Regr. 12

Sheet No. 83

Inam Register of Manavelli No. 314 in the Tripattur Talook in the Salem Dt.

Regr. 13

1-7

[illegible]	4 7 4
	0 0 0
	28 7 4
11 8	19 8 8
	1 8 8
	18 0 0
32 0 0 82	39 7 2
	0 13 2
	38 8 0
53 39 16 52	60 5 4
1 13 0 1 35	6 9 0
	0 2 5
	6 6 7
1 6 0 1 15	6 0 7
	0 8 4
	5 5 3
1 28 3 1 52	12 0 0
	0 10 8
0 8 3 0 38	12 5 4
	2 7 7
	0 0 7
	2 8 4
[illegible]	27 9 6
35 10 58 72	87 14 10

Paradapalli

Rangappa 45

2 [illegible] 41

3 Kistnaya 35

4 China Kistnaya 25

5 [illegible] 20

Paradapalli

Saravamma 45

To R 7-8-7

1/8 10-0

17-8-0

18/12/61

To R 1-11-6

1/2 10-8-0

12 Rs

18/12/61

Bhata Vritti

Do. Do.

In F. 1266

In F. 1311

In F. 1248

In F. 1251

To R 0 7

[illegible]

Inam Register of Mauza Vaile No. 314 [illegible] Tripattur Talook in the Salem Dt.

[illegible] Dry 11 — 10.0 [illegible] punja 8-12 / 8-12
Wet 2-35-11 2.99 [illegible] Tote nanji 13-7-11 / 13-7-11
Wet 5 — 5.0 [illegible] punja 11-10-8 / 11-10-8
7-35-11 7.89 25-2-6
17-35-11 17.89 33-14-6

For the maintenance of the Bodinayani Tank at Mamvalli in the lands so [illegible] in good condition
Tax free
Herdty not known
No Sannad
It appears in all the accts free from [illegible] as such
In F1206 Bodi nayaka
In F1211 Papi Nayaka
In F1218 Bodi nayaka
In F1238 Papi naidu
In F1234 Papinaidu
In F1248 1. Papinaidu 1. Settiappa 1. Nagappa
In F1257 1. Settiappa 1. Nagappa 1. Muddi Naidu
Mamvalli Bodinayani Tank - In good condition
Dasavandadar
Muddi Naidu 1 [illegible]
Venkatrami Naidu 2 [illegible] son-in-law
Venkatappa 3 [illegible]
Bodi Naidu 4 [illegible]
Papi Naidu 5 [illegible]
[illegible] in 3 equal shares —
To be confirmed
Tax free
~~[illegible]~~ outs
[illegible]
[illegible]
T.D. No. 195
12 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] Dry 3-29 1.75 Tarapunji 1-6-8 / 1-6-8
Bhata Vritti
Kayam Jodi 0-6-8
Do.
Do.
No Sannad
Do.
In F1206 Subba Bhat
In F1211 Venkata geruya
In F1218 Subba bhat
In F1238 Subbaya
In F1234 Subbabhat
In F1248 Papaya
In F1257 Narasamma [illegible] widow
Vadala Madapalli
Mosadala Venkatramanaya 50
[illegible] none
[illegible] [illegible] by Venkatayya [illegible] Venkataraya [illegible] [illegible] — [illegible] none [illegible]
[illegible] family were [illegible] & [illegible]
To be confirmed —
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 0-4-2
[illegible] 0-8-0
0-12-0
[illegible]
T.D. No. 197
[illegible]

XX that the family was never formally [illegible] and now [illegible] to [illegible] Venkamma, [illegible] without [illegible] to Venkamma's [illegible] & [illegible]

[illegible] 1 35 8 1.89 Malla nanji 0-6 / 0-6
Bhata Vritti
Kayam Jodi 0-4-4
Do.
Do.
No Sannad
In F1206 Venkamma Chari
In F1211 Not known
In F1218 Venkamma Chari
In F1232 Varfa Chari
In F1234 Narasimma Chari
In F1248 Narsimma Chari
In F1257 [illegible] Chari
Do.
[illegible]
The people [illegible] in all the [illegible] Venkatana [illegible]
Tirupati
~~[illegible]~~
Obbattur
Venkamma 25
[illegible] widow
none
Her husband's brother Venkatappa Bellary —
Krishnachari
Tippachari
4 [illegible]
2 Her husband's [illegible] [illegible] Tippachari
[illegible] at Tirupati —
[illegible] was [illegible] by ~~[illegible]~~ Collector
[illegible] March 59 to [illegible] for [illegible] — [illegible] order [illegible] [illegible] husband Kalla chary — [illegible] of Venkanna chary — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
T.D. No. 198
[illegible]

[illegible] were not present. [illegible] was [illegible] in the enjoyment of Venkamma [illegible] in the enjoyment of the [illegible] heir & [illegible] Kalla chary. Tippachary [illegible] [illegible] — [illegible] for [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] at Bellary. From the evidence [illegible] [illegible] fact of all the [illegible] having been in the enjoyment of Venkamma it is clear XX

T.D. [illegible] [illegible] 2K [illegible] = [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 205
[illegible] F1250 5

[illegible] 3-10 — 3.25 1.16 [illegible] nanji 1-14-4 / 1-14-4
Bhata Vritti
Kayam Jodi 0-2-10
Do.
Do.
No Sannad
Wet 0 7-14 0.80 [illegible] nanji 0-14-11 / 0-14-11
3-17-14 3.45 2-13-3
Do.
In F1206 Vasudava Bhat
In F1211 Ramanaya
In F1218 Vasudava Bhat
In F1232 Vasudava Chary
In F1236 Venkatraya ~~[illegible]~~
In F1248 Venkatraya
In F1257 Lakshamma
Paradapatti
Madahalli
Venkatramana 31
[illegible]
[illegible] days
[illegible]
Desired
of [illegible] [illegible]
To be confirmed
Total 2-10
[illegible] 6-0
0-8-0
[illegible]
T.D. No. 199

[illegible] Wet 5-8 — 5.20 [illegible] Subvai nanji 23-6-11 / 23-6-11
Zamindari Inam
Kayam Jodi [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] to Govt.
Do.
Do.
No Sannad
[illegible] in F1212 by the English Govt
In F1218 Ramaya
In F1232 Ramaya
In F1236 Subbaya
In F1248 Naranamma
In F1257 Naranamma
Paradapatti
Zemindar
Naranamma 45
Daughter widow
none
— To be confirmed
[illegible] 1-9-6
[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ 13 Rs
[illegible]
T.D. No. 200
[illegible]

[illegible] Dry 6 33 3 6.83 Kotavam punji 1-6-6 / 1-6-6
[illegible] Kota Kodiji Inam
Tax free
Do.
Do.
No Sannad
Wet 1 31 5 0.81 [illegible] nanji 3-12 / 3-12
5-[illegible] 8 5.64 5-3-6
Do.
In F1206 Bodinaik
In F1211 Papinaik
In F1218 Bodinaik
In F1232 Bodinaik
In F1234 Papinaik
In F1248 1. Papinaik 1. Settiappa 1. Nagappa
In F1257 1. Settiappa 1. Nagappa 1. Muddinaik
Mamvalli
[illegible]
Venkatramana Naidu 35
2 Papi Naidu 11
~~3 [illegible] Naidu~~ 48
4 Annaya 45
5 Venkatapa
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 3 [illegible]
[illegible]
In 1 & 2 [illegible] 2 shares — In 4 [illegible] 2 [illegible] In 5 [illegible] 1 [illegible] [illegible] 3 [illegible] [illegible]
To be confirmed —
[illegible] 0-10-0
[illegible]
T.D. No. 201

[illegible] a part was [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Dep. [illegible] No 11

[illegible] rate [illegible]
[illegible] Rs 1-2-10
Dec - 4-15-7

Regr. No. 11 & 12

(Shewn)

Register of the [illegible] [illegible]

Ummanangovil No 100, & Vepala Kattam No 98

in the Tripatore Taluk of the Salem District

Salem District

Tripatoor Taluq

These villages were given by the English Govt in [illegible], in commutation of part of a ready money pension of 2[illegible] a year granted to [illegible] sahib. For 1680 Rs of the pension, the villages of Ballagoota & Gokulagoota were granted in 1829 & for the remainder the 2 villages of Ummanangovil & Vepala Kattam were granted in 1[illegible] The soil of the 2 villages is generally good - the aspect is [illegible]

4 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Survey [illegible] of Ummanangovil
[illegible] - 636.34 -
[illegible] 59.05
[illegible] 5[illegible].29 982-6-
[illegible] 93.17 108-6-1.
[illegible] 3.25 - 14-4
53.43 - 109-4-[illegible]
[illegible] 523.87 873-1-1
[illegible] 53-12-8
[illegible] 336-5-3
[illegible]-11

As much [illegible] of [illegible] & also for [illegible] for the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Revenue [illegible] by [illegible] [illegible]

Tax for 2 villages at the [illegible] allowance [illegible] 352-1-5

On the 9th October 1835 by the Madras Government

[illegible] the [illegible] of the Principal Collector John Orr Esq dated 9th October /35 under orders of Govt dated 4th April 1834 - commuting the 2 villages of Ummanangovil & Vepala Kattam to Gulam [illegible] eldest son of Imam [illegible] sahib [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ in commutation of his annual pension of 840 Rs, according to the [illegible] of the [illegible] Imam Sahib ~~who had~~ who had died before the Govt order of 1834 reached the [illegible] The limitation of the grant was for two lives, viz. "for the natural life of "Gulam [illegible] "& one generation "afterwards"

Gulam Kattad Sahib orgl. grantee

[illegible] 1261 Gopui sahib son of Gulam Kattad sahib

Tripatore

Mohammed Gopui Sahib 30 son of Gulam Kattad

2 [illegible] 49 daughter sons 2

Nos.

No 1060

The was granted in 1261 for 2 generations - [illegible] was explained in [illegible] dated 2d Septr /57. That these 2 villages should be enjoyed by the children of Gulam Kattad as the 2d generation & the share of each should lapse at his death - Gulam Kattad left 1 son & 5 daughters [illegible] divided the produce according to the proportions of the Mohamedan Law. The whole [illegible] shares were [illegible]. 2 are enjoyed by No 1, one is enjoyed by No 2; & the remaining [illegible] having [illegible] to the Tax. [illegible] [illegible] value having been [illegible]. The value of the [illegible] [illegible] was calculated [illegible] the estimate of Mr Phillips in 1861 - The tax calculated as the value of the [illegible] shares is Rs 433-11-4 -

Former tax 352-1-5 Total tax
785-12-9

To be confirmed tax 785-12-9
Rev. 1189-3-5 [illegible] 201-[illegible]
987 Rs

Does not enfranchise -

~~The [illegible]~~.

The present enjoyers are the son & daughters of Gulam Kattad the eldest son of the Imam Sab. Imam Sab had applied for the villages, but unfortunately died before the Govt order was received in 1834 - The grant was therefore made in 1835 in favour of Gulam Kattad Sab for 2 generations - The other sons of Imam sab. [illegible] [illegible] sab, [illegible] & [illegible] advance a plea that as the pension in commutation of which the present ~~lands~~ [illegible] were given formed as much the property of all as the [illegible] of Ballagoota [illegible] pension granted [illegible] of Imam [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] a ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in these villages - that Gulam Kattad allowed them [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Gulam

[illegible] [illegible] Sahib son of Gulam

[illegible] 57.85 [illegible] 600-14-5 Including Inams
[illegible] 20[illegible]-3-9
[illegible]05.33 989-12-2

144.65 - 164-15-7
[illegible] - 27.25 97-16-3
171.96 - 262-9-10

The assessment is [illegible] fixed with reference to the average assessment of the land [illegible] [illegible] in 1820, as [illegible] [illegible] the villages were [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] as shown in the head "Particulars."

[illegible] in 1870 - & the [illegible] -

[illegible] 376-1-11
[illegible] 319-5-9
[illegible].88 - 695-7-8

[illegible] the [illegible] is ~~[illegible]~~
177-16-3

[illegible] is little & the [illegible] [illegible] is [illegible]
[illegible] therefore [illegible] for [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] with the cultivation
[illegible] 540 [illegible]

Sheet No. 82

The estimated Rev of both the villages is -
Ummanangovil - 740 Rs
Vepalakattam 449 Rs
Total 1189

[illegible]
[illegible]

Orig [illegible] to [illegible] - The [illegible] question [illegible] by the [illegible] Collector [illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] order

14 April [illegible]

[illegible] 1/5/65

[illegible] of Repelle [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] 1[illegible]

Mouza Gajhee Village —
Explanation of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] & other [illegible] &c

---

Cozabat as per [illegible] —

Cozabat	Beegas 438·65	
[illegible]	39·74	
[illegible] arable land	398·71	486-6-6
[illegible]	12·34	18-4-4
[illegible]	4·05	18-14-9
[illegible]	11·39	37-3-8
[illegible] land	382·52	449-3-5
Particular —		
84 —	358·85	330-[illegible]-10
[illegible] —	23·57	119-2-7
[illegible]	33·75	311-2-8
	25·[illegible]	131-8-1
	362·35	442-11-[illegible]
[illegible]	33·[illegible]	1-13-5
[illegible]	5·09	[illegible]
	36·88	

At the [illegible] of [illegible] the village [illegible] was [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Average Cultivation —

The whole Cozabat is cultivated [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] — & [illegible] cultivated [illegible] value of the villages as [illegible] Rs 449-3-5.

Consolidated value of both the villages of Amangori & Repalwala — according to different [illegible] —

According to the Summary of 1245	1192 Rs 8 —	[illegible] 1241 —

According to the Phillips valuation of 1261

Amangori —	692-7-3
Repalwala	458-1-5
	1150-8-8

[illegible] value —

Amangori	[illegible]93-1-11
Repalwala	449-3-5
	1[illegible]2-5-4

According to [illegible] [illegible] given in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Amangori —	695-7-8
Repalwala —	449-3-5
	1144-11-1

Recommended Beegas

Amangori —	740-[illegible]
Repalwala —	449-3-5
	1189-3-5

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] — [illegible] other [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the villages &c

The discontented parties being [illegible] [illegible] & [illegible] Sah addressed a petition to Mr Phillips in the year 1853, [illegible] that [illegible] was giving the respective shares to the other [illegible] engaged in [illegible] & [illegible], & that as the shares of her deceased sister were resumed in reference to the value of the whole, & not according to the actual engagements, he was not able to pay the other shares the usual shares as settled by her father — He also pleaded that the shares [illegible] not be [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] being advised — Mr Phillips decreed by [illegible] dated [illegible] [illegible] 1853 No 888, that her prayer could not be complied, as [illegible] from the [illegible] [illegible] was [illegible] [illegible] & that any deviation from [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] —

It appears [illegible] when the Registry was made [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] from [illegible] Sah, [illegible] [illegible] Lockhart, a [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that he also followed the same course of [illegible] shares as [illegible] by her father — But the order of the Registry [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] was subsequently [illegible] by [illegible] if that was a condition at the time of the registry, it was [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] —

In regard to this dispute, claim was set up by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] said that all the villages were in the possession of his [illegible] & he had therefore no means of satisfying the shares by paying [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] offered him his share according to [illegible] father's [illegible], & that he would not receive it as it was not in accordance with the Govt order in regard to the [illegible] & engagements of the villages —

The Board [illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible] as much as they had no just cause of complaint against [illegible] Sah, they [illegible] [illegible] maintaining possession of the villages — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] allowed to accumulate by dispute continued, & arrears of [illegible] [illegible] amicable settlement [illegible] made by private [illegible]. Attempts at an amicable settlement [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] was at length [illegible] under 2 [illegible] at the instance of the Board — The [illegible] was [illegible] according to the Govt order — Attachment; & a settlement was made [illegible] [illegible] according to [illegible] villages at 2100 Rs — to [illegible] Sah, [illegible] Sah made his settlement by [illegible] his 2 villages at 2100 Rs — to [illegible] & his [illegible] who [illegible] [illegible] pay the remainder of the rental to [illegible] & his [illegible] —

As to Govt [illegible] already [illegible] [illegible] their final decision the question may not be re-opened — Tho' the [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] obtaining in the case of [illegible] & [illegible] may not be extended to the [illegible], I was inclined to submit that without [illegible] the [illegible] of the Grant [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] shares [illegible] these villages also — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]