Sheet No. 180

Pedenal

As per old Pymash

No. 109	2-1½	
" 110	1-15¾	
" 116	1-2½	
" 70	1-8	
	6-10¾	7.48 52-7-7 34-9-6

As per new survey

No. 2	1.17	
" 6	1.50	
" 15	1.20	
" 85	2.09	
" 121	1.19	
" 7	2.46	
	6.61	30-9-2

For the Personal benefit of the holder

Tax free

Hereditary

Parwana Copy attested by the Chetambaram Kazi - Kazi Mogalikayar Pon Kazi 1212 to 1214-

Sheik Kader

By Patent Abdul Rahim Khan Kazi 1149-

1) In the Inam Sirmandi accot of Fo. 1217. Sheik Ibrahim's son Sheik Kabir -

2) In the above account of Fo. 1232 Mahamad Sahab grandson of Sekbran

3) In the Rabi accot of F. 1226 Sheik Ibrahim's son Sheik Kabir -

4) In the Inam Sirmandi accot of F. 1262 Mahamad Sahab grandson of Sekbran.

Chetambaram Sadatullah age 76 Bannida 45

Grandson of the daughter of the parbatkar & keeper of the pagoda

Son of the parbatkar of the same

Daughter

Daughter

Daughter's young children

Kobe conferred on the Deed as above - Rent Rs. 3-12- Appeals to be registered as Inam holder of the Inam was Sadatullah (deceased) who was the male line of Mahamad Sadak mentioned in Col: 14

In course of division however the Inam became the property of Sadatullah & the others - & after Rasul Khan's death his son (also since dead) Sadullah when he applied for registry in his name executed a document binding himself to leave these parties in possession as above and the registry might be obtained in his name as the son of the former registered holder - and it is according to the agreement the name in Col: 6 are put in - but the mother of the deceased Sadullah now appears & requests that she as well as certain others may be recognized as sharers in the Inam - It is admitted that the last possessor of the Inam that she & her Co-parceners have Inams elsewhere in which Sadullah has also shares allowed him - Under these circumstances I disallow the claim as quite unfounded & operations - 25th Sept. 1861 - Wadsworth Pillai Dy Coll.

J.K. No. 982

Originally Religious institution

As per old Pymash

No. 190	3-6	4.45	12-8-10
Inam transferred into Personal. No. 191	3-6	4.45	21-3-11
	6-12	8.90	43-12-9

As per New Survey -

No. 125	2.01		
" 54	3.57	33-1-8	
" 55	1.05	18-11-9	
" 8	2.44		
	9-23	51-13-5	
	Remainder	35-2-5	

Land 4.45 Stone 4.45 Total 8.90

Deduct water course at 2-12 per acre

Error - 1/5 - 1/4 Inam in the new

As per old Pymash -

Devadayam No. 189	1-13	2.39	6-12-0

As per New Survey -

No. 56	2.02		

For the Personal benefit

Free

Hereditary

Arpakal patta

Adam put in by the brother of the incumbent as objected, as an opposition by any person & as opposed to an admission made by him in an Answer filed by him in the Court -

Field No. 54 is recorded in the new Survey & Settlement Register as Ayan or Govt. while it is really Inam & marked as such in the Klan - For one of those strange contradictions we peguans meet with in the new Survey enclosed in the letter of opposition but it is accepted

Tope Mamun

In the Inam Jamabandi accot of F. 1217-32 In the Palis accot of F. 1230 & In the Inam Jamabandi accot of F. 1262

Chetambaram Ananta Iswara Pagoda

For the support of Sri Ananta Iswara Pagoda at Chetambaram

Free Perma-nent

Pagoda maintained

Golam Mahomed Gaussikeb age 75

Came by the reversion No. 1 in Col: 14

Sons & daughters

Pagoda Ramakrista Naita Devaraya Pillai

Sheik Kader

Sheik Kader's mother Sheik Inams being deemed personal & would accordingly be resumed & have generally been so dealt on such cases, confirm the Inam in question subject to a quit Rent of 4/4 the excess beyond 10 per cent - in 14 Decennials obtained the full assessment in Area 12 wheel plus the 1/4 in the remainder at Rs. 8-6 in Rs. 9-2- in Rs. 9 fraction being rejected 21st Dec. 1861 - Wadsworth Pillai Dy Coll.

J.K. No. 983

Kobe continued subject as above

Pagoda Ramakrista Naita Devaraya Pillai

21st Dec. 1861 -

Wadsworth Pillai Dy Coll.

J.K. No. 984

Case No. 2

a Pn No. of - from Golam Mahomed Gaus complaining of the charge of quit rent at 1/4th

Rejected 26/1/65

WMS

Case No. 2

a Pn No. of - from Abdulkhada claiming Joint

Rejected 26/1/65 WMS

Register ... Abstract of Uttamasozhamangalam (No. 8) in the Taluq of Chitambaram in the District of South Arcot

Personal

Wet As per old Paimash

1 Crop

No. 56 1 – 13¼
144 1 – 10¾
143 – 7
142 1 – 7
141 – 2
167 2 – 6¼
148 1 – 12¾

9 – 10½ 12.73 118

For the personal Tax free benefit of the holder Madadamt

Hereditary

As per New Survey

Wet	Acre
No. 309	3.97
310	.17
311	.10
316	.07
317	.45
363	2.50
390	1.44
391	.03
392	.61
399	3.11
438	.06
	12.57

70 – 6 – 11

By Nabob Abdul Nabekhan Hegri 1116 Assessed

Parwana Hayulkhaymi in favour of Grantee's son Shek Abdul Masid Hegri 1216

Shek Jamal Darvesh Fakir

1) In the Inam Jamabandi Acct of Fasli 1216 Shek Jamal Darvesh's son Shek Abdul Masid ~~Mahomed~~ Lev
2) In the Above Acct of Fasli 1232, 62 Same Name

Khandes or allas
I Sadat Khan age 77 – Barnabi – 40 ½ Share
II Hassen Khan 40 – ¼ Share
III Gaus Khan – 35
Mohedin Khan – 28 – 1/4th Share
IV
V

Great grandson – Daughter
Last wife – Daughter
of brother – Wife
grandson – Daughter & son
of Grantee – Son

To be confirmed on one Deed, land being undivided – Quitrent ¼th Rs. 9 nine – Agreed to –
21st April 1861 –
M. Sadaswa Pellai
Dy. Coll.

I.D. No. 985

Religious Service

Wet As per old Paimash

No. 204 – 4
206 1 – 2
207 – 11¾
208 – 13
209 1 – 4¼
210 – 7¼
211 1 – 6¾
212 – 15½
213 1 – 2

8 – 2½ 10.76 79

As per New Survey

Wet	
No. 641	.34
644	.37
716	.13
739	1.
740	6.19
788	.01
789	.38
796	2.05
	10.97

61 – 11 – 1

For the Tax free ~~personal benefit of the holder~~ Service as Kazi in the Town of Chetambaram & its suburbs, his duties being the performance of marriages & other rites among the Mussalmans & the settlement of caste disputes & matters referred to them by the authorities – These duties are duly performed

Hereditary

By the Padsha at Delhi & his Diwani Parwana of the 19th Zalus

Parwana by the Delhi ~~Nabob~~ Mogal Diwan Parwana by Nabob Zulfakhar Khan ~~Khan~~ dated 39th Zalus Do by Nabob Abdul Nabekhan dated Hegri 1183 Lord Macartney's Lakka Hegri 1197 Hayulkhaymis of Hegri from 1198 to 1216 – Annual Sanad from 1814 –

1) In the Survey account of Fasli 1217 Chitambaram Kazi Mahomed Hebatulla
2) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of Fasli 1216 Mahomed Hebatulla Kazi
3–4) In the above acct of Fasli 1231–62 Kazi Gulam Mahomad son of Mahomed Hebatulla

Chitambaram Golam Mahamed Gauseb

Same as son of the last person mentioned which [?] for the Registered holder

To be continued so long as the duties attached to the Kazi office are duly performed by the registered holder of the Inam – It is stated & admitted by him that portions of the Inam are enjoyed as follows – viz
By Abdul Khader (Brother) half share
By Mohamed Hamid (son) alias Khader Alli – the share
In this enjoyment no objection is to [?] continued as long as the registered holder continues to perform the duties attaching to his grant Raffue –
21st Octr. 1861 – M. Sadaswa Pellai
Dy. Coll.

I.D. No. 986

Personal As per old Paimash

Wet

No. 140 – 5¼
160 2 – 1½
202 – 14½

3 – 5¼ 4.36 40 – 3 – 4

As per New Survey

Wet	
No. 599	2.02
632	1.
633	.30
634	.12
635	.19
647	.09
798	.67
799	.36
	4.15

25 – 5 – 10

For the Tax free personal benefit of the holder

Hereditary

Having entered on possession of the ~~same~~ from some two years ago claiming it as her proper share & neither of the parties appearing to have had previous possession – Q. Rent at ¼th Rs. 3 – Agreed to – The parwanas &c. are with the absentee – See also remarks in Case No. 5 of Thoruvazhkolam
26th Octr. 1861 M. Sadaswa Pellai
Dy. Coll.

1) In the Survey acct of Fasli 1217 Sayad Ibaram Fakir
2–3) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of Fasli 1216–31 Same Name
4) In the above acct of Fasli 1262 Sayad Khadir Husen sister's grandson of Sayad Ibaram

Tayore Mohedin bibi Saheba age 51 –

Son & Brother's grand daughter of the Grantee – Daughter

The case is deferred for the presence of the person in col. 16 in the absence of her brother Sayed Khadar Useni who is stated to contest her right – The lady has been waiting for the settlement of her case for the last 6 months during which the absentee has had full notice of his sister's claim through his local agents & at last a formal notice was sent [?] Trichinopoly & returned on the 1st Octr. [?] 20 days [?] the place to appear before me. He has not [?] his appearance & the agent [?] the lady [?]

In the case [?] ... [illegible]

I.D. No. 987

[?] granted [?] in col. 16 [?] September 1863