Case No 48 Velur Regr 73
Salem Dist
Namkal Taluk

Regr No 73
Sheet No 51

Case No 48 Velur Regr 73
Salem Dt
Namkal Taluq

7a

Field No 359 extent acres 1.04 asst 15-13-5 Inm 13-15-5

~~Vanch~~ This field is now enjoyed by one Ardhnarayan.— He states that his father Venkataramanayyan obtained the Inam 30 years ago by mortgage from 1 Minakshiammal, 2 Vanguvammal, & 3 Lakshmiammal ~~Ardhanarayan~~. ~~The~~ ~~and~~ ancestors of these individuals are also said to have obtained the Inam by mortage.— The family of the orgl holder is not to be found.— Minakshiammal & Lakshmiammal are alive, & wish to have the Inam confd in their names.— Vanguvammal who was the sister of Lakshmiammal is now dead.—

Ardhanarayan states that the Inam should be registered in his name since the mortgage was simply transferred to him by ~~the~~ the females Nos 1, 2 & 3 above named.— He however produces no documents.— ~~The latter say that~~ Minakshiammal & Lakshmi ammal state that they only mortgaged the property & that they are going to redeem it.— In the absence of any documents, I think the best course would be to confirm the Inam to the present holder Ardnarayan on grant on a quit rent of Rs 15.— Minakshiammal & Lakshmi ammal can establish their rights in Civil Courts. +

The quitrent imposed is nearly equal to the full assmt.—

See [illegible] dated 20th Decr 1869 No 2.

5

P. Chentsalrao
Dy
21/1/70

Approved
27/1/70
T. D. K.
936.

Register of Inams of the Village of Velur No. 223 in the Paramutty Division of the Namcul taluq Salem District –
No. 73

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	2.	22
22	Personal or subsistance Inam	Du-F-20 No 63—325 Not	1—4—6	1—11	23—13—6	4— — — 16-2-10	Subsistance Inam	Iosigi Inam 14-13-7	Heriditary	In Fusli 1206 (Tamil year Kala)	None	Thurgum Vencata ramanien	In F 1206 (Tamil year Kala) Bhata-virti Thurgum Vencata ramanien Nunji Sai 109/128 In F 1230 Bhata-virti Thurgum Vencataramanien, mattu Voykal Nunji Sai 27 Assmt 32-23-10-4 The above Inam was mortgaged to Coimbatore Kistnien, who is dead, and his daughter inlaw is enjoying the same.	Durgum Vencata ramanien In F 1252 Durgum Vencata ramanien Bhata-virti Nunji 1-4-6 23-13-6 Iosigi 14-13-7 The Inam was mortgaged to Nagien and Subbien of Thirumalairama-samurum for Rs 168—	Vencatapati Iyen age 45	Salem	Daughter's son (Grand son)	Sons 2 Daughter inlaw—1	The Inam land is not in the possession of the Petitioner Vencatapati Iyen, but in the enjoyment of Cubbakonda royen and Nagien's son Sreenivasien, and they are willing to give up the land to the Petitioner on his paying the amount the land was mortgaged for—	The Inam may be confirmed in the name of Vencatapati Iyen referred to in Column 16 as he seems to be the legal heir of the original Inamdar. Quitrent may be fixed at the rate of 1/8 of the assessment in reference to section 3 Rule 5 of the Inam Rules. The Inam is now under attachment. Quitrent at the above rate will be Rs The Inam was attached on the 8th of August 1864— Dy Collector Namcul Division Dy Coll's Office 3rd May 1867— The recommendation of the Deputy Collector is proper It appears from the Deputy Collector's report that Venketapathy Iyen's allegation as to the presentation to the Deputy Collector of the statement on the 11th April 1864 or within one year from date of attachment is correct The attachment will therefore be removed This is the case referred to in the Inam Commissioner's letter of the 9th January 1866 No 11 Salem 7th June 1867 C. H. Pochin Collector	Confirmed as recommended Confirmed on a Quit-Rent of Rs 15-- -- The Quit-Rent has been calculated on the revised assessment of Rs 16-2-10 as entered in the original Register To be released from attachment J. Main 20-6-67 8th I.D. No 910

Coll's written to, to release with past proceeds
5-8-67

Register No. 73
Sheet No. 52

Supplimental

Fair copied.
22-8-68.

23-13-6
14-13-7

16-2-10
14-13-7
1-5-3

Entd

Po: 209

Ammy 3

Register of Inams in the Amani village of Vellur, No. 223, Paramutty Division of the Namcul taluq Salem District—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
38	Personal or Subsistence Inam	2 in No. 382- Nunji Sai 1 43/128	1-29-10	1-74	37-6-6	25-6-2	Subsistence Inam Before Survey	Tosi 23-5-9	Hereditary	By the old Rajahs	"	Koudien, Veucata rayen 2- Anjana girien 3- Peria Sreenevassien 4-	In Fusli 1206 Bhatavirti 3 Koudien, Veucata rayen, Anjanagirien 4½ Nunji Sai 2 189/256	Koudien, Veucata rayen 2- Anjana girien 3 Peria Sreenevassien 4-	Veucatammal age 76- Annyen age 18-	Thottacurchi Catoor rayen taluq	Daughter to Veucata rayen Fysul Inamdar Great grand son (daughter's son's son)	Daughter in law 1 Grand son 1	The land No. 2 in 382 assessed at Rs. 25-6-2 is in the enjoyment of Nangiri ammal and a separate statement is prepared for that share, the usual statement being presented by Nangiri ammal above referred to —	The Inam may be confirmed in the names of the individuals referred to in Column 16 as they seem proper heirs to the Fysul Inamdars— Quitrent may be fixed at the rate of 1/8 of the assessment with reference to Section 3 Rule 5 of the Inam Rules- The Inam is now under attachment— The present holders are not willing to make the Inam absolutely free to themselves (1)"	Field No. 1 in 382 assessed at Rs 26..10..4 is confirmed to the parties in Col 16 on 1/8th assessment. Combined quit rent Rs 25..-..- to be released from attachment. J. W. Brian 15/3/69
Vel		1 in No. 382 Do. Do. 1 103/256	1-33-1	1-83	39-4-3	26-10-4		24-7-3													
				3-57	76-10-9	52-..-6		47-13-0													

Owners

The other share (land No. 1 in 382) assessed at Rupees 26—10—4 was enjoyed by Koudien and Veucatarayen Fysul Inamdars- Koudien left no heirs- Veucatarayen although leaving no male issue has a great grandson (daughters son's son) he and his grand mother both enjoy the Inam in question and request that it may be registered in their names-

Register No. 73
Sheet No. 117
Supplemental case

Case No. 38
Personal or Subsistence Inam

G. Duncan Irvine
Assistant Collector
in Charge of the Namcul Divn.

2-4

8 — 780

Collector written to release, March 1869.

T. D. No.
927

Namcul Division
Deputy Collr's office
14th September 1868

(2)
Namcul Division
Deputy Collectors
Office 14th September
1868

Date of app. for attachment June 1864
Statement filed 21st September 1866

26-10-4
24-7-3
8 | 2-3-1
4-5
26-11-8
Rs 25 Rent

T. D. No.

W. Robinson
Inám Commissioner

Corrections in this page (2)
Two
Appasawmy Pillay
Exn.

Entd.
N. A. M.
25/3/69

Register of Inam in the Amani Veloor village No-223 — Paramutty Division Namcul taluq Salem District —

Vellur Casper [illegible]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
	Personal or subsistence Inam	D. No. 117 T. No. 381 Nunji-Sai 1 5/16	1-28-7	1-71	36-12-4	24-15-4	For subsistence	Sodi 22-14-2	Hereditary	By the former Rajahs	A puttah was given by Mr Macleod in fusli 1207 (Pingala)	Caroor Nana Row	In fasli 1206 Bhata vritti Nana Row Nunji Sai 1 5/16	Nana Row In fasli 1252 Bhatavritti Nana Row Matter vrikkal nunji — (1) 1-28-7 assesst — 36-12-4 Sodi — 22-14-2 This land is mortgaged to Vengarai Seena-Iyen and Ramien in two equal shares (as Umvadi)	Annunda Row age 40	Utten giri	Great grandson to the original Inamdar	Wife 1 Sons 2 daughters 2 daughter in law 1 Mother 1 Aunt 1	"	Half of this Inam has been disposed of in the names of Vencataramaien Vencata Rungien and Nursammal on the usual statement being presented by the parties who have enjoyed the Inam for a long time on mortgage and a Title Deed is also issued on their names. The other half share which was enjoyed by the individual referred to in Column 16 is now under attachment owing to his not presenting the required statement before the Inam Commissioner. Annunda Row referred to in Column 16 being the proper heir and willing to pay the mortgage sum to Vencataramaien and the two others referred to above, the Deputy Collector is resolved to leave the case for the disposal of the Inam Commissioner at his discretion, granting to Annunda Row the whole extent of the Inam, or the half share which is now under attachment — The individual referred to in Column 16 is not willing to make the Inam absolutely free to himself	Half of this extent, viz Acr. 86, is confirmed to the party in Col 16 combined on a quit rent of Rs 12-11-. To be released from attachment. W. Irvine 15/3/69

Register No 73
Sheet No 118
Supplemental cases

Case No 39
Personal or Subsistence Inam

2-4
5

Collector written to release, March 1869
T. D. No. 932.

G. Duncan Irvine

Assistant Collector
in Charge of the Namcul Div —

Date of app. for attachment June 1864
Statement filed 28-11-64

Namcul Division
ty Collr's office
September 1868

Namcal Division
Deputy Collr's office
14th September 1868

24-15-
22-14-12
[illegible]-10

12-7-6
11-5-1
1-"-5
-2-
11-9-1 or R Con 86
[illegible]

W. Robinson
Inám Commissioner

Correction in this page one (1)
Appasawmy Pillay
Exd.

Exd.
A M /69

Register of Inam in the Amani village of Veylur, Paramutty Division of Namcul taluq, Salem District — No 223 —

Wm Carpenter Clerk

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
36 Personal Subsist ce Inam	D No 1 in 236 F No 1 in 382 Sai 1 103/256 acres	1-33	11–83	Deducting remission 26-10-4	— —	For subsistence at the time of old Survey	Jodi 24-7-3	Hereditary	–	–	Koudien Vencata Ramien 2 Anjanagirien 3 Peria Sreenevassien 4	In Fusli 1206 Bhatavirti Koudien Vencata Swamy Anjanagirien Nunje Sai 2 189/256	Koudien Vencata Ramien 1/2 Anjanagirien Peria Sreenevassien 4	Piroo maligar age 27	Sari Son in law Kapila of the grant malai son of village Anjana Paramutgirien Division fyoul Inamdar	Wife's Daughter	The land No 1 in 382 assessed at Rs 26-10-4 is in the enjoyment of Vencatammal and Annyen of Thottakurchi in the Caroor taluq Coimbatore District, and a separate Register has been prepared for that share and submitted for final disposal on the usual statement being presented from those individuals —	The Inam may be confirmed in the name of the present holder as he appears to be the rightful possessor. Quitrent may be fixed at the rate of 1/8 of the assessment in reference to Section 3 Rule 5 of the Inam Rules. The Inam is now under attachment. The present holder is unwilling to redeem it —	Field No 2 in 382 assessed at Rs 25"6"2 is Confirmed on 1/8th assessment. Combined quit rent Rs 24"-"- & be released from attachment. W Brown 15/3/69	
	D No 2 in 236 F No 2 in 382 Nunje Sai 1 43/128	1-29	101–74	25-6-2	— —	Do	23-5-9													
		3·57	52	–6																

Register No 73
Sheet No 119
(Supplemental case)

Case No 38
Personal & Subsistence Inam

The other share No 2 in 382 assessed at Rupees 25-6-2 was enjoyed by Kangiri ammal daughter in law of Anjanagirien fyoul Inamdar during her life. She died leaving no male issue, but one daughter named Thungammal wife of the present holder referred to in Column 16. He performed the usual funeral ceremonies of Kangiri ammal and thereby became sole proprietor of all her estates —

In the old survey and in other accounts Peria Sreenivassien's name was entered together with Koudien and 2 others, as half of the Inam land in question had been mortgaged to him by Anjanagirien. Some years ago, however, the heirs of Anjanagirien (Kangiri ammal and Piroomaliengar) repaid the mortgaged sum to the heirs of Peria Sreenivassien, and retook possession of all the land. Peria Sreenivassien's heirs have consented to the registering of the whole Inam in the name of Piroomaliengar —

2-4
8-78

Collector written to release March 1869.

J. C. D. No
924

G Duncan Irvine
Asst Collr

Date of app for attachment June 1864
Statement filed ~~20~~ 21st September 1864

Namcul Division
Collr's office
— September 1868

Namcal Division
Deputy Collr's office
17th September 1868

25-6-2
23-5-9
[illegible]

Corrections home in this page
[illegible]
Esr

J W Robinson
Inam Commissioner

Exd
N. A. M.
[illegible]/69

Exn. 3

[illegible]

Register of Inam in the Amani village of Veylore, Paramutty Division Namcul taluq Salem District

No. 223

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
7	Personal or subsistence Inam 4	D. No. 63 F. No. 357 Nunji Sai 1¾	2-11-4	2-28	49-4-4	33-4-0	By the [illegible] Government	Tirvi Inam 30-8-3	Here A pultah ditarga was granted by Mr. Macleod		u	Soorya Náranien	In Fusli 1206 Bhatavirti Soorya Náranyen Nunji Sai 1¾	Soorya Náranien	Subbien age 35	Yediar	Stranger	Brothers 2 Mothers 1	The Inam was sold to Komarasamien and Sundaramien fathers of Subbien & Aroanarien respectively referred to in Column 16 by Kuppusamien grandson of Ramien, Lutchmamal, Ammalalutchmammal and Rukkumaniammal wives of Appunien, Mahadanien and Kristnien sons to the Ayul Inamdar Sooryanaranien for Rs 400 in fusli 1266 (Tamil year Nala) and since that time the Inam is in the enjoyment of that family.	The Inam may be confirmed in the names of the present holders referred to in Column 16 as they are possessed of it by legal purchase from the proper heirs of the Ayul Inamdar. Quitrent may be fixed at the rate of 1/8 of the assessment in reference to Section 3 Rule 5 of the existing Rules. The heirs are not willing to make the Inam absolutely free to themselves and it is now under attachment.	Confirmed on a Combined quit rent of Rs 81. To be released from attachment. W. W. [illegible] 8/3/69 5 I. D. No 920 / 8 Collector written to release March 1869
													In Fusli 1230 Bhatamirti Soorya Naranyen Nunji Sai 1¾ Asst. 49-4-4 Tirvi 30-8-6		Aroanarien age 16	Do	Do	Brothers 2 Mothers 1			

G. Duncan Irvine

Assistant Collector

in charge of the Namcul Dn.

Register No. 73

Sheet No. 120

(Supplemental case)

Case No. 47

Personal or Subsistence Inam

Namcul Division }
Deputy Coll's office }
4th September 1868 }

Namcal Division }
Deputy Collr's Office }
14th September 1868 }

W. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

Statement filed 23rd September 1866

1/8

33-4-0
30-8-3
2-11-9
0-5-6
30-13-9
45
31 Rs. Computing

Corrections none in this page
G. [illegible]
Exd.

Exd.
J. A. M.

Inam [illegible] of [illegible] in the [illegible]

Salem district

Volunteered Tehsil Afraker Register No. 3

Register No. 3
Sheet No. 124

a grant made by [illegible] to Sri Venkata [illegible] [illegible] in certain shares [illegible] the Chakram [illegible] half of the village [illegible] separated [illegible] attached to it. [illegible] 30/43 [illegible] which are [illegible] of 43 [illegible] Pagoda [illegible] therefore held [illegible]

2. [illegible] Account of [illegible]

3. The fields [illegible] by the Afrakardars [illegible] enjoyed [illegible] but are each [illegible]

— The Afrakardars [illegible] statements showing the fields in the enjoyment of each — but there is no such or public record to show this or their allotment of fields. The only fields [illegible] before me is the [illegible] of [illegible] each [illegible] being a [illegible] of [illegible]. I cannot therefore settle [illegible] individually, [illegible] [illegible] further [illegible] of assessment. It does not appear that the remission [illegible] by Afrakardars held on joint tenure [illegible] the rates of assessment [illegible]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	…	19	20	21	22
1	Personal [illegible] Service	Afrakar as per the [illegible] 6/ [illegible]	[illegible] Subsistence as Charity	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	…	[illegible]	[illegible] purchased [illegible] Venkata [illegible]	Title Deeds	
		145·09								2 Rangayam 60 — 1V [illegible] Durmukhi (F1246) [illegible] for 321 [illegible]	[illegible] (1845) [illegible]	1V — in the name of [illegible] 142 Rs 71 [illegible]	Completely [illegible] ½ [illegible]
										Karuguddi [illegible] katamma 70	In the [illegible] 2 Daughters [illegible]	[illegible] in the name of Venkatamma [illegible] 71	Confirmed [illegible] recommended [illegible] of Rs 71 [illegible] 21/3/65
										Urabbane [illegible] Rama [illegible] 50 — 1V [illegible] of Ramayan	Daughter [illegible]	[illegible]	823

Arable 145·09 Area

No [illegible]

Particulars

	Acres	Cents	Assessment [illegible]	Rs a p
[illegible]	12 15/16	111·51	17 [illegible]	217–0–3
[illegible]	9 15/16	22·89	20 [illegible] 10/16	26[illegible]–6
[illegible]	12 4/16	8·19	8[illegible]	100–15–5
Total	[illegible]	145·09	[illegible]	581–5–6

[illegible] fields, which are [illegible]

[illegible]	4·43	8 11/16	3–14–6
[illegible]	2·69	7–5 [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	7·12	10–5 [illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]	8·70	24[illegible]
[illegible]	8·19	106–15–3
Total	157·97	667–15–8

This is enjoyed in 8 [illegible] each [illegible] is equal to Rs 71 [illegible] [illegible]

Amount of [illegible] payable [illegible] each [illegible] as follows

1	71
1	71
2	142
½	35–8
1½	106–8
8	568

4	8–14
5	17–12
6	[illegible]
7	4–7
	13–5

In the [illegible] field [illegible] Venkatachellam [illegible] whose son [illegible] & brothers [illegible] the field — The [illegible] is enjoyed by [illegible]

It seems [illegible] in Sarvari (F1527) leaving 2 daughters & 2 [illegible]. The present enjoyer [illegible] it seems [illegible] his funerals & to inherit his property as the [illegible] eldest daughter — He has been enjoying the [illegible] accordingly — The other daughters are also living & the enjoyer [illegible]

[illegible] 1V — in the name of the present enjoyer [illegible] 71

[illegible]

No. 4

[illegible] Eldup[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
15-12-3 9-5-4 10-15-9 5-14-7 15-2-2 6-2-4 0-1-5 2-5-9 3-3-0 7-2-3 15-2-2 1-14-3 7-9-1 10-12-4 8-14-9 20-4-6 18-7-5 4-7-11	For [illegible] [illegible] Chanty	Tax free	Nil	[illegible]	[illegible]	Case No III In F1206 Ramayan & 3.		In F1250 Ramayan & 3 which his son Rangaya enjoys	Eldupham Badaganad 1 Gundayan 60 2 Ramayan alias Appaya 30 3 Venkataramanayan 30 4 Narasayan 28 5 Dasayan 25 6 Subbarayan 18 & 300 own [illegible] ~~[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] purchase from Venkatasa-[illegible] [illegible] deed of Sale is forthcoming~~ Total 3 [illegible]		son grandson Do Do Do Do	Wife 1 Sons 2 Mother 1 Wife 1 Sons 3 Daughter 1 Mother 1 Wife 1 Son Wife 1 Mother 1 Daughter 1 None unmarried	No. 1 & 4 enjoy ¼ share & No. 2 & 3 ¼ share & No. 5 & 6 ¼ of the produce. They are of an undivided family.	Whole [illegible] [illegible] Rs ⅛ 22 [illegible]	Confirmed E.R. Rs 3/8/64 403
[illegible]-2 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]-4-4 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]-4-8 4-2-8	Do	Do	Do		[illegible]	In F1109 Narsaya 1/4 Fields as noted in col 3 & 7		In F1230 Narsingaya 1/4 his grandson Venkataranga enjoys Do In F1263 Cousin Rangaya [illegible] inheritance Do	Badaganad Gundayan 2 Ramayan alias Appaya 38 3 Venkataramanayan 30 4 Narsayan 28 5 Dasayan 28 6 Subbarayan 18 ½ Do Thenduvayalam 7 Naranayan 38 ½ Do		Cousins [illegible] 30 years ago by performing funeral [illegible] [illegible] Cousin	As No 2 As No 3 Do Do As[illegible]	[illegible] 30 years ago in F1223 the inheritance [illegible] [illegible] Rangaya [illegible]	Whole [illegible] [illegible] Rs ⅛ 5 [illegible]	3/3/64 403
22-11-5 22-12-3	Do	Do	Do		[illegible]	In F1109 Venkataraya 10/16 Fields as noted in cols 3 & 7		In F1251 Cousin Subbaraya 10/16 [illegible] [illegible]	Thenduvayalam Naranaya 98 2 Gundayan 60 3 Venkataramanayan 39 4 ~~Naranayan~~ Gopalayan 16 5 Kistnayan 12		Purchase Do Do Do	As[illegible] Wife 1 Son As Do As[illegible] [illegible]	No 1 enjoys [illegible] No 2 & 3 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Naranaya is [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	Whole [illegible] [illegible] Rs ⅛ 2-12-	Ditto 3/3/64 404
15-[illegible]					[illegible]	In F1109 Rangaya 6/16 Fields as noted in col 3 & 7		In F1231 Rangaya's daughter [illegible] Cheebamma 6/16	Eldupham Gopalayan 16 [illegible]nayan 12		Cousin Do	Mother	[illegible] inherited by Rangaya's [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	Whole [illegible] [illegible]	Ditto

[illegible]	[illegible]			
[illegible]	[illegible] 32	2 ¼ [illegible]	2·16	
Total	[illegible]	5 3	61·16	
[illegible]	[illegible] 5	2 6	2·16	
[illegible]	[illegible] 6	2 ¼ 16 12	2·42	
[illegible]	[illegible] 7	1 [illegible] 2	1·46	
[illegible]	[illegible] 8	1 [illegible] 8	1·61	
Total	[illegible]			
[illegible]	[illegible] 33	6 17 16	6·45	
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] 16	9·67	
[illegible]	[illegible] 2	27 8	2·[illegible]	
Total	18	32	8·61	
[illegible]	[illegible] 9	1 [illegible]	1·07	
[illegible]	[illegible] 4	1 [illegible]	1·07	
Total	2	6	2·14	
Grand Total	20	38	6 20·75	
[illegible]		[illegible] 16	9·67	

[illegible] 1859

Inam Register of Velur No 23 in the Paramati division of the Namakal Taluq in the Salem dist.

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

There is a Circar village the assessment [illegible] was fixed to [illegible] on all lands Circar & Inam at 28 per cawnie or 1.30 [illegible] under orders of Board [illegible] [illegible] allowed a reduction of 9 [illegible] per cawnie on all Circar lands, & fixed the [illegible] as the [illegible] settlement. Now the same reduction has been allowed on the Inam lands & the average assessment [illegible] the assessment [illegible] with [illegible] 2,014-12-5. The present [illegible] assessment is [illegible] 1389-7-8, the difference [illegible] 625-4-9 [illegible] [illegible]

Register No 13 Sheet No 145

1. [illegible] Devadayam [illegible] 2-16-2 2.40 [illegible] 191 No 283 — 34-9-6 For the support of Kasibiswanata swamie at Velur the temple is in good order — Taxfree Permanent — Inam — No sanad — In Fusli [illegible] Shappears in all the accts from Fusli as Inam — Kasiviswanataswami — Velur Kasibiswanataswami Deity Poojari [illegible] Velayan of Velur [illegible] — In Fusli 1257 Poojari Mattusamigaundan — Cert. [illegible] 25/4/66

Appd. [illegible] Jamindar [illegible] dated 1 Aug. 65 [illegible] [illegible] that [illegible] [illegible] by Velayan [illegible] Petitioner did not appear [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] with the Pagoda [illegible]

Petitioner may apply to the Collector [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 6.9.65 [illegible] 7/9/65

Velur [illegible] [illegible]

2. Devadayam [illegible] 2-24-4 2.61 [illegible] 199 [illegible] No 170 — 38-0-0 For the support of Gopalakistnaswamie at the Viasarayamattam it is in good order — do do do — No sanad — In Fusli [illegible] Rallavarti Viasarayamattam — Vyasaraya mattam Gopala Kistna Swamy Vidya Poorna Swamy [illegible] — In Fusli 1257 Viasaraya mattam — Velur [illegible] Inam [illegible] — Cert. [illegible] T.D. 72

3. Devadayam [illegible] 10-5-12 10.14 [illegible] 321 [illegible] 25 13 [illegible] [illegible] 20-4-9 20.04 — 11-6-7 For the support of the Darga at Velur it is in good order 64-9-5 76-0-0 — Taxfree do do — No sanad — In Fusli [illegible] Masjid Inam — Velur [illegible] Bhagavalli Darga [illegible] Sheik [illegible] 50 2 Abdul Khader 45 — In Fusli 1257 Rajarajesa [illegible] Sheik Kburandin Saib — Velur [illegible] the Darga — 25/4/66 T.D. 730

4. [illegible] [illegible] No 326 — 7-2-0 Bata Jodi varti 6-8-8 — Taxfree [illegible] — do — No sanad — In Fusli [illegible] Subramaniaayan — Kolater Sani Shastry 15 2 Janaki [illegible] 85 — In Fusli 1257 Jumbunata [illegible] [illegible] — [illegible] — [illegible] [illegible] — 2/50 [illegible]

The Tahsildar returns the [illegible] under the wrong impression that the inamdar was Narayanasastry in case 52 — [illegible] 8th Sept. 1866 No 30 — He is told that the claim in case 52 has been fully [illegible] for default, and told to [illegible] the [illegible] to [illegible] in [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] not claim [illegible] [illegible] on their behalf
T.K.
23/10/66 —

5. [illegible] 1-9-5 1.49 [illegible] — 7-2-0 Bata Jodi varti 6-8-8 — do do — No sanad — In Fusli [illegible] Ratavarti Seshammal — Velur Palavakeri Subbramania Iyen 15 2 Vydi Iyen 12 — [illegible] [illegible] do — [illegible] — In Fusli 1257 Mangoya alias Venkata [illegible] [illegible] — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] — [illegible] 6-8-8 [illegible] 2-0 [illegible] 6-8-0 — T.D. 731 — Cert. [illegible] 25/4/66 — [illegible] 6-8-8

6. [illegible] [illegible] 1-7-4 1.28 [illegible] 6 [illegible] — 17-3-6 Bata Jodi varti 15 12 0 — do do — No sanad — In Fusli [illegible] Subbaraya — Velur Perumbale Subbramanayan 20 — grandson — Mother's wife — He enjoys it himself — [illegible] [illegible] 15-11-10 [illegible] 2-0 [illegible] 16 [illegible] — T.D. 732 — Cert. [illegible] 25/4/66 — In Fusli 1257 [illegible] [illegible]

Inam Register of Village No 223 in the Paramati division of the Namakal taluq, Salem dist.

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 23

Personal Dry 1-9-14-1·25 18 2 11 Bata Sodi varti 16 11 0 [illegible] Nosanad [illegible] In F 1206 Gopi [illegible]
Do No 13 No 329

[illegible] in all the [illegible] Inam [illegible]

In F 1257 Gopi [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Paramati
Kela Nagaya 38 Brothers grandson Self [illegible] Brother's [illegible] 3 [illegible]

Confd
Tax 15 11
Q.R. 8 2
Total [illegible]
16/11/63
25/[illegible]

Personal Dry 0-18-2·04 6 9 8 Bata Sodi varti 32 11 2 Do Do Nosanad In F 1206 Venkannayan
Do No 13 No 378
Do No 95 Dry 0-36 0·91 13 4 7
No 369
Do No 127 Dry 3 3 1·08 15 11 9
Total 2-17-12 2·44 35 10 0

× [illegible] as well [illegible] the [illegible] Venkannayan [illegible] Chinnanarasappa [illegible] the actual [illegible] [illegible] Chinnanarasappa [illegible] [illegible] 1862 [illegible]

In F 1242 Venkannayan [illegible]

Namakal
Periavidu
Chinnanarasappayya 47 Brother's grandson Wife 1 Sons 2

In F 1257 Gopinatayya Chinnayya grandson

[illegible] 28/5/66

[illegible] himself according to the Partition deed dated [illegible] 1862

[illegible] of Paramati [illegible] as [illegible] the Patta for the land [illegible] Venkannayan [illegible] As ~~Chinnanarasappa~~ Venkannayya [illegible] the [illegible] Patta [illegible] the [illegible] of Venkannayya

734

Dry 1 1 9 1·64 15 2 3 Bata Sodi varti 19 12 1 Do Do Nosanad In F 1206 Ramanujayangar
No 332
Dry 0 17 5 0·44 6 6 2
No 341
Total 1-19-1 1·48 21 8 5

Paramati
Vellore
Kandade
Srinivasa[illegible] 32 Brother's Self Son Brother widow who is allowed a subsistence

In F 1257 [illegible]

[illegible] himself [illegible] [illegible] have been [illegible]

Confd
Tax 17 1
Q.R. 8 4
Total [illegible] 20 [illegible]
[illegible]

T.D. 735

Personal Dry 0 24 0 0·60 8 12 2 Bata Sodi varti 9 13 0 Do Do Nosanad In F 1206 Narayanan
No 336
Dry 0 5 4 0·13 1 14 11
No 334
Total 0 29 4 0·73 10 11 1

Vellore
Kulappera
Venkataramanayan 45 Brother's grandson Self [illegible] Son [illegible]

Confd
Tax 9 13
Q.R. 2 2
Total 10 [illegible]
25/4/66

T.D. 736

In F 1257 [illegible] original family [illegible] of the [illegible] 336

16/11/63

Personal Dry 2-13-14 2·35 34 3 5 Bata Sodi varti 32 1 4 Do Do Nosanad In F 1206 Venkata-Kistnayangar
Do No 340

P. No 99 of 1866 from [illegible] asking for Title Deed referred to Collector [illegible]

Date of [illegible] attached [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] dated 18 Feb 1867 No 22 asking for a T.D. [illegible] referred to [illegible]

11/4/65

In F 1257
1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]

Settled in Supplemental Register
22.6.68

[illegible] Srinivasa[illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] the [illegible] But the [illegible] as if he was [illegible] of the Inam [illegible] anything [illegible] I therefore [illegible] claim [illegible] Inam under attachment [illegible] of Srinivasa[illegible]

Inam Register of [illegible] No 223 in the Paramati division of the Namakal taluk Salem district

Register No 73
Sheet No 46

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Personal Inam 1 29 13 1 50 21-13-2 Bata varti Sodi 20-4-9 [illegible] Sarvamanyam Srinivasa Yangar
[illegible] 35 [illegible]
Vellore
[illegible] 32
[illegible] 57
[illegible] Narayanan
Whole [illegible]
[illegible] 20-6-9
[illegible] 4
[illegible] 20
12/1/[illegible]
Confd
[illegible] 797

13
Personal Inam 1 26 9 1 66 24-4-4 Bata varti Sodi 22-4-4 Do Do Sarvamanyam Venkata Tiruvan
[illegible] 68 [illegible] 355
A [illegible] No 632 of 1864 from [illegible] claiming [illegible] Rejected as he only cultivates the land & that Venkatammal is real owner 17 Oct [illegible]
Do
Vellore
Kandade Venkatammal 45 adopted son widow
[illegible] 57
Daughter in law Venkatammal
[illegible] filed a statement [illegible] as [illegible]
[illegible] filed a statement for the Inam as [illegible] the Inam [illegible] Venkatammal is [illegible] a widow [illegible]
[illegible] whose statement has been rejected does not enjoy the land [illegible] It appears that Venkatammal's husband & [illegible] were brothers [illegible]
Whole [illegible] Venkatammal
[illegible] 72-4-6
[illegible] 23 [illegible]
Confd
[illegible] 798

14
45 13 11 Bata varti Sodi 42-1-6 Do Do Sarvamanyam Ayyalu Viddian
Do
[illegible] 57
[illegible]
Velur
[illegible] 45
2 [illegible] 35
[illegible] Do
[illegible]
Whole [illegible]
[illegible] 42-1
[illegible] 8
[illegible]

15
1 19 9 0 49 7-2-0 Bata varti Sodi 6-8-8 Do Do Sarvamanyam Ananta Ramayan
Do
Vellore
[illegible] Parvati Ammal 55 Daughter in law
[illegible] 57
[illegible]
Whole [illegible]
[illegible] 6-8-8
[illegible] 10
[illegible]
Confd
[illegible] 800
4-4
12/[illegible]

Personal Inam 1 24 6 1 61 23-7-3 Bata varti Sodi 8-8-5 Do Do Sarvamanyam Karur Ramasawmy Ayyangar
[illegible] 352
Do
Pogalur
Ramaswamy [illegible] 22 Son adopted son
2 [illegible] 40
1/2 0 80 - 11 - 11 - 7 [illegible] 16 - 12 - 2
2 [illegible] 40
1/2 0 81 - 11 - 11 - 8 [illegible] 10 - 12 - 3
[illegible] 1257
1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
Whole [illegible] 10-12-2
[illegible] 10-12-3
Confd
[illegible] mortgage [illegible]
7 P

[illegible] Inam Register [illegible] No 8223 in the Paramati division of the Namakal Taluq Salem District

3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
Personal Wet Do Ungs 95 No 366 Extract granted to Seshadri Aiyangar on the 6th October 1866	2-3-7	-08		0	Bata vaqti	Sodi 27-14-10	My	Not known	No Sanad It appears in all the Acts [illegible] to [illegible] Pr. 12 29 1866 [illegible] Ramaswayangar [illegible] Aiyangar [illegible] that the [illegible] the mortgage [illegible] XXa	In F. 1206 Tirumalayangar Ramaswamayangar		In F. 1157 Saravanachetti mortgagee	Sreetiniso'd ~~[illegible]~~ Seshadri Iyengar [illegible] whom he is preferred by [illegible]	~~[illegible]~~ T.K.	~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] 48 [illegible] arrears [illegible] to [illegible] Tirumala [illegible] whose wife [illegible] in law & as well as sister [illegible] elder brother [illegible] who [illegible] mortgagee [illegible] years ago [illegible]	Whole [illegible] parties in possession Tax 27-14-0 [illegible] 8 2-6 28 [illegible] The [illegible] are [illegible] to the [illegible]	2/5/66 In all case the [illegible] the [illegible]
Personal [illegible] No 320 Do No 13	0-19	9-0'49		7-2-0	Bata varti	Sodi 6-88	Do	Do	No Sanad XXa Venkataramayangar [illegible] the [illegible] mortgage [illegible] Do Vide further remarks on separate sheet	In F. 1206 Kuppanayangar		In F. 1257 Komara Samayan Purchaser	Udayar Gurukkal Kamarasamayan 60	the alleged Purchaser	[illegible]	He contends that the Inam was sold by [illegible] to Pudupalayam [illegible] that the [illegible] & that his [illegible] Kanakamma sold [illegible] 1248 [illegible]	Kanakamma [illegible] when [illegible] the alleged purchaser & [illegible] Whole Tax 6-0-8 [illegible] 2-6 [illegible] 7 [illegible] Caution 15/10/65	[illegible] Cong
Personal Wet [illegible] No 326	0-19	9-0'49		7-2-0	Bata varti	Sodi 6-88	Do	Do	No Sanad Do	In F. 1206 Srinayan		In F. 1257 Rangayangar purchaser	Pudupalayam Venkata Rangayangar 18 2 Narana Iyengar 6	Purchaser Rangayangar [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] elder brother is alleged to have no share in the Inam according [illegible] family [illegible]	Whole [illegible] Tax 6 [illegible] 2 [illegible] 7 [illegible] Caution 18/3/64	100 14/18
[illegible] No 371 No 99	0-29	0-75		10-14-7	Bata varti	Sodi 10-02	Do	Do	No Sanad Do	In F. 1206 Venkata~~[illegible]~~ vaddian		In F. 1257 Sarvottama Rau Mortgagee	Vellier Ayyakannu [illegible] Char 50	Dance	[illegible]	[illegible] & Sarvottama Rau [illegible] purchased the Inam [illegible] produced [illegible] 1847 [illegible]	Whole [illegible] Tax 10-2 [illegible] 8 2 Tax [illegible] 10 [illegible] Caution 16/11/68	27/5/[illegible]
Personal Wet Do No 5 No 378	2-12-7	2-31		33-11-2	Bata varti	Sodi 30-14-9	Do	Do	No Sanad Do	In F. 1206 Pudupalayam Venkata Rangayangar		In F. 1257 Rangayangar [illegible]	Pudupalayam Venkata Rangayangar 18 2 Narana Iyengar 6	Brahmin Do	[illegible]	[illegible] as heir [illegible]	Whole [illegible] Tax 30-14-9 [illegible] 8 2-0 31 [illegible]	14/12/66

73 Register of Velur in Paramati Division Namkul Taluq Salem Dt [illegible]

Regr No 73
~~Sheet No 49~~
Sheet No 47

Case
17—

The Appellant ~~Ramaswamy~~ Seshadri Iyengar is daughter's son to Ramaswamy = Iyengar, in Acct F 1206 who was the son of Thirumalayengar in the same Acct Fasly— He has redeemed the Inam from the last mortgagees Iyasami & Subbarayan and has been enjoying it these 4 or 5 years—

Vasudava Rao who was registered by the Dy Collr says that his father in law Trimal Rao had the inam by virtue of a 3d mortgage for 490 Rs, and finally purchased it from Varadayengar & two others who were the Dowledar's Coheirs for 790 Rs including the above mortgage money in Raktachi— and then made a dowry gift of it on the occasion when he gave his daughter Annammal in marriage to him. That subsequently Trimal Rao's wife Krishnabyee made a bequest of it to her & his brother Narayana Row and as he objected to the ~~gift~~ bequest in consequence of the previous gift to himself a Compromise was made to the effect that Narayana Rao was to hold for life and transmit the inam to him. It was on this Condition that he signed the Deed of Transfer by Krishnabyee to Narayana Row— Vasudava Rao has further instituted a suit in Namkul D. M's Court against the Appellant and the ~~[illegible]~~ mortgagees Iyasami & Subbarayan— He accounts for the discrepancy of his statement before the Dy Collr by alleging that the Deed of sale of Raktachi having been mislaid till the Dy Coller's settlement, he did not then know that he was a purchaser—

The inam is claimed by appellant's brother one Venkatramayengar ~~jointly~~ also— who says he has also pd his share of the mortgage money on the payt of which Appellant redeemed— But appellant is in exclusive enjoyt, & there is a doubt as to Venkatramayengar having pd his share of the Mortgage money—

Vide Tahsildar's Arzee 21st June 1866 No 24—

Under these Circumstances, I would cancel the Dy Coller's settlement, and confirm the inam to the appellant in actual enjoyt leaving his brother as well as Vasudava Rao to recover it by establishing their rights before the Civil Courts. The T. D. will be amended, and Collr requested to alter the V Regr accordingly—

T. R.
31/8/66—

Approved.

6/9/66
D. M. Brain
Offg Inam Commr

Inam Register of Village No 223 in the [illegible] Division of the [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Register No 73
Sheet No 49

33 Personal Inam Dn. plot [illegible] in Jul 2d 125 — Inam 1-10-5-128 — No 378 — 1854 — Bata Sodi varti 16-10-9 — Dry Dry — [illegible] — Nolanad — Deshpande(?) [illegible] — In F 1206 Narasa[illegible] — In F 1257 Sarvottama Rau Mortgagee — Vellur Ananthram Iyyachar 50 — Purchase of a mortgage right — 41 ours — [illegible] — When Sarvottama Rau [illegible] — No 848 Conf. Deed of the mortgage enlisted [illegible] 1257

x Inam [illegible] Dry 16-10-9 [illegible] 1854

34 Personal Inam Dn. plot No 379 [illegible] — 1-12-9-131 — 19-2 — Bata Sodi varti 17-9-2 — Do Do — Nolanad — Do — In F 1206 Vijaya Mangalam Narasayan — In F 1257 Sarvottama Rau Mortgagee — Vellur Ananthram Tika Char 50 — Donee from a mortgagee — 41 ours — [illegible] — As [illegible] 50 years [illegible] — No 760 Conf. 319

x x [illegible] endorsement [illegible] 3/9 [illegible]

35 Personal Inam No 383 — 2-10-2-225 — 32 [illegible] — Bata Sodi varti 30-2-9 — Do Do — Nolanad — In F 1206 Ramachar — Lee [illegible] Ramachar [illegible] — Namakal Ramajiramma Godess — [illegible] — [illegible] 8-3-6 — 7-8-8 — [illegible]

A [illegible] No 2068 of 1864 — [illegible] Madhu Rau Charity [illegible] as by right of [illegible] her grandmother being daughter of the party in Col: 13.
Rejected as the [illegible] was sold [illegible] 31 Dec 65

In F 1257 1 Sitayammal 2 Bhaskarayan 3 Narasu [illegible] — 1 & 2 are mortgagees [illegible] — 1-6-9 — 24-10-6 — 22-10-1

36 No 385 — [illegible] 10-4 — Bata Sodi varti 41-1-1 — Do Do — Nolanad — In F 1206 Ramaswami Ayyangar [illegible] — Vellur Ramachandra [illegible] 45 — 2 [illegible] 35 — 1-5-3 — 22-5-5 — 20-8-6

In F 1257 1 Ramalakshmi 2 [illegible] 3 Minakshiammal — Vellur Bala Subramanya 20 — 1-5-8 — 10-8-7

37 — No 387 — 2-32-12-082 — 11-13-2 — Bata Sodi varti 20-6-11 — Do Do — Nolanad — In F 1206 [illegible] Venkatramanachar — 16-13-7 — 1-39-0-148 — 28-12-9
Namakal [illegible] 1 Venkata [illegible] 2 Krishnachar 3 Venkatapati Achar 32 4 Srinivasachar 25 5 Rayachar 19
In F 1257 1 Manaji [illegible] 2 Tappa char 3 Viraragavammal — 1 & 3 are mortgagees [illegible]
No 765 Conf.

38 Personal Inam No 382 — 1-33-1-183 — 26-10-4 — Bata Sodi varti 47-13-0 — Do Do — Nolanad — In F 1206 1 Condayan 2 Venkatramayan 3 Anjanagirirayan — 1-29-0-174 — 25-6-2 — 1-2-230 — [illegible] 20-6
In F 1257 1 [illegible] 2 Nadesan

Settled on Supplemental Register 15/3/69 [illegible]

Date of [illegible] June 186[illegible]

…22 in the Paramati Division of the Namakal Taluq Salem Dist

	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
39 Personal Grant No 17 No 381	1-28-7	1·71		24-15-0	Bata Sodi varti 22-14-2		Not known		No Sanad Sheppears Walthi Ucitfree grobas	In F 1206 Nanakrau		In F 1257 1 Venkaree Sriappayan 2 Rangamma mortgage	Venpane Bangalore Venkataramanaya 60 2 Venkata Rangayan 26 3 Narsammal 20 ½ share – 0·85 – 12-7-6 The other half share settled on Supplemental Register.		By mortgage	Yours Tax 11-7-8 15/3/69 JNS	[illegible]	Whole Cash 1st Tax 11-7-1 Qr ⅛ 1-2-0 Total 12 Rs 28/11/63	759 4 84
40 Personal Grant No 381	0-18-0	·45		6-97	Bata Sodi varti 6-1-0		Do	Do	No Sanad	In F 1206 Appa-Seshayan		In F 1257 Samasayan mortgage	Oravandur Amarayan 45 2 Rangahathayan 25	mortgaged Do Do	Yours Do	In view of the records, it appears the survey entries were made in the names of the original mortgagees. [illegible]	Whole Cash Tax 6-9-0 Qr ⅛ 0-2-0 Total 6½ Rs	766
41 Personal Grant				6-48	Bata Sodi varti 6-1-0		Do	Do	No Sanad	In F 1206 Hantira-va Narasayan		In F 1257 Samayan mortgage	Oravandur Amarayan 45 2 Rangahathayan 25	an original … Do Do	Yours Do	Ayabaue	Whole Cash Tax 6-1-0 Qr ⅛ 0-2-0 Total 6¼ Rs	767
42				9-11 15-8-2 4-91	Bata Sodi varti 22-8-11		Do	Do	No Sanad	In F 1206 Venkata-rangayangar		In F 1259 Virarag…	Ullatur Venkatakrishnachar 2 Krishnachar 3 Venkatagiriachar 32 4 Sreeni…achar 25 5 Rangachar 30 6 Subbramaniam 14 No 380 – 1·06 – 15-8-2			Tax 8-5-2 Tax 14-3-9	[illegible]	Whole Cash 850 851	2750 1·15
43	1-34-15 2-5-12	1·87 2·14 4·01		27-5-0 31-3-11 58-8-11	Bata Sodi varti 53-9-11		Do	Do	No Sanad	In F 1206 Chinna-Seshayan		In F 1257 1 Prabakara sastri 2 Venkataramana 3 Chinna Perumal 4 Peria Perumal mortgage	Ullatur Kuppu… Venkataramanayan 45			Yours		Whole Cash Tax 5-9-11 Qr ⅛ 0-10-0 Total 5¾ Rs 16/10/63	768
44 Personal Grant	0-52	9·81		11-11-0	Batta Sodi varti 10-11-5		Do	Do	No Sanad	In F 1206 Venkata chelayan		In F 1257 Rayammal … mortgage	Rama Chetty 38						

Application of Gurukkal Unnapati Pandiyar by vakil dated May 05 No 189.

Rejected – professional as well as dept. witnesses are not … the family … the present holder … last 70 years – … 6.901

The origl family is extinct – The 1st mortgagee Venguram has left a daughter, whose husband Rhas karayan & whose son Vijaya Ayyan refuse to attend [illegible] their age is not known – Vide Tahsildar's Arzee 26th July 1866

I would therefore confirm the enam to present Rama Chetty whose family is the 2nd mortgagee in F 1217 without prejudice to the right …

Approved

6/9/66

Recommended to be

Resumed

Nothing is known of the original family. The present holder Rama Chetty appears as a mortgagee in a deed of 1221 but his mortgagee is not mentioned in 1251. I would therefore resume to [illegible]

absentees shew attached Lemel 1866

dept No

11/4/65

Dry No 3 Vellu Taraf Register No 73

Dry No 3

Inam Register of Vellur No 223 in the Paramati division of the Namkal Taluq Salem district

	2	3 4 5 6	7	8 9	10	11	12	13	14 15 16 17 18	19	20	21
Case No 22	Personal Dry No 3	Dry 1-4 6 1 11 No 325	6-2-10	Bata Jodi varti 14-13-7	Hr	Do L Karow	Nosanad It appears that the [illegible] Enam	Su Fo 1206 Durgam Venkatramanayan	A Pn No 9 of 1866 English Pn from Venkatapatayen complaining that he is waiting at Namakkal for the order promised to be issued on his application for registration Endorsed to appear before the Colr 27/1/66 WMS			Date of app. fr allmt June 1862 [illegible] No 6 11 Jan/65
Case No 23	Personal Dry Do 11 Dry No 95	Dry 0-39-8 0.99 No 330 Dry 0-38 11 0.96 No 368 Total 1-38-3 1.95	14-6-5 14-1-8 28-8-1	Bata Jodi varti 26-2-6	Do	Do	Nosanad	Su Fo 1206 Kumbakonachari	Mysore Goparasa Shrinivasachary 60 purchase Dry No 330 - 0.99 - 14-6-5 - Wet 15-3-5- Namal 2 Paviridu Chennakesavapph 47 Purchase Dry No 368 - 0.96 14-1-8 Wet 12-15-1-	[illegible]	Title Deed Dry 14-6-5 [illegible] Title Deed Dry 14-1-8 [illegible] 16 May 65	Z D Cr No 787 788 Confd No 16
26	[illegible]	[illegible]-6-0.33 3-9-7 1.99 17-13 1.45 13 5.02	10-7-1 28-15-1 [illegible]-1-3 1-3-2-9	Bata Jodi varti 67-2-7	Do	Do	Nosanad	Su Fo 1206 Venkatramanayan	Mysore Kallappan Venkatramanayan 45 Gift	Su wer ~~[illegible]~~ Su No 372 [illegible]	Title Deed Total 67-2-7 Dn [illegible] 12 16 May 65	[illegible]
28	[illegible]	[illegible]-3 11 0 09 1-0.12 1.02 Total 1-4-7 1.11	[illegible]-5-5 14-13-6 16-2-11	Bata Jodi varti 14-13-7	Do	Do	Nosanad It appears that the [illegible] Enam	Su Fo 1206/1209 Karu Ramayan	Su Fo 1230/31 Karu Ramayan [illegible] Su Fo 1257 Kadambi Strinivasayanga Mortgagee Kalattu in the Trichinapalli district Sangameswaram 1 Narayanan 26 grandson son Wife 2 Ramayan 18 Do Wife Anniyapuram 3 Gopalayan 51 grandson Daughters Mysore 4 Laxminarayan 35 Do Wife 5 Rangayan 28 Do Do 6 Ramayan 20 Do Do	Are Mortgagee Kadambi Strinivasa yanga enjoy the	[illegible] Title Deed Total 14-13-7 Dn 8 -2- 14 Mar 63 15 Rs	2 D [illegible] 24/6/63 86
[illegible] 31	Personal Dry No 20 Dry No 9 Dry No [illegible]	Dry 0-20-0 0.50 No 337 Dry 2-6 2 2.15 No 339 Dry 0-17 20 0.43 No 340 Total 3-3 4 3.08	7-4-5 31-6-4 6-3-9 44-11	Bata Jodi varti 41-3-4	Do	Do	Nosanad	Su Fo 1206 Reddiraya	Su Fo 1252 Trichinopoly Vasudeva Rao 67 Sisters sons & daughters husband Dry No 337 & 339 - 2.65 35 10-9 35-7-7 Namakal Uttukur 2 Venkatakuppachar 3 Kistnachary 7 4 Venkataguruachar 5 Strinivasachar 32	[illegible]	Vasudeva Rao [illegible] Dn 8 -4- [illegible] 35	Z D [illegible]

Appl. No 388 of 1865 dated 18th Decr 1865 from Venkatapatenaik [illegible] stating that he preferred his claim in April 1865 to the Dy Collr in charge of Namkul Dn & praying that [illegible] the enam may be confirmed to him referred to Collr to [illegible] truth of his statement.
T.K.
22/12/65

Collr written [illegible] 4 66.

Settled in Supplemental Regr. 20.6.67 WMS

Regr No 73 Sheet No 48

LD

Extract granted to Gopalayan on the 5th Decr/63.

A Pn No 852 of 1864 from Sarvottama Rau son of Reddirow Col: 13 objecting to the Regn in the name of [illegible]
Rejected 17 Oct/65
WMS

[illegible] Village No 223 [illegible] Namkal Taluq [illegible]

	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
	Personal 27 In No 10	Dry No 2353	1-6 10-17		16 15 11	Bata varti	Sodi 15-9-6	Dy	[illegible] Kuruva	Vrsanad [illegible]	In F 1256 Venkatanarasayan		In F 1230 Subbamma Mortgagee In F 1252 Komara Samayan Transfer Mortgagee	Bangalore Sangamesvaram 1 Venkatanarasayan 60 2 Laxminarasayan 55 3 Chinnasubbarayan 12 4 Periasubbarayan 80 5 Yoganarasayan 40 Vakeel Gopalayan	grandson do grandson son grandson [illegible]	Wife 1 Adopted son none unmarried Wife 1 sons 2 Wife 1	A Mortgagee Komaranarayan & [illegible]		Vide Cert Tot 15-9-6 [illegible] 20-9 [illegible] 10 14/11/62	7 Dec 792 [illegible]
	Personal [illegible] 5	Dry In 3380	2-3 1-66		24-1-11	Bata varti	Sodi 22-2-2	Do	do	Vrsanad Do	In F 1256 Namakal Bimaraw		In F 1230 Namakal Tangya Nagan owner mortgagee to [illegible] Appalachar [illegible] Tot 22-2-3 In F 1252 [illegible]	Valapatti Mapphelle Ragavayangar 30	Alyed Purchaser	[illegible]	In F 1252 [illegible]	The alleged claim [illegible] 4/11/64 Tot 22-2-2		7 Dec 793 [illegible]
	Dry In 319	2-5 12-2 14			31-3-11	Bata varti	Sodi 28-10-10	Do	Do	Vrsanad Do	In F 1256 Chinna Venkataramanachar		In F 1252 Sinnaya Konthaya Pat Vaser	Alappalayam Paramati Kistnaya 32	Purchaser's son	Wife 1	Produced a Common Stamp deed of Sale dated Kistnaya [illegible] 1215 by the survey [illegible] brother Sinnappachar Venkatramanachar & [illegible] grand father of the present [illegible]	Do 1 6		
					29-11-7	Bata varti	Sodi 27-7-2	Do	Do	Vrsanad Do	In F 1256 Karu Bimchan			Velur Tangamma 60-	Wife of Bhimachar	Her [illegible] son Ittachary [illegible] She has been [illegible] from long time. She wishes that her right may be registered in name of Ittacharry	Tangamma has no [illegible] even	Vide Cert [illegible] in the name of [illegible] Ittachary 512 Tot 27 7 2 [illegible] 1-4 [illegible] 29 5/1/64		
	[illegible] 2058	Dry 1	22-5 1-56		22-11-5	Bata varti	Sodi 20-13-6	Do	Do	Vrsanad Do	In F 1256 Kistnayan		In F 1251 [illegible] owner Chinnachi [illegible] [illegible] Mortgagee [illegible] In F 1252 [illegible]	Velur Vydi Iyer 25 ½ - 0-78 - 11-5-8 - Tot 10-6-9	Daughter's son of [illegible]	Father [illegible]	[illegible]	Vide Cert in the name of [illegible] Tot 10-6-9 [illegible] 11 4/11/64		[illegible]
	Dry [illegible]	0-16 5-0-41			5-15-8	Bata varti	Sodi 5-7-2	Do	Do	Vrsanad Do	In F 1256 Chinna Lattumanayan		In F 1252 [illegible]	Kunnathur 1 Naranayangar 18 2 Rangayangar 48 3 Narasimhayangar [illegible] 4 Janakammal	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	Vide Cert Tot 5-7-2		7 Dec 796 849 3/9/[illegible]

Abstract [illegible] March June 1864

[illegible] for [illegible]

Inam Register of Vellur No in the Paramati division of the Namkal taluq Salem district

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Personal [illegible] Wet 1-26 1·06 No 331 15-7-0 Bata Sodi varti 26-4-9 Dy No [illegible] Nosanad In F 1266 Venguva-Jyan

Dry 0-36-7 0·91 No 353 13-4-7

Total 1-38 13 1·97 28-11-7

Vellur [illegible] 25 Daughter [illegible] [illegible] mortgaged the field [illegible] & [illegible] field No 3 [illegible] to a mortgagee [illegible]

[illegible] Tax 26-4-9 [illegible] 8 [illegible] 4 [illegible] [illegible] Refd [illegible] 3/9/[illegible]

A P No 853 of 1864 from Ramaswamy Chetti complaining of the [illegible] in the name of the [illegible] Col. [illegible] while he is enjoying the inam as malguzari Rejected 17 Oct/65

Register No 73 Sheet No 50

In F 1257 1 [illegible] 2 Subban chetti mortgage for field No 331 Rama chetti mortgage for the field No 353

சேலம்-நாமக்கல்

[illegible] 804

Personal [illegible] Wet 0-19-9 0·49 No 326 7-2-0 Bata Sodi varti 16-0-2 Do Do Nosanad In F 1266 Panguva-Jyan

Dry 0-28-5 0·71 No 334 10-5-0

Total 1-7 14 1·20 17-7-0

Pulampari Subbramania Chetti 36 Inerad [illegible] No 326 [illegible] 0·49 7-2- [illegible] 6-8-[illegible]

[illegible] Tax 6-8-0 [illegible] 8 [illegible] 2 [illegible] [illegible] 6 [illegible]

A P No 2415 of 1864 from Srinivasayyan claiming the inam Refd to Tahr 14 Oct/65

In F 1257 Venkatasubba-Iyan mortgagee for field No 334 Jambunatayan guardian for field No 326

The Tahr sends his Arzee to Dt Dy Collr [illegible] 20th Septr 1865 N 201 & 21st Decr 1865 N 260 reporting on this claim vide Arzee 8th June 1866 N 20-

As the history of the inam & the transactions connected with its transfer as given in the Tah's reports to the Dt Dy Collr do not agree with Narayanan's registration, Collr desires to send the record of the Tahsildar's Enquiry [illegible] 31/8/66

Note Date of attendance for June 1864

[illegible] 11/[illegible]

I already [illegible] [illegible] 1864

[illegible] [illegible] 11/11/65

808

[illegible] 2-11-4 [illegible] 33-4-0 Bata Sodi varti 30-8-3 Do Do Nosanad In F 1266 Suria-Narainayan

Sheet No 50

The claim of [illegible] Nagammal for the inam, referred to [illegible], has been rejected by the Court to [illegible] [illegible]. Under these circumstances the inam can be [illegible] as recommended-

In F 1257 Ramayan [illegible] Srinivasayangar mortgage [illegible]

xx Cav No 49 Remarks continued- Rangammal's brother attends & states that Krishnayangar was [illegible] [illegible] got the mortgage from the [illegible] [illegible] also produced an authenticated copy of a decree passed by the D. M. & [illegible] in No 111 1863, 105 of 1864 dated 15 Apl 1861 & 23d Decr 1864 [illegible]

Settled on Supplemental Register 8/3/69 [illegible]

[illegible] 1-1 12 1·04 15-3-5 Bata Sodi varti 23-12-4 Do Do Nosanad In F 1266 Srinivasa Kaur

[illegible] 2-9-5 0·[illegible] 10-1-0

Total 1-3-1 1·77 25-4-5

Velur Ayuthoram [illegible] 50 No 370 0·73 10-[illegible]

xx [illegible] fees No 3 [illegible] 8/3/68 [illegible]

In F 1257 Samarasu [illegible] & Lakshmana mortgage [illegible]

[illegible] 805

Personal Wet 0-1·65 No 321 Entry is [illegible] on 6-2-65 9-6-0 Bata Sodi varti 22-5-6 Do Do No Sanad Produced a Sale of [illegible] [illegible] in [illegible] Salazayan In F 1266 Sattava-Zangar

Dry 1-0-12 1·02 No 350 14-13-6

Total 2-2-13 1·67 24-5-6

xx The [illegible] [illegible] a change [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] documents [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Sattazayan [illegible] [illegible] No 350 [illegible] [illegible] false [illegible] [illegible]

In F 1257 [illegible] & Lakshmana mortgage for 327 Serukhale [illegible] mortgage for 350

Valazafate Rangammal 35 [illegible] Daughter-in-law [illegible] No 327 [illegible] [illegible] No 350

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Sattazayan Nayagan [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

No 327 [illegible] mortgage [illegible] No 327 [illegible] field No 350

[illegible] Rangammal [illegible] [illegible] No 327 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] field No 350 [illegible] [illegible] field No 327 [illegible] [illegible] for field No 327

7 [illegible] 813

[illegible] mortgage [illegible] [illegible] master [illegible] [illegible] 251 24th [illegible]

Personal Wet 1-32-4 1·81 No 37[illegible] 26-5-7 Bata Sodi varti 24-2-11 Do Do Nosanad In F 1266 Stripati Venkata Subbaya

Gurunder Venkata Subbayan 25 [illegible] [illegible]

In F 1257 Seshayan [illegible]

[illegible] Tax 26-[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] of [illegible] No 228 in the [illegible] [illegible]

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Dry 1-17 29 43 for 374 [illegible] 125

6 3 9

Batta Dodi vaste 5 128

Hy

do h. [illegible]

Nelamah [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] Du

Su Fr 08 Padamalayan

Manappakkam

Manappakkam Ramadeshayan 40

Hindu

[illegible]

The [illegible] must be a [illegible] for her [illegible] Doe

Tolealess

Tax 5-11-8

2-0

16 [illegible] Tot. 6 Rs

Su Fr 1257 Seshayar Brother's son

[illegible] 6 May 60

Dry 1-32-2 1 80 No 33 for 348

10 50

Dry 1-38 13 1 97 for 364

39 90 91

5 10 9 5 26

26-4-4

7-5-7

28-0-7

14-6-5

76-11-11

Batta Dodi vaste 70-6-11

Do Do

Nelamah

Su Fr 08 Kasturi Rangayan

2 69

[illegible]

It is a [illegible] [illegible]

Su Fr 1257 Paduppalayam Rangayangar

~~[illegible]~~

Sinappayan Kondayan for 348

Manafore

Ramabadrasvaldear Annasami for 351

Chinnapperumal Peria Perumal for 364

Saravanachetti for No 364

all are mortgagees

Oravandur

Annarayan 40

2 Ranga Nadayan 25

1/2 net No 360 - 0 99

14-6-5-0

[illegible]

3 Dasi Palin 40

1/2 net No 348 - 1 35

19-11-3

Olapalayam

4 Parvato [illegible] 32

1/2 net No 348 - 45 - 6-9-1

[illegible] & [illegible] without waiting for the [illegible]

Tax 13-3-5

Tax 18-1-5

Tax 6-6

20 years [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

Tolealess

[illegible] Tax 13-3-5

2 . 8

14 Rs

The [illegible] [illegible] the heirs [illegible]

The [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

The rights of these [illegible] at all established

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] as the owner. The 2 fields [illegible] go off

3/4 [illegible] No 348 [illegible] to [illegible] Tirumalai[illegible]

Nos 351 & 364 are settled [illegible] 23-9-69 [illegible]

fields No 351 & 364 were attached in decree 1866

Rejected for dept

11/1/65

The other 2 fields are alleged to be Karnam['s] [illegible] [illegible] the Karnam [illegible] of [illegible].

Net No 351 - 0 50 7-5-7 Tax 6-9-

& by [illegible] [illegible] No 364 - 1 97 28-11-7 Tax 26-8-7

Note One Kodumudi Tirumalayan a boy of 8 years of age came forward & puts in a statement for field No 348 as having [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Janakiammal made gift to her grand-daughter Kuppammal & that he is the adopted son of Kuppammal. The adoption is evidently of recent date - made since 1848 & it was from a different family. We cannot trace his right in the accounts as he ~~[illegible]~~ nor does he produce any document to prove the ownership of Desikachary. I must therefore throw ~~[illegible]~~ out his claim.

x x already recognized by the Comr & from the table of genealogy produced in case No 26 Nalamar there is no doubt that the claimant is the ~~[illegible]~~ adopted son of the daughter's daughter of Desikachary also known by the name of Raghunadachary. The only doubt is whether the latter was the daughter's son of the original [illegible] & the daughter [illegible] By villagers say he was, & her daughter ~~[illegible]~~ No 6 & portion of the field in question No 3 - Consequently there is reason to presume ~~[illegible]~~ that the claimant is the heir & the [illegible] [illegible] accordingly be issued [illegible] instead of the mortgagee [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No 348 [illegible]

No 4 admits the mortgage he got it [illegible] by ~~[illegible]~~ villagers do not know whether the present claimant [illegible] is a heir ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible] who inherited it [illegible] grandfather Perumal [illegible] No 4 [illegible] is a heir [illegible] owner of the [illegible] Vide [illegible] The adoption of the claimant has been x x

Col 22 [illegible]

Confirmed

3/4 of [illegible] field No 360 belonging to the late [illegible] ammal is confirmed. Kodumudi Tirumalaiyan adopted son of Kuppammal as in cases [illegible] Kombappalaiyam Nelamar in the Tarapur[illegible]