Sheet [illegible]

Kankal Agrahar Register No 9

Register No 9
Sheet No. 135

Register of the [illegible] Inam Agrahar of Dattatreyapuram No. 13 in the Kankal [illegible] Salem District

D 3

This is an agrahar without Poramboke or any of the features of a distinct village. A vast extent of Khandam was allotted to the Brahmins at the assumption of the Country [illegible] in lieu of Tippu Sultan's [illegible] See [illegible] [illegible] lists of fields were prepared; but the Agrahardars say that they have not accepted to that arrangement, & their revised rate of 210 was also rejected. But they have since revised the rates & so we cannot note individual settlement as they are not able to produce any references of Circar accounts, recognizing their present enjoyments of fields—

The Village is engaged in 40 Vritties.

The soil is good; & the whole Agrahar is under cultivation.

Present Agrahar

Agrahar

	Extent	Assessment
Dry	272.19	982-7-6
Wet	17.94	163-0-3
	290.13	1145-7-9
Jodi		12-0-0
Total		1157-7-9

[illegible] as Charge

[illegible]

Not known

See Remarks — Disappears in all the accts as Inam

See [illegible] Not an Inam

See [illegible] Agrahar Enjoyments as noted below

The number of Vritties in the Village is 40 — Each of which is equal to Rs 28-15-0.

The whole agrahar is under cultivation.

The Inam is more a Khandam than an Agrahar village so that the rights attach to the Agrahar

Remark:

XXX Appln of Tanimeti Venkata Subbayya No 42 of 1865 claiming separate registration of the Yejamana Inam included in the joint Title Deed — [illegible] Report dated 11 Jany 1866 No 1 —

The existence of the Yejamana Inam from the earliest period is proved by the accounts & is admitted by the other Vrittidars — The Title Deed already issued for the Village is [illegible] & a fresh Title Deed issued for the said Inam as per particulars given below:

	Dry	Garden	Wet	Assessment	Q.R.	
The Village	248.54		14.65	1059-5-1	125-0-0	Exclusive of [illegible] whole being 57-14-0
Yejaman Inam	20.43	3-22	3-29	98-2-8	12-0-0	
Total	268.97	3-22	17.94	1157-79	137-0-0	

Whole Ass.

In one joint T.D.

The [illegible] Inam is engaged in 40 shares — Each share bears a proportionate Beriz of Rs 28-15-0

Of the 40 Vs 36 Vritties have been settled — the remaining 4 Vritties are not [illegible] of [illegible] 3 Vs are engaged on a recent mortgage by [illegible] the present Inamdar — & the other 1 is declared [illegible] engaged by an owner Brahmin Ramaya — [illegible] Open — [illegible] therefore conferring [illegible] Village in one joint TD & charge QR on the absent Vrittidars also with the consent of the Agrahar.

[illegible] the Vritti of the [illegible] [illegible] settlement [illegible]

Q.R. Rs 136 Rs

Confirmed [illegible] Q.R. Rs 137

3/3/64

See Remark XXX

[illegible] 12/2/66 10206

The individual enjoyments are noted in Col 16 — 22

Amount of Q.R. payable by each holder as follows

	Q.R. Rs
No 1	54-4-0
2	50-10-0
3	7-2-0
4	7-0-0 free
5	0-0-0
6	3-8-0
7	3-8-0
8	11-0-0
	137-0-0
	136-0-0
	1-0-0 Increase

Agreed as fixed [illegible] Deputy Collector

Agr. Inam Comr

See No 11 Subbaya has 5 Vritties. All the Vritties [illegible] 1 V of Chokkaya's [illegible] by [illegible] mortgage from Chokkaya

5 Vritties

II Ramaya's [illegible] 1 V [illegible] Dattreyaiyer(?) [illegible] 1 V of [illegible] mortgaged to Ramaya. 1 V [illegible]

Total 6 Vs

See No 12 Yejamana Subbiya [illegible] By mortgage from [illegible] 1 V. By [illegible] Ramaya 2 V. By transfer mortgage from Rama 1 V. 7½ V.

Of these mortgaged [illegible] Vritties are enjoyed by Subbayyar [illegible]

Dattatreyapuram

Tanimeti Venkata Subbaya 50 — Brother 1 V one B

2 Subbayam 30 — Do 1 V one B

[illegible] 5-0-0

Ramaya 6-0-0

Total 11-0-0

By purchase — [illegible] of Chokkayar's Vritties 3-0-0. As per [illegible] deed of Sale dated [illegible] by his brother's son A Kilandar [illegible] to Chudamanayar for 195 Rs father of the present holder [illegible]

1-0-0 By purchase from Vasudevayyar [illegible] but no deed of Sale is produced as noted in F 1263

Total 4-0-0

1 of these Vritties has been enjoyed and the remaining 1 [illegible] mortgaged to Dattatreya swami.

Whole Ass.

15 Vritties

Beriz Rs [illegible]
434-1-0

Q.R. Rs 54 Rs

[illegible]

[illegible] Dy Collr

[illegible]

No VI

Register of Sarva Inam Agraharam of Dattatrayapuram No 3 in the Namkal Taluq Salem d[illegible]

3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21

In Fasli [illegible]
Dattatraya Swamy
2 V

Dewadayam
[illegible]
By [illegible] from [illegible] 1 V
3 V
Swamy
Baladattaya

Dattatriyapuram
Dattatraya Swamy
Pagoda 8
Baladattaya's son
Nagaya as
[illegible] abs
[illegible] & [illegible]
Lingaya
2 V

Wholesale
2 V
Rs 57-14-
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Case No II In Fasli 1211
Subbanarayan
son 4 -

In Fasli 1230
Subbanarayan
son 4 -
which his son
Subbarayan enjoys

In Fasli 1243
Subbarayaya
son Subbarayan
4 V

Gopalaya (Brother)
in 3¾ V

By [illegible] from Chedalloda [illegible] 1 V
from [illegible] [illegible] ½ V
By mortgage ¼ V
By purchase from [illegible] Ramayya 2⅛ V
from [illegible] son [illegible] [illegible] 1⁄16 V
⅛ V
Total 9⅞ V

Dattatriapuram
Namakolani
1 Sitaramayan 27 grandson Mother Wife 1
2 Laxmayan 22 Do Wife
3 Ramayan 13 Do Unmarried

4 - - Own

3-15 - By inheritance or cousinship out of Gopalayas Vritti Gopalakistnaya who his grandfather's brother

2-2-8 Out of Ramaya's son Venkatramaya's Vritti purchased by Gopalakistnaya as per stamped deed of Sale dated Taruna or Fasli 1236 as noted in [illegible]

1-17-8 Out of Surianarainaya's son Sitaramaya's [illegible] purchased by Lingaya who sold to Gopalakistnaya grand father's brother as per stamped deed of Sale dated Hevilambi or Fasli 1247

1 - - Out of Raya pati Subbaya's as per Common Cadjan deed of Sale dated Pravanga or Fasli 1256 to ~~Gopa~~ by his son Nagaya Sundaramaya to Gopalakistnaya ½ V ~~[illegible]~~ ~~by cousinship~~ and the other ½ from Seshaya brothers son of Subbaya as per Common Cadjan deed of Sale dated Krodi or Fasli 1254 to said Gopala Kistnaya

The settlers of the [illegible] are [illegible] at [illegible] [illegible] Do

Total 8-15-0

1-5-0 By purchase out of Venkatramaya's as per Stamped deed of Sale dated Bahudhanya or Fasli 1257 by his son's wife Subbamma to Subbaya father of the present enjoyer for Rs 87½

grand total 14 - -

[illegible] by the owner from his grandfather Gopalakistnaya 8-15 & by [illegible] 1-5
Total 14 Vs
Gopalakistnaya's Brother Laxmamma[illegible] It seems she [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] to 3 Gopalakistnaya [illegible] years ago

To be Confirmed
14 Vs
Rs a P
Beriz 405 2 -

Rs ⅛ 50 Rs
[illegible]

15/12/63
[illegible]

In Fasli [illegible]
Chedalloda
Subbaya
1 V

In Fasli [illegible]
Chedalloda
[illegible]
1 V

Dattapuram
Chedalloda
Sitaramaya
1 V own

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

Wholesale
1 V Rs 28-0-0
Rs ⅛ 3-8-0

In Fasli [illegible]
[illegible]
Ramaya
1 V

Register No 9

Ope[illegible]
~~Pattapuram~~
Ramaya
as
1 V
Rs
28-15-0

Wholesale
1 V Beriz
Rs 28-15-0
Rs [illegible] 3-8-0
[illegible]
[illegible]

III In Fasli [illegible]
[illegible]
3 [illegible]

Dattapuram Nagaya
Venkata
Seshaya
[illegible]

3 Vritties
Rs A P
Rs 86-13-
[illegible]
[illegible]

These 3 Vritties were held by Venkata [illegible] Nagaya of Case No III on Mortgage but on a recent document dated [illegible] as [illegible] by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Venkata Seshaya who is [illegible] the [illegible] at Dattapuram [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] recent date [illegible] [illegible] in the name of Venkata Kistnaya

Wholesale
3 V
Rs
86-12-
Rs ⅛ 11 Rs
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
Collector
[illegible]

Case No III In Fasli 1211
Seshadri
ayan son
2 - -

In Fasli 1230
Seshadri
aya
son 2
By mortgage from Venkatnaranaya [illegible]
6¾
Total 8¾
which his son Ramalingaya enjoys

fair copied

Dattatripuram
Repallia
Venkatakistna
yan 30 ~~Brother~~ grandson Mother Wife 1 Son

2-0-0 Own
~~3-0-0 By mortgage out of Venkatramaya's as per Stamped deed of Mortgage dated [illegible] Fasli 1278 to his son Venkata[illegible] [illegible] for 180 Rs [illegible] [illegible] family is said [illegible] [illegible]~~

To be Confirmed
2 V
Beriz Rs a P
57-16-
Rs ⅛ 7 Rs
15/12/63
[illegible]

Case No II In Fasli 1211
Laxmaya
son 2 - -

Dattatripuram
Repalli
9 Kuppaya 55 Son Wife 1 Sons 2 He enjoys it himself
2-0-0 Own

Wholesale
2 V Beriz Rs a P
57-16-
Rs ⅛ 7 [illegible]