Register of Inams in the Village of Pudaiyur (Late Jagir) No. 415) in the Taluk of Tambaram in the District of South Arcot

These are of the Lands belonging to the ancient Layer of Palayamkottai assumed in Fasli 1231 when the first Amildar was Inducted - Survey Settlement - Survey in 1855 for the first time in Fasli 1265-66 & classified & assessed -

Sheet No 113

Fair Copies

Struck out
by Copies over -

Schedule of Inams in the Village of Pudaiyur (Late Jagir) No. 415) in the Taluk of Tambaram in the District of South Arcot

These are of the Lands belonging to the ancient Layer of Palayamkottai assumed in Fasli 1231 when the first Amildar was Inducted - Survey Settlement - Survey in 1855 for the first time in Fasli 1265-66 & classified & assessed -

Sheet No 113

Fair Copies


Struck out
by Copies over -


Podaiyur.

Chidembaram Pk

South Arcot Dt.

Sheet No. 114





No 211

Rodayud village Chidambrum Taluk Tenth Circle District

Sheet No 115

of the Petitioner that the
Character of the Claim was
subsequently altered by the
Inquiry from an Endowment into
a Subsistence Allowance, cannot it appears
to me, be accepted as favourable to his Claim.

The Board in reply (Proceedings 29th January
1858) said that the Case required report to Government & desired
that it be laid before them in the Tabular Form.

They presumed that the Petitioner has no document in
support of his plea referred to in Para 5 (of the letter above quoted)
but this fact should be distinctly noticed as also when & by whom the
alteration is stated to have been made.

As the explanation called for had not been as yet received by the Board
when this Department Commenced work in this District, the Collector handed over
to me the papers with a rough Tabular statement & Memorandum already prepared in
his Office in view to answering the Boards enquiry, and this is to the following effect.

That the documents relied on by the Claimant for his plea that the original Character of the
Grant has been subsequently altered are Nos 2 & 4, and it is because no mention is made therein of the restitution
the Shanbogue argued that it is a personal grant, but this document has reference to the original grant & Continues
simply to renew
that grant the tenure of which must therefore be considered to remain unaltered in the absence of any express revocation
of the same; and Act has no bearing on the question at issue, it being an order to prevent the Rayats from throwing obstacles
in the way of the Inamdar in leasing out the Inam lands at his pleasure.

Under these circumstances, it remains for the Commissioners to decide on what terms the Inam should be confirmed. I presume that
it must be Confirmed on half assessment.

The fields said to be injured are also recorded in the Register & the question is submitted whether they may not be excluded from the
Settlement & in Consideration thereof an abatement of the Quit Rent on the remainder Conceded as follows.

Half assessment on the remainder Acres 96.03 owned by Pr 107-12 assessed therefore the half assessment on Acres 46.34 which he would
be otherwise entitled to enjoy or Pr 54.

Remainder Rupees 47 - And I would suggest therefore that 96 Acres be Confirmed in Free Hold subject to a quit Rent of Pr 47.

Tho' perhaps this is not a case in which Consent is necessary I have endeavoured to ascertain whether this mode of Settlement would
be acceptable to the Inamdar; but that Gentleman would appear to be very tenacious of his imagined right to the whole Inam & to have fresh land given
in lieu of that injured; and altho I communicated to him direct & in writing the fact that his right is considered defective owing to the neglect of the instituting
Inquisition, he re-iterates the assertion that the Charities have proved to be duly performed & that if the Shoulty Fee is neglected it is because the whole of the
Revenue originally granted is not available for their maintenance. I have therefore no hope of persuading him, unless by personal intercourse which is an
impossibility, that this Settlement proposed is a boon to the Inamdar not to stay the hand by any means that could be made.

The injured fields are described in the Statement.

The Shares are put down as stated by the Vakil who is unable to give the names of all the Shareholders & one Head is recommended as there
has been no distinct division of Land.

1st December
1861

W. Anderson
Deputy Collector Inam Commission

