[illegible] 19

Register No 19
Sheet No 153

There is a [illegible] Opinion

The Acts available
Commence without [illegible]

in the Namkal Taluq Salem District

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1 [illegible] Devadayam Brahmin [illegible] 1-6-10 1·17 [illegible] 22-14-8 For the support of Ankalamman Temple at Kandatin [illegible] in the temple [illegible] Tax free Permanent [illegible] Recorded [illegible] in all the [illegible] from [illegible] Inam — In Fusly Ankalamma Pujari [illegible]

Pujari Kondalam
Ankalamma Goddess Pujari
1 Akkammal 50
2 Angan 32
3 Kettakuppan 45
4 Komalikuddan 30
5 Vengattan 60
6 [illegible] 37
7 Ramaswami 15
8 Rangan 40

—

No 3 & 4 enjoy [illegible] No 2 [illegible] enjoy [illegible] according to Pujakaala share [illegible]

Whole tax free [illegible] in [illegible] of the Goddess [illegible] 7/11/64 [illegible]

[illegible] 829 [illegible] 10/61 [illegible]

2 [illegible] Sellam [illegible] 1-0-15 1·22 [illegible] 32 [illegible] 14-14-8 Vedavritti Tax free Do Do [illegible] In Fusly 1207 Kistna sastri

Kondalam
Axanlala
1 Naranava Adiar 82 Brother's grandson
2 Ramayan Brother's [illegible]

Wife [illegible] brother [illegible]

They enjoy the 2 equal share

[illegible] Rangamma [illegible] deceased [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Inam [illegible]

The Inamdar [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Kistna sastri [illegible] [illegible] 23/10/64

See Further Remark

3 [illegible] Prasad [illegible] 12 7/32 0·13 [illegible] 1-14-10 Kata Vriti 4 0 Do Do Do Sanad Fusly 1207 Subbasastri

Kondalam
Tolapallie
Subbasastri 50 Daughter's son son

Wife 1 Son 1 tenant 1

The last 3 fields are under mortgage with Sitaramayya

Whole tax [illegible] Tot 33 [illegible] 1/8 [illegible] 35

Wetlands 0·13
Do 4 0·19
Do 7 0·18
Do 6 0·15 } 2·68 20-11-9
Dry lands 4·97 4·97 2-7-3
Garden lands 1 7·5 } 2·69 15-8-6 Tot
Do Do 2 0·94 }
10·34 44-12-6 33-2-6

0-7-12 0·19 [illegible] 57 25/32 [illegible] 13 2

0-30 [illegible] 93 [illegible] 90 21/32 14-4-0

[illegible] Kariwela [illegible] 2-7-2 2·18 [illegible] 20 9/32 13-6-0

[illegible] 0-7-5 0·18 [illegible] 16 57/64 12 10 9

Total for 5 3-20-9 3·66 338 [illegible] 35-0-9

Kariwela [illegible] 4-38 13 4·97 1 37/64 7-7-3

[illegible] 3-9-0 3·22 2 [illegible] 4-[illegible]

Total for 2 8-7-13 8·19 [illegible] 12-4-8

[illegible] 1-29-14 1·75 [illegible] 13/64 10-11-6

[illegible] 0-37-10 0·94 2 57/64 5-13-0

Total for 2 2-27 8 2·69 [illegible] 16-8-6

Grand Total [illegible] 14-21 14 11·54 [illegible]-13-11

Further Remark [illegible] 2

Deputy Collector's Report dated 27 Sept 1864 No 21.

From the evidence taken by the Tahsildar it appears that the deceased widow Rangammal lived with the parties in Col 16 as part & parcel of the same family & up to 10 years ago, when she, ~~[illegible]~~ on [illegible] account of family differences, went to live separately & was allowed to enjoy this Inam as maintenance. The possession of the Inam by the parties in Col 16 till then is clearly deposed to. The Inam is therefore confirmed to the latter on 1/8 RR = [illegible] 1-12

agreed to

6 May 65

[illegible] 11/5/65

Karu
Pratapram
2 Laxuminara Sinnayam 45 Purchaser Son
3 Tirumalavenkata Gopalakistnayam 25 Purchaser Daughter's Son

Wife [illegible]

Mother Wife

~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] in gift [illegible] Produced a [illegible] deed of Sale dated [illegible]

Wetlands 5 = 0·98 [illegible]

Dry [illegible] = 3·22

No 4 & 5 enjoy [illegible] share [illegible] has enjoyed [illegible]
7 & 8 [illegible] 4
11 & 12 enjoy [illegible] share
~~No 11 & 12~~
No deed of sale produced
No 11 & 12 are absent

20 [illegible] [illegible] Tot 11 3 [illegible] 1/8 [illegible] 6 Tot [illegible] 12 [illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible] [illegible] Tot [illegible] [illegible] 2 [illegible] 22 4 [illegible] Tot 9 2 [illegible] 1/8 [illegible] 4 Tot [illegible] 3 [illegible]

10/6/64

Kondalam
Tolapallie
4 Sabbasastri 50 daughter's grandson Wife son
5 Tiruppa Kistnayam 30 daughter's son Wife [illegible]
6 Narasimmasastri 28 Do [illegible]
Ayamlalayam
7 Someswarayam 50 By purchase [illegible] Wife
8 Sekhayam 48 Do Wife
9 Anantayam 35 Do [illegible]
10 Venkatasesha Someswarayam 35 Do Wife
11 [illegible] Subbra [illegible] 30 Do Wife
12 [illegible] Do [illegible]

7/11/64

2-[illegible]
5
5

14 19 20 21

Regr. No 19

25 13 0 — 5 7 0 — Bata Sodi Oottie 38 13 0 — H/8 — D. L. Kusdi — Sd. Sanad — Ind. 1207

[illegible] 3 17/64
Punje
[illegible] Mam Chola 2-0 92 01 — 10 13 [illegible]
Kotham Ocho 5 11/64 0-7 11 0 94 — 5 [illegible] 9
[illegible] 4 5 [illegible]
Total 2-38-3 2 95 — 19-11-0
Ocho 3 11 0 97 — 14-1-8
5 21/32
Ocho 3-11 0 09 — 1 5 4
[illegible] 9 5 5/11
Ocho 2-1-1 2 83 — 12-7-0
[illegible] 10 5 10 21/32
Total 2-7 3 09 — 27-11-0
Total 9 2-11 9 67 — 53-0-0

[illegible] in all the ants from 1207 as Inam

Gurumurti Sastri

Mahadanapuram
Kyper
1 Ganapati Subbayan 50 — Daughter's son — Wife's Brother's widow
2 Subbusastri Komptalam 50 — Do — Wife's son
3 Subbamma Meru 70 — Son's widow — None

Dozen [illegible] of the Kolli 0 94
Webbs 8 0 97
The latter is under mortgage with Vyapuriganda
The rest are enjoyed by [illegible]

Tolaleep
Tax 38-13-0
[illegible] 21-12-0
~~Stored~~ R — 41 Rs
Dt [illegible] ~~Ace~~
7/11/60

J.D.
827
Confd.
M
10/6/62

2-4

Case No 5
The Subs arzi dated
dated 11th Jany 1870
Petitioner ~~Pitchaimayasastri~~
~~The~~ His brother Ramalakshmamma
state that they are daughters' sons
of the orgl. Inamdar
proves his statement by some witnesses
The mortgagee denied Petitioner's statement
& states that he does not know that became
of the original family
The mortgage took place
or about 89 years ago
~~[illegible]~~
As to descent of the claimants
is disputed, I think the
are alone confirm
the Inam to the persons
in col. 16 whose family
has been enjoying the Inam
for a long time, leaving
Petitioners to establish their
right in Civil Courts
M 22/1/70

---

10 0 6 — Bata Sodi Oottie 12-8-0 — Do — Do — Sd. Sanad — Ind. 1120 7

Richayle

Inam [illegible] title to the Do
[illegible] of Subramania Iyer
in whose [illegible] has
[illegible] 8 [illegible]
[illegible] 6 [illegible]
Sd. 13 [illegible]
1035 [illegible]
1048 [illegible]
10/6/62

Chemmiall — Approved 27/1/70
Subbramania Iyer 40 — Iswara Iyer — None

That the claimants are not in any way related to the [illegible] [illegible] have been [illegible] acknowledged by [illegible] [illegible] claimants [illegible] persons [illegible] I think [illegible] [illegible] to the claimants [illegible]

Subbramania Iyer [illegible] vacated [illegible] of 1120 [illegible] Subramania Iyer [illegible]

This Inam has been [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ of Iswara Iyer [illegible] Subbramania Iyer claims [illegible] Venkatarama [illegible]

T. D. No.
937
[illegible]
M
10/6/62

Register of Bommalpalayam Agraharam No 26 in the Namakal Taluq Salem Dist

This is a Rayam [illegible] Agraharam

Regr. No 21

Veda vritti — H/8 — Do — Sd. Sanad — Ind. 1206

[illegible] in all the ants from 1206 as Inam

Vedavritti Seshavaddian

Bommalpalayam
Venkatasubba Vaddian — Daughter's Daughter's 2nd son — Wife's

Vakeel Subramaniya sastri of Bommalpalayam

Tolaleep
[illegible] Rs
[illegible] Subramania [illegible]
7/11/60

[illegible]
Confd.
M
10/6/62

1-4

Veda vritti — Do — Do — Do — Sd. Sanad — Ind. 1206

Vedavritti Srinivasa Chari

Further information has been called for in Supplemental Register 19/4/69

Settled in Suppl. Register 25/11/69

Entered in the attachment list sent to Collr. with letter dated 22nd June 1864 No. 74.
Time one year expired
To be fully enquired for decision
24/3/68

Inam Register of Jodi Agrahar of Kondalam No 25 in the Namakal Taluk

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Agrahar Register XIX

Register No 19
Sheet No 152

Caste IV

Venkatesayar Kare his own	0-5-0
Subbabat	0-10-0
Venkoji Rao	5-0
Annaji Rao	2-0-0
Nanjundavadani	1-1-4
Cheruvenkata kuppayan	0-3-12
Annayan	0-10-0
Ramalingasastri	0-5-0
Total	6-0-0

Kondalam 1 Narasimmasastri 55	Purchase	Son 1	0-5-0 From Venkatesayar by purchase in Narasayaya in Pingala or F 1267. 0-8-12 From Nanjundavadani by Venkata Subbayyar in Rudirodgari or F 1273 in mortgage 0-13-12	Inde tenemakes in Class III Total 6 1 Kare 6 ... ~~[illegible]~~ ... a ... 272-6-3 ... 8 28 300 [illegible] Dem
Ayambalayam Veganasofla 2 Someswarayan 50	Do	Son & grandson	0-13-12 From Cheru Venkata Kuppayar by his son Rangayan in Plavanga or F 1257.	
3 Seshayan 46	Do	Wife 1, sons 4	0-2-8 Mortgage from Venkaji Rao by ~~Ramu Rayar~~ by Tiruvengadam chetti in Akara or F 1225	
4 Anantayan 35	Do	Wife 1, daughter 1	0-2-8 From Ramalingayan to Seshayan in Sarvari or F 1227. 0-2-8 From Annaji Rao by Ramalinga sastri in Akara or F 1227 1-1-4	
Kondalam Malamadu Seshanarayan 24	Do	Wife 1, Brother, mother	0-10-0 from ~~Venkat~~ Subbabat by his grandson Venkatrayan in Sarvajit or F 1259. it is under mortgage with Palanisetti	
Kodumudi Manta 6 Seshayan 55	Do	Wife 1, Sons 3	0-2-8 From Venkoji Rao by Venkatrayan in Vilambi or F 1268 0-14-8 from Annaji Rao ... rayan in Krita or F 1252 0-2-8 from Nanjundavadani by Venkatrayan in ... Total 1-2-8	
Kondalam Azantula 7 Naranavaddian 30	Do	Mother, Brother's widow, Wife 1	1-0-0 From Annaji Rao by Venkatrama modeli.	
Erode Rayakoli 8 Yellaya 65	Do	Wife 1, Sons 6	0-10-0 From Nanjunda vadani by Matrubutam absent	
Combattu Addangi 9 Sitramayan 60	By mortgage	Son 1, Wife 1	0-5-0 From Annaji Rao by Ramavadani in Prajotpatti or F 1221 absent 0-2-8 From the same Davul by Ramavadani in 0-7-8	
Bommalpalayam 10 Kuppayar alias Subbramaniayan 40	By purchase	Wife 1, Brother 1	0-10-0 From Cheru Venkata Kuppayar by Narasimmasastri in Pingala or F 1267.	
Kandramanikkam 11 Subbammal 40	Do	No 12 is son 1, Wife 1	0-2-8 From Ramalingayar by Narayanan in Pingala or F 1267	
12 Subramaniayan 10	Do			
Karur Subbammal 35	Do	None	0-2-8 From Sinnayya by ... in ...	
		Total	6-0-0	

Abkari

All the [illegible] have been
settled

Total [illegible]
1200 Rs

The former [illegible]
was 1089-3-10

Net increase
at first 111 [illegible]

I [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] one
not prepared to hear

Inam Register of [illegible] Agraharam of Kondalam [illegible] Taluq Salem Di[illegible]

Register No 19
Sheet No 151

Under a Kayam Tax Agraharam — the tax being [illegible]
[illegible] — The Agraharam is arranged in 24 Vrithies, ~~or in~~ Captn McLeod's [illegible] Pattah for each share or a total 26 Vrithies taken together
but the share arrangement as contained in Captn McLeod's ~~[illegible]~~ Patta does not exist because the Inamdars have since changed the share fields & reorganized the shares. In pursuance of Captn McLeod's Pattah I settle the village in 6 shares or cases & include the [illegible] — ~~[illegible]~~ In [illegible] the Agraharam [illegible] prepared including certain Batwarthi Inams which went together with the Agraharam in all the stages of resumption & [illegible] from the days of Tippu Sultan. But Captn McLeod recognized the Batwarthies by issue of separate Pattas — ~~[illegible]~~ So I have now made them separate cases on the Batwarthi Register & deducted their value from the Agaharam of [illegible]. These Batwarthies were never subject ~~to~~ to any ~~[illegible]~~ change in regard to fields, as the Agaharam fields [illegible] have always [illegible] permanent ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] under the irrigation of the Cauvery

The wet in this village is ~~[illegible]~~ under the Channels. In the Circar Kondalam village, no reduction in assessment was made in Mr Hargrave's days, but the late revision has been applied to them. [illegible] or a reduction in assessment 22-12-12. The Circar wet (130 acres) is less assessment 29-9-0. [illegible] the tax was fixed at 2/3 of ~~[illegible]~~ Agaharam assessment. The Agaharam [illegible] will be unlikely to take Revenue Pattas for their lands in the Agaharam. The present ~~[illegible]~~ assessment is excessive & the tax proportionately so — but unless a general scheme is sanctioned, no relief can probably be given to the Inamdars.

This village was placed under attachment among the villages which did not come in for settlement the first time — they have since appeared & got the village settled. I therefore recommend that the village may be released from attachment.

Wn to Tahr 27/2/66

Is the ~~[illegible]~~ 12 Rupees paid as a distinct item? If the Jodi is a consolidated sum we cannot reduce it.

Compensation for the abolition of [illegible] should be given on general principles.

Fixed [illegible] for the [illegible] in Col 7

Mundal Agaharam Register No XIX

19

Difference of [illegible] 22-1

3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	16	15	16	17	18	19	20	21

[illegible]	[illegible]32 2514	432-60	
Deduct Circar [illegible]	267-8-13	267-22	
Remaining	165-15-1	165-38	2053-15-9
[illegible] Inam	28-13-0	28-45	261-13-0
	136-37-1	136-93	

Particulars

Dry	39-14-10	39-37	69-12-2
Wet	76-24-2	76-60	1572-11-0
Garden	20-38-5	20-96	149-6-7
	136-37-1	136-93	1791-13-9

+1r [illegible] as Chief

Jodi Rs ~~[illegible]~~
1087-3-10
It also pays as part of the Jodi
12-0-0
of Dharmadayam, [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] paid also pasture ~~[illegible]~~ & ~~[illegible]~~ ferry tax but these [illegible] have been lately withdrawn from collection [illegible] to the recent abolition of Mohturpha. I therefore ~~[illegible]~~ reconcile the 12 Rs & inform the Collector of the same.

Total Jodi paid 1089-3-10

[illegible]

No 5 and [illegible]
[illegible] appear in all the [illegible] to Agahat & Inam

In F 1290
Venkatasubbasastri 4-2-8
By mortgage from Anantaramaiyan 1-0-0
Total 5-2-8
Deduct the mortgaged to others 4-5-0
Rem 0-17-8

In F 1207
Subbasastri Karet 6-0-0
All Inam

Kondalam
Totapallia
1 Subbasastri | Daughters 5 Sons 2 | Wife 1 Son 1 | [illegible] 1-10-0
1-10-0 Acre

Bommalpalayam
Malanadu
2 Anayan alias Tiruvengadamaiyan 42 | Purchase | Wife 1 Daughter 1 | 2-0-0 purchased from Narayanaiyan & no 2 as per common [illegible] deed of sale dated Pingala [illegible] 1267
Produced [illegible] dated [illegible] (F 1251) by [illegible] mortgage — a daughter [illegible] of Subba [illegible] — [illegible] [illegible] Narayana [illegible] [illegible]

Kondalam
[illegible]
3 Narasimma sastri 58 | Purchase | Adopted son 1 | 1-15-0 From Laxminarasimmaiyar as per ~~[illegible]~~ stamped deed of sale dated Pingala F 1267
No 3 also [illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] Inamdar. He [illegible] share of [illegible] & [illegible] purchased a share which he sold to others.

Kondalam
Malanadu
4 Venkataramaiyan 65 | Purchase | Daughter 1 Person 1 | 0-5-0 From Narasimma sastri [illegible] as per common [illegible] deed of sale dated Plava F 1251

Kondalam
5 Harihara Subramaniaiyan 35 | Purchase | Wife 1 Son 1 | 0-10-0 By purchase No 2 to No 5 as per common [illegible] deed of sale dated Kalayukti F 1260
[illegible] & the [illegible] to No 3 — who sold a portion of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Total 6-0-0

Whole Cash [illegible]
[illegible] to the [illegible]
~~[illegible]~~
Acre
498

Tax 269-6-3
Mohturpha 3-0
Total 272-6-3

[illegible] 8 } 28 Rs

Total 300 Rs

T. D. No
938.

Confirmed in [illegible]
assmt 1791-13-9
Jodi 1089-3-10
Comd 9R.78
1177
P. Chentsal Rao
Dy
7/1/70
Approved 21/1/70

Collector written to to release 15th May [illegible]

See [illegible] arzi ~~[illegible]~~ dated 15/12/69
The whole amt 1089-3-10 is stated paid as Jodi. ~~[illegible]~~ Formerly a sum of 8 Rs was ~~[illegible]~~ remitted on account of Mohturpha which is not included in the Jodi. ~~[illegible]~~ The assmt in col 9 is correct. & that shown in col 21 is not correct. The latter includes the value of [illegible] Dharmadayam has been separately confirmed.

31/1089-3-10
363-1-3
15-6

[illegible] settlement accorded for each lot of 6 ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] the T.D. [illegible] is recommended to be a joint single as for the village [illegible] the [illegible] of each ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Register [illegible] graha of Kondalam [illegible] in the Namakal Taluk Salem District

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No III
Fusli 1207
Venkatramasastri 6-0-0
[illegible] 1-0-0
Venkal Subba 0-10-0
Subbasastri 2-7-8
Subbaramasastri 0-12-8
Chinna Venkatesaya
Peria Venkatesaya 1-0-0
Kittehaya 0-10-0
Total 6-0-0

Kannivadi
Haydiala
1 Vyddisastri — Venkatramasastri son — Wife 1 Sons 2 — 0-10-0 Apthis 0-8-12
2 Venkatramasastri 35 — grandson — Brothers — is under mortgage with Cuppaya
alias
~~Kannivadi~~

Kodimudi
Manta
3 Seshayan 55 Purchase Wife 1 Sons — 0-5-0 [illegible] by purchase

[illegible]

Kondalam
Tolapallia
4 Subbusastri 50 Do. — Wife 1 Sons 1 — 0-5-0 from Venkatramasastri [illegible] Rangamma [illegible] deed of Sale dated Ravadri or F1270
[illegible] changed
0-7-8 from Chinna Venkatesaya and Peria Venkatesaya by Chinna Venkatesaya as per Common [illegible] deed of Sale dated Angirasa or F1222
Total 0-12-8

Appeal Petn. of [illegible] No 969 dated 30 April 1865 No 13
The Petnr. reports that the [illegible] made by the Dy. Collector is correct & in accordance with present [illegible]

Bommalpalayam
Kandramanikkam
5 Subbammal 60 Purchase — wife — 0-7-8 from Chinna Venkatesaya & Peria Venkatesaya by Karanaya as per Common [illegible] deed of Sale dated [illegible] or F1267
XX 6 Subramaniayan — Do. son — Wife

[illegible] by [illegible]
7 Nanjunda sastri — By purchase — Do. — 0-5-0 He being absent ~~[illegible] account~~ for.

Sendamangalam
8 Rangayan 40 Nanjunda Subbayas son — Wife — 0-10-0
US. 9 Pedayan 30 Brother's son — Do. Sons — is under mortgage with Chinnatta [illegible] gavuda
10 Ramayan 25 Do. — Wife 1 Mother
[illegible] 12 May [illegible]

Bommalpalayam
Malanadu
11 Narayanayan alias Naranayan 28 Purchase — Wife 1 Son 1 — 1-0-0 from Subbasastri by Naranayaya as per Common chit of Sale dated Pingala or F1267

12 Ramaswamiayan 28 Do. — Mother 1 Son — 0-15-0 from Subbasastri
13 Vyddiayan 25 — Wife 1 — by Naranayan as
14 Sundararamayan 23 — Do. — Common chit of gift dated Sarvadhari or F1259
[illegible] 10/5/65

Kondalam
15 Seshanarayanayan 25 Purchase — Wife Brothers 2 — 0-10-0 from Subbasastri by Naranayaya in Pingala or F1267

Ayambalayam
Visanasola
16 Someswarayan 50 Purchase grandson — Sons 2 — 0-2-8 from Subbasastri as per Common chit
17 Seshayan 45 Do. — Sons Wife 1 — of Sale dated [illegible] F1226 by his [illegible]
18 Anantayan 35 Do. — Wife — 0-18-4
19 Sankaraseshasomeswarayan 35 Do. — Wife — from Kittehayan by [illegible] as per chit of mortgage dated Iswara or F1224

Bommalpalayam
20 Kuppayan alias Subramaniayan — Purchase — Total 0-3-12
Wife 1 Sons 1 Brothers — 0-2-8 from Subbarayas by Balanamayan in Pingala or F1267

Kondalam
21 Venkataramayan — Do. — Kittayan's 0-5-0 from by Subramaniayan
22 Hera Subbamma — Do. — Wife — Total 0-7-8
Tirumunaila — 0-10-0
23 Achuta Kuppayan — [illegible] — Do. — 0-2-8
Wife 1 — 0-1-4
5-0-0

in [illegible] purchased about 10 [illegible] but they unable [illegible] to [illegible] his [illegible] to [illegible] Narayana [illegible]. I [illegible] of the Kare [illegible]

Tolerated
1 Kare
6 [illegible]
[illegible] 0
272-6-3
[illegible] 8 28th

XX Bhattar [illegible] complains but he cannot [illegible] the sale & mortgage [illegible] the settlement will not be altered
[illegible] 7/6/[illegible]

Case No II
Fusli 1207
Gurumurtisastri Kare 6-0-0
his own 2-17-8
Anantaramayan 0-12-8
Appaji Rau 0-10-0
Somayaji 1-10-0
Vaddia 0-10-0
Total 6-0-0
In Fusli [illegible]

Ayambalayam
Visanasola
1 Someswaraya 50 — Allare Somayajis grandsons — Sons 2 — 1-10-0 They enjoy [illegible] themselves.
2 Seshayan 40 — Wife 1 Sons 4
3 Anantaya 35 Do. — Wife 1 Son 1 — Also 0-2-8 By purchase from Gurumurtisastri by his son Gunnaya & Seshaya as per Common [illegible] deed of Sale dated [illegible] F1226
4 Sankaraseshasomeswarayan 35 Do. — Wife 1 — and by mortgage 0-1-[illegible] by Echammal as per Common chit of mortgage [illegible] Iswara or F1227
& 0-12-8 from Anandarayya as per Common chit of mortgage dated Bava or F1224 & in F1224 by his son Rajarayan & Naran Royla
Total 2-15-0
[illegible] No 555 of 1864
[illegible]
2-15-0

Tolerated
1 Kare
6 [illegible]
[illegible]
498
272-6-3
[illegible] 8 28th
[illegible] 300 [illegible]

Kodimudi
Mantas
5 Kodimudi Seshayan 55 mortgage — Wife 1 Sons 3 — 0-10-0 from Gurumurtiayan [illegible] Palaniandi Chetti as per Common chit of mortgage dated Nandana or F1262 [illegible]
XXX

Kondalam
Axamrai
6 Naranavaddia 30 — Vaddia's grandson — Wife Mother 1 Brothers wife Son 1 — 0-10-0 is under mortgage with Kodimudi Seshaya

Kondalam
7 Malanadu
Harihara Subramaniayan 35 Purchase grandson — Wife 1 Son — 0-17-8 from Gurumurtisastri [illegible] by Ganapati Subbayan as per Common [illegible] deed of Sale dated Vikari or F1249
8 Narandayan 28 Do. — Mother Wife 1 Son
& 0-10-0 from Appaji Rau's [illegible] by Viswanayan as per Stamped deed of Sale dated Pingala or F1267
Total 1-7-8
The latter is under mortgage with Palaniappa pillai

[illegible] No 555 of 1864
The seller was all [illegible] [illegible] 1820 [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

~~Tirumalai~~
9 Achuta Kuppayan 00 — [illegible] — Wife 1 Son 1 — 0-17-8 This person absent [illegible] therefore [illegible] [illegible]
Mahadeva as per Ganapati Subbayan 50 — Daughter's son — [illegible]
Grand Total 6-0-0

XXX Narayanasastri complains that the alleged mortgage to Bhagavan [illegible] is false & that he [illegible] [illegible] a deed of mortgage [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 18[illegible]