Register of Inams in the Village of Kuppanguzhi in the No. 2 Taluq of Chittambaram Taluk Nannayagudi in the District of South

The Lowry shows about 7½ per Cent increase on the Paimash in regard to the cropped Ryan Lands of the Village - Fair Rent 1½ per Cent on 17½ Acres may be allowed in heavy, the rest being charged with full assessment

Sheet No. 135

Religious Institution No. 218 — 1.0 / 250 — 2½ / 251 — 1¼ — 2-14-4 / 1-10½-2.18 — 3-5-11 — 6-4-3

As per old Paimash
Dry
No. 22 .77
" 23 .05
" 27 .52
" 28 .56
" 29 .20
Wet 2.51 — 7-2-8
1.24 .19 — 1-7-2
2.19 — 8-9-10
1.22 — 1-11-8
227 — 1-14-5

As per new Survey.

For the Inam Commutation Fair Rent of Rs. 1217 for the Support of the Mutham at Kuppanguzhi being a branch of the Large Institution known as the Dharma Puram Mutham in the Tanjore District.

Dharma-sast

Re-assessed as per case after allowing 17 per Cent as Dharmasast Documents 13 of which the full assessment is charged as a Lodi on the whole Inam.

23d Sept. 1861

W. Anderson Collr
D. Keith

Total Rs. 22 which is marked as per Inam Settlement Survey & assessment recorded & at the Lowry as per the allowable Cent as above charged with full assessment, to the Fields of Cultivation as per Inam Settlement Survey

(1) On the Inam Commutation Fair Rent of Rs. 1217 — Kuppanguzhi Gramakarnam — Dharmasastram
(2) On the above Fair Rent of Rs. 1222 — Mutham of Dharmapuram Mutham — which the present Incumbent is at Kuppanguzhi
(3) On the Melvaram Fair Rent of Rs. 1206 — Mutthuramaiya Pandaram
(4) On the above Fair Rent of Rs. 1262 — Same Mutham
Total Rs. 4.87½

Lake contained as long as the Mutham is duly maintained -
24th August 1861
W. Anderson Collr
D. Keith

J.E.M. 579

Conf.

The Remark of Lowry shows more than the Maximum Cess Land is chargeable with the Remark Virtual Loss charged Loss in crop & Increase according as shown Loss to be for three years -

962/82

Vedaranyam

As per old Paimash
Dry 2-10½-3.88 — 4-1-1 — 7-2-6
As per new Survey.
Dry
No. 65 .71
" 66 .57
" 600 .24
" 601 .47
" 602 .40
" 603 .11
4.72 — 9-11-8

For the Sastram Permanent Support of Vilvanda Perumal Koil at the village Koil maintained

On the Inam Jamabandi account of Rs. 1217-32 Sri Vilvanda Perumal Koil Mutham. On the Melvaram account of Rs. 1206. On the Inam Jamabandi account of Rs. 1262 Sri Vilvanda Perumal Koil

Kuppanguzhi — Archaka — Annamayangaran
Vilvanda Perumal Koil

Lake contained as long as the Koil is maintained after allowing 17 per Cent for Fair Remark, Documents 13 of which the full assessment is charged as a Lodi on the whole Inam.

23d Sept. 1861
W. Anderson Collr
D. Keith

J.E.M. 580

Conf.

Dry 9-0-0 or Acres to be charged as a Lodi on the Mutham

Rs. per old Paimash
Dry 11-1-7 — 1.90 — 5-7-2 — 3-9-10
As per new Survey
Dry
No. 169 .25
" 172 .08
" 173 .32
" 176 .43
" 177 .18
2.6 — 1-15-7

For the Sastram Permanent Support of Tholisvaran Koil at Rajendra Sozhagham

In the Inam Jamabandi account of Rs. 1217-32 Tholisvaradami Koil Perambokh. In the Melvaram account of Rs. 1296. Tholisvaradami Koil Sapu. In the Inam Jamabandi account of Rs. 1262 Tholisvaradami Koil Perambokh.

Rajendra Sozhagham — Archaka — Talaichanai Muttu Gurukal
Tholisvaran Koil

Lake contained as long as the Koil is maintained

23d Sept. 1861
W. Anderson Collr
D. Keith

J.E.M. 581

Religious Institution No. 207 — 12 — .99 — 10-2-1
As per new Survey
Dry
No. 478 10
478 12
92

For Vedaranyam Perumal Kalio for Festival Lands for Camp Charges for the Temple Bhattacharyar Service performed

Sastram Nandidevy

Annual Pattom for Cash

(1) On the Inam Jamabandi account of Rs. 1217-32 — Annamayangaran — Mutham Manager
(2) On the Melvaram account of Rs. 1206 — Nataraja Dikshitar
(3) On the Inam Jamabandi account of Rs. 1262 — Sadagopa Pillai
Hereditary Trustee of Sri Vedaranyeswara Swami Temple
Nataraja Dikshitar — Age 20
Sadagopa Pillai — Age 20

Lake contained as long as the Hereditary Trustee is employed -

23d Sept. 1861
W. Anderson Collr
D. Keith

J.E.M. 582

Conf.

Kuppanguzhi continued

5 Personal — As per old Paimash: Wet No. 378 — 12 · 99 · 10-2-1. As per new survey: Wet No. 476 · 99 · 5-9-1

For the personal benefit of the holder — Tax free Hereditary — Annual Puttas

1-2) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1217-32 Tiruvenkitachari Bhattavritti Maniem
3) In the Hulus account of F. 1236 Same
4) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1262 Tiruvenkatachari's son Viraragavachari ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ grandson Krishnavarahavachari

~~Sreenivas~~ Mannargudi ~~[illegible]~~ age 20 — ~~[illegible] Wife~~ 23 — Narasimmachari 13 — Kistnavarahachari 30 — Son & Uncles Sons of Sir Raghavachari — Wife

Like confirmed on a Deed — Parties are devised 2 Rent at ¼th Anas 10 Agreed to — 23d Sept. 1861 — Madasami Pillai Dy Collr

T. D. No. 583 — Confd — 28.262

D°. — As per old Paimash: Wet No. 254 3—¾; 255 3—2¼; 403 1—12; 404 2—14; 406 1—13; 12—10 · 16.68 · 170-7-8. As per New survey: Wet No. 61 4.63; 62 2.03; 643 7.06; 63 1.03; 644 2.59; 17.34 · 96-14-6. Viz I Share 2.73 · 16—; II D° .74 · 4-8—; III D° 1.83 · 9-7-6; IV D° .66 · 3-8—; V D° 1.22 · 7—; VI D° 1.22 · 6-13—; VII D° 5.95 · 33-8—; VIII D° 2.05 · 11-10—; IX D° .83 · 4-8—

D°. — Tax free — D°. — By Nabob Abdul Wahab Khan Hijri 1149 — Parwana Annual Sanads from 1840 — Zinda Khatam

by a former husband whether dead — 2 Rent for the V at ¼th Anas 14 —; VI " 14; VII Rs 4-4-; VIII 1-8; IX — 12-8 — All agreed to — 2d Octr 1861 — Madasami Pillai Dy Collr

(1) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1217 Zanda Fakir Maniem
(2) In the above acct of F. 1232 Ibrahimsha alias Malangsha's son Khadimiya
(3) In the Hulus acct of F. 1230 Zanda Fakir
(4) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1262 Khadimiya's son Ummarsahib

Ellari & Odaiyargudi
I Emmarsahib — Son of the — Age 33 — 2 sons & a daughter — 2 in Col: 14
II Shekh Husein 66 — Brother's son of Malangsha — Son & daughter
III Mahamad Khasim — D° D°
Ibrahimsa 36, 35 — Brother's grandson's grandson's son of No I in Col: 14 — Not married
IV Halimabi 50 widow — Grand daughter of the same grandson — Not married yet
V Shekh Ibrahim — Bibikhata 35, 45 widow — Grandson's grandson of the said brother of grantee
VI Shekh Nathan 45 & wife of his great grandson — Jainumabi 50 widow — Similarly related — 4 sons & 2 daughters
VII Shagulam Jamaulla alias Mahamadarsahib — grandsons grandson of — Son & daughter in Col. 14
Mahamad Isaf 40 — grandson's son — Brother & sister
Mohidinsahib 50 — & grandson's grandson — 2 daughters
Mahamadgaus 50 — Daughter's son of another grandson — 2 daughters
VIII Rajasharif 50 — Grandson of the daughter of No. 1 in Col: 14 — Son
IX Ibrahimsa 34 — Grantee's brother Abdulkhadir's grandson — 2 daughters

Like confirmed on a Deed — 2 Rent for the I at ¼th Rs 2 —; II : Anas 8; III : one Rupee. Ribhach the IV the incumbent has a husband from whom she lives separate owing to disagreement & I have reason to believe that they are not likely to join again — She is I believe nearly 50 years of age & supposing that the separation has become a permanent one she can the Law legally marry ... & she ... possession of ... quite past the age of capability for further issue — Under these circumstances I am disposed to allow her to commute at ¼th Anas 14 — to which she agrees — grandson's son — She has a child

T. D. No. 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592 — Confd — W. 4 may be ... ¼ D.K. ... given by ... do — 9

Religious Institution — As per old Paimash: Dry No. 61 2—4 · 2.97 · 8-8-3. As per New survey: Dry No. 99 2.32; 100 .84; 3.16 · 4-11-10

For the support of a Thaikka at Kuppangudi — Tax free — Thaikka maintained — Annual Puttas

to give the claimant ¼th of the proceeds of the Inam — the Title Deed remaining however in the name of Rajasharif — 2d Octr 1861 — Madasami Pillai Dy Collr

(1) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1217 Shekh Mahamad Porambok Maniem
(2) In the above acct of F. 1232 Kazi Emmarsahib son
(3) In the Hulus acct of F. 1236 Shekh Mohamad Fakir
(4) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1262 Emmarsahib

~~Kuppang~~ Odayarkudi Royasharif age 50 — Brother's son of Shekh Mohamed — Son — Eracet of the Thaikka

To be continued as long as the Thaikka is maintained — It is not a mere Tope Maniem as may be supposed from the entry No. I in Col: 14 — The annual Puttas which are of old date & the Paimash show that the Maniem is an ordinary land Inam tho' there is a Tope on it — The new Survey also records the land as such — the proposal is passable — 2d Octr 1861 — Madasami Pillai Dy Collr

A claim to participate in the Inam proceeds made by Ibramsha a cousin of the incumbent has been satisfied by a Razeenama wherein he agrees

T. D. No. 593 — Confd — do — 807

8 Personal — As per old Paimash: Wet No. 379 — 8 · .66 · 6-12—. As per new Survey: Wet No. 474 .13; 475 .61; .74 · 4-2-7

For the personal benefit of the holder — Tax free Hereditary — The Inamdar is in possn of the Inam in the village as the heir of the same grantee Srenivasayangar & there is no reasonable doubt of the man's right founded as it is on possn — To be confirmed accordingly — 2 Rent at ⅛th Anas 8 — Agreed to — Excess passable — 6th Novr 1861 — Madasami Pillai Dy Collr

Annual Puttas from Fasli 1245 — produced

1) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1217 Srinivasa Rau Anumandarayar Bhattavritti Maniem
2) In the above acct of F. 1232 Srinivasayangar Anumandachari Bhattavritti
3) In the Hulus acct of F. 1236 Srinivasayangar
4) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1262 Srinivasayangar grandson of ~~[illegible]~~ Srinivasayangar Anumandarayan Bhattavritti

Mannargudi Srenivasayangar age 60 — Same as No. 4 in Col: 14 — Wife

Srenivasarau which appears in the oldest acct is a mistake for Srenivasayangar — and Anumantarayar & Anumantachari is again an error resulting from the name of the field which was & is still called Anumantarayankollai & the same original mistake or confusion appears to have been perpetuated in all subsequent Inam Accounts which it will be remembered are generally transcripts of the older ones — I have good reason to ~~suppose~~ believe that there is nothing more than a mistake, as the above explanation of it was offered not by the Inamdar or the Karnam but by a quite disinterested party & a large owner of a neighbouring village who happened to be accidentally present ... without any ... collusion ... the explanation at once when ...

T. D. No. 594 — Confd — 6 — 808