

Lake conference more
 free - I don't stop
 at home - I present -
 to London
 Theological office
 F. D.

To be returned at long as
the Chatham is maintained
in a state of recovery -
23rd January 1841
Madras in India
L. C. B.


[illegible]

Figures of bones on the Village of Tshetkolloi ¹²¹² in the Valley of Cuddalore in the District of South Arcot.

Rope continued - 2nd set long
 at the same time temp. 91.0-92.0
 Rope up - conf.
 17th January 1944
 *Mendota Falls
 S. Kott.

Leucocarpus - *Monte* File No. 98
27th March - Agrestis 100
 14th January 1901. *ex*
Melastom. Allen. *ex*
Flora. 100
 100

Bad letter from the Collector for
 7th March 170 2nd 66.



In per cases from the above letter
 found is clearly a person who is entitled
 to the title. If the purchase is one
 that his signature may be transferred
 to the name of his son Subbarayan - what
 is according to law. It is the officer
 responsible of the state. The name
 is name of Kuppammal & Sundarayan
 Subbarayan and he cancelled the
 title with him. It is the officer
 will report to him the
 letter 26th 7th 66

that he (Kuppammal's husband) might be recognized as the boy's father and his deposition was given at a time when there was no sort of dispute or contesting claims. It is therefore quite obvious that the boy after Kuppammal had no sort of right to the Slave but her son in virtue of his adoption by her father Subbarayan had been his heir and the claim advanced through her is therefore rejected. This adoption is denied but the above deposition furnishes in my opinion the most conclusive evidence of its truth. Again a Bill of Sale of a moiety of the Slave by Kuppammal is advanced by one Subbarayan and the sale is alleged to have taken place on the 22nd March 1860 and Kuppammal died about a year after & a few months ago supposing this were true it would be seen from the above remarks that Kuppammal had no right whatever to sell. It is alleged that possession has been acquired under the purchase but this possession even if true is so recent and it has been so "violently contested since Kuppammal's death by the adopted boy's people that hesitate not to put in the boy's name and ignore the purchaser leaving the former however to meet the latter in the "proper way."

(Signed) M. Sadasivappillai
By Collector S.C.

Cuddalore }
15th August }
1861 }

For agent