Sar No. 13

Register of Inams in the Village of Muchili Hatti No. 360 in the Uttingarei Taluq in Salem District

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

1	2–3		4	5	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		
1	Dasma yogam ~~[illegible]~~ Adigan Punji Do 184 185	Wet No. 35	2·20·3	2·50	12-8-4	For the construction ~~[illegible]~~ of Vellacha ~~[illegible]~~ tank at Muchilehatti ~~[illegible]~~ in good order ~~[illegible]~~	Tax free	Permanent	Not known	No sanad Appears in the Account of Inam	In F 1226 I Siria Havaradam	In F 1248 Annamalegaunda son In F 1257 Annamalegaunda son	Yedru Lutunaya 30 2 Vera Nagaraya 45	Kilumathur	Pankey Do	Adult 23 4 yrs	A decree of a court dated 6th May 1861 by Munsiff of Krishnagiri for [illegible]	Tolerated Confirmed tax free on the [illegible] of the tank 24/11/62	382 Conf 20 Jan/63	7
2	Personal 3 ~~[illegible]~~ Arasa Vanam Punji 61·62·63 ~~[illegible]~~ Hinallu Punji Do Nos 40 65	Dry No. 55 Wet No. 40	10-9-7 1-28-1 11-37-8	10·24 1·70 11·94	9-1-7 5-13-4 14-14-4	Bata wasti	Quit rent 3-11-8	Hereditary	Not known	No sanad Appears in the Account of Inam	In F 1206 Subba Raya Dietadar	In F 1248 Subba Raya dietada Brother's son In F 1257 Subba Raya Dietada Brother's son	Korate Subba Dixan	Korate Dixan 70	Brothers son	Adult 19 her Children		Tolerated Confirmed Less 3-11-8 Net 21-8-0 5 As 11/12/62	383 Conf do 24

Regr. No. 13

(2–3)

Sheet No. 4

D 10·24 W 4·20 [illegible] 27-7-3

Closed

Register No. 14

Regr. No. 14

Register of Inams in the Village of Verana Kuppam (34 Vellala Patti) in the Uttingarei Taluq in the Salem District

186

This Inam consists of 3 villages
3 villages [illegible] Inam & in the other 2 villages [illegible]

Inam accounts commence with the Acct of F 1214

1	2–3		4	5	7	8	9	10	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
4	Personal [illegible] Vala [illegible] Punji [illegible] 92	Dry No. 44 22 87	9-1-8 12-3-15 12-39-2 [illegible] [illegible] 5 2 40-33-11	9·0 12·09 12·98 5·52 1·20 40·82	5-12-6 13-9-8 11-2-4 4-13-3 1-7 39-6-6	Jangam Indari ~~[illegible]~~ Inam ~~[illegible]~~ This Inam has been omitted in the accounts of Veeranakuppam but entered in Regr. No. 19 of Hanumanthapuram [illegible]	Permanent [illegible]	Not known [illegible] Provincial Revenue [illegible] Regr. No. 19 as commanded [illegible] as applied [illegible]	No sanad A certificate of Col. Alex Read dated [illegible] 1800 certifying that Jungam Subbaraya [illegible] as [illegible]	In F 1214 Jangam Peey [illegible]	In F 1232 Jangamdan Subbaraya Jeranga died Chenni Mali brother son	In F 1250 Chenni Mali 2 Lingamma [illegible] wife 2 Lingamma Brother's wife widow	Kullavi Kari Parama Murugar 45	Lucid Do	Adult Brother's	[illegible]	[illegible] Lingamma, Brother's daughter-in-law of the [illegible] was enjoying [illegible] of the Inam as the share of her line. She died 7 years ago [illegible] she executed in 1847 a [illegible] paper a [illegible] making over all her [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible] to [illegible] to [illegible] her [illegible] Karumanah	384 do

Revised quitrent
7/8 2-8-0
1/4 5-0-0
7-8-0

11/12/60

24/11/62

~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 8-0
[illegible] 1-0-0
1-8-0

Closed

F.R. Copied

Register No. 15

Register of Inams in the Village of Pana Maratti Patti No. 216 (33 Hattam) in the Uttingarei Taluq in the Salem District

Regr. No. 15 (1–4)

This is an amani village

1	2–3		4	5	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
5	[illegible] Pasia Punji [illegible]	Dry No. [illegible]	6·23·1	6·71	7-8-11	For the ~~support~~ ~~[illegible]~~ of Vediaya ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ at Pana Maratti Patti the temple is in good order	Tax free	Permanent	Not known	No sanad Dry No. 160 ~~Held~~ [illegible] in the Kazana [illegible] of 1807 in the [illegible] [illegible] of 1782 in the [illegible] as Inam	In F 1207 Vediayappa	In F 1232 Vediayappa Pujari Murugan	In F 1240 Vediayappa Pujari Murugan 2 Peruttal died Vediayappa In F 1257 Vediayappa Pujari Vediayappa	Pana Maratti Patti Vediyappan Pujari Murugan 28 2 [illegible] 25 3 Pujari 80	
	[illegible] Punji [illegible] 5·46 [illegible]	Dry No. 183	14·12·4	14·31	8-7-3										
	[illegible] Vediaya [illegible] Punji [illegible] 54	Dry No. 184	3·35·12	3·89	2-4-10										
	[illegible] [illegible] No. 55	Dry No. 182	4·37·5	4·93	5-7-9										
	[illegible] [illegible] Do No. 80	Dry No. 25	0·15·0	0·38	0-6-9										
			30·8·6	30·21	24·3·6										

Only the field Dry No. 160 is an old Inam. The remaining 4 fields were granted recently in 1823 by Mr. Cockburn. [illegible] the [illegible] copy of [illegible] [illegible]

The field No. 160 is old Inam. The other fields are new Inam granted by Mr. Cockburn in 1823. The Inamdar of Mr. Cockburn states that the cause of the Inam was granted, he [illegible] fresh grant appears necessary but why the [illegible] necessity was is not explained. The Karnam states that the Pujari's [illegible] was taken by Mr. Cockburn for a hunting; & that the Pujari was killed by a tiger, & that Mr. Cockburn then gave the 4 fields as Inam to his [illegible] for their subsistence. The old Inam is 6·71 — 7-8-11. New Inam is 23·50 — 16-10-7.

[illegible] 40 years [illegible] the [illegible] as Inam & [illegible] by a Collector alone [illegible] [illegible] Who had no [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible] to full assessment for these lands. [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] lands of [illegible] [illegible] appears that these fields had been [illegible] to [illegible] Inam [illegible] [illegible] 8-8 [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]

Conf & [illegible]

do 8

15

Approved 27/12/65

2 [illegible]
[illegible]
9 6
~~[illegible]~~ 9 6 [illegible]

20/11/62

Fair Copy

Village of Panamanattu Patti No. 2[illegible] in the [illegible] Taluq in the [illegible] District

3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

Regr. No. 15

250 Personal Inam Manattu Punja No. 36 } Dry No. 250 } 4-26-0 4.65 | 4-8-11 | Kyarati Tax free | Hereditary | Not known | Nosanad. It appears in the Wazaria [illegible] and of [illegible] in the [illegible] and [illegible] in the Register of [illegible] as Inam | In F. 1207 Amina Fakir | In F. 1232 Amina Fakir | In F. 1248 Amina Fakir brother's son In F. 1257 Amina Fakir | Hallaai Chandha Bi 70 2 Jemanabi 50 | Brother's Daughter-in-law Brother's grandson's widow | None None | Widow, brother's widow & Daughter-in-law. Lands divided by [illegible] Produce in 2 equal shares

157 Manattu Nanje No. 17 } Wet No. 157 } 0-30-10 0.76 | 3-10-1
5-16-10 5.41 | 8-3-0

Title Deed to the names of Batcha & Ali Sab 2 Rs.
The entry in the Register may be made in the names of Batcha sister's son of No. 1 and [illegible] Ali Sab daughter's husband [illegible] widow
22 M[illegible]

Confd. and Recommended
20 Jan. 6[illegible]
[illegible]
5

Personal Inam Battu Punja Dry No. 99 } No. 296 } 2-8-11 2.22 | 1-11-3 | Kyarati Tax free | do | do | Nosanad Do | In F. 1207 Madar Sa Fakir | In F. 1232 Hamin Sa Fakir | In F. 1248 Aminda Fakir son In F. 1257 Amina Sa Fakir | Hallaai Chandhabi 70 2 Jemanabi 50 | Daughter-in-law Grandson's widow | None None | As above
Land taken up for the Rail Road 0.88 | 0-10-9 | ~~Fresh land [illegible]~~
1.34 | 1-4-6

+ ~~land~~ portion of the land was sown with Palmyra [illegible] & Poramboke land in the village of Panamarattupatti ~~[illegible]~~ has been put in ~~the names of~~ possession of the Inamdar in alienation which has not as yet been sanctioned by [illegible]

Title Deed [illegible] as above
No. 14[illegible] with the exception of the fresh land may be in the names of Batcha & Ali Sab
The whole land has been relinquished ~~[illegible]~~ by the Inamdar & the order of Collector made to [illegible] 22 M[illegible]
~~[illegible]~~

In the case the actual land in the enjoyment of the Inamdar
is [illegible] 4.58 – 4-8-1
[illegible] – 0.39 – 1-13-0
4.97 – 6-5-1

Personal Inam Punja Dry No. 249 } 4-26-12 4.67 | 4-9-2 | Kyarati Tax free | do | do | Nosanad Do | In F. 1207 Seyn Sa Fakir | In F. 1232 Hussen Sa Fakir | In F. 1248 Hussenda Fakir In F. 1257 Seyn Sha [illegible] Fakir
27[illegible] 75 Karibela Nanje No. 18 } Wet No. 262 } 0-15-6 0.39 | 1-13-0
5-2[illegible] 5.06 | 6-6-2
Deduct [illegible] taken by Railway } 0.09 | 0-1-1
4.58 4-8-1
0.39 1-13-0
4.97 – 6-5-1

In this case Dry No. 249 – 0.09 – 0-1-1 was taken up for the Rail Road – and in lieu of which a fresh land has been [illegible] in the village of Panamarattupatti [illegible]
Dry No. 238 [illegible] 19 – 0 – 3 – 5 [illegible] 3 – 4 [illegible] 2 – 3

Date of applicn. for attachment 12th Febry. 1864
Petn. [illegible] for default
23/10/65
Appd. 10/7/68

The remainder has been taken up for the Railway & in lieu of it a Poramboke land has been put in the possession of the Inamdar, the alienation of which has not been as yet confirmed by Govt.
The Inamdar was absent in the village when [illegible] the notice [illegible] had been to the village
[illegible]

Settled on Supplemental Register
[illegible]
22.6.66

Personal Inam 262 Kela[illegible] Punja Dry No. 20 } Wet No. 262 } 0-30-0 0.75 | 3-8-7 | Devadayam? do do | Nosanad | In F. 1213 Subbaya Manigar | In F. 1248 Chennimalai brother's son In F. 1257 Pintagiri Manigar & Andreas [illegible] [illegible] this Inam was given in F. 1211 | Hallaai Kari Perumal Manigar 58 | Uncle's Sudder [illegible] | [illegible] Brother [illegible]
[illegible] 0-30-0 0.75 | 3-8-6
Patti Nanje No. 25 } Wet No. 265 } 0-30-0 0.75 | 3-8-11
Kaligai [illegible] No. 103 } Wet No. 1[illegible] } 0-30-0 0.75 | 4-2-0
[illegible] No. 103 } Wet No. 2[illegible] } 0-10-0 0.25 | 1-6-0
Pana[illegible] Nanje No. 20 } Wet No. 268 } 0-10-0 0.25 | 1-6-0
75 Pana[illegible] Nanje No. 20 } Wet No. 275 } 0-30-0 0.75 | 3-8-11
4-10-0 4.25 | 21-1-11

Jamin [illegible]

The extent [illegible] for the [illegible] of the [illegible] 45-10-3 but no part of it has been deducted ~~[illegible]~~ in consequence of ~~the~~ a part of the land having been up for the Railway – and [illegible] entered [illegible] against this Inam, but [illegible] 45-10-3 [illegible] the extent [illegible] in the name of the [illegible]

xx a ½ of this Inam was enjoyed by the aforesaid Brother's Daughter-in-law [illegible] who died [illegible] 4 years – She had executed a [illegible] in 1861 on [illegible] paper [illegible] her share to the hands of Kari Perumal Manigar, on the condition that he should [illegible] her – He fulfilled the conditions of the [illegible], & has been enjoying the whole – the fresh grant to be confirmed on ⅞ at [illegible] & ½ at [illegible] – [illegible] other case
[illegible]

The [illegible] land taken up for Railway is as follows.
~~For the Rail~~ 2.23 – 11-7
& a part of the [illegible] taken for the [illegible] Road & [illegible] –
The whole Inam was relinquished by the Inamdar – & the arable part of the land has been entered [illegible] Poramboke [illegible] Patta – Poramboke lands in the village of Palagalotte & Kalesi [illegible] was put in possession of the Inamdar in F. 1268 – the alienation of which has not been [illegible]
The whole Inam [illegible] out of the Register & the fresh grant should be made in the Rev. Department xx

Personal Inam 286 Elava[illegible] Punja No. [illegible] } Dry No. 286 } 19-19 15 19.49 | 21-15-7 | Pambalodi [illegible] 3-8-11 The man who gives medicine for snake bite 2-4 | do | do | Nosanad It appears in all the [illegible] as from [illegible] as Inam | In F. 1207 Pambandi | In F. 1232 Not entered | In F. 1248 Pambandi Channa died & his son Pambandi Channa In F. 1257 [illegible] | Hallaai 1 Ponthan 65 2 Mathiri 60 | Son Brother's son | Wife 1 Brother Wife 1 Son 1 Brother | Enjoy the Produce in 2 equal shares
[illegible] 43-44 Nanje [illegible] 124 Land taken up for Railway } 0.93 | 0-12-0 | Professional [illegible] for the [illegible]
18.56 | 21-3-1 | 3-6-7

+ Land taken up for the Railway may be [illegible]

The extent actually enjoyed at present is
18.56 – 21-3-1
[illegible] 3-6-7
[illegible] 2-4-0
5-8-0
For the land taken in lieu a Poramboke [illegible] land was put in his possession he has since applied to the Collector [illegible]

7. [illegible]
386
Conf. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Jan. 63

2[illegible]5
385

gister of an Inam (personal) in the Village of Pamaimarathupatti ~~in the~~ Uttankarai Taluq of the Salem District

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Personal	Inam S.G.A. Punjai No. 249 Dy	4-25	1-24	6-74	9-2 „	Granted for the personal benefit of the holder	Free of Tax	Hereditary	By Cuddapah Nabob Year not known	No sunnud forthcoming	Ziya musha Fakir	Ziya musha Fakir	Hussein Shah Fakir	1 Hussein Sahib 30 2 do 20 3 Dada Sahib 16 4 Kassim Sahib 50 5 Bava Sahib 50	Kala-bany	Nos. 1, 2 and 3 are great grandsons to the original grantee and Nos. 4 and 5 are his brother Hussein Shah Fakir's grandsons. No. 4 has no close relation No. 5 has 1 half brother Madar aged 35 2 Brothers Mohammad and Chandu aged 40 and 35 respectively 2 Brothers' wives Attyabi and Hannubi aged 30 each 2 Nephews Sayad and Khadir aged 5 and 3 respectively.	No. 1 has one brother Madar aged 35 1 Wife Ashabi aged 15 1 Daughter Maz-ambi aged 1 No. 2 has 2 brothers Mohadin and Khader Sahib aged 12 and 10 respectively No. 3 has a brother Imam aged 7	—„—	Hussein Hussain (1st cousin of the foregoing), Dada, Kasim and Chandu claim to be the leading representatives of the two first holders and solicit that the Inam be entered in the names of the first four of themselves and Bava (elder brother of Chandu) such an arrangement however would still leave eight members of the family entitled to either actual enjoyment or maintenance (it may be remarked here, that the first named Hussein has an elder brother who is however alleged to be a vagabond lunatic and unmarried) I suggest therefore to avoid confusion and settlement of questions, Civil rather than Revenue, that this Hussein be officially recognised, as he by his family admitted to be the Chief capable lineal heir of the Original holder and that under Rule V he be given the option of converting his tenure into freehold by payment of a quit rent of one eighth of the assessment. Salem H. Asst. Collr's office Tripatur 17th June 1867 } (Signed) F. H. Woodroffe Ag. H. Asst. Collector The Head Asst. Collector's recommendation appears to be a proper one. Inam attached 10th June 1864. Statement filed 27th April 1866. The Inamdar's statement that he was absent at Belgaum appears to be true and therefore it is recommended that the attachment be removed. A copy of the correspondence with the Board on the subject of this Inam is forwarded. P. H. Peterson Collector Salem Collr's office 4th Septr	4 Confirmed as recommended. Quit rent Annas 10. To be released from attachment. 22-6-68 1-4 4-28 Colr. written to release [illegible] T.D. No. 1009

Sheet No. 5

For copies 10/7/78

Enclosures Thirteen

Register of Inams in the Village of Panda Marathi Patti No 246 in the Uttingare Taluq in the Salem District

4 5 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Regr. No. 15

Reos No. 5

No 198 [illegible] Punja Dry No 138 } 0·90 0·22 0-3-3 Inam Dan 1-125 Hereditary Not known

No 282 [illegible] Nanje Dry No 22. Dry No 282 } 0-10-0 0·25 1-3-0

No 265 [illegible] Nanje Dry No 26 } Dry No 265 } 0-10-0 0-25 1-2-11

No 278 Pache [illegible] Nanje Dry No 22 – Dry No 278 } 0-30-0 0·75 3-8-11 [illegible] 278 – 0·03 – 0 2-5 –

No 280 [illegible] Nanje Dry No 22 Dry No 280 } 0-30-0 0·75 3-8-11.

[illegible] Nanje Dry No 279 } 0-10-0 0·25 1-6-0

No 269 [illegible] Nanje Dry No 65 } Dry No 269 } 2-36-0 2·90 14-1-10

5-6-0 5·15 24-15-7

5-15-8 5·37 25-2-10

Close

Sheet No. 6

No sanad. Granted by the Bhramin Government in F 1209 or 1800. This is a Pattabaya Jamindar Inam. Granted by the Brahmin Government in F 1209 ~~1800~~ ~~from~~ the subsequent authority ~~Stated~~ [illegible] ~~Stapathia~~ Sathpurans acdu am. An authenticated copy of a Certificate by Col. Read in 1800 in the name of Pattabhaya is produced

In F 1128 Pattabaya

In F 1248 1 Annaya 2 Nagaya Sons

In F 1157 Annaya & 8 persons

Kallavi

Yadagiri Kappayar 20 Years 3rd son's son

2 Subbaramayar 11 2nd son's grandson

Father's father's father. Father's brother

xx but no account showing the particulars of the ~~two~~ [illegible] is forthcoming in the Taluk ~~but~~ but a deduction seems to have been made in the Tax to the extent of 3-2-5. The amalgamated tax paid in lump for the Jumma? Inam of the Family was Rs 29-5-1. The proper [illegible] Tax for the case is 1-12-5. As the [illegible] Records have been examined. There appears no support for the deduction of 3-2-5 in explanation. The Tasildar is not able to say any thing in explanation. ~~[illegible]~~ The assesst of 0·03 is 0-2-5. I ~~am~~ believe 3-2-5 is entered in the acct as deduction in view of 0-2-5. In the absence of proper explanation I do not feel at liberty to admit the deduction in our quit rent. The lands taken up for the Bandy Road are expected to be returned back to the owner & so without making any allowance for the small quantity of land taken up for the railway, I would confirm the case as it stands.

Title Cops Sept 1-11-5

In this case, ~~the father~~ Nagaya the father of No 1 files a statement ~~of his~~ in the name of his son No 1 – for the whole Inam – No 2 is his brother's grandson – No 2's father, & No 1's father lived undivided leaving the management of the ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ancestral property in the hands of No 1's father Nagaya – No 2's father died 9 years ago – since then No 1's father declined to give any share of the produce regularly – Nagaya pleads that the father of No 2 has obtained a Karnam Mirasi 30 years ago – It appears that No 2's father secured a Karnam Mirasi 25 years ago, as a daughter's son – & that notwithstanding no relinquishment of his right to the paternal estate was made – So, the question of right of No 2 stands indisputable – I therefore include his name also in the register.

~~Title Confirmed~~ A part of the Inam i.e. 0·03 in No 278 appears to have been taken up for the Bandy Road & the Railway xx

F. Cus 454

Confd

20 Jan/65

1-4

Register of Inams in the Village of Papi chetti Patti No 247 in the Uttingare Taluq in the Salem District

This is an amani village –

Regr. No. 16

6 3·46 6·97 4-2-7 Balavant Jos [illegible] 0-4-2 [illegible] Not known

No sanad In F 1207 In F 1232 In F 1248

Stapathia? in the Register [illegible] auto of [illegible] in the [illegible] autoy F 1232 in the [illegible] Register of F 1248 acdu am

Balavant Rama Sesha

Narasimma chari chari

brother's son

A No 170 of 6 April 1866 from P. Srinivasa Row requesting restn. further & for [illegible] in Pandi patti.

~~[illegible]~~ Referred to Collector for report

6 June 66

To Collector 19th July 1866 – No 765.

From – do – 9th January 1867. No 2.

The Collector who was asked to inform whether the Petitioner Srinivasa Row had any satisfactory explanation to offer for his non-attendance, forwards a report from the Head Assistant stating that the party failed to appear before the Tasildar though 2 summonses had been served upon him and that the Inam should be finally incorporated with Ayan.

Finally assessed accordingly.

Refd 4/7/68

Date of appn for alterate 12 Feby 186

Full assessed for default

23/10/65

Register of Inams in the Village of Peruma Naicken Patti No 248 in the Uttingare Taluq in the Salem District

This is an amani village

Regr. No. 17

Punja [illegible] No 44 [illegible] Dry No 74 Dry No 44 } 2-15-2 2·38 1-14-3

For the construction of Peruma Naicken Patty tank ~~tank~~ that is in good order

Tax free Permanent Not known

No sanad ~~Stated~~ in the [illegible] [illegible] as Inam

In F 1207 Kuppi Naik

In F 1232 The [illegible]

In F 1248 Dodda Naicken ~~grandson~~ son died his son Perumal [illegible] died it is decided that the Inam was got mortgaged by Achari Arjuna [illegible] for Rs 21 & the said mortgage enjoys.

Maradepatti –

Mechcheri

1 Nagakan 40

2 Peruman 40

3 Chinnappa Naicken 80

Son's son of Do

Enjoy the produce in 3 equal shares

Title Confd Tax free on the usual conditions

F. Cus 455

Confd

do

Close

Register of Inams in the Village of Palia Kotta No 249 in the Uttangarai Taluq in the Salem District

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Regr. No. 18

This is an Amani village

No 1 Devadayam [illegible] Pagoda [illegible] No. 66 — Dry No 13 — 0-24 — 0-11 — 2-2-4 — Tirthe temple of Vediappa in Panamarattu Patti — temple is in good order — Tax free — Permanent — Not known — No sanad. Is said to have been a fresh grant of 1833. An authenticated copy of a Taluk of Mr Cockburn dated 1823 [illegible] — In F. 1207 Circar land — In F. 1242 [illegible] — In F. 1248 [illegible] was granted by Mr Cockburn in F. 1233 — Panamarattupatti — [illegible] Pujari — Muniappa 28 at Kallavi

Inam is a fresh grant made by Mr Cockburn in 1823 of the account of the Inam as that given by Cockburn of Panamarattupatti No 24 — the Inamdar [illegible] enjoyment of 40 years — the alienation [illegible] made [illegible] of Govt — Respecting the [illegible] & the fresh [illegible] the grant was made by a Collector as early as 1823 [illegible]

24/11/61

closed

No. 964

[illegible]

[illegible] 1863

Applied [illegible] 5/4/65

Register of Inams in the Village of Kalada Patti No 250 in the Uttangarai Taluq in the Salem District

Regr. No. 19

This is an Amani village

No 1 Personal [illegible] Pallam Punja [illegible] 251-29 — Dry No 18 — 8-3-8 8-79 — 2-15-5 — Balavasti — Tax free — Hereditary — Not known — No sanad. It appears that the Inam was given as gift — In F. 1207 Narasimma Chari — In F. 1232 Narasimma & his sister that this Inam was given to Narasimma Chari & Vishnu [illegible] by Narasimmachari as gift. Syed Bai enjoyer — In F. 1248 Sesha Chari

Vide Proceedings recorded in [illegible] patti Case

Copied 1/7/68

To Collector 19th July/66 No 765
From do 9th Jan/67 No 2

The Collector who was asked to inform whether the Petitioner of Srinivasachari had any satisfactory explanation to offer for his non-attendance, has forwarded a report from the Head Assistant stating that the party failed to appear before the Tahsildar though 2 summonses had been served upon him, & that the Inam should be finally incorporated with Ayan. Fully assessed accordingly.

Copied 1/7/68

Date of appn patta 12 Feby [illegible]

Disallowed for default

23/1/66

closed

Register No 20

Regr. No. 20 Register of Inams in the Village of ~~[illegible]~~ Uma Karandu Patti No 252 in the Uttangarai Taluq in the Salem district

This is an Amani village

No 1 Personal [illegible] Punja Dry No 15 — 72-80-81-82-83-84-96-97-98-99-100-101-102-103-104-70-71-73 — 51-38-R1 ~~51-50~~ — 41-15-0 — 47-10-8 — Jaminдar — Jodi 7-1-9 — Not granted by the present Government in F. 1212 or 1800 — No sanad. This is a Jamindari Inam granted by the English Government in F. 1212 or 1800 it appears from the subsequent [illegible] F. 1232 as Inam — In F. 1213 Subraya Naniga — In F. 1232 Lingamma brother's son's wife — In F. 1248 Sunni [illegible] brother's son — Kallavi — Krishnamma Manegar 45 — Uncle's grandson — Wife 1 Brothers

In this case one Lingamma, Brother's daughter in law of the [illegible] Inamdar was enjoying a half of the Inam as the share of her [illegible] — she died 7 years ago issueless — she executed in 1847 on a stamped paper a Kararnamah, making over all her property to the present enjoyer on condition of his protecting her — he fulfilled the conditions of the Kararnamah till her death — to be confirmed

½ at ½ DR — 7-1-9
½ at ¼ DR — 7-8
Whole [illegible] 15 Rs

25/11/62

Confirmed [illegible]

closed

Register No 21

Regr. No. 21 In the Village of Giddem Patti No 256 do do

This is an Amani village

No 1 Personal [illegible] Palia [illegible] Punja Dry No 28 — 29-30-31-35-36-37 — 21-12-8 21-31 — 19-15-5 — Jamindari — Jodi 14-8-9 — Not granted by the present Government in F. 1212 or 1800 — No sanad. This is a Jamindari Inam granted by the present Government in F. 1212 or 1800 it appears from the subsequent [illegible] F. 1280 as Inam — In F. 1213 Subraya Naniga — In F. 1232 Lingamma brother's son's wife — In F. 1248 Sunni [illegible] Lingamma brother's son's wife — Kallavi — Krishnamma Manegar 45 — Uncle's grandson — Wife 1 Brothers

[illegible] Nattam Dry No 30 — 44 — 17-22-2 17-55 — 16-2-8

[illegible] Punja Dry No 38 — 65-66-67-51-53-71-72-74-84-88-89-90-91 — 66-23-12 66-09 — 63-5-0

105-18 105-45 — 99-7-1

In this case one Lingamma, Brother's Daughter in law of the [illegible] Inamdar was enjoying a half of the Inam as the share of her [illegible] — she died 7 years ago issueless — she executed in 1847 on a stamped paper a Kararnamah, making over all her property to the present enjoyer on condition of his protecting her — he fulfilled the conditions of the Kararnamah till her death. To be confirmed

14-8-9
½ share at ⅛ DR 5 — —
½ share at ¼ DR 10 — —
Whole 15 — —

[illegible] 30 Rs

25/11/62

closed

[illegible] 1857