Inam Register of [illegible] No 108 in the Trichengode Taluk [illegible]

(1-2)

Kuttanampalayam

Trichengode Tq

[illegible] Dy 143 8 23 14 8·16 | 10 11 11 | Siva Pagoda of Nagesvara Swamy at Nallamangalam The Temple is [illegible] | Dry [illegible] | Pagoda [illegible] | [illegible] | Rev. Land [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

In F 1211 Nagesvara Inam
Do 1830 Nagesvara Swamy Pujari Narayana Malayan
Do 1829 Nagesvara Swamy Pujari [illegible]
Do 1834 Nagesvara Swamy [illegible] Pujari Narayana Malayan

Nallamangalam
Nagesvara Swamy
Pujari
Nyra
abs.

Tonu 431

To be Confd
Tax free
TD in the name of the Deity
[illegible]

Confirmed 10/11/[illegible]

Personal [illegible] Dy 143 6 17 14 6·25 3/4 [illegible] | 11 8 2 | Bala Santi | Dry | Do | Do | Rev. Land

Do
Do 1830 Venkata-Ramanadasi
In F 1211 Battavarti Inam
Do 1834 [illegible]
Do 1829 Chettiyan

Reminder sent 8/3/66

R Pn No 236 of 1865 from Venkatayyar Vakil in behalf of Mysore Iswara Iyer complaining of the non settl of the inam although he filed statement in 1860.
Referred to Collr 31 Augt 65 [illegible]

As the Inamdar did not appear within the year, this case will not be re-opened, & the former order will stand good.
G Lemons
21st Decr 1870

Returned to Collr
9/11/71

Register No 85
85/

Inam Register of Kuttamukkanhalli No 109 in the Trichengode Taluk [illegible]

Personal Dy 88 6 28 11 6·72 25/32 [illegible] | 22 9 11 | Bala Santi | Dry | Do | [illegible] | Rev. Land [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

In F 1211 Battavarti Inam
Do 1830 Srinivasayyangar [illegible] Venkayyan
Do 1829 Ponnayyan Akka [illegible]
Do 1834 Srinivasayyangar of Nallamangalam

Reminder sent 8/3/66
Vide Pr recorded in Kallupalem Case

S.D. No 1275

Settled [illegible]
[illegible] 26 6 67

F R closed 4-5-68

[illegible] No 71 ~~Ladd~~ Laddivadi

Inam Register of Laddivadi No 71 in the Trichengode Taluk [illegible]

(1-3)

This Inam consists of 3 [illegible] all [illegible] Inams

[illegible] 98 11 0·72 30 1/8 | 2 8 11
[illegible] 14 2 0·36 15 [illegible] | 1 2 11
Total 1 2 12 [illegible] 45 1/2 | 3 8 10

Siva Pagoda of Agrandi Amman Maniyakaran of Laddivadi Vinayakar of Chelandakarandan Polyam & Vinayakar of Nallakumaran Polyam The Temples are in good order | Dry [illegible] | Pagoda [illegible] | [illegible] | Rev. Land [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Sheet No 15 7

In F 1801 Agrandamman ~~Agramma~~ or 4 Temples 26 1/2 [illegible]
Do 1830 Agrandi Amman Maniyakaran Vinayakar Nallakumaran Polyam Vinayakar Pujari Virandi
Do 1829 Pujari Palanigandi
Do 1834 Pujari Virandi [illegible]

Laddivadi
Agrandemma & 4 Temples
Pujari
Palanigandi 40
2 Pesimandi 50
3 Palanigandi 40 of Nallakumarampalayam

Tonu 432

[illegible]
1/2 share
Do 208 1/2 share

To be Confd
Tax free
TD in the name of the Deities
[illegible]

[illegible] Dy 118 6 38 4 6·98 8 1/40 | 7 1 9
[illegible] 20 12 0·52 22 1/16 | 1 12 6
[illegible] Dy No 4 35 10 0·89 37 7/8 | 2 10 8
[illegible] 1-16-7 1·41 59 9/16 | 4 7 7
Total [illegible] 8-15-10 8·39 68 3/4 | 11-8-10

Siva Pagoda of Laxmi Narayanaswamy at Laddivadi The Temple is in good order | Do | Do | Do | Rev. Land | Do

Do 1801 Laxmi Narayana Swamy
Do 1830 Laxmi Narayana Swamy [illegible] Inam
Do 1834 Laxmi Narayana Swamy Pujari Sakadri Ayyan
Do 1829 Laxmi Narayana Swamy Pujari [illegible]

Laddivadi
Laxmi Narayana Swamy
Pujari
Mallur [illegible] 15 of Trichengode
son of Narayana Ayyar

To be Confd
Tax free
TD in the name of the Deity
[illegible]

Confd [illegible]

Personal Dy 140 9 29 5 9·73 11 1/2 Do 150 | 18 1 [illegible] | Bala Santi | Dry | Do | Do | Rev. Land

Do 1801 Alagarayyan of [illegible] 12 1/2 [illegible]
Do 1829 Mullaya Alagaraya Brahmin
Do 1834 Alagarayan
Do 1830 Alagari [illegible]

Venkatachalapathiyan
Rangabhupati
1 Varadayyangar 46 Brothers Brahmin Wife 1 Sons 3 Daughters 2
2 Alimelammal [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

To be Confirmed
[illegible] 16-7
[illegible] 2-8-0

9 [illegible] 434

[illegible] 22 [illegible]

In [illegible] Kottapalayam [illegible] in the [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

[illegible] 38 0 84 [illegible] 140 — 4 9 8 — Punja [illegible] — [illegible] — [illegible] — Sarkar [illegible]

Kotapalayam 1 8 11
Inchenyodu
(1-2)

[illegible] Alagi Chitambaram [illegible] 35 1/8 [illegible] — [illegible] 1881 Alaga Chitambaram — [illegible] Alaga Chitambaram [illegible]

Kottapalayam [illegible]
Alagachitambaram [illegible] [illegible] 80 [illegible] 2 [illegible] 1
2 Sundaramurti [illegible] [illegible] 22 [illegible] 1
[illegible]

Tola Cess
[illegible] 1-8-11
[illegible] 6
2 [illegible]
29/1/63

[illegible] No 425
Cert [illegible]
11/10/63
2-6

[illegible] 2 [illegible] 121 — [illegible] 1 17 14 1 25 — 8 5 7 — D — [illegible] — D — D — [illegible]

Sheet no. 157
12-14-9 2-29 8-11

[illegible] 61 9/16 — [illegible] 1881 [illegible] Doddaya — [illegible] 1829 [illegible]

[illegible]
Vijayathur
[illegible] 50 [illegible] [illegible] 1
2 [illegible] 40 [illegible] [illegible] 1
[illegible] undivided.

Tola Cess
[illegible] 1 [illegible]
11/5/63

[illegible] No 426
Cert [illegible]

[illegible] 33 Circar Nallamangalam

In [illegible] Circar Nallamangalam No 107 in the [illegible] Taluk [illegible]
[illegible]

(1-4)

1 3/4 [illegible] 9 66 — 21 8 8 — Punja [illegible] — [illegible] — [illegible] — [illegible] of [illegible] at Nallamangalam [illegible]

Fasli 1211 [illegible] — [illegible] Pujari Narayanan [illegible] — [illegible] Pujari [illegible] — [illegible] 27 1/8 32 [illegible] Narayanan [illegible]

Nallamangalam
[illegible] Pujari
Narayanan
[illegible]

Tola Cess
Tax free
In the name of the [illegible]
18/7/63

[illegible] No 427
Cert [illegible]

[illegible] 19 11 3 50 [illegible] — 11 12 7 — [illegible] — D — D — D — [illegible] of Narenamma at Nallamangalam [illegible]

[illegible] Pujari [illegible] — [illegible] Pujari [illegible] — [illegible] 12/32 Pujari Veeran
Fasli 1211 Mariamma

Nallamangalam
Narenamma
Pujari
Veeran
[illegible]

Tola Cess
Tax free
In the name of the Goddess
13/7/63

[illegible] No 428
Cert [illegible]

[illegible] 1 9 26 11 9 67 1 1/4 — 22 8 10 — [illegible] — D — D — D — [illegible] of Muthu Kandi Amman at Nallamangalam [illegible] in good order

D°

[illegible] Kandi Amman Pujari [illegible] — Fasli 1211 Mura[illegible] — [illegible] Pujari [illegible] — [illegible] Kandi Amman [illegible] 1 1/8 Pujari [illegible]

Nallamangalam
Muthu Kandi Amma
Pujari
[illegible] 75 of Nallamangalam

Tola Cess
Tax free
In the name of the Goddess
13/7/63

[illegible] No 429
Cert [illegible]

[illegible] 30 6 90 2 1 7 13/16 [illegible] — 22 11 6 — [illegible] — D — D — D — [illegible] of Ankalamma at Nallamangalam [illegible] in good order

D°

[illegible] Ankalamma Pujari [illegible] Fasli 1211 Ankalamma — [illegible] Pujari [illegible]

Nallamangalam
Ankalamma
Pujari
1 Nayinar 40
2 Ramaen 25
3 [illegible] 43
} of Nallamangalam — enjoy the produce in 3 equal share

Tola Cess
Tax free
In the name of the Goddess
13/7/63

[illegible] No 430
Cert [illegible]
11/10/63

[illegible] 38 13 0 97 [illegible] — 7 4 5 — Punja [illegible] — [illegible] 159 — D — D — [illegible]

D°

[illegible] 1830 [illegible] — Fasli 1211 [illegible] 50 — [illegible] 1829 [illegible] — [illegible] 1834 [illegible]

Date of application for settlement
15th February 1864.

Register No. 6

Register of [illegible] individual holdings in the Agraharam [illegible] of Nattamangalam

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

1st Perumal. Shares 2 as per Kosam Dowle acct of 1806

Fields	Vallams	acres & decimals	Assessment in pagodas	Equivalent in Rs. As. Ps.
Dry No. 1 Pallamaram Punji	3/4	6.45	12-0-0	15-2-0
[illegible] Punji No. 2	3/4	6.45	12-0-0	15-2-0
Total Do	1 1/2	12.90	24-0-0	30-4-0
Kalar Garden Tottam No. 3	18/64	2.42	14-4-5/8	18-3-6
Wet - [illegible] Nanji No. 1	17 13/16	0.34	3-1-11/64	3-14-8
Varithi [illegible] Nanji No. 2	21	0.41	3-6-3/4	4-10-1
Nadi [illegible] Nanji No. 3	27 1/2	0.53	4-8-1/8	6-0-11
Mittu [illegible] Nanji No. 4	14 5/8	0.29	2-5-38/64	3-3-6
Arjan Nanji No. 5	26 13/16	0.52	4-6-58/64	5-14-5
[illegible] Vali Nanji No. 6	37 7/16	0.68	6-1-49/64	7-12-6
Total Nanji	143 3/16	2.77	25-0-5/16	31-8-1
Grand Total	—	18.09	63-4-15/16	79-15-9

For subsistence as Charity

Tody 24-6-2

Hey 0

Not known

No sanad

Not known

In the Kosam Dowle acct of 1806 & in Capt. Mc Leod's Patta of 1806 Swamidar Venkata Subbaraya 2 out of 14 Jari shares

It appears to all the acts [illegible] as [illegible]

Regr No. 15

Nattamangalam

Trichengodu Tq

Case No. (1-2) Salem Dt.

Sheet No. 68

Nattamangalam

Kolagasani Venkatesayan 25	Grandson	His ~~own~~ ~~daughter~~
2 Iswarayan 15	Do	his brother
3 Kistnaya 64	Son	his own daughter
28		

The original Inamdar had 5 sons. The eldest was Annarayan whose son Venkata Subbrayan went astray 20 years ago & nothing has been heard of him. The 2d was Annayan whose sons are No 1 & No 2. The 3d was Sechaya who died issueless 15 years ago. The 4th is Kistnayan No 3. The 5th was one Arunachelayan whose wife Nenjamma is getting a maintenance from No 1 & No 3. Till 8 years ago, the family was undivided. 3 years back, No 1 & 2 & No 3 became divided & they enjoy each No 1 & 2 — 1/2 of the Inam & No 3 — 1/2 of the Inam.

[illegible]

Ins 24-6-2

Q.R. 1/8 27 Rs

Inf. Q.R. 31 Rs

Informed as recommended 22/3/65

T.D. 1208

2-4

T.D.C.

[illegible]umal. Shares Two as per Capt. Mc Leod's Patta of 1806

	Fields	Vallams	acres & decimals	Assessment in pagodas	Equivalent
[illegible] Punji	Dry No. 4	42/64	5.64	13-1-1/4	16-5-8
[illegible] Punji	Dry No. 6	42/64	5.64	8-0-5/8	10-2-7
[illegible] Punji	Dry No. 7	1/8	1.08	1-7-1/2	2-3-3
	Total	1 28/64	12.36	22-9-3/8	28-14-6
[illegible] Tottam	Garden No. 7	5/16	2.69	15-6-1/4	19-11-1
Kalapam Tottam	Do No. 8	3/16	1.61	9-3-3/4	11-13-0
	Total	1/2	4.30	25-0-0	31-8-1
[illegible] Nanji	Wet No. 7	17 13/16	0.34	3-1-11/64	3-11-10
[illegible] Nanji	Wet No. 8	21	0.41	3-6-3/4	4-10-1
[illegible] Nanji	Wet No. 9	15	0.29	2-6-1/4	3-4-11
Do Do	Wet No. 10	27 1/2	0.54	4-3-1/8	5-6-11
[illegible] Nanji	Wet No. 11	14 5/8	0.28	2-5-38/64	3-3-6
Arjan Nanji	Wet No. 12	26 13/16	0.51	4-6-59/64	5-11-7
[illegible] Nanji	Wet No. 13	17 1/2	0.34	3-0-40/64	3-13-9
	Total	140 1/4	2.71	24-0-7/16	30-4-7
	Grand Total	—	19.37	71-9-13/16	90-11-2

Do

Tody 24-6-3

Hey 6

Not known

No sanad

Not known

In the Kosam Dowle account of 1806 Annaya 2 shares

Do

Nattamangalam

Perimarem

Venkataramanuyan 45	Grandson	[illegible] No 4
2 Annayan 40	Do	—
3 Krishnayan 35	Do	[illegible] 1
4 Varadayan 25	Do	—
~~200~~		
5 Nagammal 80	Brother's widow	None

Undivided - Q.R. 1/8 18

[illegible]

Ins 26-6-3

[illegible]

Confirmed as recommended 22/8/65

2-4

T.D. No. 1209

Sheet No 3

Register 2015

Inam Register of the Agrahar village of Nattamangalam No 111, in the Trichengod Taluk of the Salem District

This village is a Rayam Tody village—

This is called in the Accounts an Arda Inam Agrahar ~~the~~ agrahar of the wrongly so called

Village. The original grant comprized ~~a moiety~~ ~~whole tract as~~ Nattamangalam

Agrahar. It consisted of 20 Vritties. In F1205, 6 Vritties belonging to ~~a~~ Tirupati

Venkata Chellapati Swamy were resumed, on the ground that the temple was allowed a Tasdik allowance—

The lands of the original Agrahar, representing the resumed 6/20 portion were surveyed and classed into a distinct Circar Village—known by the name of "Circar Nattamangalam", in contradistinction from the ~~[illegible]~~ "Agrahar "Natta Mangalam" which is composed of fields ~~now enjoyed~~ confirmed as Agrahar with 14 Vritties. Capt McCleod granted the Inam Patta for the Agrahar fields in the names of the Agrahardars.

The fields of Circar Nattamangalam ~~is [illegible]~~, & the fields of the Agrahar are mingled up. ~~[illegible]~~ & they do not form, in fact, separate ~~village~~ tracts. ~~Nattamangalam Circar~~ There is but one village for both the Circar & Agrahar portions of the village. It ~~up~~ wd appear to be a preferable course to make over the whole of the village, including the Circar portion to the Agrahardars, on a proper calculation—but they do not wish to take up the responsibility. For the Circar fields in the village there is a separate Karnam, & a distinct ~~[illegible]~~ Ryotwary settlement is held annually of those fields. All the accounts available are the individual fieldwar & settlement account of Jerob, & the individual Abstract. The Patta of Captn McLeod was for the Tody & it specifies the names of the ~~principal~~ Chief Inamdars. As the ~~accounts~~ fieldwar enjoyments are noted in the Inam Accts of Jerob, & as these enjoyments are clearly kept up, I it seems quite feasible & expedient to ~~[illegible]~~ recognize each individual holding by a distinct Title Deed. The Data are exactly what the Govt order on the subject has adverted to.

3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Original	Agrahar as per Inam Survey of Jerob		Assessment as per Jerob 1-4-2 per cawny in Rupees		For Nubasi Rent as Chaudy	Tody 170-11-6 Rs	Very 6—
Share 14/20 Dry—	11 9/16 + 1/32	99·68	216-8	265-12-			
Garden	1 5/32	9·94	58-6	73-7-1			
Wet—	936 5/16 + 3/64	17·96	163-7	206-5-			
Total—	949 13/16 + 3/64	127·58	~~432~~-3-8	545-8-1			
No Poramboke—							
No Inam							
Agrahar Inam	949 13/16 + 3/64	127·58	432-3-8	545-8-1			
Deduct Tody [illegible]	6/16	2 6/16	135-1-	170-11-6			
Nett Actual Inam [illegible]	14/16		297-2-8	374-12-7			

Net Agrahar

Rs 374-12-7

11	12
Not known. No Sannad produced. A Tody Patta of Captn McLeod in Jerob, being a lease Patta for the year—for the amount of the Tody in the names of	
	shares
1 Venkata Subbaya	2
2 Ammaya	2
3 Rama Lingaya	1 1/4
4 Chinna Subbaya	1
5 Narasingaya	10/16
6 Venkataramanaya	1
7 Subbayyan	3
8 Sreenivasan	10/16
9 Gopalayyan	2 1/2
9 persons Total	14 shares

14	
In Captn McLeod's Patta of Jerob	
Venkata Subbaya	shares 2
Ammaya	2
Rama Lingaya	1 1/4
Chinna Subbaya	1
Narsingaya	10/16
Venkataramaya	1
Subbayyan	3
Sreenivasan	10/16
Gopalayyan	2 1/2
	14 shares

~~This is~~ This is an Agrahar in name—but it is in fact a collection of isolated scattered fields in the village of Nattamangalam, as described in the preceding notice. The fields of the Agrahar & the resumed Circar fields lie scattered, but the lists of ~~the~~ both the kinds of fields were drawn up distinctly as early as at the Survey. In the Survey Abstract, & in the Kosawar Account of Jerob—the fields of each person are clearly detailed & the enjoyments have been kept up accordingly undisturbed. Under these grounds, I have treated each person's holding as a separate case, & have recommended a separate Title Deed for each case.

2 From the Report of the Agrahardars, it appears the grant was made individually among several persons. But Captn McLeod granted a single Patta for the whole of the Agrahar Fields, though he has recognized in the Kosawar & Survey fieldwar, the title of each ~~for~~ person to certain fields. The Kosawar & the Tarwar are exactly the ~~[illegible]~~ accounts of the kind referred to by Govt in their order on the subject, as the basis on which a distinct individual settlement ~~is~~ may be held with the Agrahardars, by issue of individual Title Deeds.

3 The fields of each case ~~are~~ have been allowed the benefit of the recent Assessment Revision ~~[illegible]~~ Rules of the District.

4 The wet in the village, both the Agrahar & Circar portions, is irrigated by a Tank—which is kept up in repair both by Govt & by the Agrahardars. The Government share of the cost of repairs is 6/20 & that of the Agrahardars 14/20 [illegible] confirmed as this because the [illegible] dividing

& right of owning & repairing the Tank—

Nor ~~does~~ wd it appear to be a favorable settlement on either side to disturb the right of repairing the Tank.

5- Either in Capt McLeod's Patta, or in the ~~It~~ Karnam Tarwar, no Poramboke is referred to, or specified as belonging to the Agrahardars. The Poramboke of the tank bund, & the tank spreadage, & the village site seemed to be included in the Circar Agrahar. Both the Agrahardars & Government appear to possess joint interest in using the Poramboke appropriated to its legitimate purposes. Captn McLeod's Patta ~~states~~ declares the right of the Agrahar to ~~the~~ trees—but what trees ~~[illegible]~~ are referred to ~~[illegible]~~ is not clear. The usage explains the right as ~~[illegible]~~ confined to trees in the Inam fields, & in the Tank Poramboke. The Agrahardars, it appears, have planted Palmyra trees on the Tank bank. The Agrahardars will according to usage exercise the right of grazing cattle & having the

Sheet No. 1 … 12

[illegible] Register of Fieldwar Individual … or Share in the Sarvamanyam Agraharam … of Nattamangalam Agraharam in the Sowkery

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No. 3

3

Personal – 1¼ shares

Dry fields as per Korum Account of Fusly

		Acres cents	Assessment in Gopaul Chakrams	Equivalent Rs. A. P.	Reduced assessment
Dry No. Chembaipattan	4/16	5·91	11 – –	13-13-10	~~11-14-5~~
Dry No. Vakkial Tar.	2/64 Vallam	1·21	2-1-5	2-11 –	~~2-6-5~~
Dry No. Chelange Tar.	6/16 Vallam	3·22	5-2-8	6-9-10	
Total Dry fields 3	1 13/64 Vallam	10·34	18-3-13	23-2-8	

Wet No.	Goontas			
Wet No. Kottai Vayal Kuyt	6 3/4 + 2/64	0·13	1-1-15	1-8-2
Wet No. Merkku Kuyt	4 – 2/16 + 2/64	0·08	– 7-4	– 15 –
Wet No. Anjaru Kalam Kuyt	7¼ – –	0·14	1-2-11	1-9-7
Wet No. Kelakku Kuyt	14 – 2/16 –	0·27	2-4-11	3-2-2
Wet No. Nadal Idu Kuyt	15½ + 2/16 –	0·30	2-7-5½	3-7-2
Wet No. Ettu Payal Kuyt	6¼ + 1/16 + 2/64	0·13	1-1-1½	1-6-3
Wet No. Oochi Munai Kuyt	1/4 + 2/16 –	0·10	– 8-8½	1-1-3
Wet No. Do	7 – –	0·14	1-2-4	1-8-8
Wet No. Adayeri Kuyt	6¼ – –	0·12	1-0-15	1-6-2
Wet No. Vadakkadu Kuyt	15 – –	0·24	2-6-4	3-5 –
Total wet 10 fields	87¼ + 1/16 + 2/64	1·65	15-3-2/4	19-5-5
Total Dry & wet 13 fields	—	11·99	23-6-13¼	42-8-1

Hindu Vaishnava as Shares Reduced assessment

Tody 6 15-13-11

Perg 6

Not known.

No Sunnud

It appears to have been acted as Inam

—

See the Korum Account of Fusly & in Extract from the Recd. Patta of the same year ~~[illegible]~~ 1¼ Share out of 11 Shares

Nattamangalam Agraharam

Sattiamangalam Brahacharanam Maleyappan alias Venkatramanayan 58 Son 1¼ V.

Self and Sons 2

The Survey Inamdar has left 2 sons. The present enjoyer is the younger of the two. He enjoys the whole of the 1¼ V. himself. His elder brother Venkata Subbayya executed a Razeenamah or Kadjan [illegible] dated [illegible] or 1848 that [illegible] that the 1¼ shd. be enjoyed by Venkatramanayan as his share, & that the Vretti in the Urakani Agraharam in the Namakal Taluk shd. be enjoyed as the share of Venkata Subbayyan.

To be Confirmed

1¼ V.

Tus 15-13-11

Dh. ⅛ 3-8-

Tirchy 19 Rs

[illegible]

Confirmed as recommended.

22/3/65 [illegible]

2-4 8

Dl. No. 1210

(3-6)

Regr. No. 15

Nattamangalam

Trichengodu Tq.

Salem Dt.

Sheet No. 69

Case No. 4

4

Personal – 11/16 shares according to Korum Account of Fusly

Fields.

	Vallams		Chs. Fan. Ca.	
Dry No. Pelaja Karai Kuyt	– – 2/16 –	1·61	5 – 2-8	6-9-10
Dry No. Rayandan Tar Puja	– – 2/16 –	1·61	5 – 2-8	6-9-10
Total Dry fields 2	– – 4/16 –	3·22	10-5 –	13-3-8
Dry garden No. Totta Punjai	– – 6/16 –	3·23	18-7-8	23-10-2

Wet No.	Goontas			
Wet No. Velli Madai Kuyt	30 – 1/16 –	0·59	5-3-7½	6-11-9
Wet No. Adayeri Kuyt	7 – ¼ + 1/16 –	0·14	1-2-14½	1-11-11
Total wet 2 fields	38 – –	0·73	6-6-6	8-5-8
Total Dry Garden & Wet fields 5	Dry & Garden ¾ Vallam Wet 38 Goontas	7·18	35-8-14	45-5-6

Dv

Tody 6 7-10 –

Perg 6

Not known

No Sunnud

Do.

See the Korum Account of Fusly & in the Recd. Patta Narasingayan 10/16 of a Vretti

—

Nattamangalam Agraharam

Molagasam Brihacharanam Sundaramayan 65	1st son	Self and sons 3 Grandsons 2	
2 Venkata Ramanayan 18	2d son's son	Brothers wife	
3 Venkatesayan Subbayyan 15	3d son's son	Brothers	
4 – Ananthayan 45	4th son	Self, son 1	

10/16 V

Enjoyed by the family. 4 equal share divided. They are divided in family from long time.

To be Confirmed

Tody 7-10 –

Dh. ⅛ 2-11 –

Trichy 12 Rs

[illegible]

Confirmed as recommended

22/3/65 [illegible]

Dl. No. 1211

2-4 8

2	3	4	5	6
Perumal. Dry No.	Total shares Valuns		Chakra & Annas	
Pariathar Punji	9/16 + 1/32	5·11	16-8-12	20-15-3
Dry No. Kuppandar Punji	10/16 + 1/64	5·51	10-2-8	12-15-11
Dry No. Netta Palakan Punji	9/16	4·83	10-9-2	12-15-11
Dry No. Nedu Punja	3/16 + 1/64	1·75	5-6-14	7-7-2
Dry No. Rangandan Tar Punji	3/16 + 1/64	1·75	5-6-14	7-2-8
Dry No. Kanpati Iyan Tar	7/16	3·76	5-2-8	6-9-10
Total Dry Fields 6	2-10/16 + 1/64	22·71	54-·-10	68-2-9
Wet No. Sedukkadu Rayi	Gumlas 51	0·99	8-9-4	11-11-·
Wet No. Tarkavak Rayi	47¼ S	0·91	8-2-11	10-6-9
Wet No. Taringal Nedu Rayi	15 3/4 S	0·30	2-7-9	3-7-10
Wet No. Kalattedu Pagal Rayi	13½ S	0·26	2-3-10	2-15-7
Wet No. [illegible]	30 3/16 S	0·58	5-·-13	6-10-7
Wet No. Pullemali Rayi	22½ S	0·41	3-8-13	4-14-6
Total wet 6 fields	179 4/16	3·45	31-4-12	39-11-3
Total Dry & wet 12 fields	2-9/16 + 1/64 Valuns & 179 4/16 S	26·16	85-5-6	107-11-·

8: For Subsistence as charity

9: Tody 36-9-3

10: Key 6

11: Not known

12: No Sannad

It appears it all to acts as Inam

14: See Captain the Lord's Patta as well as in the Kosam Dowle of Fusli. Subbarayan 9 Vritties

16–19: Kattamangalam

Periyapatnam

1 Rangundayan 60	Purchaser	Wet 1
2 Subbarayan 50	Purchaser's Brother	Wet 1
3 Sitharayan 40	Do	Wet 1
4 Nagarayan 35	Do	Wet 1
5 Venkatesayan 15	Purchaser's son	Wet 1
6 Sundararamayan 60	Purchaser's Brother	Wet 1
7 Venkataramanayan 20	Purchaser's Brother	—
8 Ananta Iyan 45	Purchaser's Brother	—
9 Iyakutti Iyan alias Venkataramanayan 45	Purchaser	Wet 1
10 Krishna Iyan 35	Purchaser's Brother	—
11 Varadayan 25	Do	—
12 Krishna Iyan 64	Purchaser's Brother	—
13 Venkatesayan 22	Purchaser's Brother	—
14 Iswara Iyan 15	Do	
3 V—		

Wet W. 4 enjoy 1 V—
W 5 W 8 enjoy 1 V
W 9 W 11 enjoy ½ V
W 12 W 14 enjoy ½ V
Total 3 V—

20: The present enjoyers assert right to the land in this case on the strength of the following documents.

1st— A deed of combined sale & gift on Kadjan leaf dated Sabhakrit or F1252 — executed by Karnika Subbarayan of Periyapatnam (son of Rangaya & grandson of Venkatramayan) giving away to his Purohit Kambal Kuppachary — 1 Vritti as gift & 2 Vritties in sale for 50 Rs.

2d— A deed of sale on Kadjan leaf dated Krodhi (F1254) by Kambal Kuppachary to Venkatramanaya, a Vrittiholder of the Agraharam, selling 1 Vritti — which is entered in the column of ¾ of Netta Palakan Punji, ½ of the Kanpati Iyan Tar, wet fields.

3d— A deed of sale on common Kadjan leaf dated Krodhi (1254) Kuppachary to Venkatesayan son of Venkataramaya — selling ½ Vritti for 11 Rs.

4th A deed of sale on Kadjan leaf dated Krodhi (F1258) Kambal Kuppachary to Kattamangalam Rangundayan a Vrittiholder, selling 1 Vritti—

A Pn No 475 of 1864 from No 4 — Complaining that the last 2 fields which he is enjoying have been included in the statements given by No 9—

Endorsed that his right is not affected by the settl—

W.S.

21: Total Wet 3

Tax 36-9-3

Add ⅛ 9 Rs

Total Rs 46 Rs

Confirmed

22: Confirmed as recommended. 22/3/65 W.S.

g

T 1212

2: Perumal. According to the Kosam Dowle of Fusli — ½ Vritti

Following particulars

2	3	4	5	6
			Chakra Fanams Annas	
Dry No. Pillayar Kovil Punji	Valuns 1/16 + 1/64	3·90	12-6-14	15-14-11
Dry No. Rangandar Tar Punji	3/16 + 3/64	2·00	6-5-11	8-4-4
Total Dry fields 2	4/16	5·90	19-2-8	24-3-3
Wet No. Adikaran Rayi	Gumlas 26¼	0·50	4-6-13	5-14-5
Wet No. Pullimulai Rayi	10¾ S	0·20	1-8-13	2-6-4
Total wet fields 2	37 ¼ S	0·70	6-5-10	8-4-5
Total Dry & wet fields 4	Dry 4/16 Valuns, wet 37¼ S	6·60	25-8-2	32-7-6

8: For subsistence as charity

9: Tody 7-10-·

10: Key

11: Not known

12: No Sannad —

Do

14: See Captain the Lord's Patta & in the Kosam Dowle of Fusli 6 Ramayan ½ of a Vritti

16–19: Kattamangalam

Kolagam Rangundayan 60	1st son's son	5 sons 1 Brother's son & another Brother's grandson
2 Seshayan 45	3d son's son	His 5 sons 2
3 Ragayan 35	5th son's son	His sons & Daughter

10/16 V

20: No 1 enjoys lands divided — which represent ⅖ of whole extent in the case. No 2 enjoys ⅖ likewise & No 3 ⅕.

The original Inamdar had 5 sons.

The present enjoyers are of the branch of the 1st, 3d & 5th sons.

The shares of the other 2 brothers are alleged to have been purchased by No 1 — who holds again one of the 2 shares purchased to No 2. Hence, No 1 enjoys ⅖ share, & No 2 — ⅖. No 3 admits the facts. But No 1 & 2 have not produced any documents.

The 2 brothers viz Subbayan & Venkatayan, whose shares are thus alleged to have been purchased, have left behind their grandsons Subbayan & Ramayan — who are said to be living at Bettanahalli in the Darampuri Taluk.

21: Total Wet

Tax 7-10

Add ⅛ 3-4

Total Rs 11 Rs

22: Confirmed as recommended 22/3/65 W.S.

2-4

g

T. 1213

[illegible] Vrutti [illegible]
in the Trichengode Division of the Trichengode Taluk [illegible] Salem

[illegible] No. 2

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Personal. Recorded in the Koranu Dastu.
Deed of Grant.
Chinna Subbayan 1 Vrutti.
Fieldwar Particulars

		Chakars [illegible]		12-1-0
Dry No. Kodakkarai Punji	Nunjai $\frac{11}{16}+\frac{1}{64}$	5·51	8-9-11	11-4-11
Dry No. Vaikkal Tharisu	$\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{64}$	1·21	2-1-5$\frac{1}{4}$	2-10-11
Total of fields 2	$\frac{13}{16}+\frac{1}{32}$	6·72	11-1-1$\frac{1}{4}$	13-15-10
Dry No. Mettukuzhal Punji	Punjai 6 $\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{64}+\frac{1}{64}$	0·13	1-1-1$\frac{1}{2}$	1-7-11
Dry No. Medu Punji	4 $\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{32}$	0·08	0-7-12$\frac{1}{4}$	0-11-8
Dry No. Sengara Kalar Punji	7 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{8}$	0·14	1-2-11	1-9-7
Dry No. Iluvanu Kalathu Punji	14 $\frac{1}{8}+\frac{2}{64}$	0·28	2-4-12$\frac{3}{4}$	3-1-11
[illegible] Dry No. Kodaladu Punji	15 $\frac{10}{16}$	0·30	2-7-5$\frac{1}{4}$	3-7-7
Dry No. Mettu Vayal Punji	6 $\frac{6}{16}+\frac{1}{32}$	0·12	1-1-1$\frac{1}{2}$	1-6-7
	$\frac{10}{16}$ $\frac{1}{8}$	0·10	0-8-9	1-1-3
	7 $\frac{1}{8}$	0·13	1-2-4	1-5-4
Dry No. Do	$\frac{12}{16}$ $\frac{1}{8}$	0·13	1-1-14	1-8-10
Dry No. Addukara Punji	$\frac{1}{64}$	1·41	12-8-5	15-15-2
Total [illegible] fields		8·13	23-9-6$\frac{1}{4}$	29-15-[illegible]

8: Jirnodharanam as Chavadi

9: Tody 12-3-1

10: Very

11: Not known

12: No Sanad. It appears that the Inam as Sum

14: In Grant of Chenna Subbayan

16–17: Nattamangalam
Sattiamangalam
Brahacharanam
Malai Iyan
alias
Venkata Ramanujan
58-

18: × Purchaser

× vide case No. 3 in this sheet

19: Full owner

20: Produces a deed of sale on common Cadjan bond dated Viswavasu (1844) executed by Chenna Subbayan son Rangayan (now living in the Palapatti Agraharam in the Namakal Taluk) selling his whole share to Mania Lingayah son Venkataramanujan for 50 Rs. The sale is alleged to be a fact by the other Inamdars of the Agraharam. The refd. family is living in good condition in the Namakal Taluk.

21: To be Confirmed
Tody 12-3-1
Dry $\frac{0}{8}$ 2-4-0
Tody + Dry 14 Rs

Confirming

22: Confirmed a recommended
22/3/65

5

8
7-8

F. No.
1214

(7-9)

Regr. No. 15

Nattamangalam

Trichengodu Tq.

Salem Dt.

Sheet No. 70

---

2 [illegible] Recorded in the Koranu Dastu of Grant.
Venkataramanujam Share 1 Vrutti
fields

Dry No. Kasumarathu Punji	Nunjai $\frac{11}{16}+\frac{1}{32}$	6·18	10-0-10	12-10-11
Dry No. Vetti Palathu Punji	$\frac{1}{8}+\frac{3}{64}$	1·45	3-4-6	4-5-3
Total of fields two	$\frac{14}{16}+\frac{1}{64}$	7·66	13-5-0	17-0-2
Dry No. Iravikki Thottu Punji	Punjai ~~[illegible]~~ 25 $\frac{1}{8}$	0·49	4-3-12	5-6-11
Dry No. Thottu Punji	14 $\frac{1}{16}$ $\frac{1}{8}$	0·28	2-5-7$\frac{1}{2}$	3-15-6
Dry No. Velamarathu Punji	16 $\frac{1}{8}$	0·31	2-8-0	3-8-5
Dry No. Addukara Punji	4 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{8}$	0·08	0-7-10$\frac{1}{2}$	0-15-10
Total wet fields	60 $\frac{1}{8}$	1·16	10-4-14	18-7-10
Total of Wet 13 $\frac{1}{16}+\frac{1}{64}$ & Fields Dry 60 $\frac{1}{8}$		8·80	23-9-14	31-12-9

8: Do

9: Tody 12-3-1

10: Do

11: Do

12: No Sanad.

Do

Precopied

14: In Cakkeri Mekeod's Patta of Grant. Venkataramanujam 1 share

16–17: Nattamangalam
Peramarem
1 Nagunadayan 60
2 Rahayan 40
3 Rajayan 35
4 Ramiaramanujam 60
5 Krishnayan's Son Venkataramanujam 20
6 Venkatacharyan 15
7 Anantayan 45
8 Venkataramanujam 45
9 Krishnaiyan 35
10 Varada Iyan 25
11 Ammayan 40
12 Rajamma 45
13 Krishna Iyan 64
14 Venkatacharyan 22
15 Anantayan 16

18: Purchaser's Brother
Do
Do
Purchaser's Brother's Son
Purchaser's Brother
Do
Do
Purchaser's Brother's Son
Purchaser's Brother
Do
Purchaser's Daughter in law
Purchaser's Brother
Do
Do

19: Left 1
Left 1

20: Produced a deed of sale on Cadjan bond dated 7th Durmati executed by Venkataramanuja Krishnayan selling $\frac{1}{2}$ Vritti ~~for~~ for 22 Pagodas. 2nd A deed of sale on common Cadjan bond dated Durmati (or 1861) by Venkata Ramanujan to ~~Venkatacharyan~~ Venkataramanujan selling $\frac{1}{2}$ V. for 42 Pagodas.
W 7 B 7 ayes $\frac{1}{2}$ V.
W 8 B 15 ayes $\frac{1}{2}$ V.

21: To be left
Tody 12-3-1
Dry 22-8-0
Tope 15 Rs.

Confirmed

22: Confirmed a recommended
22/3/65

5

8
7-8

F. No.
1215

[illegible]

Om [illegible] = 8 02

[illegible] of the Freehold [illegible] in the Salem District

2	3	4	5	6	7
Case No 9	Present	According to the Kosam [illegible] of [illegible] Gopalayan 2½ Shares Following Particulars			
[illegible] Lane	Dy No 27	8/4	6·45	15 0 –	18 14 6
[illegible] Lane	Dy No 28	9/16	4·83	11 2 ½	14 2 10
[illegible] Lane	Dy No 29	9/64	1·21	1 9 11/16	2 7 9
[illegible] Naiju	Dy No 30	20/64	2·69	4 0 4/16	5 2 2
[illegible]	Dy No 31	14/64	1·88	2 6 ¼	3 4 11
[illegible] Lane	Dy No 32	6/64	0·81	1 5 5/8	1 15 6
Total		2 5/64	17·87	31 4 ¾	45 15 8
[illegible] Naiju	Nr No 27	13 11/16	0·26	2 3 4/64	3 0 3
[illegible] Naiju	Nr No 28	8 5/16	0·16	1 4 35/64	1 13 3
[illegible]	Nr No 29	14 ½	0·28	2 5 3/8	3 3 1
[illegible] Naiju	Nr No 30	28 ¼	0·54	4 9 7/16	6 4 9
[illegible] Naiju	Nr No 31	31 ¼	0·60	5 4 11/16	6 14 4
[illegible] Naiju	Nr No 32	12 11/16	0·25	2 2 13/64	2 12 9
[illegible]	Nr No [illegible]3	12 ½	0·24	2 1 1/8	2 12 1
[illegible] Naiju	[illegible]4	9 3/4	0·19	1 7 7/16	2 2 4
[illegible]	Nr No 35	4	0·27	2 4 ½	3 1 4
[illegible] Naiju	Nr No 36	17 3/16	0·33	3 0 ½	3 13 6
[illegible] Naiju	[illegible]	5 13/16	0·11	1 0 11/64	1 4 10
[illegible] Naiju	Nr No 38	8 –	0·16	1 4 0	1 12 2
Total		175 15/16	3·39	30 8 3/64	38 11 8
Grand Total		—	21·26	67 7 5/16	84 11 4

8 — Tax Rate [illegible] as [illegible]

9 — [illegible] 1 29.189

10 — [illegible] 0

11 — [illegible]

12 — [illegible] It appears in all the deeds [illegible] 1

13–15 — In Capt. [illegible] Records [illegible] Gopalayan 2½ [illegible]

16–19 — Nallamangalam

[illegible]

1 [illegible]ayan 60	Purchased [illegible]	Do 1
2 [illegible]ayan 45	Purchased Brothers [illegible]	[illegible] 1 [illegible] 2
3 [illegible]ayan 35 1¾ V	Do	[illegible] 1 [illegible] 2

4 Ragali Iyan – alleged the ~~purchaser~~ donee of ½ V shares this father [illegible] [illegible] in gift from the [illegible] This Ragala Iyan is absent it is not known where he lives

½ V by alleged gift

5 Velur Venkataramaiyan – do – ½ V by purchase.

20 — Produced a deed of sale [illegible] dated [illegible] 1783 by Chellammal [illegible] to [illegible]ayan ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 1¾ V for 187 ¼ Rs

It is alleged by the Applicants that Velur Venkataraman purchased the [illegible] from the [illegible] Daughter [illegible] Tirumalayan

21 — The field of this case comprises 2½ V.s No 1 to 3 appear as purchasers of 1¾ V. For the remaining ¾ V. none has appeared but on the strength of the Applicants' statement, the same recorded to absentees' enjoyments. This [illegible] are recorded according to the declaration of the Applicants. The sale is not satisfactory. [illegible] the document [illegible] [illegible] & besides 2 parties to the case are absent. [illegible] recommended to [illegible] to be confirmed at ¼ [illegible]

Tax

29 13 9

[illegible]

Total 44 Rs

22 — T.D. 12/6 Confirmed

[illegible]

22/3/75

84 – 14 – 4
29 – 13 – 9
55 – – 5
12 – 1
43 – 9 – 10

Total Extent	Assessment	Tax	Quitrent
127-58	545 8 1	170-11-6	54-4-0