
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1854-55
 1855-56
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1856-57
 1857-58
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1858-59
 1859-60
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1860-61
 1861-62
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1862-63
 1863-64
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1850-51
 1851-52
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1852-53
 1853-54
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1854-55
 1855-56
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1856-57
 1857-58
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1858-59
 1859-60
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1860-61
 1861-62
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

1862-63
 1863-64
 2-1/2 2.60 10-07 6-77

The above cases of the same family for the last 12 years, the same which was made in 1858-59 to the present because of the same, the village being an ancient Chokham.