[illegible] 5 5 0 0 79
Ramaiahs
Bungi
[illegible] 17 2 25 11 08
[illegible]
[illegible] 32 0 0 82 00
[illegible]
53 34 0 5 2 00
[illegible] 1 22 0 1 35
[illegible] Halli
Bungi
[illegible] 1 6 0 1 15
[illegible]
Bungi
[illegible] 1 28 3 1 52
[illegible] Bungi
[illegible] 2 5 0 30
[illegible]
[illegible] 25 0 58 72

4 7 2
0 0 0
28 7 2
19 8 8
1 8 8
18 0 0
39 7 2
0 13 2
38 8 0
60 5 4
6 9 0
0 2 5
6 6 7
6 0 7
0 8 2
5 5 3
12 0 0
0 10 8
12 5 4
2 7 7
0 0 9
2 5 4
27 9 6
87 14 10

Nuni dasi
7 8 7
Nuni dasi
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Regr. 12

Sheet No. 83

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Zemindari
[illegible]

[illegible]
Rabbaya
[illegible]
[illegible]
Ramaya
Kristnaya
Venkata
Patidaya
Venkata
Ramanaya
[illegible]
[illegible]
Ramaya
Kristnaya
Venkata
Patidaya
Venkata
Ramanaya
[illegible]

Parandapalli
[illegible]
Ramaya
45
2 [illegible]
41
3 Kristnaya
35
4 Chinna Kristnaya
25
5 [illegible]
20

[illegible]
1/8
[illegible]
[illegible]
1/4

[illegible]
[illegible]
To 27-8-7
[illegible] 1/8 10-0
17-8-0

[illegible]

Regr. 13

Parandapalli
[illegible]
[illegible]
45
To 21-11-6
1/2 10-8-0
12 Rs
18/12/61
[illegible]

Inam Register of Mana valli No. 314 in the Tripatur Talook in the Salem Dt.

{1-7

[illegible] 3 05
3 5 7
3 5 7
Bhata Vritti
Raya [illegible]
Do.
Do.
[illegible]
In F. 1206 Sama[illegible]
In F. 1211 Subba [illegible]
In F. 1226 [illegible]
In F. 1234 Subba [illegible]
In F. 1236 [illegible]
In F. 1248 [illegible]
In F. 1251 Vajudaya Rama Sastri
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
To [illegible] 7
[illegible]

[illegible] Inam [illegible]

Inam Register of Mana[illegible] Village No. 314 in the Tripattur Taluk in the Salem Dt.

Nanjai[illegible] Dry 11 — 10.0 Munnurani punja 8-12-0 / 8-12-0
Wet 2 — 35 — 11 2.99 Nallayeri Kelu nanja 13-7-11 / 13-7-11
Wet 5 — 5.0 Pudu yeri Tangal punja 11-11-5 / 11-10-5
2 7-35-11 7.89 25-8-6
17-35-11 17.89 33-14-6
For the maintenance of the Bodinayani Tank at Mannavalli the tank is in good condition
Tax free Heredity Not Known No Sannad
It appears in all the accts from Hrob as Inam
In F 1206 Bodi nayaka
In F 1211 Papi nayaka In F 1218 Bodi nayaka
In F 1238 Papi naidu In F 1234 Papinaidu In F 1248 1. Papinaidu 1. Settiappa 1. Nagappa In F 1257 1. Sattiappa 1. Nagappa 1. Muddi naidu
Mannavalli Bodi Nayani Tank Dasavandadar
Muddi naidu 1 Brother
Venkatrami naidu 2 ~~Brother's~~ son-in-law
Venkatappa 3 Daughter's son
Bodi naidu 4 Brother's son
Papinaidu 5 ~~Sister~~
Enjoy in 3 equal share —
To be confirmed
Tax free
~~[illegible]~~ outs
usual condition
W. Hlls
T.D. No. 195
12 November 62
Do

3

Dry 3 — 29 — 15 6.75 Varapunja 1-6-2 / 1-6-2 Bhata Vritti Kayam Jody 0-6-2 Do Do No Sannad
Do
In F 1206 Subba Bhat
In F 1211 Venkata geriya 5th In F 1218 Subba bhat
In F 1238 Subbaya In F 1234 Subbabhat In F 1248 Papaya 5th In F 1257 Narasamma Widow
Vadaka Nadapalli —
Morapadu Venkataramanaya 50 alienee ~~[illegible]~~ None
The deed of gift dated [illegible] by Venkatapaya [illegible] Venkataraya [illegible] Sundar [illegible] here [illegible]
The orig family now [illegible] &
To be confirmed —
~~Do~~ Tor 0-4-2
Do 1/2 0-8-0
0-12-0
W. Hlls
T.D. No. 197
Do

xx that the family was never formally divided
and now therefore confirm to Sarda the Dianay of Venkamma,
Kristnalloy without prejudice to Venkamma's enjoyment
& Charge [illegible] Tor 0 4 ~~[illegible]~~ 1 4 2 of [illegible] the whole

Dry 1 35 8 1.89 x Mottu nanja 1-6-0 / 1-6-0 Bhata Vritti Kayam Jody 0-6-4 Do Do No Sannad
In F 1206 Venkanna Chari
In F 1211 Not Known In F 1218 Venkanna Chari
In F 1238 Varaha Chari In F 1234 Narasimma Chari In F 1248 Narsimma Chari In F 1257 [illegible] Chari
Tirupati
~~[illegible]~~ Obbattu Venkamma 25 [illegible] widow none
Krishnachari 2 Tippachari 4 Inl 38 her husband's brother's widow [illegible]
1 her husband's brother Krishnachary [illegible] Bellary —
2 her husband's father['s] brother's son Tippachari is at Tirupati —
This was confirmed by ~~[illegible]~~ Collector
[illegible] March 59 to Venkamma for life — [illegible] order [illegible] [illegible] husband Kallachary — grandson of Venkanna Chari — [illegible] [illegible] Kristnalloy & Tippachari
Tor Rs 0-4-8 = [illegible]
T.D. No. 198
Do

4

x were not present. The Inam was [illegible] in the enjoyment of Venkamma [illegible] & [illegible] in the enjoyment of the Recorded heir & grandson Kalla chary. Tippachary [illegible] share [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] below
[illegible] Tippachary [illegible] [illegible] ways [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] to different [illegible] — Kristnalloy [illegible] to be [illegible] at Bellary. From the evidence of [illegible], fact of all the Inam having been in the enjoyment of Venkamma it is clear xx
Do
Collr [illegible] F Regd 8-3-66
The people examined in all the [illegible] as the [illegible] of [illegible] Venkamma [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Dry 3 10 0 3.35 1.19 Ramannam punja 1-14-4 / 1-14-4 Bhata Vritti Kayam Jody 0-2-10 Do Do No Sannad
Wet 0 7 14 0.81 Eran nanja 0-14-11 / 0-14-11
3-17-14 3.45 2-13-3
Do
In F 1206 Vasudava Bhat
In F 1211 Ramanaya [illegible] In F 1218 Vasudeva Bhat
In F 1238 Vasudava Chary In F 1236 Venkatraya ~~[illegible]~~ In F 1248 Venkatraya In F 1257 Lakshamma
Paradapatti
Madahari Venkataramana 31 Paber [illegible] Daughter [illegible] [illegible] Days [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
Desmed
To be confirmed 12.8.65
Tor 2-10
Dk Tu 6-0
0-8-0
W. Hlls
T.D. No. 199
Do

Wet 5 8 0 5.20 Pulla Yeri nanja 23-6-10 / 23-6-10 Zamindari Inam Kayam Jody 4-7-6 Do Do No Sannad
Quit rent payable to Govt
Granted in F 1212 by the English Govt
In F 1218 Ramaya
In F 1232 Ramaya
In F 1234 Subbaya In F 1248 Naranamma In F 1257 Naranamma
Paradapatti
Zemindar Naranamma 45 Daughter widow None
— To be confirmed
Inj 1-9-6
Dk 2 ~~[illegible]~~ 13 Rs
W. Hlls
T.D. No. 200
Do

Dry 5 33 0 4.83 Kottaram punja Part 1-6-6 / 1-6-6 Kota Kodipi Inam Tax free Do Do No Sannad
Wet 0 31 5 0.81 Pallam nanja 2-12-0 / 2-12-0
5 8 5.61 5-2-6
Do
In F 1206 Bodinaik
In F 1211 Papinaik In F 1218 Bodinaik
In F 1232 Bodinaik In F 1234 Papinaik In F 1248 1. Papinaik 1. Settiappa 1. Nagappa In F 1257 1. Settiappa 1. Nagappa 1. Muddinaik
Mannavalli
~~Budupalli~~ Venkataramanaidu 35 — [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 3
2 Papinaidu 10 Do
3 ~~Muddi~~ naidu 48
4 Annaya 45 Do
5 Venkatara[illegible]
In 1 & 2 enjoy 2 shares — No. 4 enjoys 2 shares No. 5 enjoys 1 share [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
To be confirmed —
Dk 1/8 2-10-0
W. Hlls
T.D. No. 201

[illegible] a part [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Inam Depart No 11 & 12

Average rate per acre
Dry — 1-2-10.
Wet — 4-15-7

Dupl. Ser. No 11

Regr. No. 11 & 12

(Shew)

Register of the Jaghire villages
Ummenangovil No 100, & Nepala Kattam No 98
in the Tripatoor Talook of the Salem District

Salem District.
Trippatoor Talug

These villages were given by the English Govt in [illegible], in commutation of part of a ready money pension of 2520 Rs a year granted to Meer Saheb. For 1680 Rs of the pension, the villages of Ballagoota & Goka Coonta were granted in 1839 — & for the remainder the 2 villages of Ummenangovil & Nepala Kattam were granted in 18[illegible]. The soil of the 2 villages is generally good — the assessment is ample —

4 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Survey Wakah of Ummenangovil

Garden — 636·34 —
Wet payable 57·05
5[illegible]7·39 982-6-0
Alienated 93·17 108-6-1
[illegible] 3·25 14-4
53·42 -109-4-[illegible]
[illegible] 523·87 873-1-1
Garden [illegible] 53-12-8
5 336-5-3
[illegible] -11

[illegible] as per 488-14-5
& wet 97·8[illegible] 257-13-9 } Including Inams
[illegible]605·33 989-12-2

[illegible]
144·65 164-15-7
Wet 27·25 97-15-3
171·90 262-14-10
} Therefore fixed with reference to the average assessment of the lands assessed in 1820, as that was the time the villages were alienated —

Remarks Annum — the receipt as shown under the head "Particulars."

Alienation in 1870 — & the Average —

39·70 376-1-11
[illegible]4·18 319-5-9
[illegible]3·88 695-7-8
[illegible] the grant is ~~[illegible]~~
177-16-3

waste is little & the greater portion is [illegible] Land therefore [illegible] for [illegible] the [illegible] with the cultivation [illegible] 540 [illegible]

As much of as in the [illegible] & also for [illegible] for the [illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] by [illegible]

Jodi for 2 villages at the time of alienation for both villages 352-1-5

On the 9th October 1835 by the Madras Government.

[illegible] Sunnud: of the Principal Collector John Orr Esq dated 9th October /35 under orders of Govt dated 4th April 1834 alienating the 2 villages of Ummenangovil & Nepala Kattam to Gulam Kattad eldest son of Meer Sahib ~~[illegible]~~ in commutation of his annual pension of 840 Rs; according to the request of the said Meer Sahib ~~who had~~ who had died before the Govt order of 1834 reached the Collector. The limitation of the grant was for two ~~lives~~ lives, viz. "for the natural life of Gulam Kattad & one generation afterwards"

Gulam Kattad Saheb orgl Grantee —

No 7261
Yosuf Sab. son of Gulam Kattad Sab.

Tripatoor —

Mohammed Yosuf Saheb son of Gulam Kattad — 30
2 Dadibi daughter sons 2 — 49

Nep.

O/D No 1060

This was granted in 7[illegible] for 2 generations — It was explained in [illegible] dated 20 Septr /51 that these 2 villages should be enjoyed by the Children of Gulam Kattad as the 2d generation & the share of each child [illegible] alive at his death. Gulam Kattad left 1 son & 5 daughters — [illegible] divided the produce according to the proportions of the Mahomedan Law. The whole shares were seven. 2 are enjoyed by No 1, one is enjoyed by No 2; & the remaining [illegible] state. Their value having been [illegible] the Jodi

The value of the resumed shares was calculated at the estimate of Mr Phillips in 1861. The Jodi calculated on the value of the resumed shares is Rs 433-11-4 —
former Jodi 352-1-5
785-12-9 Total Jodi

Jodi Confirmed Jodi 785-12-9
Beriz 1189-3-5 [illegible] 204 [illegible]
987 Rs
Does not enfranchise —

~~The other~~.
The present occupiers are the son & daughter of Gulam Kattad the eldest son of ~~the~~ Meer Sab. Meer Sab had applied for the villages, but unfortunately died before the ~~[illegible]~~ Govt order was received in 1834 — The grant was therefore made in 1835 in favour of Gulam Kattad Sab for 2 generations — The other sons of Meer Sab, viz Fakrudin Sab, Nassuruddin etc & grandsons advance a plea, that as the pension in commutation of which the present ~~lands~~ villages were given formed as much the property of all as the pensions of Ballagoota [illegible] granted only for the lifetime of Meer Sab ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] a ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] respectively [illegible] in these villages — & that Gulam Kattad allowed them [illegible] Mohammed Yosuf Sab son of Gulam [illegible]

The estimated Rev of both the villages is —
Ummenangovil — 740 Rs —
Nepalakattam 449 Rs
Total 1189

Sheet No. 82

Orig. copy.
The decision question for the [illegible] Cannot be [illegible] after the orders

14 April [illegible]

1/6/65

[illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Jaghir Villages —

Explanation of [illegible] [illegible] & other [illegible] &c.

[illegible] Cozabat [illegible]			
Cozabat	Acres 438·65		
[illegible]	39·74		
[illegible] arable land	398·91	486-6-6	
[illegible]	12·34	18-4-4	
[illegible]	4·05	18-14-9	
[illegible]	11·39	3-7-[illegible]	
[illegible] land	382·52	449-3-5	
[illegible]			
[illegible]	358·85	330-0-10	
[illegible]	23·67	119-2-7	
[illegible]	336·75	311-2-3	[illegible]
	25·20	131-8-1	[illegible]
	362·33	442-11-2	
[illegible]	32·77	0-13-5	
[illegible]	3·09	[illegible]	
	36·88		

At the [illegible] of [illegible] the village [illegible] was [illegible] [illegible] to [illegible] assessed, & [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Average Cultivation —

The whole Cozabat is cultivated [illegible] [illegible] & the consolidated value of the villages at [illegible] Rs 449-3-5.

Consolidated value of both the villages of [illegible] & [illegible] — according to different [illegible] —

According to the [illegible] of 1245 } 1192 Rs 8 — [illegible] of 1241

According to Mr Phillips' [illegible] of 1261

[illegible]	692-7-3
[illegible]	458-1-5
	1150-8-8

[illegible] [illegible] —

[illegible]	695-1-11
[illegible]	449-3-5
	1144-5-4

According to cultivation [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] —

[illegible]	695-7-8
[illegible]	449-3-5
	1144-11-1

Recommended Rents

[illegible]	740-0-0
[illegible]	449-3-5
	1189-3-5

Ought the [illegible] [illegible]

to other [illegible] for both the villages &c.

The discontinued Jaghir [illegible] [illegible] Jaghirdar addressed a petition to Mr Phillips in the year 1853, to the effect that he was giving the respective shares to the other heirs engaged in [illegible] & [illegible], & that as the shares of her deceased sisters were resumed in reference to the value of the whole & not according to the actual engagements, he was not able to pay to other shares the usual shares as settled by his father — He also pleaded that the shares were not [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] — Mr Phillips decreed by [illegible] dated [illegible]/53 No 888, that her prayer could not be complied, as [illegible] from the [illegible] authorities was specific on the point, & that any deviation from [illegible] order [illegible] properly noticed —

It appears that when the Registry was made [illegible] of [illegible] Mr Lockhart, a Muchilka [illegible] [illegible] from Jaghirdar, [illegible] that he [illegible] follow the same course of [illegible] shares as was [illegible] by his father — But the order of the Registry [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] If that was a condition at the time of the registry, it was subsequently [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] —

In regard to this dispute, claim was set up by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] said that all the villages were in the possession of his [illegible] & he had therefore no means of satisfying the shares by paying [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] offered him his share according to [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] father's [illegible], & that he would not receive it as it was not in accordance with the [illegible] order in regard to the [illegible] [illegible] of these villages —

The Board rejected the petition of [illegible] [illegible] as much as [illegible] had no just cause of complaint against Jaghirdar, [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] maintaining possession of the villages —

Dispute continued, & arrears of [illegible] were allowed to accumulate [illegible] private contention. Attempts at an amicable settlement were made by 2 Collectors at the instance of the Board — the Jaghir was at length placed under attachment; & a settlement was made amongst [illegible] according to the Govt order.

[illegible] made his settlement by [illegible] his 2 villages at 2400 Rs — to [illegible] [illegible] who [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the remainder of the rental to [illegible] & his [illegible] —

As the Govt have already once pronounced their final decision, the question may not be re-opened — Tho' the [illegible] of occupation obtaining in the case of [illegible] & [illegible] may not be extended to the [illegible], I [illegible] inclined to submit that without [illegible] the [illegible] of the Grant [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] these villages also. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]