[illegible] village of Kakuppam [illegible] Taluk South Arcot [illegible]

Sheet No. 98

[illegible]	Dry 505 – 4 – 3 – 39	1 – 14 – 3	For the worship of Pillayar & the well of the village Worship is carried	Not tax	Not known	Not known	No documents	Pillayar	In F1230 Pillayar – 8 – In F1238 the same – 4 – 3	Pillayar at Kakuppam Worshipper [illegible] [illegible] in these Inams & so there [illegible] Rearmanned(?) To be confirmed 13/8/60 Magarspalal Chundra(?) Dy Collr	Vide remarks in the revision in case No. regarding difference in the extents recorded in F1230 & 1238 The Shrotriem [illegible] T. D. No. 1191 12 Feby Conf
Do	Wet 587 – 5 – 41	2 – 11 – 9	For the worship of Hanuman [illegible] Worship is carried on regularly	Do	do	do	do do	Hanumantarayaswami(?)	In F1230 Hanumantarayaswami – 5 – In F1238 the same – 5 –	Hanumantarayaswami at Villupuram(?) Worshipper Narasimha Chari	To be confirmed 13/8/60 Magarspalal Chundra(?) Dy Collr T. D. No. 1192
Do	Wet 670 – 11 – 671 – 9 – 1 – 4 – 105 Dry 163 – 9 – 1 162 – 12 – 1 1 – 5 – 2 1.77 2 – 9 – 2 3.42	10 – 15 – 8 – 13 – 19 – 12 – 1	For the worship of Aiyanar [illegible] Worship regularly carried	Not tax	Do	Do	No documents	Aiyanar	In F1230 Aiyanar – 1 – 2 – In F1238 the same – 2 – 4 – 2	Aiyanar at Kakuppam Worshipper [illegible]	To be confirmed 13/8/60 Magarspalal Chundra(?) Dy Collr T. D. No. 1193
Personal	Dry 93 – 8 – 2 – 76	3 – 7 – 9	For the personal benefit of the holder	do	do	Mohuzur(?) [illegible] 1215 – 16 – 22	Not known	[illegible]	In F1230 [illegible] – 8 – In F1238 the same – 8 – 2	[illegible] 1. [illegible]chari 65 2. Narasimha Chari 30 3. [illegible] 57	Grandson (2) Great grandson of the last holder in Col. 15 Son 1 (2) Wife 1 Daughter 1/2 To be confirmed Enfranchised(?) 1/8 [illegible] 13/8/60 Magarspalal Chundra(?) Dy Collr T. D. No. 1194
[illegible]	Wet 576 – 3 – 3 597 – 8 – 607 – 7 – 1 615 – 11 – 616 – 7 – 1 617 – 8 – 1 618 – 12 – 2 3 – 13 – 5.03	33 – 5 – 1	Do	Do	Not known	Granted by Venkataramana Chari Shrotriemdar in the year Angirasa in 1221	Sanad [illegible] by the present Shrotriemdar confirming the grant 1/2	Aguila(?) Rama [illegible]	In F1238 the grantee 3 – 13 –	Palur(?) [illegible] [illegible] Chari 9 Though there is no document being more than 50 years old & the grant having been [illegible] taken place 1/8 [illegible] 13/8/60 Magarspalal Chundra(?) Dy Collr	Sons [illegible] of the party in Col. 12 13 Father 1 Brother 1 Step grandmother 1/4 [illegible] The last holder [illegible] party in Col. 16 is his [illegible] is alive This is a grant by the Shrotriemdar [illegible] Having once granted it in perpetuity he has no interest any longer in it. It may be regarded in the light of an alienation which having taken place almost [illegible] ago I have entd. it as [illegible] about 15 years ago [illegible] Recd to be confirmed. The Shrotriem T. D. No. 1195 Conf
Personal	Wet 92 – 6 – 2 121.98 Dry 265 – 1 – 1 349.9 357 – 7 – 3 471.08	116 – 9 – 2	For the personal benefit of the holder. In the Register of [illegible] prepared in the year 1815 the [illegible] been granted for charitable purposes. [illegible] there is no direct specified [illegible] the object of the grant [illegible] no charter [illegible] Grants to Brahmins were sometimes called charitable	Not known	Granted by Nabob [illegible] as per Register of 1815	Documents in Persian 4/4 These have not been [illegible] been lost subsequent to the filing of the statement	Not known	In Register of 1815 Venkatammal(?) & [illegible] Shrotriem [illegible] Estimated value 200 Pas(?) In F1238 Kakuppam Shrotriem Dry 265 – 1 – 1 W 92 – 6 – 2 357 – 7 – 3 Pranumbok Dry 92 – 3 – 1 W 6 – 14 – 32 99 – 2 – Inams Dry 7 – 11 – 2 W 9 – 11 – 1 17 – 6 – 3 Remainder Dry 165 – 2 Wet 75 – 12 – 2 240 – 15 –	Villupuram(?) 1. Sethumadhava Chari 59 1/2 of the vil 2. Srinivasachari 25 1/8 of do 3. Ramanuja Chari 25 1/8 of do 4. Raghavendra Sai 45 1/2 of do In regard [illegible] paper produced [illegible] as per [illegible] dated 18 July F1232	(1) wife 1, sons 4, daughters-in-law 3, grandson 1 — 9 (2) wife 1, sons 1, brothers 2, [illegible] wife [illegible] (3) wife 1, daughters 2 — 3 (4) wife 1, sons 4, daughters-in-law 2 [illegible] others No. 2 is father [illegible] of the village within [illegible] the widow of the party [illegible] in Col. 15 for Rs 4375 [illegible] gift & father of No. 2 [illegible] the party [illegible] Col. 16 [illegible] appear to have been reported to the Collr & approved by him in F1232. The deeds are said to have been in [illegible] when the statement was filed – but they have been lost subsequently [illegible] No. 1 & No. [illegible] fathers or brothers No. 4 purchased [illegible] for Rs 70 [illegible] 1855 respectively. Docts on stamp [illegible] filed above	This village was not surveyed in F1217 like the other Shrotriem villages. In F1238 a [illegible] was made but it is not complete nor has it been approved [illegible] of the lands. The acct of [illegible] with the Karnam has been now adopted for reference & the figures in Col: 4 are according to it. The extent of Inams now in enjoyment corresponds with that entd. in it. The Karnam has reported that there are no accts for the Shrotriem in the Collector's Office. In the Taluk Kacheri however there was one of F1238. The extent of Inams entered in it differs from the extent now in occupation & moreover some Inams entd. in it do not now exist. They seem to have been held so far back as [illegible] Conf

[illegible] have been changed [illegible] in the village [illegible] 8 – 12 [illegible]

P. No. 88 of 1865 from Venkatammal requesting transfer of the [illegible] she purchased. Reduced & Ordd. transferred in the Collr's acts 5 Decr
W. W. O. Hall(?)

[illegible]	Wet	13 – [illegible]	[illegible]	
	Dry	92 – 3 – 1	121.71	
		105 – 7 – 1	139.20	
[illegible]	Wet	79 – 2 – 2	104.49	
	Dry	172 – 14 –	228.19	
		252 – [illegible] – 2	332.68	
[illegible] Inams	Wet	9 – 11 – 1	12.81	
	Dry	7 – 11 – 2	10.19	
		17 – 6 – 3	23.00	
[illegible]	Wet	[illegible]	[illegible]	218 – 3 – 9
	Dry	164 – 7 – 1	217.08	265 – 10 – 1
Garden		– 11 – 1	.93	12 – 3 – 10
		234 – 9 – 3	309.69	496 – 3 – 8
				105 – [illegible]
				601 – 3 – 8

[illegible] enjoy 1/2 of the village 58 – 4 – 7
No. 2 & 3 enjoy 1/4 of do [illegible] 29 – 2 – 3
No. 4 enjoys 1/4 of do 29 – 2 – 2
116 – 9 – 7

The extent of Pranumbok as entd. in F1238 is only 6 – 14 – 3 as wet. Now it is 13 – 4 –. The difference [illegible] portion of the Shrotriem [illegible] it being now [illegible] taught(?)

[illegible] the lands in the village [illegible] The rest is called [illegible] certain [illegible] dry cultivation – [illegible] 8 Kanis at 5 1/2 [illegible]

[illegible] The Shrotriemdars are [illegible] charged for the [illegible] of the Extent of Inams in F1230 over that in F1238 & that now enjoyed. The period intervening between the 2 Faslis was very short & these differences exist. I am not aware that greater reliance can be placed upon the acct of F1230 than on that of F1238. Present enjoyment however corresponds with the latter & the account of this I ask therefore is preferable [illegible]. Without direct proof there is ground for presumption that there have been resumptions by the Shrotriemdar [illegible] for supposed resumptions. The present extent of almost every Inam is less than that recorded in acct of F1230. If there was any resumption it must have been between F1230 & 38. In F1232 the village passed into the hands of the alienee. If the decrease is due to such resumptions the Inams of the village officers in which the same decrease is observed must have been resumed there in part & if that was the case it is certainly likely that [illegible] affected by such acts would have remained quiet [illegible]

In acct of F1230 the Karnam's Inam is entd. as 4 Kanis – 2 dry & 2 wet – but now only 1 – 5 – dry & [illegible] are held. The Karnam, about 60 years of age, says that from a long time he has been enjoying only the latter extent dry 2 – 5 – 3 – the [illegible] Inam is entd. in F1230 as 4 K. whilst the present extent is only 2 – 10 – 1 [illegible] Inamdar says the same story. The difference in the Extents as per F1230 & 1238 and in the Inams recorded in the preceding cases are exhibited in Col: 15 in each case. In the acct of F1230 the names of the fields constituting the Inams are not given & so we cannot say whether the extents entd. in it were found in what quantity in F1238 [illegible] the Shrotriemdars have [illegible]

T. D. No.
C2 R. [illegible] 133
T. Coll.
1258
1259

228 Register of Inams in the Village of [illegible] in the Velappuram Taluk South Arcot District

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 17	18	19	20	21	22
Rel. Servt.	Wet 451 470	– 3 – – 12 – – 15 – 2	1028	– 6 – 9 4 – – 5	1 – 2 – 3 5 3 – 6 7 – 2 6 – 6 – 6	For teaching the Vedas. Services said to be performed & the villagers desire continuance of the Inam and the service.	Free of Tax	Not Known	Not known	No Documents	Ramasawmi Sastri	In F. 1217 Ramaswami Sastri – 15 2	In F. 1232 36 & 62 Ramasawmi Sastri – 15 2	Tiruvamattur 1 Ramasawmi Dikshatar 48 2 Narayana Dikshatar 28 3 Narasimha Dikshatar 25 enjoy together		(1) Wife 1. Sons 4. Daughter in law 1 / 6 (2) wife 1. son 1 2 (3) wife 1. daughter 1 / 2	The party in Col. 14 & 15 dying many years ago it appears the villagers about 20 years ago appointed the present family for the service.	To be confirmed & continued as long as the service is regularly rendered 20/5/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy Collr	T.D. No 1196 12 Feby 62
Personal.	Wet 464 1 – –		132	7 – – 5.	10 –	For the personal benefit of the holder	Free of Tax	Do	Do	Documents in Persian – 8 Do in English – 2 Do in Hindui 4 Dumbalas of F. 1222 & 1269. 35 49	Not Known	In F. 1217 Ayasastri 1 – –	In F. 1232 36 and 62 Ayasastri 1 – –	Velappuram 1 Venkatesasastri 28 2 Srinivasasastri 20 Enjoy together	Sons of the party in Col 14	(1) Mother 1 wife 1 / 2 (2) wife 1 / 1		To be confirmed & Quit Rent 1/8 i.e. Rs – 12 – 20/5/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy Collr	T.D. No 1197

Wet	ext	Dry	ext	Total	ext	Q. R
– 15 – 2	7 – 10 – 4	—	—	1 – 15 – 2	9 – 10 – 4	– 12 –

Reg. No 229 Register of Inams in the village of Adigattur in the Velappuram Taluq South Arcot District

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 17	18	19	20	21	22
Personal	Wet 35 37 Dry 12	– 3 – – 3 – 2 – – 2 3	 .18 .37 .55	– 15 4 – 3 – 6 1 – 2 – 10 – 15 – 9 2 – 2 – 7	– 12 – 3 – 2 – 10 – 15 – – 11 – 1 – 10 –	For the personal benefit of the holder. The Inam is entered in Accts under Sarvamanyam for it appears to have been granted in lieu of [illegible]	Free of Tax	Not known	Not known	Annual Pattas of F. 1207 & 67. 15	Do	In F. 1217 Seshachari – 6 – 3	In F. 1232 Seshachari – 6 – 3	Tirukkoilur 1 Seshagiriyachari 35 · 28 2 Venkataramanachari 65 · 27	(1) son of great grand son (2) Brothers grand son of the party in Col 14	(1) wife 1. daughters 2. Brothers widow / 4 (2) daughter in law Grand-daughter daughter 1. Brothers widow / 4		To be confirmed & Quit Rent 1/8 i.e. Rs – 4 – 20/5/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy Collr	T.D. No 1198

ext	Dry	ext	Total	ext	Q. R
5 – 1	– 4 – 2	– 11 –	– 6 – 3	1 – 10 – 1	– 2 –

Reg. No 230 Register of Inams in the village of Arimalai in the Velappuram Taluq South Arcot District

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 17	18	19	20	21	22
Pagoda Dt.	Wet 26		.33	2 – 10 –	2 – 1 – 9	For the worship of Pillaiyar. The Pagoda is situated [illegible] & the [illegible] is said to be in good condition. The worship is regularly performed.	Do	Do	Do	No other documents but yearly Pattas	Pillaiyar	In F. 1217 Pillaiyar	In F. 1232 36 & 61 Pillaiyar	Arimalai Pillaiyar Worshipper Venkatarayar				To be confirmed & continued as long as the worship is regularly rendered 20/5/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy Collr	T.D. No 1199
Personal	Dry 91 76 146 147 145	– 8 – 2 – 7 – – 15 – 1 – 5 – 1 1 – – 4 – –	5.28	2 – 3 – 9 2 – 5 – 9 4 – – 1 4 – – 1 4 – 3 – 1 16 – 12 – 9	1 – 8 – 4 1 – 7 – 8 2 – 8 – 2 – 8 – 2 – 15 – 10 – 8 –	For Kattubadi Service. The service has [illegible] ceased from long time	Kattubadi 5 – 4 –	do	Do	No documents not known		In F. 1217 Narayanasing 6 – –	In F. 1232 & 36 Muhammad Khasim 4 – – In F. 1261 Narayanasing 4 – – In F. 1250 the same [illegible] 4 – –					This is an unauthorized Kattubadi Inam & has remained so from a long time. For the last 20 years it appears the possessors of the Inam who [illegible] are [illegible]. The [illegible] be fully assessed 24/10/64 Rajagopala Charlu Dy Collr	

Wet	ext	Dry	ext	Total Wet	Total ext	Q. R
– 4 –	2 – 1 – 9	6 – –	10 – 8 –	6 – 4 –	12 – 9 – 9	4 – –

[illegible] in F. 1261 yielding Rs 306 – 6 – 9 [illegible] The lands were then [illegible] It [illegible] that that system came into force. The highest amount of rent within the 10 years on Wet was in F. 1265 viz Rs 245 [illegible] on Garden [illegible] in F. 1263 viz Rs 22. The maximum [illegible] amounts then to Rs 571. The average [illegible] on Dry (47 K) Rs 265 – 10 –, on Wet (72 K) Rs 218 – 6 – 9 [illegible] (9 K) Rs 12 – 3 – – on total Rs 496 – 3 – 8 [illegible]. The average [illegible] in dry is 11 K of these about 45 or 50 K are unfit for cultivation the rest may be brought under [illegible] I think that [illegible] may be [illegible] 1 – 8 – from [illegible] [illegible] 15 [illegible] 14