No. 8 Register of Inams in Thellanahalli Village (Sundry Inams in the whole Village) Kistnagiri Taluq Salem District

3	Devabandam Nagá enaram Nanjái 47. Molla Nanjái	Wet A.B. Cawlan A.C. 113¼ 41-16 71	2.75-26-12 0.49-13-7	9 25.7.2 8 10.2	Granted for the Mainte nance of a Tank in repair. The Tank is in good repair	Sarva Inam	Permanent so long as service is continued to be performed	Not Known	No Sanad or Document	Matta Inthe re Paymaish Chetti account of 1795 the Inam is Entered as Katta Mányam but no Inamdar's name is mentioned. In the account of Fasli 1230 the Inam is Entered in the name of Kuppi Chetti. In F. 1232 the name of the same individual is Entered. In F. 1234 Kuppi Chetti. In F. 1248. Mánicumbadi Chetti, the Son of the Brother of the above individual. 15 Col. The name of Kuppi Chetti the Son of Original Grantee is Entered in the Survey accounts of F. 1230, 32 & 34. Mánicumbadi Chetti Entered in the account of Fasli 1248. Vide Col. 14	Karimangalam Dharampuri Taluq 1. Mánicumbadi Chetti Grand Son age 45 Karimangalam Dharampuri Taluq 2. Chennal Chetti His Younger Brother age 35	(1) Wife 1 Brother 1 Wife 1 Son 1 4 (2) Do. Wife 1 Sons 3 4	The Inam being of an older date than 50 Years is Recommended to be Confirmed subject to the Conditions of the Grant Viz. the mainte nance of the Tank in Repair The Title Deed to be issued in the names of the two persons Entered in the 16 Col. and of the 4 others Entered below who Enjoy the Inam in Equal portions with Chennal Chetti	The Collr. is requested to state when the Inam was attached & when the parties appeared & if more than a year had elapsed whether the explanation given of the delay be satisfactory W. Main 14/11/66
Total		3.79	36-10-4	32-1-4										

Register No. 8
Sheet No. 167

✠ This Inam was placed under attachment in consequence of the non-attendance of the Inamdars before the Inam Deputy Collector but as they have since appeared before the Tahsildar their Inam is recommended to be released & the full Assessment Collected on the Inam during the time it remained under attacht. refunded to them —

(Signed) H. S. Thomas
Sub Collr.

Date of application for attachment 29th Oct 1863

Mánicumbadi Chetti } One half of the Inam —

1. Chennal Chetti
2. Mánicumbadi Chetti
3. Angádi Rámal Chetti
4. Bétraya Chetti
5. Mánicumbadi Chetti

} the other half ✠

E.S.G.

Received with Collr.'s letter dated 7th May 1866 No. —

(True Copy)
W. Main
Offg. Inam Commissioner

Copied

Inam Register

Register No 8
Sheet No. 168

Inam Register of Hissa Shrotriem Village of Yellanaballi No 144 in the amani Taluk of the Kistnagiri Taluq Salem District

This is a hissa village – The share of Govt is 4/5 of the Beriz & that of the Agrahardars 1/5 of the Beriz. The soil is redish earth of an average quality. The wet depends on a tank which is supported by a [illegible] —

The wet is also supported by two spring wells, which assist in raising the 2d crop. [illegible]

5574-3-4
114-13-5

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
105	Hissa Personal Hissa Shrotriem village of Yellanaballi No 144 in the Amani portion of the Kistnagiri Taluk		Ayakat			
		Ayakat	348-53		296-1-4	
		Deduct Poramboke	28-53			
		Arable extent	320-00		296-1-4	
		Deduct Inam	10-82		44-4-10	
		Remaining circar	309-18		251-12-6	
		add part of Poramboke subsequently cultivated	0-74		3-3-10	
		Total	309-92		255-0-4	

Particulars

Assessed land	Dry	237-25	116-14-1	
	Wet	24-37	102-0-3	
		261-62	218-14-4	
Assessed	Dry	42-25	21-2-0	Charged by the [illegible] & to the [illegible] 2½ the [illegible]
	Wet	6-05	15-0-0	
		48-30	36-2-0	
Total	Dry	279-50	[illegible]	
	Wet	30-42	117-0-3	
		309-92	255-0-4	

8: For Subsistence as Charity

9: Tody or the average Circar share 156-6-5 plus proposed addition of 12-0-0 / 168 Rs

10: Beriz 6

11: By Chennappa [illegible] of [illegible] on the Subhakrut or A D 1782

12: As the original Telugu Sunnad dated Subhakrut 9th [illegible] [illegible] granting the Shrotriem rent value for 5 years at a rent of 5 Pagodas a year including the village & the tank. The name of the grantor is not mentioned.
2d – A Cowle Pottah of the [illegible] date (date not given in the pottah) granting the village on the Shrotriem rent of 22 Pagodas a year or Rs 77 Rs. in the name of Ramachary.
Mr David Cockburn then converted the Shrotriem tenure into the [illegible] 1/5 share.

13: [illegible] Yellanaballi Rama Chary

15: See the Register of [illegible] Yellanaballi Ramanuja Chary No 5 [illegible]

16	17	18	19
Vaishnavas			
Yellaballi Gururaja Chary	65	1st sons son	1 has Daughter 2 married
2 Ramachandra Chary	45	2d sons son	2 has brother son 3 Daughters
3 Ramachary	50	3d sons son	3 Brothers has sons daughters 5
4 Shreenevasa Chary	70	4th son	(4) has sons [illegible]
5 Kistna Chary	25	5th son's brother of Ramanujany 4th sons son	(5) has sons

The 5 branches are all divided, but this village is enjoyed as common property, the Conditions being that each branch shall enjoy the village one year in succession. This year the village is enjoyed by the No 2 Ramachary. In F 1273 he will enjoy it, & in F 1274 No 5. After that it will take up again [illegible] 5 years. But this 50 is the [illegible] as regards the former [illegible] enjoyment is following the verbal contract which it [illegible] [illegible] A [illegible] [illegible]

21: This is a hissa Shrotriem village – the total estimated assessed value of the village is Rs 255-0-4 exclusive of Inams. The average Jummabundy of the village comes to Rs 195. The Beriz has stood at this sum almost unvarying from long time. In F 1224 the Beriz of the village was Rs 193-8-9. The average hissa or share of the Agrahardars is 1/5 or 39 Rs. The average includes the a [illegible] 0-9-6 & a Tirwah [illegible] of 5-4-8 against a remission of 3-9-11 & establishment Cost of 16 Rs. The net average [illegible] of which the Govt is Rs 195 – of which the Govt share has been on the average Rs 156-6- that of the Inamdars 39-1.
Looking at the cultivation of the average value of waste shown at 39 Rs, which is comprised of the estimated value of unimmemorial 35-2-0, & the value of the [illegible] waste 3-[illegible]. The total [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ immediately [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] I propose to add only 1/8 of the value of this waste, the prospects of its cultivation being very remote & calling for much individual exertion & pecuniary outlay, but this addition would meet its [illegible] remission of 5 & c. I propose the ~~Govt~~ value of the Govt share, once for all, may be fixed at its actual average receipts viz 156 Rs plus 4 Rs the value of waste
Total – 160 Rs
To be confirmed – the [illegible] allotted
Tax 160 –
add 2Rs out of share of the Agrahardars } 5 – 0
Rs 165 – 0

At the amount I propose to [illegible] over the village to the Agrahardars [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Approved
[illegible]

Confirmed
[illegible]

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years Commencing from F 1267 as per Jamabandi account

Fasly	Dry		Wet		Total		Net Jamabandy			Circar Share			Inamdars Share			
	A	C	A	C	A	C	R	a	p	R	a	p	R	a	p	
1267	218	80	21	88	240	68	190	12	0	152	9	9	38	2	5	The Jamabandi has included the [illegible] tax, Tree tax & Inam tax [illegible] charged the same [illegible] & the Inamdars. The village is managed by Govt [illegible] [illegible] for all [illegible] to the Inamdars [illegible]
1268	227	15	21	88	249	03	197	4	5	157	13	2	39	9	3	
1269	227	15	21	88	249	03	195	6	9	156	5	3	39	1	4	
1270	227	15	21	88	249	03	195	6	9	156	5	3	39	1	4	
1271	23[illegible]	[illegible]	23	18	260	39	198	10	9	158	15	0	39	11	9	
	1137	15	110	65	1248	16	977	8	4	782	0	3	195	8	1	
	227	50	22	13	249	63	195	8	1	156	6	5	39	1	7	

Beriz 156-6-8
5

Extract forwarded to No 5 in 16 Col.

Particulars

	Land assessment including [illegible]	Tirwah Jasti	[illegible]	Total	Remission	Establishment	Total Remission	Tree tax
F 1267	207-9-5	5-4-8	—	212-14-1	6-10-10	15-10-3	22-5-1	3-0
	[illegible]-9-5	5-4-8	—	212-14-1	—	15-12-8	15-12-8	3-0
	[illegible]-9-5	5-4-8	—	212-14-1	1-14-7	15-11-11	[illegible]-10-6	3-0
	[illegible]-9-5	5-4-8	—	212-14-1	1-14-7	15-11-11	[illegible]-10-6	3-0
	[illegible]	5-4-[illegible]		221-14-10	7-9-11	15-13-2	[illegible]3-7-1	3-0
	[illegible]	[illegible]-4		1073-7-2	18-1-11	78-11-11	—	—
	[illegible]-6-4	[illegible]-4		[illegible]-11	3-9-11	15-11-0	—	—

xx ~~[illegible]~~ At the amount of the average Beriz viz 156, which with the Quit rent at 2 annas in the Rupee on the Inam value would amount to Rs 161. It ~~would~~ falls below my recommendation only by 4 Rs, which I have charged on account of the waste ~~[illegible]~~ but it is a mere charge on ~~the~~ unimmemorial waste, this small addition may be given up, & the [illegible] Tax may be fixed at 161 Rs – to which the Agrahardars gave their consent. It appears on subsequent inquiry that a portion of the Inammerial becomes submerged under the ~~[illegible]~~ Circar tank of Karagadahalli when it gets full –

x On the principle of the new waste Rules

V No 1734

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

~~Inb~~

Regr No 7

...am Register of the Visha Shrotriem Village of Marathalli No 241 in the ... Portion of the Kistnagiri Taluk Salem District

This is a Panchamkisa Shrotrium village ~~of~~

The share of Govt 4/5 of the Jummabandi; & that of the Inamdar 1/5 –

The wet depends on a tank – the assessment is [illegible]

The accts of the village commence with the Register of Fusli –

2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22

1 6 Personal Shrotrium Village of Marathalli No 241 in the Kistnagiri Taluk

	Ayakat		
Ayakat	356–36		
Deduct Poramboke	53–69		
Available extent	312–67		
Deduct Inam	15–70		11–11–3
Remaining circar	296–97		137–6–4
	Particulars		
Assessed land Dry	210–05		114–0–6
Wet	9–05		13–11–10
	219–10		127–12–4
Not assessed Dry	76–50	Treatment as dry	
Wet	1–37		
	77–87		9–10–
Dry	286–55		123–10–0
Wet	10–42		13–11–10
			137–6–4

Worthless land light & rocky charged at 2 Annas per acre –

For maintaining as Charity

Average Fusly Jumma to Govt 108–13–7

Granted by Jaghirdar Row when not known

The Inamdar according to the Register of Fusli it was granted by Jaghirdar Row to Ramanuja Chary till Sobakrit or F 1193 – it was enjoyed tax free – In Krodhi 1194 Tippu Sultan resumed the village & kept it under Circar till Kalayukti or F 1198 – In 1198, it was restored at a Jodi of 8 Chakrams – the Company continued it on this Jodi till Ananda or F 1204 – In 1205 the fixed a Jodi of Pagodas 7 – 16 – 72 – In 1222 the village was reduced at this Shrotrium rent – which was 1/5 of the Beriz at that time –

Of Family Ramanuja Chary

In Fusli Varada Chary Grandson

~~[illegible]~~

[illegible]

Adaivi Madhava Chary 67 Grandson of the son daughter

2 Raghunada Chary 22 Grandson and adopted son adopted father of the deceased both alive 10 years [illegible]

The Ayakat value of the village taking immemorial waste also into account is 137 Rs –

The average Jummabandi Beriz is 136 Rs – of which the share of Govt is 108–13–7 & that of the Inamdar is 27–8–10 – It is of course [illegible] unless we are to add anything to this average Beriz on account of the immemorial waste which is all the side of a hill, & rocky – that all it could be ever brought under tillage it must be at an outlay of much capital & personal exertion –

I would therefore take the average to represent the fair [illegible] of the village in all seasons –

The Enfranchisement is as follows

Average Beriz 136–6–5

Jodi 108–13–7

Deduct 1/8 on Inamdar's share 27–8–10 } 3–8–0

112 Rs –

At this sum I recommend the village may be made over to Madava Chary – who consents to the terms.

Of Rayaa [illegible]

Madava Chary & Appana Chary are brothers – ~~but~~ they ~~have~~ are divided, & according to the partition deeds exchanged between them in Sadharana or F 1170 the right & enjoyment of the village as Shrotrium was left to Madava Chary, & Appana Chary received of him certain other lands in this village & a larger portion of Inams under several villages – so Appana Chary & adopted son has no right to any share in this village – The Registry has been ~~[illegible]~~ therefore recommended to be made in the name of Madava Chary only –

Confirmed as recommended at a quit rent of Rs 112 – The Inamdar has forthright remitted [illegible] account 114 [illegible]

... during the last 5 years Commencing from Fusli 1267 & as per Jummabandi accounts

Dry	Wet	Total	Net Jummabandi Beriz			Circar Share			Inamdar's Share		
	92	198 96	136	8	2	109	3	4	27	4	10
	92	198 71	136	8	2	109	3	4	27	4	10
	92	211 52	146	0	7	116	2	8	29	12	1
	92	218 97	148	1	3	117	12	6	30	4	9
	9 45	171 46	114	15	7	91	14	1	22	15	6
954 89	44 73	999 62	681	15	1	544	3	11	137	12	0
190 98	8 94	199 92	136	6	5	108	13	7	27	8	10

Particulars

	Land assessment	Sirwak Patti	Jody	Total	Remission	Establishment	Total Remission	Free Tax
Fy 1267	115–7–4	24–3–1	–	139–10–5	–	3–2–3	3–2–3	–
1268	115–7–4	24–3–1	–	139–10–5	–	3–2–3	3–2–3	–
1269	125–5–2	24–1–5	–	149–6–7	–	3–5–10	3–5–10	–
1270	127–6–5	24–1–4	–	151–7–9	–	3–6–6	3–6–6	–
1271	93–6–7	24–1–4	–	117–7–11	–	2–10–4	2–10–4	–
Total	577–10	120–10–3	–	697–11–1	–	15–11–2	15–11–2	–
Av	115–6–7	24–2–1	–	139–8–8	–	3–2–3	3–2–3	–

~~3–8–0~~
~~108–13–7~~
~~112–4–7~~

Inam Register of [illegible] in the [illegible] Taluk [illegible] Dist

[illegible] Village

5 8

6 Supplemental

Register No. 8
Sheet No. 169

1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	[illegible] D. 113	390	12 0·89		1 13 5	[illegible] of Ramamanta Razaswamy at [illegible] the [illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] 400 [illegible]	[illegible] Ramamanta Razaswamy [illegible] Ramamanta Razaswamy		[illegible]	Ramamvillore Ramamanta Razaswamy [illegible] 400 [illegible]					To be [illegible] Tax free [illegible] 12/10/62	[illegible] 1012 Confirmed 25/10/63
2	[illegible] D. 17	5 7 0	6·22		1 8 9	[illegible] of Chinnaraza [illegible] at Pellanahalli the [illegible]	D	D	[illegible]	Do	[illegible] Perimallu [illegible] Perimallu		[illegible] Ramanuja [illegible] Ramanuja	Pellanahalli Chinnaraza [illegible] Chennaza 35					To be [illegible] Tax free T D in the name [illegible] 12/9/62	[illegible] 1013 25/10/63
3	[illegible]	1 2 23 / 1 22 / 3 32	2·75 / 1·72 / 3·79		23 3 2 / 8 10 2 / 32 1 4	[illegible] Pellanahalli [illegible] at Pellanahalli It is [illegible] made by the [illegible]	D	D	[illegible]	Do	[illegible] Muniyan [illegible] Padi		[illegible] Padi [illegible] Muniyan Padi						Entered in the attachment list dated 28th October 1863. Since one year expired - ~~Fully [illegible] for [illegible]~~ Supl Register [illegible] for this case of further [illegible] - 14/11/66 T K 24/3/66	
4	[illegible]	1 7 0	1·22		4 3 5	[illegible]	D	D	D	[illegible]	[illegible] Pandaraji [illegible]		[illegible] Palaza	There are no heirs to this Inam [illegible] village [illegible] [illegible] asked for further information in Supplemental Register 21-1-67 [illegible]					[illegible] for the reasons stated in the column of [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible] 25/10/66

9 No 9 Supplemental [illegible] Village

Inam Register of Vadamayalam No. 323 Tax-free Village in the [illegible] Taluk [illegible] Dist

[illegible] is a [illegible] Inam Village

1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
[illegible]	[illegible]	12 3 19 / a 5 8 0 / 12 8 2 / 28 10 0 / 12 3 5	19·09 / 11 / 19·20 / 32 / 12·92		16 10 a / a 3 10 / 16 13 10 / 6 4 7 / 23 2 5	[illegible] of Perimallu at Vadamayalam the [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] as [illegible] as [illegible] Inam	[illegible] Perimallu [illegible] Pagani Perima [illegible]		[illegible]	Vadamayalam Perimallu Pagani ~~[illegible]~~ Dasari 30					To be [illegible] [illegible] 6-9-0 T D in the name of the [illegible] 3/10/62	[illegible] 1016 Confirmed 25/10/62
[illegible]	[illegible]	8 37 0	8·92		7 9 3	[illegible] of Ranga at Vadamayalam the [illegible]	D	D	[illegible]	Do	[illegible] Ranga Pagani Chinna		[illegible] Ranga Pagani Perima [illegible]	Vadamayalam Ranga Pagani [illegible] Settled in Supplement					[illegible] Agraharadars [illegible]	Resumed [illegible]

Case Nos 2 & 3
a [illegible] 74 of 1865 [illegible] and Ramma [illegible] Deeds.
[illegible] as the [illegible] resumed [illegible]

from Pagani

[illegible] Vadamangalam [illegible] village [illegible]

323

3 Pallalamma [illegible] Mange [illegible]

Written to Collr 10/2/70

Settled in supplement.

Vadamangalam

Pallalamma

Pagine

Pallalamma

Pagine

Pallalamma

Pagine

Chella

It is recorded that the [illegible] of the village [illegible] to the Agraharam [illegible]

[illegible] also an [illegible] property enjoyed without any right [illegible] Agraharam [illegible] performed

[illegible] Resumed [illegible]

[illegible] 25/10/[illegible]

4 [illegible] 6 30 0 6 75

Not found

[illegible] 30 years without any title [illegible]

Conf. [illegible] Supp. [illegible] 3/2/70 [illegible]

Nara Amma

Agraharam

[illegible] an [illegible] property

[illegible] it is recorded that [illegible] the Agraharam [illegible] the Agraharam family [illegible] the Agraharam [illegible] changed

[illegible] shown in the column of enjoyment [illegible] no right [illegible] the Inam [illegible]

Resume

25/10/[illegible]

Rejected No 10/12 10

[illegible] Register [illegible] village of Devasamudram [illegible] No 14 in the [illegible] Taluk

Devasamudram [illegible] village

1 [illegible] 18 0 0 47

1 1 10 Fort [illegible] Panemma at ~~Devasamudram~~ [illegible] No temple exists [illegible] for [illegible] years

It appears [illegible] 1803 [illegible]

Vedamangalam

Panemma

Pagine

Malli

Panemma

Pagine

~~Pagine~~

Chella

of Devasamudram

Pagine

Chella

Tole Confirmed

Taxfree

[illegible] the Goddess

16 [illegible]

2 [illegible] 85

1 9 4 2 Fort [illegible] of Devasamudram [illegible] Devasamudram [illegible] 686 136

Do

Ramaswamy

Naganna Parchan 45

[illegible] Ramaswamy Naidu for 200 [illegible]

[illegible] dated 25 December 1858 [illegible] Ramaswamy [illegible] 1859 [illegible] Ramaswamy [illegible]

Vakil Sitaramayya of Damulakulu

Tole Confd

Taxfree

29/11/62

25/

3 [illegible] 5 13 1 20

1 184 [illegible] Do Do Do

Do

Kalimani Chinna

Kalimani Chinna

Kalimani Chinna

Entered in the attachment list dated 28th October 1865.

Time one year expired

Fully assessed for default

[illegible]
24/3/66

4 [illegible] 3 70 3 70

[illegible] 1 40 0 5

3 99 0 3 75

7 1 6 2 8 1 9 2

[illegible] Do Do Do

Do

[illegible] Rangappa

Chella

Do

Fully assessed for default

[illegible]
24/3/66

tavarti
subsistence
nám —

No. 110 Óradi punji
Guntas 2557/16
6·38
2-7-5
2-7-5
Granted by a former Government
Reg. No 118
Jodi 4-10
Hereditary
Not Known

Velávalli —

No Sanad but Jodi pattas are produced. By the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207 and other subsequent accounts the Inám is proved to be 50 years old —

Rámápandithan — Son of Thirumalapandithan

The name of Rámápandithan is entered in the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207. In the account of of Fusly 1230 The name of Venkatrámápandithan is entered as the son of Séshapandithan deceased. The same name appears in the accounts of Fusly 1232 & 34. The name of Séshachelapandithan appears in the account of Fusly 1248 as the great grandson of the original grantee —

Séshapandithan the Son of the original grantee
Venkatrámápandithan grandson whose Name appears in the accounts of Fusly 1232 & 34
Séshachelapandithan great grandson whose name is entered in the accounts of Fusly 1248.

Séshayan alias Séshachelapandithan or Séshagiri aiyan
age 52

Krishnagiri Taluq

Vániambádi
Great Grandson of the original grantee

Wife — 1
Son — 1
daughter 1
Brother — 1
Brother's wife 1
Brother's son 1
6

date than 50 years and has been in the enjoyment of the family of the original grantee. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th column at 1/8th Quit rent which has been agreed to —

Quit-rent at 1/8th .. 4-4
Former Jodi .. 4-10
.. 9-2

The Inám was laid under attachment in consequence of the nonappearance of the Inámdár before the Inám Deputy Collector, but as he has since appeared within the time required by notice before the Tasildar, the Inám is recommended to be released from attachment

13-7-66

W Thomas
Sub Collector

4

Confirmed on a combined Quit Rent of 10 Annas & released from attachment
16-3-67

2-4

S.D. No. 1791

2-7-5
4-10
2-2-7
4-4
9-2 10 Annas

Sheet 6 —
Collr. written to, to release
14-6-67
Supplemental
Register No. 118
Sheet No. 208
Velavalli village
Krishnagiri Tk
Salem Dt
Fair Copied

5

Batavarti or Subsistence Inám
No 277 Pallam Nanji
Guntas 45/16
1·13
6-10-8
6-3-2
Not Known
Jodi 13-4
Hereditary
Granted by a former Government
Reg. No 52

Malayándahalli

No Sanad but Jodi Pattahs are in existence —
By Paimash account of Fusly 1304 and the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207, the Inám is proved to be of longer standing than 50 years

Thiramalapanditan

In the Pymash and Kayam Thirva accounts, the name of Vaniambady Thirumala panditan appears. In the accounts of Fusly 1230-32 & 34, the name of Séshachelayan is entered as the son of Rámapanditan. In Fusly 1248, the name Venkatramapandithan is entered

Tirumala panditan entered in Pymash & Kayam Tirva accounts one and the same. Séshachalayan entered in the account of Fusly 1230-32 & 34 the grandson and Venkatrámápandithan entered in Fusly 1248 the great grandson of the original grantee

Krishnagiri Taluq, Salem Dt.

Séshayan alias Séthagiri ayar or Sésháchalapanditan — age 52

Vániambády

Great grandson of the original grantee

Wife — 1
Son — 1
Daughter — 1
Brother — 1
Brother's wife — 1
Brother's son — 1
6

The Inám is of an older date than 50 years. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th Column at 1/8th Quit-rent which has been agreed to —

1/8th Quit Rent .. 10-9
Former Jodi .. 13-4
1 8 1

This Inám was laid under attachment in consequence of the non appearance of the Inámdár before the Inám Deputy Collector, but as he has since appeared within the required time before the Tasildar, the Inám is recommended to be released from attachment.

13-7-66

W Thomas
Sub Collector

6

Confirmed at recommended on a Combined Q R of R 1-8- & be released from attachment.
21-1-67

2-4

S.D. No. 1790

Registered
Collr. written to, to release
14-6-67
Supplemental
Fair Copied

Batavarti or Subsistence
No 35 Mittah Punji
Guntas 57
1·42
4-3-5
4-3-5
Granted by former Government for Panchángi Service
Reg. No 5
Sarva Inám
Hereditary
Not Known
The Inám is proved by the accounts to be more than 50 years old —

Thellanahalli (Shrotriem)

No Sanad or other documents

Buchibattan —

The Inám is entered as Panchángi Inám in the Pymash account of 1795 and in the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207. But no name is mentioned — In the account of Fusly 1230-32 & 34 the name of Buchibattan is entered. In Fusly 1248 the name of Pápayan appears as the son of Bu

Pápayan entered in the account of Fusly 1248 is the son of the party in column 13 —

Krishnagiri Taluq, Salem Dt.

Elangalipatti in Dharmapury Taluq

Venkatrámayan alias Venkatrámabattan age 28

Grandson to the original grantee

Wife — 1
Daughter — 1
Father — 1

The Inám is of an older date than 50 years. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th column at 1/8th Quit Rent Rs. .. 8 .. which has been agreed to

13-7-66

W Thomas
Sub Collector

Report whether the Inám is really enjoyed by the Kisba Shrotriemdars or by the parties in Col. 16 as it can only be confirmed in the latter case —

~~Confirmed at recommended~~
In the original Report it was stated that these were in receipt of the Inam & that it was enjoyed by the Kisba Shrotriemdar of the village without any right. The Inam therefore fully

as per original Reg.
Reg. No. 8
Shrotriem
Supplemental
Fair Copied

Reg. Bandanapalli Villag[e]   Krishnagiri Taluq Salem Dt

Sect No: ber origl Regr	Kódi-Punji No 72 Ulléri Nanji	Guntas 690 153/4 755 3/4	25 1° 64 18° 89	4-14-1 16-3-1	4-14-1 16-3-1	Not Known	Tódi 3-u-8	Hereditary	Not Known	No Sanad or other documents. The Inám is proved to be more than 50 years old by the accounts—	Kristnambattan	In the Pymaish account of 1798 the name of Kichibattan is entered as the Inamdár. In the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207 the Inám is entered without the name of the holder. In Fusly 1230 Kuppábattan's name appears. The same individual's name is entered in the accounts of Fuslies 1232 & 34. In the account of 1248 the name of Venkat Kistnambattan is entered as the grandson of the original grantee—	Kichibattan whose name is entered in the Pymaish account and Kuppábattan entered in the accounts of Fuslies 1230-32 & 34, are the sons, and Venkatakrishnambattan whose name is entered in the account of Fusly 1248 the grandson of the original Grantee	Krishnambhotta age 20	Kammayanal-lir in the attengari Taluq	Great grandson to the original grantee.	Mother 1 Sisters 2 3	The Inam being of an older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th Column at 1/8th Quit Rent which has been agreed to— 1/8th Quit Rent 1-10-u Former Jodi 3-u-8 4-10-8 13-7-66 Sub Collector	Confirmed recommended on a [illegible] Rs 4 11 12 11 W.M. 21-1-67 8th [illegible] 1787

Register No 82

Fair Copied

Menar Inam Regr No 13 – Chennekavundanpalli   Krishnagiri Taluq Salem Dt.

Batavarti or Subsistence grant	Dry	Guntas 360 6/16	9·11	16-4-2	14-12-1	Granted by a former Government	Sarva Inám	Hereditary	Granted by a former Government Time unknown	No sanad— a deed of gift executed by Buchammál in the year Paridhava— By Pymaish and Kayam tirva accounts, this Inám is proved to be 50 years old—	Rámábhotlu	In the account of 1796 the Inám is entered in the name of Rámabhotla Párangi Inám. In Fusly 1205, the Inam is entered in the name of Ráma Bhotlu. In Fusly 1230 the name of Buchammal is entered as the daughter in law of the Inámdár. The same entry appears in the accounts of Fuslies 1232. 34 & 48—	The party in the 10th column is the same individual [illegible] whose name appears in the Pymaish and Kayam Tirva accounts. Buchammal whose name appears in the subsequent accounts is the daughter in law of the original Grantee—	I Sishabattan age 45 II Sundara Sastre age 40	Dharmapuri Rayakotta	aliences through remotely related to the party entered in the accounts of Fusli 1230 and subsequent accounts—	I Daughter 1 Sons 4 Wife 1 6 II Daughters 2 Son 1 Wife 1 4	acquired the Inám by alienation 20 years ago	The Inám is of an older date than 50 years, but the parties in present possession having acquired the Inám through gift only 20 years ago, the Inám is recommended to be confirmed to them subject to 1/4th Quit Rent Rs 3-6-u 13-7-66 Sub Collector	The same information was called for in Case No 2 of the village of Khandekoundenhalli Regr No 8 of this Taluq is required also for this case W.M. 31.5.67

Register No 13 (Shrotriem Jari Muttah)

Fair Copied

Menar Inam Regr No 10   Khandékoundenhalli   Krishnagiri Taluq Salem Dt.

Batavirti or Subsistence Inam for [illegible] Regr	Dry class Marampunji	Guntas 201	5° 02	2-5-11	2-5-11	Granted by a former Government	u	Hereditary	Granted by a former Government Time unknown	No Sanad— a deed of gift executed by Buchammal in the year Parábava	Rámábhotlu	In the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207 the Inám is entered in the name of Rámábhotlu. In Fusly 1230 the name of Buchammal appears as the daughter in law of Rámábhotlu deceased. The same name appear in the accounts of 1232	Rámabhotla is the party in the 13th column is the same person whose name appears in the Kayam Tirva account of 1207— Buchammál whose name appears in the subsequent accounts is the daughter in law of the [illegible]	I Séshabattan age 45 Sundara Sastri age 40	Dharmapuri Ráyakottai	aliences through remotely related to the party entered in the account of Fusly 1230	I Daughter 1 Sons 4 Wife 1 6 II Daughters 2 Son 1 Wife 1 4	acquired the Inám by alienation 20 years ago.	The Inam is of an older date than 50 years but the parties in the 16th column having acquired it by a recent alienation (20 years ago) The Inam is recommended to be confirmed subject to 1/4th Quit Rent Rs u-8-u annas eight 13-7-66 Sub Collector	The Inam has already been fully assessed because the party No 2 in Col 16 did not claim it before the [illegible] was to state how the Inam was acquired by the parties in Col 16, whether the sellers are alive [illegible] what they have regarding the alienation 16.3.67 W.M.

Regr No 10 (Shrotriem Jari Muttah)

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Inam Register of Phellanahalli Village in the Krishnagherry Taluq Salem District 7 8. 9 10 11 12 13 14. 15 16 17 18. 19. 20. 21.

Phellanahalli Village

I

Desabandam

Cadangal
Wet 46 2.75 2.75 23.7.2
47 1.4 1.4 8.10.2
3.79 3.79 32.1.4

Not known
Service Inam granted for the upkeep of Desabandam tank. The tank is in good repair

Desabandam Inam

Tax free

Hereditary

By Government year not known

No sunnud or other documents –

Uakkari chetty according to the old pymaish accounts of year 1794

In the Pymaish accounts of the year 1794 Uakkari chetty's name was entered.

In the Kayam Sivai accounts of Fusli 1207 entered as "Rattamaniyam"

In the accounts of Fusly 1230 Inamdar Kuppi chetty.

In the accounts of Fusly 1232 is entered said Kuppi chetty.

In the accounts of Fusly 1234 Coppichetty.

From Fusly 1240 Manigambadi chetty's name was entered.

Kuppi chetty was the son of Uakkari chetty. Monniyambadi chetty is the uncle's son of the original grantee

I
Mooniyan aged 14

II
Koolan aged 12

Harimangalam

Great grandsons of the original grantee and grandsons of the present registered holder.

I
Kondammal the wife of Monigambadi chetty

II
Chinnan son of Kondammal

III
Chinni the wife of Chinnan.

The Desabandam Inam is entered in the Pymaish accounts and is older than 50 years. The Tank for which it was originally granted is reported to be in good order.

2. The Inam was placed under attachment under the orders of the Collector from Fusli 1274 in consequence of the Inamdar having failed to file the Statement before the Inam Commission. The Inamdar being a female and her son being insane was not aware that a statement should be filed before the Inam Commission. Kondammal wife of the last Registered holder stated to the Tahsildar that a Title-deed should be granted in the name of her grandsons as her own son is insane and that she has no objection to her grandsons being recognized as Inamdars. It is therefore recommended that the Inam be confirmed to the parties mentioned in Column 16 in one Title-deed free of tax conditional on the terms of the grant being fulfilled.

Register No 8
Sheet No 211
Fair Copy

Confirmed as recommended
13/2/77

Salem Sub Collector's Office
Oosoor 30th June 76

Dis.
1822

To
The Collector of Salem

K.C.

Frank Wilkinson
Ag. Sub Collector.