Sheet No. 180

Pedenal

As per old Pymash

No. 109	2-1½	
" 110	1-15¾	
" 116	1-2½	
" 70	1-8	
	6-10¾	7.48 52-7-7 34-9-6

As per new survey

No. 2	1.17	
" 6	1.50	
" 15	1.20	
" 85	2.09	
" 121	1.19	
" 7	2.46	
	6.61	30-9-2

For the Personal benefit of the holder

Tax free

Hereditary

Parwana Copy attested by the Chetambaram Kazi - Kazi Mogalikayar Pon Kazi 1212 to 1214-

Sheik Kader

By Patent Abdul Rahim Khan Kazi 1149-

1) In the Inam Sirmandi accot of Fo. 1217. Sheik Ibrahim's son Sheik Kabir -

2) In the above account of Fo. 1232 Mahamad Sahab grandson of Sekbran

3) In the Rabi accot of F. 1226 Sheik Ibrahim's son Sheik Kabir -

4) In the Inam Sirmandi accot of F. 1262 Mahamad Sahab grandson of Sekbran.

Chetambaram Sadatullah age 76 Bannida 45

Grandson of the daughter of the parbatkar & keeper of the pagoda

Son of the parbatkar of the same

Daughter

Daughter

Daughter's young children

Kobe conferred on the Deed as above - Rent Rs. 3-12- Appeals to be registered as Inam holder of the Inam was Sadatullah (deceased) who was the male line of Mahamad Sadak mentioned in Col: 14

In course of division however the Inam became the property of Sadatullah & the others - & after Rasul Khan's death his son (also since dead) Sadullah when he applied for registry in his name executed a document binding himself to leave these parties in possession as above and the registry might be obtained in his name as the son of the former registered holder - and it is according to the agreement the name in Col: 6 are put in - but the mother of the deceased Sadullah now appears & requests that she as well as certain others may be recognized as sharers in the Inam - It is admitted that the last possessor of the Inam that she & her Co-parceners have Inams elsewhere in which Sadullah has also shares allowed him - Under these circumstances I disallow the claim as quite unfounded & operations - 25th Sept. 1861 - Wadsworth Pillai Dy Coll.

J.K. No. 982

Originally Religious institution

As per old Pymash

No. 190	3-6	4.45	12-8-10
Divided into No. 191	3-6	4.45	21-3-11
	6-12	8.90	43-12-9

As per new Survey

No. 125	2.01		
" 54	3.57	33-1-8	
" 55	1.05	18-11-9	
" 8	2.44		
	9-23		51-13-5
Remainder			10-11-11
			35-2-5

Personal Inam 4.45 Area 2-12 per survey

Total 4.45 5.48

Error 1.03 due in the new Survey

For the Personal benefit

Tax free

Hereditary

Adopted

Adam put in by the brother of the incumbent as objected, as entitled to support by any person & as opposed to an admission made by him in an Answer filed by both in the Court -

Field No. 54 is recorded in the new Survey & Settlement Register as Ayan or Govt. while it is really Inam & marked as such on the Plan - For one of those changes or contradictions he pleads ignorance & the Inam Superintendent states that it is accidental

In the Inam Sirmandi accot of Fo. 1217-32 Galam Rahamad Sahib Inam Thakkir Imam

In the Palis accot of F. 1230 & In the Chapa Jamabandi accot of F. 1262 Same

Chetambaram Golam Mahamad Sahib age 75

Same as the person No. 1 in Col: 14

Sons & daughters

Gaussiabeb

Thakkir - as above mentioned

Land Rent Rs. 4-12- Kobe conferred on him & his heirs for ever as Thakkir - as above mentioned

Being deemed personal & would according to the course I have generally adopted on such cases confirm the Inam in perpetuity subject to a quit Rent of Rs. 4-12- the excess beyond 10 per cent - in 14 Deccans obtained the full assessment is Area 12 wheel plus the ½ of the remainder at Rs. 8-6 is Rs. 9-2- or Rs. 9 fraction being rejected 21st Dec. 1861 - Wadsworth Pillai Dy Coll.

J.K. No. 983

For the Support of Sri Ananta Swami Pagoda at Chetambaram

Pagoda maintained

Pagoda of Sri Ananta Swami

In the Inam Sirmandi accot of Fo. 1217-32 In the Palis accot of Fo. 1230 & In the Inam Jamabandi accot of F. 1262 Ananta Swami Pagoda

Chetambaram Sheikha

Pagoda of Ramakrishna Naika Devasthanam Pillai

Kobe continued as by the Pagoda as maintained 21st Dec. 1861 - Wadsworth Pillai Dy Coll.

J.K. No. 984

Case No. 2

A Pn. No. of - from Golam Mahamad Sahib complaining of the charge of quit rent at ¼a. Rejected 26/1/65 WMS

Case No. 2

A Pn. No. of - from Abdulkhada claiming Joint with Rejected 26/1/65 WMS

Personal

Wet As per old Paimash

1 Crop

No. 84	1	13¾	
144	1	10¼	
143	–	7	
142	1	7	
141	–	2	
167	2	6¼	
168	1	12¾	
	9	10½	12.73 · 118

*

For the personal Tax free benefit of the holder Madarsa[illegible]

Hereditary

* As per New Survey

Wet No.	Acres
309	3.97
310	.17
311	.10
316	.07
317	.45
363	2.50
390	1.44
391	.03
392	.61
399	3.11
438	.06
	12.57 · 70-6-11

By kobob Abdul kobetkhan Hegri 1116 Assesst.

Parwana Kayulthaymi in favor of Grantee's ancestor Shek Abdul Maxid Hegri 1216.

Shek Jamal Darvesh Fakir

1) In the Inam Jama-mabandi Acct of Fs 1216 Shek Jamal Darvesh's son Shek Abdul Majid ~~Mahomad~~ 2) In the Above Acct of Fs 1232, 62 Same Name

Khandes or allas

I Sadat Khan age 77 – Barnabi – 40 – ½ Share

II Hossen Khan – 40 – ¼ Share

III Gaus Khan – 35

Mohedin Khan – 28 – ¼th Share

IV

V

Great grandson Lineal ... wife of brother grandson of Grantee

Daughter Daughter Wife Daughter's Son Son

To be confirmed on one Deed, land being undivided – Q. Rent at ⅛th Rs 9 nine – Agreed to – 21st April 1861 – M. Sadasiva Pillai D. Coll.

I.D. No 985

cuf

Clu...

5 [illegible]

~~Personal~~ Religious Service –

As per old Paimash

Wet

No. 204	–	4	
206	1	2	
207	–	11¾	
208	–	13	
209	1	4¼	
210	–	7¼	
211	1	6¾	
212	–	15½	
213	1	2	
	8	2½	10.76 · 79

As per New Survey

Wet No.	Acres
641	.84
644	.37
716	.13
739	1.
740	6.19
788	.01
789	.38
776	2.05
	10.97

61-11-7

For the Tax free ~~personal benefit of the holder~~ Service as Kazi in the Town of Chelambaram & its suburbs, his duties being the performance of marriage & other rites among Mussulmans & the settlement of caste disputes & matters referred to them by the authorities – These duties are duly performed

Hereditary By the Padsha at Delhi & his Diwan's Parwana of the 19th Zalus

Parwana by the Delhi ~~[illegible]~~ Mogal Diwan Parwana by Nobob Zulfukharkhan ~~[illegible]~~ dated 39th Zalus Do by Nobob Abdulnobetkhan dated Hegri 1153 Lord Macartney's Lakta Hegri 1197 Kayulthaymis of Hegri from 1188 to 1216 – Annual Sanad from 1814 –

1) In the Survey account of Fs 1217 Chitambaram Khazi Mahamad Hebatulla 2) In the In the Inam Jamabandi acct of Fs 1216 Mahamad Hebatulla Khazi 3-4) In the above acct of Fasli 1231-62 Khazi Gulam Mahomad Son of Mahamad Hebatulla

Chitambaram Golam Mahamed Gausolah

Same as Col. 4 the same person mentioned in Col. 14 – & is the Registered holder –

To be continued so long as the duties attached to the Kazi office are duly performed by the registered holder of the Inam – It is stated & admitted by him that portions of the Inam are enjoyed as follows – viz

By Abdulkhadar (Brother) Kazi Share

By Mohamed Hamid (Son) alias Khadar Alli – One Share

In this arrangement no objection will be raised so long as the registered holder continues to perform the duties attaching to his present office –

21st Octr 1861 – M. Sadasiva Pillai D. Coll.

I.D. No 986

cuf

Personal As per old Paimash

Wet

No. 140	–	5¼	
160	2	1½	
202	–	14½	
	3	5¼	4.36 · 40-3-4

As per New Survey

Wet No.	Acres
599	2.02
632	1.
633	.30
634	.12
635	.19
647	.09
798	.67
799	.36
	4.15

25-5-10

For the Tax free personal benefit of the ~~[illegible]~~ holder

Hereditary

Having entered on possession of ~~[illegible]~~ the ~~[illegible]~~ from ~~[illegible]~~ some two years ago claiming it as her proper share & neither of the parties appearing to have had previous possession – Q. Rent at ⅛th Rs 3 – Agreed to – The Parwana &c. are with the absentee – See also remarks in Case No. 5 of Thiruvezhkolam 26th Octr 1861 – M. Sadasiva Pillai D. Coll.

1) In the Survey acct of Fasli 1217 Sayad Ibaram Fakir 2-3) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of Fs 1216-31 Same Name 4) In the above acct of Fasli 1262 Sayad Khadiri Husen Sister's grandson of Sayad Ibaram

Tayore Mohedin bibi Sahaba age 51 –

Brother's grand daughter of the Grantee

Son & Daughter

The case is deferred for the ... of the person in Col: 16 in the absence of her brother Sayed Khadar Useni who is stated to contest her right – The lady has been waiting for the settlement of her case for the last 6 months during which the absentee has had full notice ... of his sister's claim through ... his local agent & at ... a formal notice was ... Trichinopoly & returned ... 1st Octr ... 20 days ... appear before me ... his appearance & the agent ... by the lady ... (I.D. No 987)

Land granted to the lady in Col: 16 ... September 1863

Register of Inams in the Village of Vadakk Puttur (No) (late Mannargudi) Chitambaram Taluk in the District of South Arcot

Vadakk Puttur

Dr Sivadasyam Top Dry

No 72	2	10	3.47	8 10 7

As per old Paimash

For the support of this Inam Tax Free Enam

Lakshminarayana Perumal Pagoda at the Village of Vadakk Puttur - Trichinopoly District.

Top Manium

In the Paimash acct of F 1217 +
In the Sri Inam Jamabandi acct of F 1217-32-62

Lakshminarayana Perumal Top Manium

Vadakk Puttur Trichinopoly District

Sri Lakshminarayana Perumal Pagoda

Arabola Sivarajagan

To be continued as a Top Manium as long as the Road is maintained.

The Village is now under re-survey as part of an adjoining Village in the Trichinopoly District - the new survey numbers & extent cannot therefore be given -

3d January 1861

Madura Collector

J.D. No 885

cof

Dr Sivadasyam Top Dry

No 792	1	14	0	14 0
791		72		
		86	1	10 3

As per old Paimash

As per New Survey

For the support of this Inam Tax Free Enam

Lakshminarayana Perumal Pagoda at Chitambaram

Top Manium

In the Survey acct of Chitambaram of F 1217 + In the Sri Inam Jamabandi Lakshmanaiker-swamin account of F 1216-31-62 Pagoda

Sri Lakshmanaiker Top Manium

To be continued as a Top Manium as long as the Road is maintained

2d Dec 1861

Madura Collector

J.D. No 884

cof

The encroachment to per cent is Acres 1.53 deducted the full assessment is Rs 2-12 which will be levied as a Loss on the whole Inam

---

Dr Sivadasyam Top Dry

No 59	4	1½	5.42	15 8 5
825		.72		1 [illegible] 8
865		.84		
867		1.90		8 6 [illegible] at Chitambaram
868		1.06		
869		.58		
870		.29		
871		1.01		6 12 11
872		.69		
874		.40		
		7.49		16 15 10

As per old Paimash

As per New Survey

For the support of this Inam Tax Free Enam

Lakshminarayana Perumal Pagoda at the Village of Vadakk Puttur - Trichinopoly District.

Sheet No 181

Top Manium

In the Paimash acct of F 1217 +
In the Sri Inam Jamabandi acct of F 1217-32-62

Lakshminarayana Perumal Top Manium

Vadakk Puttur Trichinopoly District

Sri Lakshminarayana Perumal Pagoda

Arabola Sivarajagan

To be continued as a Top Manium as long as the Road is maintained.

The Village is now under re-survey as part of an adjoining Village in the Trichinopoly District - the new survey numbers & extent cannot therefore be given -

3d January 1861

Madura Collector

J.D. No 885

cof

Register of Proceedings in the Village of Torakkazhi (No. 319) in the Taluq of Chidambaram (Otherwise spelt) in the District of South Arcot

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

As per old Paimash
Wet one Sack

No. 221	"	—	11
223	"	—	8
228	"	—	2
252	"	—	
2	—	"	2·64

27-2-6 16-14-0

Exceed 21-0-9

As per New Survey
Wet

No. 118		·04	0-0-7
" 154		·93	
" 155		·12	14-12-1
" 145		·68	
" 153		·49	
		2·76	17-12-8

For the personal benefit of the Holder — Free — Hereditary

Do. Wet = As per old Paimash

No. 231 1- 0 1·32 19·9·3 8-11-4

Deduced 10-1

As per New Survey
Wet

No. 145 1,38 9-1-7

For the personal benefit of the Holder — Free — Hereditary

Mr. Calle Pallaty Pentakarane Kruthamana Carrier or 803 for 1826. Pawarum Lodged. Appeal Cawads from 1822.

(1) In the Survey acct of F. 1217 Pentakarane Kruthamana Carrier Extent as per acct. 3-4

(2) In the Iram Jamma Bandi acct of F. 1217 Same

(3) In the above acct of F. 1232 Emmalakkan Contant Same

(4) In the above acct of F. 1262 Same

Ramasppanaidu in Seydapet Taluq

Alagiri Sch. Grandson of Grantee 2 Sons

Mr. Flogers Cawad dated 1791- Appeal Cawads from 1826-

Pluppin = Chetti

(1) By the Survey acct of F. 1217. Chidambaram Pluppin = Chetti Extent as per Acct = 3-6

2) For the Fasaly Jamma Bandi Acct of F. 1217, 32, 62, Same Extent

Pallakkan Nattakkotai Chetti age 56- Grant Lost in 2 Sons of Grantee

Ta be confirmed - 2 Rent at 4 Pt. 2-12 Appeals J.C. No 886

26th April 1861-

Madasamy Pillai
D. Coll

2. Rent renewed with reference to Waram rate of 4 Pt. 2-4- Excess within 10 per cent of therefore passable

JW

Ta be confirmed - 2 Rent at 4 Pt. 4-4- Appeals J.C. No 887

26th April 1861-

Madasamy Pillai
D. Coll

2. Rent renewed as per Kittee- Excess passable

JW

(2) As per old Paimash
Wet

No. 218	"	—	11
222	"	—	4
227	"	—	14
229	"	—	3
2	—	"	2·64

27-2-6

As per New Survey
Wet

No. 142		·27	
148		·14	2-15-4
~~145~~ 149		·11	
151		·01	
152		·48	
152		·82	13-15-7
157		·81	
		2·64	16-15-4

Do. Free Do.

Re-purchased the portion of the Iram after division Claim inadmissable - 25th Sept 1851- Madasamy Pillai Decd.

Mr. Calle Pallaty Receipt of 1403 for Kolagar & Pilla Chetta Caky of the Nabab's Kodum Rupees 1140- Appeals Cawads from 14

(1) In the Survey acct of F. 1217 Rajendram Krishna = Chetti Iramam

(2) In the Iram Jamma Bandi acct of F. 1217 Same

(3) In the above acct of F. 1232-62 Adivarahachari Son

Kemeshwaram Sappha Kesthachari Son of 2 Sons age 57- No 3 on Col: 14

To be confirmed - 2 Rent at 4 Pt. 2-12 One Kani of the Iram Appeals - 10 years ago was re-purchased by the incumbent from one Kotakavanka Ayagar to whom it had been sold by the heirs of the late Pennacken claims his share in the Iram on the ground that he re-purchased as agency to pay to the proportionate price & alleging that he was also benefitted with the Revenue purchase for the purchase & that Kesthachari took advantage of his absence to strike the bargain for himself. Kesthachari opposes the claim on the ground that it must be given to the person from whom self acquired property - If the Court be of right - the Kattas are decided & Kesthachari claim

J.C. No 588

Rec 19-11-10