Register No. 52

Regr. No. 52

Yekalaspuram

Tiruppatore Tq

[illegible] Circar Village

[illegible]

3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

[illegible] 2.61 3.85 1.80

21 [illegible] 2 9 0 18 8 0

[illegible]

It appears [illegible] Acts from the [illegible] Inam

[illegible] Mahammad Sahib

Abdul Kasim

Vaniambadi

Abdul Hasan 50

Abdul Rahim Sahib

Abdul Kasim

Mahammad [illegible]

Sheet No. 39

Extract granted to Khatib Gulam Mahammad Sahib, brother of the [illegible] in col: 16 on the [illegible]

F. R. [illegible] 16/6/68

St. No. 180

[illegible] 2.7 2.18

15 [illegible] 1 2 [illegible] 16 [illegible]

Do Do Do Do

Riyaz [illegible]

Do

Vaniambadi

1 Ussain Bibi 20

2 Lala Beegum 27

3 [illegible] 30

4 Mohammed Ummar 30

5 Ussain Bi 27

6 Ameer Ameer 25

Lalamiya

[illegible] 1/8 1-12-0

St. No. 181

[illegible] 1.75

12 [illegible]

Do Do Do Do

[illegible] Mahammad

Ambur pet

Mahammad

1 Abdur Rahman 20

2 Abdul Rahim 8

3 Chand Bibi 40

4 Mahmud [illegible] 15

5 Afzal Bi 30

6 [illegible] 30

Hyder

1/3 [illegible]

[illegible] 1/8 2-1-0

St. No. 182

Note.

It appears that [illegible] adopted [illegible] her husband's brother's son on condition that she should have [illegible] her share during her life & that after her [illegible] the adopted son Abdul Rahim should succeed [illegible]

4

Booked

Dry 1032 20 a 0.50

Do No 245

1 12 a
0 7 7
1 11 a

Khadi Dry
Poni Wari
Dry Assessment

No Sanad

It appears in the Acts as Inam

Ins 1026 Amhada Ahan

Ins 1032 Abdul Raoof

Ins 1028 Abdul Raoof

Ins 1055 Abdul Raoof

The Inamdar is said to be one Abdul Rahaf of Trivanmalai. ~~On summons~~ which was served on him as he failed to file in a statement, but it appears from the Karnam's statement that the Inamdar had been a Trespasser, & retained inam

It is resumed to Inam for the reasons stated in No 16 A20

4/1/62

It appears from the statement received from the Tahsildar's Report 15th day 1866 No 16 that the inam has been placed under attachment under Collector's order of 24th July 1866 No 24. from 15th Septr 1866 —

As it appears however from the Vernacular Reg. referred to by the Tahsildar that the land has never been in enjoyment and forms the bed of the Talav, to be struck off the Inam Register —

7-R
5/11/66

5 Dry 1031 20 a 0.50

5 Do 1

2 8 3
0 0 0
2 8 3

Panchangi Inam

No Sanad

Ins 1026 Gopalakrishnaya

Dr

Corrected vide Sulogatapatta Act 11 of 1868

Ins 1032 Subbaya Inam

Ramayagaham vate

~~Panchangam~~ ~~Chengaya~~ ~~Subbaya~~ 30 M

Indra dis

No 1 Vaishna daughter

Ins 1028 Narayana 2 Ranganayaga 10 Dr

Ins 1055 Gandhi 3 Ramanayaga 55 Inde

Ramayagakrishnam

Narayanaya Vainkata Ramayaga Inam 4 Devamperi Subba Shastri 45 Alive dr

5 Ramaratear Lunampet Harimman Inam 48 Alive dr

No 1 to 3 enjoy 10/16

No 4 enjoys 1/8 share a share of a sale to Venkatramayya

No 5 enjoys 4/16

8/11/61

Confirmed in one joint title with all to shares as also to fine — Due 1/8 No 4

All parties to be entered

T.D. No 183

Do

53

(1-2)

Inam Register of Badakuppam No 82 in the Panjalur Taluk in the Salem dist

Mirasi Circar Village: The asset generally sample —

2 3 4 5 1 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Punavai Dry 1099 3 6 0 3.15

Do No 217

3 13 10
0 5 3
3 8 7

For the support of Perumal temple at Badakuppam the Pemphleoi inspection

Dry
Poni wari
Dry Assessment

No Sanad

It appears in the Acts from the survey as Inam

Ins 1026 Perumalu Swami

Ins 1032 Ramanujaya

Badakuppam

Perumalla Swamy Pujari Datani Laxmaya

T.D. No 184

Confirmed in perm. title on condition of the service

2/4/61

Punavai Dry 1055 5.36 a 5.90

Do 1043 325

1 2 a
0 7 10
1 10 2

~~Khata~~ ~~Virt~~ Panchangi

No Sanad

Ins 1026 Subbabhat

Dr

Ins 1053 Ramanujaya

Ins 1032 Chinnaya Son

Ins 1032 Chinnaya Son

Ins 1055

Mulayamma Gandhi

Ramabhat son 50

Daughter

Her brother enjoys the Inam with Kr. Lanmai Taluk

Confirmed

Due 1/8 No 14

T.D. No 185

1/11/61