148 Register of Inams in the Village of Killai (No. 40) in the Taluq of Chitambaram in the District of [illegible]

The new Survey shows an excess over the old Paimash in Ayan land of about 10 per cent – (Acres 1611 against 1450) – The village is a sea coast one with much sandy tract & [illegible] which was left out unmeasured in the old survey as useless or as of little practical value –

Religious Institution

As per old Paimash
Dry
Tarisu [illegible] 6 – 11½
Do. 1 – 4½
8 – .. 10 – 56 . 30 – 3 –
As per new Survey
Dry
No. 6 9 . 10
4 2 – 11
11 . 21 | 9 – 5 – 7
2 – 1 – 9
11 – 3 – 4

For the Tax free Hereditary ~~personal~~ ~~benefit~~ ~~of the~~ ~~holder~~ support of a Thaikka at Killai known as Shefokotulla Thaikka which is duly maintained

Persian Mahazar regarding loss of Parwana Hejri 1204 – Annual Sanad not regularly kept

Shefakatulla

1) In the Survey account of F. 1217 – Shefakattulla
2-3-4) In the Inam Jamabandi account of F. 1217 – 31 & 62 Shefakattulla Purambek Top

Killai Nazarulhasha age 30 – Haiderbeg son

Great grandson of grantee

Extract received for the case in Sheet No. 200 A.

To be continued so long as the Thaikka is maintained 11th Octr. 1861 – M. Wadasur Pillai Dy Collr [illegible]

T.D. No. 1249

Do.

As per old Paimash
Dry
[illegible] 2 – 3½ 2.91 8 – 5 –
Do. – 5¾ .48 1 – 5 – 8
Wet [illegible] 2 – 2½ 2.85 8 – 2 – 2
Tazhai yadi [illegible] .36 1 – 1 –
[illegible] 10 – 12 – 10
As per new Survey
Dry
No. 10 .60
[illegible] .55
15 .04
17 .32
21 3.73
22 2.59
7.84 | 7 – 13 – 3

Do. Do. Do.
For the support of a Thaikka at Porto Novo known as Sajan Saheb Thaikka which is duly maintained

Annual Pattas of recent years produced – A Chakbandi or specification of boundaries dated in Fasli 1209 – and attested by the village officers &c. –

1) In the Survey account of F. 1217 ~~Sajan~~ Saheb
2) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1217 Jainishi – that is the Thaikka Priest –
3-4) In the above account of F. 1231 – 62 ~~Sajan~~ Saheb Takkia Manien

Porto Novo Yulsha The present Priest & successor of the original Priest the succession not being necessarily hereditary but regulated by the nomination of the last incumbent –

Extract received for the case in Sheet No. 200 A.

To be continued so long as the Thaikka is maintained – It is stated by the Karnam & the Inamdar that the excess is the result of taking into the same measurement a yielding bit of heavy sandy tract so as to make the field convenient square – ~~[illegible]~~ The Inam [illegible] is a sandy [illegible] & the Inamdar fully resigns his right to the excess & requests that it may be [illegible] rather than bear the full charge [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ Considering the ~~[illegible]~~ large rates of excess in Ayan land, the excess in the case appears probable – and ~~[illegible]~~ as the deduction to be made from each field cannot be ascertained except by a remeasurement, I would pass over the surplus fee of any & leave ~~the new survey~~ measurement extant – I have further to remark that the Chakbandi or Boundary paper mentions 8¾ Kanis, of which the useful & actually enjoyed portion only was measured at the old Survey – 11th Octr. 1861 – M. Wadasur Pillai Dy Coll

T.D. No. 1250

Sheet No. 85

[illegible] Service

As per old Paimash
Dry
[illegible]
[illegible] 2 – [illegible]
Vile [illegible]
[illegible] – 0
Do. 2 – 1½
Vile – 3¾
Tazhai yadi – 7½
Kolatur – 4½
[illegible] 11.22 32 – 1 – 3
As per new Survey
Dry
[illegible] .31
[illegible] .78
[illegible] 11.33
~~[illegible]~~
[illegible] .07
[illegible] .12 | 12 – 6 – 9
– 1 – 7
12.61 | 12 – 8 – 1

For the Tax free Do. support of a Thaikka at Chelambaram known as Amin Saheb Thaikka –

1) In the Survey acct of Fasli 1217 – Amin Saheb
2-3-4) In the Inam Jamabandi account of Fasli 1217 – 31 & 62 – Amin Saheb Takkia Manien

Zoyne Mohideen Bibi Saheb age 51 Grantee's grand daughter

Son & daughter –

Extract received for the case in Sheet No. 200 A.

The Thaikka having long ceased is not maintained & the apparent male heir to the Inam Sayad Khader Hussein ~~[illegible]~~ has not appeared notwithstanding ample notice given him through his local agent by a formal invitation sent to him at Trichinopoly to which was returned to the effect ~~[illegible]~~ on the 1st Octr. that he had 10 days ago left the place to appear before me – but he has not come & he is now stated to be at Madras for the purpose of evading execution of Decrees passed against him at Trichinopoly –

His sister however has entered on possn. of this Inam for the last two years or so as her share out of the property of her deceased father & in the absence of ~~any~~ the other party in person or by a vakeel, I am obliged to register the Inam in her sister's name confirming it as a Rechola subject to a quit rent of ¼th the Thaikka Manium being a semi personal Inam – See also remarks in the village of ~~[illegible]~~ Theruvaeykolam Colle

M. 5 – 2
1 Rent ab ¼ Rs. 3 – M. Wadasur Pillai Dy Coll
10th Nov 1861 –

T.D. No. 1251

As per old Paimash

Dry

Kurukkadi — 15
Kolatadi — 2 3/4
Vetoram — 6 1/2
Nochiyadi — 10
Kolatoram — 14
Vannan torayadi } — 12 1/4
Vaikaloram — 1/2
Konekollai — 6 1/4
Vaikaloram — 4
Vetoram — 7 1/4
Manvadayan — 14 3/4
Koloram — 9
[illegible] — 8
Kamkan Patam } — 9
Padri tope 3 — 3 3/4
Vetoram — 6 3/4
Vannal kovay } 2 — 13
Topadi — 6 3/4
Toporam 2 — 5 3/4
Pulimadal 3 — 6
[illegible]oram 2 — 2 3/4
— 7 1/4
— 9 3/4
— 1/4
— 15
Do — 12
Do — 14
Do — 5 1/4
Do 1 — 14 1/2
[illegible]oram — 10
[illegible]tayadi — 7
[illegible] panayadi } 2 — 7
[illegible]yadi 1 — 1/4
[illegible]di 1 — 1/4
[illegible] 1 — 2 3/4
[illegible] 2 — 5 3/4
Elavadi 1 — 9 3/4
Veli — 9 3/4
Panayadi — 9 1/4
[illegible] 1 — 1/4
[illegible]oram — 8 1/4
Kannu panayadi } — 14
[illegible] — 5 1/2
Panayadi 1 — 11 1/4
[illegible]vadi — 12 3/4
Veli 1 — 9 3/4
Panayadi — 12 3/4
[illegible] — 12 1/4
Veli 2 — 4 1/2
Panayadi 1 — 1 1/2
Kolatoram 1 — 1/4
Kumbal panayadi } 1 — 9 3/4
Veli — 14 1/2
Kannu Panayadi } 1 — 6
Elavadi — 11
[illegible] 2 — 3
[illegible] — 1/2
Puttu panayadi } 1 — 5 1/4
[illegible] — 13 1/2

As per Old Paimash — Wet

47

for the support of the large Darga & the Mosque at Hill known as Hazarat Rahimatullah Darga — & for the subsistence of the family connected with the Darga —

Chinoram — 6 3/4
Vaikaloram 2 — 11 1/4
Kilvaikal oram } 3 — 12 3/4
Ottaipanankan oram } 2 — 1/4
Naduvaikal oram } 1 — 2
Kannivaikal oram } — 15 1/2
Veli — 3
Palkolatadi 1 — 15 1/4
Veli — 8 3/4
Do 1 — 9 3/4
Vaikaloram 1 — 1/4
Periavara pakudarkai } 2 — 8 1/4
Vaikaloram 3 — 14
Puvarasadi 1 — 15 1/4
Veli 1 — 8 1/2
Vaikaloram 1 — 2
Takkal vaikaloram } 2 — 7 1/2
Kannivaikal oram } 1 — 11 —
Panayadi — 7 1/4
Veli — 7 1/2
Panayadi — 13 3/4
Veli — 11 3/4
Vannan torapadi } 2 — 1 1/4
Nochioram 1 — 1/4
Sanan vetoram } 1 — 4 1/4
Takkaloram 3 —
Pananpala oram } 3 —
Settuvali 1 — 2
Thilavaikal kukkizh puram } 1 — 2 3/4
Vaikaloram — 15 3/4
Kannivaikal [illegible]puram } — 3
Uppalakukkizhpuram } — 14 1/2
Periavara pakuvadai } 1 — 5 1/4
Tirunal Toppu Kuterku } 4 — 12 1/4
Veli 1 — 12 3/4
Settuvali 1 — 5 1/4
Nadivarapu 1 — 4 1/2
Uppuvaikal Ellayadi } 1 — 14 1/4
Veli — 13 3/4
Pattai vaikal oram } — 10 1/2

A.P. No 2571 of 1861 and 264 of 1866 from Shagalam Mahomed Gouse claiming regn in his name as Trustee and objecting to the regn of the Inam as personal as prayed by his opponent (the Party in Col: 16) Rejected and refd to Cons.

30/1/66

Wms

Amir Mohamed Sha Khan Navvab Fauzdar — Hijri 1149 — A.D. 1740 — Kanis not mentioned Chakbandi limits specified —

Rohimat ulla Saheb

2 — Mr. Floyd's ~~Sanad~~ of 1792 (Kanis 147 1/2 —)

3 — Inayetnama by Nabob Wallaja to the Subedar of Arcot — Hijri 1208 — A.D. 1793 — Kanis 147 odd —

4 Inayetnama by Nabob Umdatul Umra Bahadur to the Subedar of Arcot Hijri 1213 — A.D. 1798 — (Kanis not mentioned) directing the renewal of the grant in favour of the Grantee's heir without name —

5 Parwana of the same year by the same Nabob granting to ~~[illegible]~~ Sha Ettulla Saheb son of Sha Rahimatulla Kanis 143 Dry & Wet within ~~[illegible]~~ limits to be specified — this being in addition to the grant to the father —

6 — Hasbulhukm of Hijri 1215 A.D. 1800 in favour of Sha Mohideen Saheb son of Sha Ettulla Saheb Kanis 290 1/4. being the total of the two grants already specified —

7 — Inayetnama by Nabob Umdatul Umra to the Arcot Subedar Hijri 1216 — A.D. 1801 — renewing Inam in favour of Sha Mohideen aforesaid — Kanis 347 1/4, which include land for the Darga & its attached houses &c. or Kanis 30 plus 27 & odd Kanis stated in the said Inayetnama to be made up of small & waste adjoining tracts which it became necessary to add to the former grants — as ~~[illegible]~~ set forth in the Inayetnama — [illegible] Wallace's Receipt of 1803 [illegible] two

[illegible] account of F. 1217 Rahimutulla Maniem

2) In the Inam Jamabandi account of Fasli 1217 — Rahimutulla Sahib Maniem — Do Takka Maniem

3) In the above acct of Fasli 1231 Same name

4) In the Inam Jamabandi acct of F. 1262 Sharahimfulla Maniem Do Takkia Maniem Shagulamahamad Ghaus —

Toripadi Maniem Dry Kanis 25 ~~[illegible]~~ 5 1/2

Savad Maniem } Dry K. 123 — Wet 167 — 12 1/4

Total Dry — 148 — 5 1/2
Wet 167 — 12 1/4
316 — 1 3/4 — As per old Paimash
347 — 3/4 As per Parwana &c.

As per new Survey Kanis 357 & odd or in Acres 472.10

(Extract received ~~for~~ for the case in Sheet No 200A.

Darga Trustee Sayad Sha Golam Moinaddin Patcha son of Sayad Sha Fareduddin Saheb eldest son of Sha Golam Mohedeen

Continued on its present tenure in the name of the Darga & its [illegible] Manager & Trustee —

The Darga which is a well known institution is daily maintained & the Fatiha ~~& feeding~~ Festivals & feeding of Fakirs connected with it usual for so large an institution are being performed while the principal members of the family also have their usual allotted shares for their subsistence as will be noticed below — these ~~separate~~ shares cannot however be separately recognized & the whole Inam according to practice is kept

It will be observed that the last registry was made in 1846 in the name of Sha Golam Mohamed Saheb the eldest of the surviving sons of the former holder — This gentleman is still alive & the person whose name I now propose to put in Col: 16 is his nephew the son of his deceased elder brother Sayad Sha Fareduddin Attar Saheb the eldest son of the previous holder — His ~~[illegible]~~ right to the Management of the Darga is founded on a document executed to him by his uncle the said Sha Golam Mahomed Saheb under date the 3d July 1855 delivering over to him the Darga & its concerns and other property to which he was entitled in obedience to ~~his~~ the injunctions contained in a Will or Wills left by the father of Sha Golam Mahomed & in fulfilment of his written engagement with the said father, Sayad Sha Golam Moinuddin Patcha who had been a minor having about that time attained his majority — & under this document the young gentleman acquired & continues in possession of the Darga & the bulk of the Inam held & in its name — ~~Ay~~ Upwards of a year after the date of the said document Sha Golam Mohamed Saheb presented a Petition to the Collr Mr Hall asserting that the document in question ~~was [illegible]~~ had been taken from him when he was suffering from a bilious affection which partially deprived him of the use of his judgement & seeking therefore to have the document set aside & to regain possession of the Darga & Maniems — Mr Hall considered that he could not interfere & that there [illegible] no sufficient ground for disturbing his nephew's possession — & he referred the party to the Courts — An appeal was made to the Board & a full Report was laid before them — on which the following endorsement was passed on the 7th Decr 1856 —

"The Board have received from the Collr a full Report "on the subject of this Petition & see no cause to interfere in Petitioner's "behalf —

"He can if he thinks fit apply to Govt for leave to prosecute "his claim by Civil Suit in the Courts & neither the Board nor the "Collr see any objection to such permission being granted to him.

Sha Golam Mahomed Saheb now appears & re-agitates his grievance & request that I may look into the case — I feel unauthorized to re-open matters thus formally settled by high authority & must therefore refer him to orders already passed recognizing [illegible] & as the present Darga [illegible]

14·11·[illegible]

The [illegible] confirmed recommend and [illegible] with the recom of the manager of the Darga [illegible] to the [illegible]

T.D. No
1459

~~A.P. No of 186[illegible] from the Trustee Sayad Sha Golam Moinuddin Patcha Saheb requesting to confer the Inam as personal Rejected 30/1/66~~

(Continued)

Killai Village
Chidambaram Taluq

As per old Survey

| | Acre | Assessment |
|---|---|---|
| Brought forward - | 226·82 | 301-1-4 |
| Dry | | |
| No. 468 | ·33 | 1-〃-2 |
| 〃-469 | 2·83 | 8-10-8 |
| 〃-470 | 1·20 | 3-10-10 |
| 〃-471 | 1·45 | 4-7-1 |
| 〃-472 | ·11 | 〃-5-5 |
| 〃-473 | ·42 | 1-4-7 |
| 〃-474 | 1·61 | 4-14-11 |
| 〃-475 | ·24 | 〃-11-5 |
| 〃-476 | 1·69 | 2-8-7 |
| 〃-477 | ·82 | 1-3-8 |
| 〃-478 | 3·39 | 5-1-1 |
| 〃-479 | ·05 | 〃-1-2 |
| 〃-480 | 1·45 | 2-2-10 |
| 〃-481 | 3·69 | 5-8-7 |
| 〃-482 | 1·55 | 2-5-5 |
| 〃-483 | 1·53 | 2-4-9 |
| 〃-484 | 2·89 | 8-13-7 |
| 〃-491 | ·96 | 1-7-〃 |
| 〃-492 | ·08 | 〃-1-11 |
| 〃-493 | 2·89 | 4-5-4 |
| 〃-494 | 3·36 | 5-〃-8 |
| 〃-496 | ·35 | 〃-8-5 |
| 〃-498 | 2·19 | 3-4-7 |
| 〃-503 | ·68 | 1-〃-4 |
| 〃-504 | ·71 | 1-1-〃 |
| 〃-505 | ·53 | 〃-15-1 |
| 〃-507 | ·07 | 〃-1-8 |
| 〃-508 | 3·38 | 5-1-1 |
| 〃-509 | ·19 | 〃-4-7 |
| 〃-571 | ·30 | 〃-7-2 |
| 〃-575 | ·30 | 〃-7-2 |
| 〃-576 | ·62 | 〃-14-11 |
| 〃-577 | ·22 | 〃-10-9 |
| Total Dry - | 269· | 381-15-9 |

Wet As per New Survey

| | Acre | Assessment |
|---|---|---|
| No. 372 | ·59 | 2-11-8 |
| 〃-373 | 6·01 | 27-12-9 |
| 〃-374 | 8·21 | 37-15-6 |
| 〃-375 | 2·71 | 12-10-9 |
| [illegible] | ·15 | 〃-11-1 |
| 〃-383 | 7·40 | 34-3-7 |
| 〃-389 | 1·35 | 6-2-11 |
| 〃-392 | 4·83 | 22-5-5 |
| 〃-393 | 4- | 18-〃-〃 |
| 〃-394 | 3·40 | 15-11-7 |
| 〃-395 | 5·78 | 26-11-9 |
| 〃-396 | 3·11 | 14-6-2 |
| 〃-397 | 2·70 | 12-7-10 |
| 〃-426 | 29·50 | 75-9-6 |
| 〃-434 | 1·44 | 5-13-9 |
| 〃-435 | 1·34 | 5-7-1 |
| 〃-436 | 3·94 | 16-〃-1 |
| 〃-439 | ·79 | 3-10-6 |
| 〃-440 | ·46 | 2-2-4 |
| 〃-441 | ·15 | 〃-11-1 |
| 〃-444 | ·71 | 3-4-6 |
| 〃-446 | ·59 | 2-11-8 |
| 〃-447 | 8·34 | 38-9-2 |
| 〃-448 | 3·89 | 17-15-10 |
| 〃-449 | ·06 | 〃-4-5 |
| 〃-450 | ·68 | 3-2-4 |
| 〃-451 | 2·19 | 10-2-1 |
| 〃-452 | 6·47 | 29-14-9 |
| 〃-453 | 4·67 | 21-9-7 |
| 〃-554 | 1·46 | 6-12-〃 |
| 〃-485 | 11·64 | 53-13-4 |
| 〃-486 | 2·94 | 13-9-7 |
| 〃-487 | 14· | 64-12-4 |
| 〃-488 | 4·48 | 20-11-6 |
| 〃-489 | 13·05 | 60-5-8 |
| 〃-490 | 1·05 | 4-13-[illegible] |

As per New Survey

| Wet | Acre | Assessment |
|---|---|---|
| Brought forward - | 164·47 | 696-〃-7 |
| No. 497 | ·88 | 4-1-1 |
| 〃-499 | ·03 | 〃-2-3 |
| 〃-500 | 33·95 | 157-〃-4 |
| 〃-501 | 1·03 | 4-12-3 |
| 〃-502 | ·24 | 1-1-1 |
| 〃-506 | ·18 | 〃-13-4 |
| 〃-510 | ·19 | 〃-14-1 |
| 〃-512 | ·13 | 〃-9-7 |
| 〃-513 | 1·29 | 5-15-6 |
| 〃-514 | ·90 | 4-2-7 |
| Total Wet | 203·29 | 875-9-4 |
| Total Dry & Wet | 472·29 | 1257-9-1 |

Rep. No. 148

Sheet No. 86

269·00
203·29
472·29

8 9 10 11 12 15 16 17 18 19 20

## Killai (Continued)

5 Personal

As per Old Paimash
Dry
Tazhai gedi 3 - 3¼
" 1 - 12
Salaiadi " - 9¼
Pittu eram 1 - "
" " - 5
" " - 4
Elandaadi " - 2½
Salaiadi " - 6¾
Nechiadi 1 - ¾
Aladi 2 - 4½
11 - " 14·52 41-8-2

As per New Survey
Dry
No. 131 1·18
132 1·56
133 3·01
134 3·99
135 7·52
17·26 17-4-3
Deduct as per remarks 2·74 2-11-10
Remaining 14·52 14-8-5

Viz

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| I | Share | 4·08 | 4-1-3 |
| II | Do | 3·22 | 3-3-6 |
| III | Do | 1·57 | 1-9-1 |
| IV | Do | 2·51 | 2-8-" |
| V | Do | ·82 | "-12-10 |
| VI | Do | ·82 | "-12-10 |
| VII | Do | ·82 | "-12-10 |
| VIII | Do | ·68 | "-12-1 |

For the Tax Hereditary personal free benefit of the holder

Rayetnama by Nabob Walloja Hijri 1190 Annual Pattos for 1800

Mahamad Jayanulla Abdin dale = bullilum

In the Survey acct of F 1217 & in the Inam Jamabandi acct of F 1217-31-62 Bundar Kaziar Tarapadi Maniem

Portonovo

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| I | Mahamad Kabir | Age 50 | Son | 3 Sons & 5 Daughters |
| II | Gausmia | 30 | Grandsons | 4 Sons & Daughters |
| III | Mahamad Kabir Khan | 40 | Grand daughters | 2 Sons |
| IV | Fakir Mohadin | 50 | Grand=daughters | 3 Sons & 6 Daughters |
| V | Jaynabsha | 22 | Sons & Daughters | Son & Daughter |
| VI | Rahamadbi | 20 | Sons &c | Son & Daughter |
| VII | Agbarsa | 12 | of | Sisters & Brothers |
| VIII | Mahamadbi | 46 | Grantee | Daughters &c |

The [illegible] of the Kazies may be suppo[sed] the expectation Bunder Kaziar - & it ~~will be~~ observed that the Maniem land is occupied as a Khabarstan (Burial ground) & houses of Musalmans ~~[illegible]~~ with clusters of trees here & there but no Masjid or any institution of that ~~kind~~ has ever been maintained & ~~in~~ a Persian Sayatnama produced shows that ~~it the grant~~ was intended chiefly for Musalman houses &c & the Inamdars are willing to enfranchise the Inam & I think this may be allowed at ¼th - Q. Rent for I one Rupee

| | |
|---|---|
| II | Anas 12 |
| III | " 6 |
| IV | " 10 |
| V | 4 |
| VI | 4 |
| VII | 4 |
| VIII | 4 |
| | 3-12 |

31st Octr 1861 [illegible] Pillai
Dy Coll.

E.S. Enfranchised as recommended of [illegible] 5 J. 6 1862

T.D. Nos
1466 to 1467

Extract granted to Sayed Mohamed on the 19th May 1870

---

5 Pavadai [illegible] As per old Paimash
Dry
Koviladi " - 4 ·33 " - 14

As per New Survey
Dry
No. 257 ·49 -7-10

For the Tax free Permanent Support of Pidari kovil at the village

In the Survey acct of Fasli 1217 - in Pidari kovil In the Inam Jamabandi account of Fasli 1217-31-62 Pidari kovil Porambok manium

Killai Pidari kovil

Pusali Koviladi

To be continued so long as the Kovil is maintained
23d Novr 1861
M Sadasiva Pillai
Dy Coll.
Excess allowable with reference to the general excess in the village -

T.D. No
1252
C4

(Continued) 6

Killai Village
Chidambaram Taluq

Sheet No. 87

| | Asper Old Paimash |
|---|---|
| Brought forward | 68-[illegible] |
| [illegible] óram | 1-13 3/4 |
| [illegible] | „-14 3/4 |
| [illegible]adan [illegible]gayadi | 1-6 1/4 |
| [illegible]pa óram | 1-„ |
| [illegible]lá óram | „-3 1/4 |
| [illegible]kan [illegible]layadi | „-6 3/4 |
| [illegible]ttigarai | „-11 |
| „ Váli | 1-5 3/4 |
| [illegible] [illegible]nayadi | „-10 |
| „ Pallam | „-4 1/4 |
| [illegible]zhai óram | 1-2 3/4 |
| „ Váli | „-7 1/4 |
| Kannolai | „-10 1/2 |
| „ Váli | „-3 1/2 |
| [illegible] | „-11 1/4 |
| Ottaha[illegible] yadi | „-10 1/2 |
| [illegible]kla tadi | „-10 1/4 |
| Kázur kolatadi | „-9 1/2 |
| Rettai [illegible]palayam | 13-3/4 |
| [illegible] | 2-11 1/4 |
| [illegible]panja óram | „-14 3/4 |
| [illegible] | „-15 1/2 |
| [illegible]voratadi | „-5 1/2 |
| [illegible] | „-6 1/4 |
| [illegible] | „-2 |
| [illegible] | „-7 |
| [illegible]kadi | 5 |
| Pillayar [illegible] | 6 |
| [illegible] | „-3 |
| [illegible] | „-5 1/2 |
| Upputoram | „-6 1/4 |
| Panayadi | „-13 1/4 |
| „ Váli | 8 |
| [illegible] [illegible]yadi | „-15 1/2 |
| [illegible]hayadi | „-7 3/4 |
| Kandum[illegible] | „-14 1/2 |
| [illegible]hayadi | „-11 1/4 |
| [illegible] | 1-„ 3/4 |
| „ Váli | „-12 1/2 |
| [illegible] | „-15 |
| Periaku[illegible] óram | „-8 1/2 |
| [illegible]pa óram | „-6 |
| Kolatu [illegible]tadi | „-10 |
| [illegible] | „-6 1/4 |
| [illegible] óram | „-13 3/4 |
| [illegible]tadi [illegible] óram | 1-4 1/4 |
| [illegible] | „-14 1/2 |
| [illegible] | „-13 |
| [illegible] óram | 3-4 |
| „ Váli | „-4 1/2 |
| [illegible] | „-9 1/2 |
| [illegible]yadi | „-„ 3/4 |
| [illegible] | 1-1 1/2 |

| Wet | Asper Old Paimash |
|---|---|
| Brought forward | 63-10 1/2 |
| Ellaisandu vaikál óram | 1-15 3/4 |
| „ Váli | 1-„ |
| Nachiváli óram | 1-2 3/4 |
| Káziár kanjai óram | 2-12 3/4 |
| Edapálayam vazhi óram | 1-8 1/2 |
| Tázhai vaikál óram ádi | 1-3/4 |
| Uppátu óram | 1-13 |
| Müruntkadu | 1-3/4 |
| Kannivái kál óram Panayadi | 1-3 1/4 |
| Tirunál tópu kuvadaku | 1-5 |
| Páraduvan kolatadi | 4-4 |
| Panayadi | 1-11 |
| Magattu vazhkai ellai óram | 1-2 3/4 |
| Rattai Panai yadi | „-10 |
| Sadalavadi | „-13 1/2 |
| Uppátu óram | 1-4 1/4 |
| Nadutaku | „-10 |
| „ Váli | „-15 |
| Nadutázhai [illegible] | 1-9 3/4 |
| Vannantorai yadi | „-8 |
| Settiváli | „-11 1/4 |
| Uppátu óram | „-12 1/2 |
| Kalladi | 3-11 3/4 |
| Nával panai yadi | „-13-1/4 |
| „ Váli | „-9 3/4 |
| Kalladi | 5-15- |
| Tillavadan yan ellai óram | „-12 3/4 |
| Periavái kál óram | „-3 1/2 |
| Periakolatu mótádi | 3-9 3/4 |
| Narimédu | 1-9- |
| Kolatadi | „-7 |
| „ Váli | „-4 |
| Tázhai óram | 3-11 1/2 |
| „ Váli | 1-3/4 |
| Uppalya kuto | 1-7 |

kept on & registered by the Dept. until the ~~[illegible]~~ case can be brought before the Civil [illegible]
& this no suit has been filed — In the case of disputed title to Patta lands, I know it is the practice to leave the last Patta name unaltered pending ~~[illegible]~~ a Court investigation & Decree — I doubt whether the same course is necessary or called for, for the ends of justice in a case of the kind where, as far as the Revenue Dept. is concerned, a decision has been passed by the proper authorities in favour of one party tho' no formal registry has been ordered in his name —

I now proceed to notice the principal shares held in the Enam — & they are as follows —

| | | Dry | Wet | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Also annual Puttas for the Sarupadi Maniem | By Sha Golam Mahomed Gauas — Deceased | 3 Kani | 14 | 17 |
| | „ Sha Golam Jelani Saheb<br>Sons of the former holder Sha Golam Mohedeen Saheb | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| | By Sha Bayezeed Saheb<br>„ Rahamatulla Saheb<br>„ Abdulla Saheb<br>Sha Jaid Bibi<br>Brothers, Sons of the same & daughter | 7 | 13 | 20 |

Fair copied

A No. 1293 of 1860 from Sha Gulam Jelani while requesting to include the tope alluded to in the extract already registered as it was [illegible] [illegible] that if it is [illegible] to Inam & if he has a right that will not be affected by [illegible]
30th Nov '60

Besides the above, 4 Cawnies of Wet are enjoyed by one Perketram for the expenses of a Hindu Festival. The applicant admitted — that this alienation does not appear to be within the scope of the original Grant, I decline registering it as requested separately; tho' the present registered Manager does not object to a compliance with the request, it may prejudice the interests of the Darga generally & those of its future & prospective owners to recognize the alienation & I ~~will~~ would add that as long as the Darga & its requirements are duly attended to the authorities will not object to [illegible] are temporary alienation — certainly the opponent of the present registered holder objects to it being registered ~~[illegible]~~

The rest of the Enam is enjoyed, 2 shares for the personal ~~benefit~~ support of the Trustee & 1 share for the Darga expenses —

I must now notice the excess — It will be remarked that as compared with the Paimash, the survey excess is quite trifling & passable — Compared with the old Paimash, it is not very considerable either, being that there is an excess of 60 per cent in Ayan lands, & the difference is much less about 18 per cent only — The village is observed at the head of the Register is a sandy tract & in the survey measurement some adjoining waste lands were probably, as is asserted, included for the convenience of ~~measurement~~ operation — and I am therefore disposed to recommend that it be passed over as really no ~~[illegible]~~ material excess

31st Oct. 1861 — Thandavaraya Pillai Dy. Collr.

G

[illegible] per Old Pa[illegible]

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Brought forward | 120-6 | | |
| [illegible]du<br>[illegible]yade | "-13/4 | | |
| Kīrayadi | 1-2 | | |
| Pudukādu | "-7 | | |
| Bungalu<br>[illegible]adi | "-10 3/4 | | |
| Teli | "-7 | | |
| " Do | "-9 1/4 | | |
| Kundu veli | 3-13 1/4 | | |
| Teli | 4-" | | |
| [illegible]tadi | "-14 1/4 | | |
| Villavan<br>[illegible]adi | "-11 1/2 | | |
| [illegible]ngadi | "-14 3/4 | | |
| [illegible]kadi | "-3 1/4 | | |
| [illegible]kaloram | "-2 1/2 | | |
| [illegible]<br>[illegible]li<br>[illegible]yadi | "-9 1/2 | | |
| Total Dry | 148-5 1/2 | 195.81 | 559-12-" |

Letter to Govt
23/4/70 No 207

As per new Survey

Dry

| No. | Acre | Asst |
|---|---|---|
| No. 35[illegible] | 27.63 | 27-10-1 |
| " 351 | 1.89 | 1-14-3 |
| " 35[illegible] | .22 | "-5-3 |
| " 35[illegible] | 26.60 | 26-9-7 |
| " 356 | .64 | 1-10-4 |
| [illegible] | .24 | "-11-9 |
| " 360 | .20 | "-9-10 |
| " 361 | .45 | 8-6-9 |
| " 362 | .54 | 3-12-9 |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | 1-6-1 |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | "-6-4 |
| 368 | 1.74 | 1-11-10 |
| " 369 | .04 | "-1-11 |
| 370 | .45 | 2-14-6 |
| [illegible] | .72 | "-3-6 |
| " 410 | 3.28 | "-6-1 |
| " 411 | 37.74 | 37-2-8 |
| " 412 | 8.45 | 8-7-2 |
| " 413 | 11.70 | "-11-2 |
| " 415 | .23 | "-4-6 |
| " 419 | .34 | "-5-4 |
| " 420 | 6.93 | 6-14-11 |
| " 421 | 1.24 | 1-3-10 |
| " 427 | 21.98 | 21-14-1 |
| " 428 | 4.92 | 4-14-9 |
| " 429 | 1.12 | 1-1-11 |
| " 430 | 1.66 | 1-9-" |
| " 431 | 1.73 | 1-11-8 |
| " 432 | 7.70 | 7-14-9 |
| " 433 | 4.70 | 4-11-2 |
| " 437 | 4.01 | 4-"-2 |
| " 442 | .54 | "-8-8 |
| " " | .4 | "-3-2 |
| | .4 | "-3-2 |
| " 443 | .46 | "-13-4 |
| " 445 | 1. | 1-8-" |
| " 45[illegible] | 1.06 | 5-2-4 |
| " 456 | 11.07 | 33-14-5 |
| " 457 | .95 | 2-10-7 |
| " 458 | 3.12 | 11-6-3 |
| " 459 | 7.73 | 29-12-9 |
| " 460 | .66 | "-15-10 |
| " 461 | .01 | |
| " 462 | .03 | |
| " 463 | .19 | |
| " 464 | .63 | |
| " 465 | .64 | |

[illegible] Sarimish

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Brought forward | 120-8 1/2 | | |
| Rudra kaval | 2-11 1/4 | | |
| Kolatuku kizhpuram<br>Tazhayadi | 1-5 3/4 | | |
| Arrimēdu | "-10 3/4 | | |
| Sedakola tadi | 1-8 | | |
| Panachalai yadi | "-6 1/2 | | |
| Narimēdu | "-12 3/4 | | |
| " Veli | 1-3 1/4 | | |
| Kolatuku kizhpuram | "-7 1/2 | | |
| Kundumotadi | "-15 | | |
| " Veli | "-3 1/4 | | |
| Pazhattayadi | 5-10 1/4 | | |
| Erukadi | "-15 1/2 | | |
| Panayadi | "-4 | | |
| Kotakku oram | "-13 1/2 | | |
| Vudalai oram | 1-5 1/2 | | |
| Uppalatu veli | "-6 1/4 | | |
| Uppalatu kizhpuram | "-10 | | |
| " Veli | "-5 1/4 | | |
| Do | "-5 3/4 | | |
| Kazhar vaikkal oram | 3-5 1/2 | | |
| Do | 14-6 | | |
| Aduvaikal oram | 3-3 1/4 | | |
| Tillayadi vaikkal oram | 3-9 | | |
| Uppalatoram | 1-9 | | |
| Total Wet | 167-12 1/4 | 221-45 | 1559-11-" |
| Total Dry & Wet | 316-1 3/4 | 417-26 | 2119-7-" |

As per New Survey

Wet

| No. | Acre | Asst |
|---|---|---|
| No. 497 | .88 | 4-"-1 |
| " 499 | .03 | "-2-3 |
| " 500 | 33.95 | 157-"-4 |
| " 501 | 1.03 | 4-12-3 |
| " 502 | .24 | 1-1-9 |
| " 506 | .18 | "-13-4 |
| " 510 | .17 | "-14-1 |
| " 512 | .13 | "-9-7 |
| " 513 | 1.29 | 5-15-6 |
| " 514 | .90 | 4-2-7 |
| Total Wet | 202.99 | 876-9-4 |
| Total Dry & Wet | 472-10 | 1261-15-3 |

copied

The extent can be taken as per new survey, as the total extent as per new survey is less than this in the old accounts.

The Tahr is unable to give ~~the~~ old numbers of fields for every survey number

MC
25/9/65

The Inamdar produced an authenticated copy of a translation of a Purwana issued in Hijri 1216, which authorizes the Inr to raise any number of crops, & to convert dry into ~~wet~~ without paying any ~~additional~~ tax.

The origl. is not now produced. ~~It is said~~ is said to ~~be~~ have been filed in a Civil Court.

In 1848 the Collr of the Dt issued an order with reference to the terms of the Purwana that no Thirvajathi or Tarajathi should be collected in this village ~~with reference to the terms of the Purwana~~.

The present Collr of the District also considers that the Inr is entitled to exemption from Thirvajathi & Tarajathi rules under the terms of the Purwana —

Under these circumstances, I would class the whole area of the village as double crop of wet land. This of course would not entitle him to any compensation ~~when~~ he cannot get water for the whole land, but ~~when he can get~~ whatever land he may irrigate ~~[illegible]~~ with the ~~[illegible]~~. XXB

XXB usual supply of water, he will ~~have to pay~~ enjoy free of Tharajathi & Thirvajathi — ~~nothing in addition~~ —

The appeal was not presented within the time, as the Inr contended ~~that~~ Mr Davis' order of [illegible] would exempt him from the liability of paying any Thirvajathi or Tarajathi — but XXX

copied

Extract granted to Imamuddin Pasha on the 25th Sept 1863 —

Ext granted to Saiyad Sha Khadume Husein Saheb son to the party in appeal Petn No 1293 of /62 — but the remarks after the ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] the Collr's letter
22-11-69
T C Copd

XXXA he appealed, when the charge was actually made — Hence the appeal has been admitted —

M Venkatrao
Asst
13/4/69

Approved
R W [illegible]
20/4/69

J. B. Copd

the following
Read ^ letter from C. W. Reade Esquire Collector of Sou[illegible] to the Offg. Inam Commissioner, dated Perungolattur 2nd March 1869.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 7th September last No. 137, I have the honor to forward the Chellumbrum Tahsildar's Urzee No. 31 dated 12th ultimo in original from which you will observe that the Tahsildar is unable to state whether the copy of the Purwanah can be accepted as correct as the Petitioner's uncle has not produced the original [illegible] the plea that he had filed it in the Civil Court of Trichinopoly in a civil suit now pending.

x to be returned

2. It is true that no Peermajasty or Taraljasty was collected on his Inam till Fasli 1276 under an order of the late Collector Mr Davis, dated 16th November 1848, as this order seems to have been given in accordance with the spirit of the Purwanah I think the [illegible] any indulgence may be continued.

3. The Petitioner's statement that the [illegible] upon which his Inam is cultivated is exclusively ma[illegible] by him is correct but the channel is a branch [illegible] a Government Channel called [illegible]. I see no objection, however, to his Inam being exempted from the operation of Taraljasty and Peermajasty rules.

4. I beg to add that the land classed [illegible] Survey as wet, represents all the land [illegible] inclusive of the dry land since converted into [illegible].

5. The enclosures with your letter [illegible] acknowledgement are herewith returned.

(Signed) C. W. Reade
Collr.