[illegible] District of ~~[illegible]~~ Salem

is a Vancambiosa village. The share of Government is 4/5 of the Beriz and that of the Inamdar's 1/5. The soil is general reddish of a
d quality. There are 3 tanks in this village one large and the other two small ones called Tangals. The accounts commence with the
ter of Fusli 1244.

Register No 1
Sheet No 159

Ayacut

Total area } 1105.75

Deduct deficiency found in the re-survey of F 1270 } 2.42

Remaining } 1103.33

Deduct Poramboke } 105.56

Remaining extent } 997.77

Deduct Inam } 40.47 | 37-5-6

Remaining ayacut } 957.30

Assessed immemorial waste not recently cultivated } 160.96

1095.25 | 959.31

This deficiency was in a puttah land. On the complaint of the Pattadar a deficiency of 2.42 was found in the Settlement measurement and that extent was remitted from the [illegible]

In subsistence as charity	Average Jodi paid to Government during the last 5 years 735-11-6	Hereditary	Granted by Hyder Alli in A.D. 1780	An original Sunnud in Canarese dated 8 Sobakrutu 1705 or Plava or A.D. 1781 by Sabat Hyder Alli Khan Bahadur's Agent Parapati Chinnareddi to Vydeam Rama Pundit granting Jagadapa and its 2 hamlets with its tank on 40 Pagodas Shrotrium Jodi 2ndly A Takeed of Hyder Alli Bahadur dated Sarvari or 1780 to Chinna Reddi granting the village as Shrotrium to Rama Pundit 3rdly A Kaulnama of Mr Graeme dated F. 1804 continuing the village to Rama Pundit on Shrotrium tenure at 90 Kanterai Pagodas.	Vydeam Rama Pundit	Not found in the Register of F 1244. It appears from the Kurnum's statement that the village was never zubted. In practice the Shrotrium 1/5 is usually allowed by Government.

Kumarapatnam Vydiam Kristna Pundit age 46	grandson	Wife — 1 Sons — 4	These are the sons of two brothers. They have divided the lands under cultivation in equal lots.
2 Kasi Ram age 12	grand-son	Mother — 1 Sons — 2	

This is a Hissa Shrotrium village, the share of Government being 4/5 of the Beriz, and that of the Inamdars 1/5 of the Beriz. The Ayacut value of the village, as now estimated is 959-3-1 of which 20-11-2 is the extra made assessment of the immemorial waste, and the remainder the actual value of the Assessed lands. The revision of assessment was applied to this village in F1268, and the Ayacut value now determined is, after deducting the deductions of assessment, consequent on the revision. For the immemorial waste, a very light assessment has been fixed. The Jummabundy Beriz of the village during the last 5 years varied from a minimum of 845 Rupees to the maximum of 960-0-0. The Average stands at 919-10-4 out of this sum the Average Government share was 735-11-6 and that of the Inamdars 183-14-10.

The Jummabundy includes an average Teerwah Jasty of 106 Rs as shown in the Form C.

The Teerwah Jasty is composed of 1stly Teerwah Jasty and Tyen teerwah Jasty raised under the irrigation of the tanks in this village and 2ndly Tyen teerwah Jasty on dry lands irrigated by the Circar tank in the neighbouring Circar Village of Malakatimmanahalli. In all these items, a remission of 1/5 is made in favour of the Inamdars. The Inamdars never contributed, nor were ever required to contribute towards the repairs of the tank at Malakatimmanahalli: the wet Ayacut of Malakatimmanahalli village is all fully cultivated, and besides, water is let from that tank through the sluice to irrigate dry lands in Jagadapa to the extent of 23 Rs and land paying a teerwah Jasti of 82 Rs is irrigated by surplus Kodi waters. The total teerwah Jasti consequent on the Malakatimmanahalli tank irrigation is 75 Rs. The question that now arises up is whether the Inamdars have any right to a share in the teerwah Jasti &c raised under the irrigation of a tank, in which the Inamdars have had recognizably no share at any time. The Inamdar produces a takeed of Mr Graeme dated 2 April F 1805 on the subject. In this order Mr Graeme notices the complaint made by the people of Jagadapa against Sadasiva Row &c ryots of Malakatimmanapulli for their not letting the usual water for irrigating the Jagadapa lands, and informs the Tahsildar that the parties were expressly told, that the tank [illegible]

Proposed Judgt approved
(Signed) D. Arbuthnot
Collector

The Inamdars having for the last 50 years been allowed to enjoy in Wipha the Tirwa Jasty collected on account of the supply of water from the neighbouring Government tank their right to the same cannot now be questioned. The future repairs of the tank in this village will entirely devolve upon the Inamdars for which the cultivation of waste will employ [illegible] ate them. The supply of water from the Government tank should be made according to usage the repairs being done by the Government as usual. Confirm on one Title deed on a combined quit rent of Rupees 959

(Signed) W. T. Blair
Inam Commr
21st December 18[illegible]

T D A
— 1773

Ayacut land	Dry	1012.48	939-1-0	Inclusive of the recent revision of assessment
	Wet	46.78	[illegible]	
		1059.26	953-3-1	
	Dry	43.72	24-12-0	charged to dry at 8 annas per acre.
	Wet	1.30	1-0-0	charge at 3 Rs. per acre for wet.
		45.02	25-14-0	
	Dry	1048.20	760-15-0	
	Wet	47.05	198-4-1	
		1095.25	959-3-1	Total Ayacut value after allowing the revision of assessment

Cultivation of Beriz during the last 5 years commencing from F 1267 as per Jummabundi accounts

	Dry		Wet		Total		Beriz			Circar share			Inamdar share		
1267	967	.42	29	.50	996	.92	866	4	10	696	0	10	169	[illegible]	[illegible]
1268	866	.69	30	.31	896	.50	897	3	7	717	12	6	179	7	1
1269	932	.72	38	.39	971	.11	925	16	3	740	11	5	185	2	10
1270	976	.03	39	.64	1015	.67	945	4	3	756	3	5	189	[illegible]	10
1271	998	.63	45	.78	1044	.41	960	9	[illegible]	758	10	6	186	[illegible]	[illegible]
	4841	.29	183	.62	4924	.91	4598	3	11	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	10	3
	924	.26	36	.72	984	.98	919	10	4	735	11	6	183	14	10

Particulars

Fusly	Sum of Beriz	Teerwa Jasty	Jodi
1267	866 4 10	103-10-2	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

rock, and that they should distribute wa[illegible]
ul and directs the Tahsildar that if any [illegible]
that they should be sent up for punish[illegible] to meet

prescriptive right of the Jagadapu people to the waters of the Malakatimmanahalli tank; but this order does not say anything regarding the right of the Shrotriemdars to a share in the terwah Jasti charge in consequence of irrigation from the above tank. It does not appear, that this question was ever noticed or settled by the Revenue Department except so far as allowing the Shrotriemdars enjoy a year the remission of 1/5 on all the items. But with due regard to the right which the Shrotriemdars have thus obtained by long prescriptive enjoyment of the remission we cannot disturb it at the present day. But I would make the Shrotriemdars in future take a proportionate share in the repairs of the Malakatimmanapalli tank, and propose to add, once for all to fix the amount on that account to the proposed Kayam Jodi. The entire wet under this tank amounts to 300 Rs. The terwah Jasti raised by it in the village of Jadagapu is 75 Rs. To be the cost of maintaining the tank to keep up the cultivation of 75 Rupees at 1/4 of its amount or at Rupees 19-0-0 the Inamdar's share in this sum would be 1/5, i.e. the proportion of this Shrotriem Allowance or it will amount to 4 Rs a year. This sum accumulated each year, will be sufficient to cover the Shrotriemdar's liability at any time the repairs may be needed. This addition will be counter-balanced by the remissions to be made for the repairs of the Jagadapu tank when it is made over to him. I would estimate this sum at 4 Rs, and so applying both the corrections there would remain nothing to be added for the Malaka timmanahalli tank repairs or anything deducted for the Jagadapu tank repairs, the liability of repairing the former devolving entirely on the Government, and that of repairing the latter on the Shrotriemdars, and the usual principle and extent of irrigation remaining the same as hitherto. There remains but little waste of any value and the average Jummabundy answers well to represent the permanent value of the village which is Rupees 909-10-6. The Government share is 735-11-6 which represents the Jodi

Charge of Quit Rent on the value of the Shrotriem Allowance or 183 Rs } Rs a p 23-"-" Agreed to
758-"-"

This may be fixed as Kayem Jodi on the village
Agreed to by the Shrotriemdars to this Jodi
(Signed) A. Narayana Row
Deputy Collector
J. C.

Dy Collector
for Collector

Comp. L.B.

[illegible]
9/7/1871

[illegible] Kipa [illegible] Janaparahalli, [illegible]

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 20 21

Dry 6 2-7-12 2·44 97 3/4 2-1-2

Dry 30 2-27-10 2·19 Dry 97 107 5/8 2-1-2

5-8-1 5·13 205 5/8 4-7-7

[illegible] Dass for Jaine Perumallu ~~[illegible]~~ and Perumallu at Janaparahalli The Limits are [illegible]

Doly [illegible] Inam

The fields are in following case is payable the apportionment see Collector's [illegible] No 3566 8/10/1871

Inam [illegible] to disappear with the Acts form 1104 as Inam

[illegible] Perumallu Inam Sridasa

Du 1907 Perumallu Inam

Du 1880 Perumallu Pujari Laxminaraya

Du 1882 Pujari Laxminaraya Dasa

Du 1884 Laxminaraya Dasa

Du 1886 China Dasari

Janaparahalli

Hadiri Perumallu and Perumallu Pujari

1 Nagayya 55

2 Tirumalayya 50

3 Narayya 35

4 Ramayya 90

Registered by [illegible] Pujari [illegible] 1842 [illegible] 1846 [illegible]

To be Confirmed Rs 1-3-0 [illegible] in Inam [illegible]

Conf [illegible] 25/[illegible]

11/10/[illegible]

Register No 2

Vizianal [illegible] Dry 72 5-26-8 5·66 226 1/2 3-8-7

Dry 75 20-8-0 20·20 808 19-8-8

Dry 28 29 31 32 38 39 40 25-32-8 25·86 1132 1/2 22-11-3

Dry 77 2-20-14 2·52 100 7/8 Dry 9-11-12-13 6-10-9

Total 28-15-1 28·38 1135 5/8 29-1-0

Bhala Karki Doly 5-8-1 D D Inam

At the [illegible] in the [illegible] Alamaram Pujari [illegible] 20·20 & Alamaram Naiyi - 100 [illegible] was Inam + [illegible] fields No 72 – [illegible] 12, 5·45 out of fields called Alamaram Pujari & the whole of the Alamaram Naiyi were [illegible] by the [illegible] for the [illegible] gave for the Dry field called [illegible] submerge 5·45 from Dry field called [illegible] 100 [illegible] to the [illegible] & for which [illegible] waste field for the year, when the numbers were [illegible] the old dry fields & the new dry fields were included in one [illegible] was taken into [illegible] Inam [illegible] changes [illegible]

As the Durga Subbaraya Shrouti

Du 1907 Subbaraya Shrouti

Du 1880 Subbaraya Shrouti

Du 1882 Subbaraya Shrouti

Du 1884 Subbaraya Shrouti

Du 1886 Venkataramana Shrouti Ananta Shrouti [illegible] Shrouti Sitarama Shrouti

Kanakapatnam

Chikkapalli Subbaraya 15 – [illegible] father [illegible]

2 Ananta Shrouti 65 [illegible]

3 ~~[illegible]~~ Narasimha Shrouti 38 – [illegible]

To be Conf [illegible]

1st in [illegible] who enjoy [illegible]

[illegible] 75 – 14·75 – 15-6-0

[illegible] 72 – 5·66 – 3-5-7

20·41 – 16 – 11-7

[illegible] 77 – 2·52 – 6-10-7

[illegible] 75 – 5·45 – 5-15-8

7·97 – 12 – 10-5

11/9/61

Inam Register of [illegible] Halavahalli [illegible] Village in the Krishnagiri Taluk Salem Dist.

Reg No 84

Thevara [illegible] Village

[illegible] temple

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

[illegible] Dry 21-22 3-7-4 8·93 357 1/4 7-15-7 Bhala Karki Doly Brm Inam [illegible] Inam

Inam [illegible] to disappear in the [illegible] Act of [illegible] subsequent accounts [illegible] Inam

As the Durga Venkataraya

Du 1907 Venkataraya

Du 1880 Subba Rao

Du 1882 Subbarao

Du 1884 Subbarao

Du 1886 Venkata Subbarao, Krishna Rao, Sankara Rao, Umapati Rao

sons

Kotnagiri

Durgakota

Chandrasekhara Shastri 32

2 Sankara Shastri 30

[illegible] enjoy [illegible] of the produce

To be Conf [illegible] in our [illegible] Rs 7-11 [illegible] 1-8-0

Conf [illegible]

11/10/[illegible]

[illegible]

Per Lord of Committee
3 [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 4 [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] in the Amani [illegible]
to [illegible]
The [illegible] is generally [illegible]
the usual revision.

[illegible]
[illegible]
Krishnagiri
Salem District

Register
Sheet

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1 [illegible] [illegible] 16 8 10 16 0 9 / 643 [illegible]
18 7
For the support of Ranga Vittala at [illegible] the temple is in good order
[illegible] 7 12 6
Perma-nent
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] in the survey
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Acts as
[illegible]
At the [illegible] Ranga Dasan
Do 1807 Ranga Dasan
Do 1828 Ranga Dasan Pujari [illegible] Do 1832 [illegible] Pujari Do 1834 Pujari [illegible] Do 1848 Pujari [illegible]
[illegible]
Ranga Dasala Pujari
[illegible] 49
2 [illegible] 30
Tole Cess
Rs 7-12-6
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1579
Confd

See Collector's letter dated 28th January 1861 No 372. The [illegible] in the [illegible] the two following cases is Tole paid to [illegible]. Title deeds altered accordingly.

Register [illegible]
Sheet No 165

Sheet no 160.

[illegible]

[illegible] 8 17
[illegible] 5 8 25
[illegible] 0 90
[illegible] 7 86
4 2 7 / 1 8 10 / 4 3 / 5 15
For the support of Perumallu at [illegible] the temple is in good order
[illegible] 1 8 11
D D
[illegible]
Do
At the [illegible] Perumallu Dasan
Do 1807 Perumallu Dasan
Do 1820 Perumallu Pujari Venkata Dasa Do 1832 Pujari Venkata Dasa Do 1834 Pujari Venkata Dasa Do 1848 Venkata Dasa
[illegible]
Perumallu Pujari
[illegible] 45
Tole Cess
Rs 1-8-11
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1580
Confd

Sheet no 160

3
[illegible] 6 4 24
4 15 9
For performance of [illegible] the Ranga [illegible] at [illegible] [illegible] as [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 1 8 11
D D
[illegible]
Do
At the [illegible] Irala Dasan Pujari Periya
Do 1807 Irala Dasan
Do 1820 Pujari Periya Do 1832 Pujari Periya Do 1834 Pujari Periya Do 1848 Pujari Rama
[illegible]
Irala Karaga [illegible]
Pujari
[illegible] 45
[illegible] 40
Chena [illegible] 35
Tole Cess
Rs 1-8-11
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1581
Confd

[illegible] 4 22
[illegible] 14 3
For the support of Dodda Karaga at [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] free
D D
[illegible]
Do
At the [illegible] Dodda Karaga Dasan Pujari [illegible]
Do 1807 Dodda Karaga Dasan
Do 1820 Pujari [illegible] [illegible] Do 1832 Dodda Karaga Pujari [illegible] [illegible] Do 1834 Pujari [illegible] [illegible] Do 1848
[illegible]
Dodda Karaga Pujari
~~[illegible]~~
1 [illegible] 60
2 Dasan 50
3 [illegible] 40
4 [illegible] 40
5 [illegible] 35
[illegible] 6 3 [illegible] of the produce
Tole 6 6 1/3 of [illegible]
[illegible] 1/3 of [illegible]
[illegible]
Tole Cess
Tax free
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1582
Confd
25/10/63

[illegible]

A Hopa the [illegible] Village [illegible] [Jag]adapu There is a Hopa Shotriem village in the Amani portion of the taluq. The settlement is generally simple & the [illegible] allowed the usual [illegible]ision

The [illegible] reap[illegible] of the Hopa Shrotriam Villages These Shrotriems contain Inams

Supplemental
Register No 1
Sheet No 161

						9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	21
1 Devadayam D. Nos 212-13 214-215-309 312	Dry No 129	16-3-10	16.09	18-1-7	For the support of Karaga Devata at Jagadapu The temple is in good order	Sundry Jodi 7-12-5	Permanent	Not Known	No sannad It appears in the survey account of F 1204 and in all the subsequent accounts as Inam	At the Survey Karaga Inam	Fy 1207 Karaga Inam	Fy 1230 Karaga Inam Pujari Muniga. Fy 1232 Muniga Pujari Fy 1234 Pujari Muniga Fy 1248 Pujari Munika	Jegadapu Karaga Devata Pujari 1 Madan age 40 Kuppan age 30.			Jagadapa Village Krishnagiri Taluk Salem Dist To be confirmed Jodi 7-12-6 Title Deed in the name of the Deity (Sigd) A. Narayan Row Depty Collector 16/8/62	Confirmed (Sigd) V. Chentsal Row Ag Special Asst I. C. 25th October 1863 T. D. No: 1579
2. Devadayam Te No 279 281 D No 115, 116 Do No 536	Dry No 61 Dry No 145 Dry No 117	3-26 1/4 Kg 146 1/8 5-10 Kg 210 36 Kg 36 9-32 1/4 Kg 390 7/8	3.67 5.25 0.90 9.82	4-2-1 1-8-10 4-4-2 5-15-1	For the support of Perumaloo at Jagadapu. The temple is in good order	Jodi 1-8-11	Do	Do	No sannad Do	At the survey Perumalu Inam	Fy 1207 Peroomalu Inam —	Fy 1230 Perumalu Pujari Vencatta Dasa Fy 1232 Pujari Dasa Pujari Dasa Vencatta Dasa	Jegadapu Perumallu Pujari Narraya age 45			To be confirmed Jodi 1-8-11 Title Deed in the name of the Deity (Signed) A Narayan Row Deputy Collector 16/8/62.	Confirmed (Signed) V. Chentsal Row Ag Spel Asstt I. C. 25th October 1863 T. D. No: 1580
No 1 Jagadapi 3 Devadayam D. No 274-281	Dry Nos 4-17 6		4.44	4-15-9	For performance of service to the Karaga Deity at Jagadapu such as carrying in procession Jariga or an embellished Comic pot in the annual festival.	Jodi 1-8-11	Do	Do	No sannad Do	At the survey Ovala Inam Pujari Periya	Fy 1207 Iraba Inam	Fy 1230 Pujari Periya Fy 1232 Pujari Periya Fy 1234 Pujari Periya Fy 1248 Pujari Sama	Jegadapu Irulakaraga Deity Pujari Mallan age 45 Muniya age 40 Chinna Muniya age 35			To be confirmed Jodi 1-8-11 Title deed in the name of the Deity (Signed) A Narayana Row Depty Collector 16-8-62	Confirmed (Sigd) V. Chentsal Row Ag Spel Asstt I. C. 25th October 18[illegible] T. D. No: 1581

Compd JJB

Dy Collector
for Collector

Regr No 3
Sheet No 163
[illegible] 4

[illegible] nalli
Shrotriem
Krishnagiri Taluk
Salem Dist

[illegible] Village of [illegible] of the Kistnagiri Taluk Salem District

There is a Panchama [illegible] village —
the share of Govt is ⅘ of the Beriz & that of the Apaharars ⅕ —
The wet lands are irrigated by the Channels of the Pennar —
The soil is sandy & [illegible] generally
The Accts commence with the Register of F 1224 —

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் [illegible] வருடம் 1981
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் [illegible] வருடம் 1982
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம். [illegible] 11/11/82

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22

Tenure [illegible] with a [illegible] Village of [illegible] 93

Ayakat
Ayakat 445 — 0
[illegible] 71 — 30
Arable [illegible] 374 — 31
[illegible] 14 — 5 — 5
Remaining Circar 420 — 13
Add portion of [illegible] subsequently cultivated 9 — 20
Total 426 — 43 595 — 14 — 6

Particulars

Dry	345 — 33	273 — 11 — 4
Wet	70 — 28	318 — 5 — 2
	419 — 61	592 — " — 6
Dry	5 — "	3 — 14 — "
Wet	"	" — " — "
	6 — 82 "	3 — 14 — "
Dry	350 — 15	277 — 9 — 4
		318 — 5 — 2
	426 — 43	595 — 14 — 6

Charged at " 8 [illegible]

Per [illegible] as [illegible]

Average Total paid formerly [illegible] during the last 5 years 574 — 7 — 4 —

No 3

Granted by Kistna Raja Odear of Mysore in Pramadi

An original sunnud dated Pramadi (which Pramadi [illegible]) by Kistnaraja Vodear granting the village of Kalvahalli & Kattari as a permanent Shrotrium rent of 110 Kanteroy Pagodas, & to [illegible] & declaring that the grantee be understood to put the ruined Cutcherry & tank into repair he should enjoy the whole of the additional profits accruing from the repairs he might execute
29 — a [illegible] of the [illegible] in the name of Venkata Dixit for Kalva Halli at 98 Kanteroy Pagodas

Of [illegible] Shrotrium village

Sitaram [illegible] Venkata Dixit
Grandson
F 1224
Sitarama [illegible] of 2 shares

Kaveripatnam
Dwijakob
Sankara Shastry 30 —
2 Totapalli Sitarama Dixit 62

Grandson Daughter's son
Grandson [illegible] adopted

Of Brother's widow [illegible]
[illegible] Brother's widow

Under a Razeenamah decree of the Salem Pundit Sudr Ameen's Court in No 847/1816 dated 9th [illegible] 1817 [illegible] was determined at ⅓ share that of [illegible] & the remaining ⅔ [illegible] to [illegible] accordingly —

This is an [illegible] on a [illegible] 330 Rs —
After the assumption of the Country, it was made a [illegible] village.
2 The total Ayakat value is 595 — 14 — 6 The whole of the Ayakat is under cultivation —
The average Jamabandi is 718 Rs — in which the average share of Govt is Rs 574 Rs; & that of [illegible] 10 —

The Jamabandi Beriz includes a charge of Sirwah Jasti to the extent of 166 Rs — against a remission of 33 on acct of waste and cost of establishment

Proposed Jodi [illegible] approved [illegible]
[illegible] on a [illegible]

The Anicut is out of repair ever since the survey — the irrigation is made by means of temporary Channels — The Channels are put in repair at the joint [illegible] Cost of Government & the Inamdar — Ever since the assumption the total Channels were put into repair [illegible] since 5 years [illegible] Cost ~~was~~ appears to have been about 40 Rs — [illegible] on acct of ~~the~~ keeping the Channels in repair, [illegible] an average ~~[illegible]~~ remission of 72 Rs; from the ~~[illegible] Kayam~~ [illegible]

F. Sira 1727

For the purposes of the permanent settlement of this village the average Jamabandi is the correct standard; the village having been cultivated to its fullest extent.

The average Beriz of the village is 717 — 15 — 2
The share of the Inamdar is — 143 — 7 — 10
The share of Govt is — 574 — 7 — 4 —
Deduct 2 Rs for the repairs of the Channels 2 — " —
572 Rs — the value of the Circar share
Add ⅛ on the Inam share of the Apaharars — 18 — [illegible]
Total — 590 Rs Proposed Kayam Jodi
To this settlement the Apaharars agree & they wish to take up the village on the Kayam Jody of 590 Rs — [illegible]

Beriz 717 — 15 — 2
Deduct for the repairs of the [illegible] 2 — 8 —
715 — 7 — 2
[illegible] for [illegible] 572 — 5 — 9
⅛ on the [illegible] 17 — 14 — 1
590 — 3 — 10
or 590 Rs

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years commencing from F1267 as per Jamabandi account

Fasly	Dry		Wet		Total		Net Jamabandi Beriz			Circar Share			Inamdar's Share		
F 1267	377	30	75	18	[illegible]	48	682	12	11	546	5	6	136	7	5
1268	328	21	76	28	404	49	717	7	"	574	"	9	143	6	3
1269	329	3	76	28	405	31	708	14	5	567	3	6	141	10	11
1270	330	53	76	27	406	80	732	2	8	585	13	4	146	5	4
1271	335	43	76	27	411	70	748	6	8	598	13	4	149	9	4
	1645	90	380	98	2026	18	3589	11	8	2872	4	5	717	7	3
	329	18	76	5	405	23	717	15	2	574	7	4	143	7	10

Particulars

	Land assessment	Sirwah Jasti	Jody	Total	Remission	Establishment	Total Remission	Free tax
F 1267	575 — 4 — 11	139 — 15 — 2	2 — " — 10	717 — 4 — 11	3 — 11 — 7	31 — 2 — 5	34 — 14 — "	" — 6 —
1268	582 — 2 — 7	164 — 12 — 9	2 — " — 10	749 — " — 2	" — " — "	31 — 15 — 2	31 — 15 — 2	" — 6 —
1269	584 — " — 3	156 — 2 — 4	2 — " — 10	742 — 3 — 5	1 — 14 — 11	31 — 12 — 7	33 — 11 — "	" — 6 —
1270	585 — 3 — "	[illegible]	2 — " — 10	[illegible]	[illegible]	32 — 4 — 7	34 — " — 4	" — 6 —
1271	586 — 10 — 2	193 — 14 — 9	2 — " — 10	782 — 9 — 9	1 — 14 — "	32 — 10 — 7	34 — 9 — 1	" — 6 —
	[illegible]	[illegible]	10 — 4 — 2	3750 — 15 — 10	9 — 5 — 4	157 — 12 — 10	169 — 2 — 2	1 — 14 —

Note — In this village, the lands in the ~~[illegible]~~ hands and in the occupation of Ryots bear an assessment [illegible] & the Inamdars are expressly given to understand that by virtue of this settlement occupying the Revenue position of the Govt. & cannot interfere with the Ryots' Pattah — & that their ~~[illegible]~~ interest in such Pattah lands would be only limited to the Collection of the fixed assessment —

[illegible] Register of [illegible] of [illegible] 1791 [illegible]

of the Kistnagiri Talug in the Salem District

No. [illegible]

No. 3 –

This is a Panchankesa village – the share of Govt is
4/5 of the Beriz & that of the Inamdars 1/5 – The soil is in general
red & [illegible] of a pretty good quality – There are ~~[illegible]~~ 3 tanks in this village,
one large, & the other 2 small ones called Kuntas –
The Accounts commence with the Register of Fusly

2 · 3 · 4 · 5 · 6 · 7 · 8 · 9 · 10 · 11 · 12 · 13 · 14 · 15 · 16 · 17 · 18 · 19 · 20 · 21 · 22

2

Personal Register of the Inam [illegible] of Jagadasa Reg 91

3–6

Ayakat

Total area	1652–95	
Deduct excess found in the measuring of F1270	2–42	
Remain	1650–53	
Deduct Poramboke	675–76	
Remainder Arable Extent	974–77	
Deduct Inam	40–47	37–4–0
Remaining Circar	934–30	
add part of Poramboke subsequently cultivated	160–98	
	1095–28	959–3–1

Particulars

Assessed land	Dry	1004–43	739–1–[illegible]
	Wet	45–78	194–4–1
		1050–26	933–5–1
Unassessed	Dry	43–72	[illegible]–14–0
	Wet	1–31	[illegible]–0
		45–02	25–14–0
Total	Dry	1048–20	760–15–0
	Wet	47–08	198–4–1
		1095–28	959–3–1

7

This excess was in a Patta land. On the complaint of the Pattadar a excess of 2–42 was found in the Patta measurement, & this extent has been remitted from the Pattadar

In excess of the [illegible] necessarily [illegible]

Charged at [illegible] of 8 annas per acre

Charged at 8 As per acre found

Total Ayakat value after deducting remitted assessment

8

For Subsistence as Charity

9

Average tax paid to Govt during last 5 years 735–11–6

10

Beriz 4/5

11

Granted by Hyder Ali in AD 1780 –

[illegible] Sunnud [illegible] Canarese Pandit to [illegible] in Col. 16

12

An old Sunnud in Canarese dated 8 Sulwahan 1704 or Plava or AD 1781 – by Nabob Hyder Ali Khan Bahadur's Agent [illegible] Chennareddy to Vydiam Rama Pandit granting Jagadasa village & 2 hamlets with its tank on 45 Pagodas Shrotrium tax.

2d A Takid of Hyder Ali Bahadur dated Sarvari or 1780 – to Chenna Reddy granting the ~~[illegible]~~ village as ~~[illegible]~~ Shrotrium to Rama Pandit.

3d A Koulnamah of Mr. Graeme dated 1804 continuing the village to Rama Pandit on Shrotrium tenure at 90 Kanteroy Pagodas

13

Vydiam Rama Pandit

14–15

Not found in the Register of F1224 – It appears from the Sunnuds & Koulnamah that the village was never gifted. In practice the Shrotrium 4/5 is usually claimed by Govt

16–19

Kaveripatnam

Vydiam Kistna Pandit 46	Grandson	[illegible] share 1	
2 Kasi Ram 12	Grandson	Brother's son share 2	

20

There are the sons of 2 brothers. They have divided the ~~[illegible]~~ lands under cultivation in equal lots.

21

This is a Kesa Shrotrium village, the share of Govt being 4/5 of the Beriz, & that of the Inamdars 1/5 of the Beriz. The Ayakat value of the village as now estimated is 959–3–1, of which 25–14–0 is the estimated assessment of the unassessed waste.

22

2 No 1773

Proposed to [illegible] confirmed [illegible] Co. [illegible]

The remainder the actual value of the assessed lands. The [illegible] of the assessment is 21268; & the assessment was applied ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] for deducting the Ayakat value now determined [illegible] for the revision. For the [illegible] the reduction of assessment consequent on the [illegible] immemorial waste, a very light assessment has been fixed [illegible] The Jamabandi Beriz of the village during the last 5 years [illegible] from a minimum of 845 Rs to the maximum of 984 Rs; the average amounts to 919–10–4; & that of the [illegible] [illegible] Out of this sum, the average Govt share was 735–11–6, & that of the Inamdars 183–14–10.

The Jamabandi includes an average Tirwah jasti of 106 Rs as shown in the [illegible] This Tirwah jasti is composed of [illegible] Tirwah jasti, & upon Tirwah jasti on dry lands irrigated by the Circar tank in the neighbouring Circar village of Malaka Jemmanahalli. [illegible] a remission of 1/5 is made in favor of the Inamdars. The Inamdars never contributed towards the ~~[illegible]~~ repairs of the tank at Malaka Jemmanahalli. The wet Ayakat of the Malaka Jemmanahalli [illegible] village is all fully cultivated; & besides water is let from that tank to irrigate dry land in Jagadasa [illegible] The total extent of 23 Rs; & land paying a Tirwah jasti of 52 Rs is irrigated by the surplus [illegible] water [illegible] Tirwajasti consequent on the Malaka Jemmanapalli tank irrigation is 75 Rs. The [illegible] is whether the Inamdars have any right to a share in the Tirwah jasti [illegible] raised under [illegible] a tank in which the Inamdars have ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ had & enjoyed no share at any [illegible] The Inamdar produces a [illegible] of Mr Graeme dated 22d April 1806 on [illegible] In this order Mr Graeme [illegible] to complain [illegible] made by the [illegible] of Jagadasa against [illegible] the Ryots of Malaka Jemmanapalli for their not letting the usual waters for irrigation Jagadasa lands; & informs the [illegible] that the parties were [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ expressly told that the tank will be repaired at the Govt cost, & that they should distribute water to [illegible] villagers according to mamool – & directs the [illegible] that if any ~~[illegible]~~ persons should still prevent the [illegible] of the Jagadasa people to [illegible] conduct they should be sent up for punishment. So much for the preamble [illegible] of the Shrotrium. In this order does not ~~[illegible]~~ say anything regarding the right of the Shrotriumdar to the waters of the Malaka Jemmanahalli tank – but this order does not [illegible] It does not appear that the [illegible] the share in the Tirwajasti charged in consequence of irrigation from the above tank [illegible] the Shrotriumdars every year, the [illegible] of 1/5 on was ever noticed or settled by the Rev Department except so far as allowing the Shrotriumdars have enjoyed by long [illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] all the items. But [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ the rights which the Shrotriumdars [illegible] to take a ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible] to present day. But I would make the Shrotriumdars [illegible] a fixed amount on that [illegible] [illegible] we cannot [illegible] it at [illegible] Malaka Jemmanahalli tank & propose to add once for all, a [illegible] fixed amount [illegible] the village of Jagadasa [illegible] share in the Repairs of the Malaka Jemmanahalli tank amount to 200 Rs. The Tirwajasti raised [illegible] 19 Rs – The Inamdars [illegible] share [illegible] [illegible] The [illegible] under the tank amount to [illegible] to keep up the cultivation of 75 Rs [illegible] annually [illegible] [illegible] accumulate [illegible] is 75 Rs. Take the cost of maintaining the tank to keep up [illegible] [illegible] Shrotrium [illegible] [illegible] with amount of [illegible] Rs a year. They [illegible] [illegible] in the ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 1/5, [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] the Shrotriumdars [illegible] at any time to repair [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] each year, will be sufficient to ensure the [illegible] the [illegible] for repairs of the Jagadasa tank, to [illegible] added for the Mala Jemmanahalli tank [illegible] will be counterbalanced by the remission [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible] & so applying both to [illegible] there will [illegible] [illegible] to be added [illegible] on the Govt. & that [illegible] [illegible] the liability of repairing the former devolving entirely on the Govt. [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] remaining to [illegible] as [illegible] [illegible] Jagadasa tank repairs – the [illegible] [illegible] usual to [illegible] & extent of irrigation remaining [illegible] & the average Jamabandi answers well to [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible] to allow the Shrotriumdar [illegible] 4/5 [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] & the [illegible] share is 735–11–6 which [illegible] [illegible]

There remains [illegible] [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible] village [illegible] which is Rs 919–10–4

[illegible] value [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 23 [illegible]

the supply from the neighbouring tank [illegible] cannot [illegible] tioned. The future repairs of the tank [illegible] the village [illegible] [illegible] Inamdars for which cultivation amply remunerates them. The supply of water from the great tank should be made according to usage & the repairs [illegible] made by the Govt. as usual

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years Commencing From F1267 as per Jamabandi account

Fusly	Dry		Wet		Total		Net Jamabandi Beriz			Circar Share 4/5			Inamdars Share 1/5			~~Tax~~
In F 1267	867	42	29	50	896	92	845	4	10	676	3	10	169	1		
12[illegible]	932	72	38	39	971	11	[illegible]			[illegible]	11	5	185	2	10	
1270	[illegible]	3	39	64	1015	67	945	4	3	756	3	5	189	.	10	
1271	998	63	45	78	1044	41	984	9	.	787	10	6	196	14	6	
	4612	29	183	62	4824	91	4598	3	11	3678	9	8	919	11	3	
	924	26	36	72	964	98	919	10	4	735	11	6	183	14	10	

Beriz includes the Tax in which the Inamdars enjoy the 1/5 [illegible]

Particulars

	Land assessed	Tirwah Jasti	Jody	Total	Remission	Establishment	Total Remission	Free tax
In F 1267	794–6–[illegible]	103–10–2	10–14–3	908–14–5	18–1–6	46–4	64–5–7	0–12
1268	836–11–[illegible]	114–2–7	10–14–3	961–11–10	17–13–[illegible]	47–7–2	65–4–3	0–12
1269	870–15–7	112–3–2	10–14–3	994–1–[illegible]	20–13–[illegible]	48–1–8	68–14–9	0–12
1270	893–13–[illegible]	102–7–2	10–14–3	1012–2–5	19–1–4	48–5–7	67–10–[illegible]	0–12
1271	839–[illegible]	114–[illegible]	10–14–3	963–10–6	18–6–2	49–7–[illegible]	[illegible]	0–12
	[illegible]–14	553–2	54–7–3	4[illegible]28–8–2	98–3–2	239–13		3–12
	[illegible]–15	[illegible]	10–14–3	9[illegible]5–11–2	18–13–5	47–15		0–12

Confirmed in [illegible]
in a [illegible] 2
of No ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]
21/12/65