[illegible] Shevia No. [illegible] Inam Village [illegible] in the Kistnagiri Taluk Salem Dist.

Brahman [illegible] 15 0 0 15 00 | 2 5 79 | Bala [illegible] | [illegible] | Inam | No Sanad | It appears in all the Accounts as Inam | Attah Unreg Paraiyali Rathaya | Do Venkata [illegible] | Do Ramaya [illegible]

Supplimental Register No 2/10

Sheet No 188

Innalla Ossahalli village Kristnagiri Taluk

The Suppl Register is forwarded to Collr with letter of 13/7/67 No 681

This Register is sent in the Collr's letter d/ 7 May/66 No 11

Attachment list dated 29 October 1863. Time one year expired

This Case is copied in a separate sheet from Collr's Suppl Regr 14/11/66

~~Fully assessed for~~ do

Filed

~~It is not stated who is in enjoyment of the inam — which probably none but the Aggraharamdars — It's an inam in an Aggraharam Village. I would give it to Aggraharamdars but on full assessment — T.H. 24/3/66~~

Fully assessed for default
T.H. 24/3/66

Jari Mullah Jegadevi [illegible] No III — Inam Register of Jilli Obanapalli [illegible] village in the Jari Mullah of Jilli Obanapalli in the Kistnagiri Taluk Salem Dist.

Naidu a Parou Dumbala village in the Jari Mullah of Jagadev—

1 | Dry [illegible] Halli Maran Range | 1 10 0 1 25 | 3 11 3 | Jilli Obanapalli [illegible] Jilli Obanapalli [illegible] by the Aggraharamdars | [illegible] | Inam | No Sanad | It appears in all the Accounts as Inam | Attah Unreg Kalla Manjunath | Do [illegible] Venkata Krishnaya | Do Venkata Krishna Setti | Do [illegible] Krishna Setti | [illegible] Setti

Regr No 3

Attachment list dated 29th October 1863. Time one year expired.

Copied in a separate sheet — T.S. title 14/11/66

Fully assessed for default
T.H. 24/3/66

2 | Brahman Dry [illegible] Range | 2 38 0 4 97 | 2 18 1 | Bola Kanki | do | do | do | No Sanad | In Perma[illegible] of [illegible] Dated [illegible] 1158 [illegible] Do | Attah Unreg Gopala Gowri | Do Narayana Gowri | Do Narayana Gowri Borker | Do Narayana Gowri Borker
Wet [illegible] | 1 10 4 1 25 | 3 11 3
| 6 0 0 6 22 | 6 8 4
Jangamsandram Tolapalli — Sankara Pandit 56 — 2 Subba [illegible] 30 — [illegible]
[illegible] 2/8 2 - 14 — 17/9/62 — T.D. 1636 — Confd

3 | Brahman Dry [illegible] Range | 10 0 10 00 | 2 15 5 | Kanki | do | do | do | No Sanad | Do | Attah Unreg Karimullah Pakir | Do Nazar Khan Pakir | Do Karim Khan
Wet Kazi [illegible] Range | 5 0 0 5 00 | 3 11 3
| 15 0 0 15 00 | 6 10 8
Chitti Obanapalli — Syad Khan 40 — [illegible]
[illegible] 2/8 2 - 14 — 17/9/62 — T.D. 1637 — Confd — do

4 | Brahman Dry [illegible] Oram Range | 4 23 0 4 58 | 2 11 a | Panchayi | do | do | do | No Sanad | Do | Attah Unreg Panchayi Rathaya | Do Venkataji Bhat | Do Venkataji Bhat
Wet [illegible] Jalimaran Range | 0 10 0 0 25 | 0 9 5
| 4 33 0 4 83 | 3 2 5
Jaggijanahalli Swasthi — Narana Bhat 40 — 2 Timma Bhat 22 — 3 Venkatagiri Bhat 4 — [illegible]
[illegible] 2/8 2 - 6 0 — 17/9/62 — T.D. 1638 — Confd

27-4-D

[illegible] ... Jari Mulla village —

1 [illegible] Dry 1 3·25 a 3·62 — 1 1 2 — [illegible] Tax free — Nil — Not known — [illegible] It appears [illegible] as Inam — [illegible] Venkata Varahachary — Do. 1808 Venkata Varahachary — Do. 1830 Venkata Varaha chary — Do. 1828 Venkata Ramana chary [illegible]

[illegible] Venkata Dasa Chee 30 — 2 Kistna Chary 22 — [illegible] — 2/3 of the land [illegible] + the 2 [illegible] — Parkhem and [illegible] — 1779/61 — [illegible] 2 [illegible] — 2/3 — 2 41 · 11 6 — 2 [illegible] 2·2·0 — 2/3 — 1·21 — a 5·8 — 2 [illegible] 2·2 — T. D. 1639 1640 — Confd. — 13 [illegible]

Register No 4

Not copied

[illegible] 13 Jari Mulla [illegible] No 14 — Inam Register [illegible] No 241 [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

Register No 13

[illegible] Jari Mulla village —

1 [illegible] Dry 1 6 5·126·77 — 13 0 10 — [illegible] Tax free — Nil — Not known — It appears in all the [illegible] as Inam — Do. Ramaya — Do. 1808 Ramakka — Do. 1830 Butchamma — Do. 1828 Butchamma

[illegible] Dry 2 2·3 40·2·87 — 1 1 3

4 0 6·9·01 — 14 1 21

Butchamma — Died: — Daughter without heirs

Further information called for on Supplemental Register 31.5.67 W. [illegible]

This is a case [illegible] — One Sundara Shastry appeared as heir to Butchamma [illegible] for the Inam of Butchamma in [illegible] Taluk but he has not claimed this Inam. She deceased had no heirs — I therefore resume the Inam

7/9/62 [illegible]

To be Resumed

[illegible] 1 Jari Mulla [illegible] No I — Inam Register [illegible] Rajimanipalli No 14 in the [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

Register No 6

This is a [illegible] village in the Jari Mulla of [illegible]

[illegible] Dry 1 3·12·1·79 — 4 3 7 — [illegible] Tax free — Nil — Not known — It appears in all the [illegible] as Inam — Do. [illegible] Kristna [illegible] — Do. 1808 Kristna [illegible] — Do. 1830 [illegible] — Do. 1828 Venkata [illegible] Kristna Venkata [illegible]

[illegible] called Rajimanipalli [illegible] at Rajimanipalli [illegible] are made of [illegible]

[illegible] — Govinda Goud 35 — 2 [illegible] Venkata 50 — 3 [illegible] Goud 40 — [illegible]

To be [illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] to the [illegible] 20/9/62 [illegible]

T. D. 1641

Confd. [illegible]

[illegible] 17 [illegible] Bellampatti — [illegible] village — Inam Register [illegible] No 69 in the [illegible] village in the [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

Register No 7

This is an [illegible] village — in the Jari Mulla

1 [illegible] Dry 1 2·32·5·4·87 — 2 10 8 — [illegible] Tax free — Nil — Not known — [illegible] of Narasimma Swamy at Kottapalli [illegible] — It appears in all the [illegible] as Inam — Do. 1807 Narasimma Swamy — Do. 1808 [illegible] Narasimma Swamy — Do. 1834 Narasimma Swamy Pujari Chelvara [illegible] — Do. 1828 Pujari Chelvara

No Pujah [illegible] rendered from long time [illegible]

Kottapalli — Narasimma Swamy Pujari — None

XX The Inam should be therefore resumed 24/10/62

To be resumed. No service is performed from long time — The Inam is in the enjoyment of [illegible] Chelvarayalu [illegible] Pujari. He has been [illegible] enjoying the Inam without any service — he produces the [illegible] of Kottapalli [illegible] — [illegible] has no connection with the Inam or with the [illegible] XX

To be Resumed

2 [illegible] Dry 1 4 11·0·28 — 1 11 4 — [illegible] Do. — Do. — Do. — [illegible] of [illegible] Swamy at [illegible] — Do. 1807 [illegible] Swamy — Do. 1830 Pujari Chelvaraya — Do. 1828 Pujari [illegible] — [illegible] Swamy Pujari

To be [illegible]

T. D. [illegible]

Ayuru 16

Inam Register of Ay[illegible]

of Rayakota - Kistnagiri Taluk Salem D

There is a Tusikhan village or in the Jari Muttah - the shares between the Inamdar & the Muttadar being 1 to 2 - The latter is supported by a part - The aspect is simple -

The accounts commence with the Register of F 1226 -

Register No 13

Sheet No 201

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
13 Personal Tusikhan Jari village of Chinna Sonnudukey in the Tari Muttha of Rayakota in Kistnagiri Taluk. Salem Dt						For subsistence as Charity	Tusikhan Jari village Muttadar's share 2/3 Inamdar's share 1/3		According the Register Fusli 1226 granted by Jager Rao	No Sunnud Copy of a Cowle = nammah Received in the Records Fusli or Hookum which shows that ~~[illegible]~~ ~~of [illegible]~~ the rental for the year was Rupees [illegible] 25 35 75 or 90 [illegible]	Narasubhatta	Son of Patel of the Grahams Narasaya Inam	Fusli 1224 Venkatagiraya of Tufany					In the Register of Fusli 1224, it is recorded that it was granted by Jagapaty Royal to Narasubhatta, & then being charged with a tax of 30 Pagodas by Tippoo Sultan, & the same confirmed by the grantee in the same tenure for 16 years from Purchase the year of British assumption, & then settled by the same officer the [illegible] on 1/3 tenure, giving over the Circar right to 2/3 on rent for that year		This has been [illegible] by Mr Snyder [illegible] J Sin 25/6/65 The village is attached for [illegible] year. 20/6/64

Ayakat

Ayakat	451-45	
Deduct Poramboke	150-77	
arable Extent	300-68	
Deduct Inam	9-01	16-4-2
Remaining circar	291-67	

Particulars

Assessed land	Dry	112-71	143-14-4
	Wet	5-58	23-3-7
		118-29	167-1-11
[illegible]	Dry	173-38	86-8
	Wet		
		173-38	86-8-0
Total	Dry	286-19	230-6-4
	Wet	5-58	23-3-7
		291-67	253-9-11

cheapat 1/2 Rs per acre

Beriz total

[illegible]

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years commencing from F1267 as per [illegible] account

Fusly	Dry		Wet		Total		Jama = land Beriz			Circar Share			Inamdars Share			
F 1267	46	32	.	.	46	32	68	15	11	45	15	11	23	.	.	We have no means of testing or certifying the correctness of the Return - No regular record of cultivation have been kept by the Muttadar or by the karnum
1268	40	23	/	.	40	23	64	4	4	42	13	7	21	6	9	
1269	46	32	.	.	46	32	68	15	11	45	15	11	23	.		
1270	40	23	.	.	40	23	64	4	4	42	13	7	21	6	9	
1271	40	23	.	.	40	23	64	4	4	42	13	7	21	6	9	
	213	33	.	.	213	33	330	12	10	220	8	7	110	4	3	
	42	67	.	.	42	67	66	2	7	44	1	9	22	.	10	

Particulars

Fusly	Land assessment	Tirwah Jasti	Jady	Total	Remission	Establishment	Total Remission	Free Tax
F 1267	72-5-9	.	.	72-5-9	.	3-5-10	3-5-10	.
1268	67-10-2	.	.	67-10-2	.	3-5-10	3-5-10	.
1269	72-5-9	.	.	72-5-9	.	3-5-10	3-5-10	.
1270	67-10-2	.	.	67-10-2	.	3-5-10	3-5-10	.
1271	67-10-2	.	.	67-10-2	.	3-5-10	3-5-10	.
						16-13-2	16-13-2	.
	347-10	.	.	347-10	.			
						3-5-10	3-5-10	.
	69-8-5	.	.	69-8-5	.			

Afzulkur Nyaseer [illegible]

~~Vol~~ 14 ~~[illegible]~~

Register No 5 ~~Sheet No~~

[illegible] of Gopta Shrotrium Village of Pala Sanahalli No 185 in the Jurisdiction of Nammandahalli Kistnagiri Taluk Salem District

There is a Brahman Vipra village in the Zari Muttah, the shares being 1 to the Inamdar & 4/5 to the Muttadar. The soil is ~~good~~ poor – & the well supported by a tank

A. E. Ide

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

Personal

Ayakat

Ayakat	573–47	
Deduct Poramboke	197–88	
arable Extent	375–59	
Deduct Inam	6–30	2–15–8
Remaining circar	369–29	70–7–7

Particulars

Ayakat land	Dry	57–21	40–9–5
	Wet	12–61	29–14–2
		71–82	70–7–7
Non Ayakat	Dry	297–47	all hill land unfit for cultivation
	Wet	–	
		297–47	
Total	Dry	356–68	40–9–5
	Wet	2–61	29–14–2
		369–29	70–7–7

For Subsistence = ~~other~~ lease with the Muttadar as charge 4/5 of the tax or as obtained in ~~perennial~~ rent for 30 years between the Muttadar & the Inamdar Rs. A. P. 31–2–0

Granted by Jagadev Rayal & confirmed by Mr Graham

No Sanad. A copy of Mr Graham's [illegible] dated 1793 in the name of Luxmi=Naranabhat

Vidyarthi Yellambhat was grantee

Sotriem
Venkata bhat his share 1/5
viz
1 Venkatabhat
2 Venkatachary
3 Luxmi Narsabhat
4 Ramaya
5 Narsambhat

Parijam

Velanati 1 Narasimma Chary 40	Grandson of Venkatabhat	wife & sons
2 Venkataramana chary 30	Grandson of Venkatabhat	wife 1
3 Vasudeva chary 22	Grandson of Venkatabhat	wife 1 Brother 1
Maaly 4 Kandady Ramaswamy 35	Grandson of Venkatachary	Mother 1 wife 1 Brother 1 son 1
Teinpallar 5 Lilapatham Ramachandra Sastry 37	Daughter's son of Luxmi Narsabhat	wife 1 Daughters 2

Note No 1&3 enjoy 1/2 share
No 2 enjoys 1/4 share
No 5 enjoys 1/4 share

No 4 Kalliya's share 1/8 share of Ramaya & ~~[illegible]~~ Narasimbhat ~~[illegible]~~ was sold to No 1 Narasimmachary by their grandsons Kalliya & Subbaraya for 105 Rs in 1258. Narasimha Chary's amount as well as to Kalliya & Subbaraya –

~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~
recent [illegible] share [illegible] Rs
[illegible]

According to the Report of [illegible], this village was granted by Jagadev Rayal to Vidyarthi Yellambhat – Venkatabhat grandson of Yellambhat made gift thus

1 to Keshavabhat	1/4 share
2 to Venkatachary	1/4 share
3 to Ramaya	1/8 share
4 to Venkata Chellamma	1/8 share
5 to Raghupati bhat	1/4 share

These enjoyed the village up to Sobhakrit under the head when Tippu Sultan interfered & charged it with a tax of 78 K Pagodas. The Circar & ~~[illegible]~~ Mr Graham confirmed it on the same tenure, & in the next ~~[illegible]~~ year charged a tax of 10 Pagodas & the ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ the same was fixed as rent by the Inamdar to the Muttadar

Rs 31–2–0

Title Deed

The Ayakat value is 70 Rs. The Inamdar gave over the village for Rs 35 a year. But this cannot be a fact. It is very near ~~[illegible]~~ & the rent of the Inamdar is Rs 31–2–0. The whole of the arable area seems to be under cultivation. I would therefore assume the Ayakat Beriz ~~for the~~ ~~[illegible]~~ as the average value of the village for the purposes of our settlement of Rs 70 – of which the 1/5 will be 14 Rs

Govt share is 4/5 — 56 which is now received by the Muttadar at 31 Rs a year –

Title Deed – on the Vipra tenure at 1/8 QR – or 1-12-0 of 14

~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~

5/11/62

The share of the Inamdar is enfranchised at 1/8 Q.R. viz R 1-12.
20/4/64

T.D.P.

1721

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years commencing Fusly 1267 as per [illegible] accounts

Fusly	Dry		Wet		Total		Total Jamabandi Beriz			Circar Share			Inamdar Share		
1267	33	1	1	83	34	84	24	9	10	19	11	2	4	14	9
1268	33	1	6	68	39	69	36	1	6	28	14		7	3	6
1269	33	1	6	68	39	69	37	11	2	30	2	6	7	8	8
1270	40	80	6	68	47	48	44	7	5	35	9	2	8	14	3
1271	32	19	6	68	38	87	35	9	9	28	7	14	7	1	11
	172	2	28	55	200	57	178	7	9	142	12	8	35	11	1
	34	40	5	71	40	11	35	11	2	28	8	11	7	2	3

Particulars

	Land assessment	Ground Jodi	Jaly	Total	Remission	Establishment	Total Remission	Net Settlement
1267	28-10-6	–	–	28-10-6	–	4-·-7	4-·-7	–
1268	40-2-1	–	–	40-2-1	–	4-·-7	4-·-7	–
1269	41-11-9	–	–	41-11-9	–	4-·-7	4-·-7	–
1270	48-8-0	–	–	48-8-0	–	4-·-7	4-·-7	–
1271	39-10-4	–	–	39-10-4	–	4-·-7	4-·-7	–
	198-10-8	–	–	198-10-8	–	20-2-11	20-2-11	–
	39-11-9	–	–	39-11-9	–	4-·-7	4-·-7	–

Register No 13

Supplimental Register

Jamindarahalli

Supplimental Inam Register of Chinnajamindarahalli in [illegible] of Rayakota [illegible]
Taluk Salem Dist

Krishnagiri Tk
Salem Dist

Register No 13
Sheet No 202

This village was placed under attachment from 30th September 1863 ~~vide~~ as per attachment List No I dated 30th Septr 1863

B/1

T.D 1777

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Register No	Personal S/A Shrotriem village of Chinna Jamindarahalli in the [illegible] Mittah of Rayakota in the [illegible]		Proposed Beriz 253-9-11 As explained in the my Report				For Subsistence as Charity	Jodi Payable to Muttadar 2/8 alone	Jodi	Quit Rent	No Sunnud	Date of Register of 1804 It is said to have been granted by Jagadeva Row to Narayanappa as a Sarva Inam village It was confirmed by Tippu Sultan on a Jodi of Rupees 30 Chuckrams 4 3/4 Fanams And after the acquisition of the Country it was confirmed on a Permanent Tenure — enjoyer Venkatapathi Row		Date of Register of 1804 Chinna Jamindarahalli enjoyer Venkatapathi Row	Rayakota Sankaranam Laxmi Ramanuja 60 2 Venkata Row 50 Vayalapalli 3 Ramachandra Row 50 Ennayaram 4 Venkata Ramanuja 50 Kunipalli 5 Laxmi Narayana 40		Son of Venkatapathi Row Cousins of present holder Venkata Sundara Brother's son Cousin's Grandson	Brothers sons 1 Brothers Daughters No 2 sons 1 Daughters No 3 Mother sons 1 sons 1 wives 1 sons 1 wives 1	No 1 & 2 enjoy 1/4 share No 3 enjoys 1/2 share No 4 & 5 enjoy 1/4 share	This was placed under attachment as per Letter sent ~~[illegible]~~ to the Collector under date the 30th Septr 1863 & I presume the Inamdar for the year has been directed by the Collector to be placed under attachment. The Inamdar has since appeared — The present enjoyers hold good rights. I recommend their rights may be confirmed to them — The Inamdar says that the usual Inam Jodi received by the family is only 17 Rs — The village is enjoyed in rent by the Inamdar from long time — The Ayakat value ~~of~~ of the ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] is Rs 167-1-11 — The proposed Ayakat value is Rs 253-9-11 — The Karnam says the average Beriz is 69-8-5 & ascribes the low Beriz to the bad soil, & the advantageous position of the village in regard ~~[illegible]~~ water, which prevents Ryots settling themselves in the village — Under the explanation given by the Karnam, I would take 69-8-5 the Beriz + 69-8-5 for prospective improvements 139-0-10 Total The Inam Jodi in this is Rs 46 Rs [illegible] 1/8 5-8-0	T D No 1777

Ayakat

Ayakat	5?.45	
Deduct Poramboke	1?0.77	
arable extent	300.68	
	.01	6-4-2
	.07	
Particulars		
		143-14-4
	5.58	23-3-7
	118.29	167-1-11
Dry	173.38	86-8-— Charge at 4/8 per acre
Wet	—	—
	173.38	86-8-—
Total Dry	286.09	230-6-4
Wet	5.58	23-3-7
	291.67	253-9-11 Ayakat value

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years commencing from Fusly after ~~[illegible]~~ account.

Fusly	Dry	Wet	Total	Net Jumma Beriz	Circar share	Inamdar's share
Fusly 1267	46.32	—	46.32	68-15-11	45-15-11	23-—-—
1268	40.23	—	40.23	64-4-4	42-13-7	21-6-9
1269	46.32	—	46.32	68-15-11	45-15-11	23-—-—
1270	40.23	—	40.23	64-4-4	42-13-7	21-6-9
1271	40.23	—	40.23	64-4-4	42-13-7	21-6-9
	213.33	—	213.33	330-12-10	220-8-7	110-4-3
	42.67	—	42.67	66-2-7	44-1-9	22-—-10

We have no means of testing or certifying to the correctness of this return. No regular accounts of cultivation have been kept by Mutadar or by the Karnam.

Particulars

	Land assessment	Tirvai jasti	Jodi	Total	Remission	Establishment	Total remission	Tree tax
Fusly 1267	72-5-9	—	—	72-5-9	—	3-5-10	3-5-10	—
1268	67-10-2	—	—	67-10-2	—	3-5-10	3-5-10	—
1269	72-5-9	—	—	72-5-9	—	3-5-10	3-5-10	—
1270	67-10-2	—	—	67-10-2	—	3-5-10	3-5-10	—
1271	67-10-2	—	—	67-10-2	—	3-5-10	3-5-10	—
	347-10	—	—	347-10	—	16-13-2	16-13-2	—
	69-8-5	—	—	69-8-5	—	3-5-11	3-5-11	—

The particulars are recorded from the Dy Collr's origl Regr

Compd D.S.

Reduction is approved as Inamdar [illegible] R 5/8 [illegible]
25 Jan 64

[illegible] issued in the T D Register for this case Chinnajamindarahalli [illegible] 7-3-66

139-0-10
46-5-7
92-10-2
41-5-8
139-0-10

139-0-10
92-11-3
46-5-7
3-12-6
41-9-2-6

22/10/63

Sheet 6

Coll. written to, to release 14-6-67

Supplemental

Register No. 118
Sheet No. 208

Velavalli village
Krishnagiri Tk
Salem Dt

Fair Copied

Reg. No 118 **Velávalli** — Krishnagiri Taluq

Batavarti subsistence Inám — | No. 110 Óradi punji | Guntas 255 7/16 | 6·38 | 2-7-5 | 2-7-5 | Granted by a former Government | Jodi 4-10 | Hereditary | Not Known

No Sanad but Jódi pattas are produced. By the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207 and other subsequent accounts the Inám is proved to be 50 years old —

Rámápandithan — son of Thirumalapandithan

The name of Rámápandithan is entered in the Káyam Tirva account of Fusly 1207. In the account of of Fusly 1230 the name of Venkatrámápandithan is entered as the son of Séshapandithan deceased. The same name appears in the accounts of Fusly 1232 & 34. The name of Séshachelapandithan appears in the account of Fusly 1248 as the great grandson of the original grantee —

Séshapandithan the son of the original grantee. Venkatrámápandithan grandson whose name appears in the accounts of Fusly 1232 & 34. Séshachelapandithan great grandson whose name is entered in the accounts of Fusly 1248.

Séshayan alias Séshachelapandithan or Séshagiri aiyan age 52

Vániambádi

Great Grandson of the original grantee

Wife — 1
Son — 1
daughter 1
Brother — 1
Brother's wife 1
Brother's son 1
6

The Inám is of an older date than 50 years and has been in the enjoyment of the family of the original grantee. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th column at 1/8th Quit rent which has been agreed to —

Quit-rent at 1/8th ..-4-4
Former Jodi ..-4-10
..-9-2

The Inám was laid under attachment in consequence of the non appearance of the Inámdár before the Inám Deputy Collector, but as he has since appeared within the time required by notice before the Tasildar, the Inám is recommended to be released from attachment

13-7-66
Sub Collector

Confirmed on a Combined Quit Rent of 10 Annas & released from attachment
16-3-67

2-4

S.D. No. 1791

2-7-5
-4-10
2-2-7
-4-4
-9-2 10 Annas

5

As per orig. Regr.

Registered

Coll. written to, to release 14-6-67

Supplemental

Fair Copied

Reg. No. 52 **Malayándahalli** — Krishnagiri Taluq, Salem Dt.

Balavanti or Subsistence Inám | No 277 Pallam Nanje | Guntas 45 5/16 | 1·13 | 6-10-8 | 6-3-2 | Not Known | Jodi ..13-4 | Hereditary | Granted by a former Government

No Sanad but Jodi pattahs are in existence — By Paimash account of Fusly 1204 and the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207, the Inám is proved to be of longer standing than 50 years

Thiramalapanditan

In the Pymash and Kayam Thirva accounts, the name of Vaniambady Thirumalapanditan appears. In the accounts of Fusly 1230-32 & 34, the name of Séshachalaya is entered as the son of Rámápanditan. In Fusly 1248, the name Venkatrámapandithan is entered

Tirumalapanditan entered in Pymash & Kayam Tirva accounts are one and the same. Séshachalayan entered in the account of Fusly 1230-32 & 34 is the grandson and Venkatrámápandithan entered in Fusly 1248 the great grandson of the original grantee

Séshayan alias Sethagiri ayaner Séshachalapanditan age 52

Vániambády

Great grandson of the original grantee

Wife — 1
Son — 1
Daughter — 1
Brother — 1
Brother's wife — 1
Brother's son — 1
6

The Inám is of an older date than 50 years. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th Column at 1/8th Quit-rent which has been agreed to —

1/8th Quit Rent ..-10-9
Former Jodi ..-13-4
1 8 1

This Inám was laid under attachment in consequence of the non-appearance of the Inámdár before the Inám Deputy Collector, but as he has since appeared within the required time before the Tasildar, the Inám is recommended to be released from attachment.

13-7-66
Sub Collector

Confirmed at recommended on a Combined Q.R. of R 1-8 & be released from attachment.
21-1-67

2-4

S.D. No. 1790

As per orig. Regr.

Regr. No. 8

Shrotriem

Supplemental

Fair Copied

Reg. No. 5 **Thellanahalli** (Shrotriem) — Krishnagiri Taluq, Salem Dt.

Batavarti or Subsistence | No 35 Mittah | Guntas 57 | 1·42 | 4-3-5 | 4-3-5 | Granted by former Government for Panchángi Service | Sarva Inám | Hereditary | Not Known

The Inám is proved by the accounts to be more than 50 years old —

No Sanad or other documents

Buchibattan —

The Inám is entered as Panchangi Inám in the Pymash account of 1795 and in the Kaiyam Tirva account of Fusly 1207. But no name is mentioned. In the account of Fusly 1230-32 & 34 the name of Buchibattan is entered. In Fusly 1248 the name of Pápayan appears as the son of Bu

Pápayan entered in the account of Fusly 1248 is the son of the party in Column 13.

Elangalipatti in Dharmapury Táluq

Venkatrámayan alias Venkatrámabattan age 28

Grandson to the original grantee

Wife — 1
Daughter — 1
Father — 1

The Inám is of an older date than 50 years. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th column at 1/8th Quit Rent Rs. .. 8 .. which has been agreed to

13-7-66
Sub Collector

Report whether the Inam is really enjoyed by the Kissa Shrotriemdars or by the parties in Col. 16 as it can only be confirmed in the latter case —

~~Confirmed at recommended~~

In the original Regr. it was stated that there was no Inam & that it was enjoyed by the Kissa Shrotriemdar of the village without any right. The Inam therefore fully [illegible]

~~Reg'r~~ Bandenapalli Village — Krishnagiri Taluq Salem Dt

Tenure [illegible] Present No: Number orig'l Reg'r	Kódi-Punji No 72 Ulléri Nanji	Guntas 690 15¾ 755¾	25 1°64 18°89	11-5 4-14-1 16-3-1	11-5 4-14-1 16-3-1	Not Known	Tódi 3-0-8	Hereditary	Not Known	No Sanadar other documents. The Inám is proved to be more than 50 years old by the accounts—	Kristnambattan	In the Pymash account of 1798 the name of Kichibattan is entered as the Inamdár. In the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207 the Inám is entered without the name of the holder. In Fusly 1230 Kuppábattan's name appears. The same individual's name is entered in the accounts of Fuslies 1232 & 34. In the account of 1248 the name of Venkat Kristnambattan is entered as the grandson of the original grantee—	Kichibattan whose name is entered in the Pymash account and Kuppábattan entered in the accounts of Fuslies 1230-32 & 34 are the sons, and Venkatakrishnambattan whose name is entered in the account of Fusly 1248 the grandson of the original Grantee	Krishnambhottu age 20	Kammayanallir in the Attengari Taluq	Great grandson to the original grantee	Mother 1 Sisters 2 3		The Inám being of an older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th Column at 1/8th Quit Rent which has been agreed to 1/8th Quit Rent 1-10-0 Former Jodi 3-0-8 4-10-8 13-7-66 Sub-Collector	Confirmed as recommended in Col 21 Rs 4-11-12 21-1-67

Reg'r No 82

Fair Copied

8th I.D.No 178

Minor Inam Reg'r No 13 — Chennekavundanpalli — Krishnagiri Taluq Salem Dt.

Batavarti or Subsistence grant	Dry	Guntas 360 6/16	9·11	16-4-2	16-12-1	Granted by a former Government	Sarva Inám	Hereditary	Granted by a former Government Time unknown	No sanad— a deed of gift executed by Buchammál in the year Paridhava. By Pymaish and Kayamtirva accounts, this Inám is proved to be 50 years old—	Rámábhotlu	In the account of 1796 the Inám is entered in the name of Rámábhotlu as Panji Inám. In Fusly 1205 the Inám is entered in the name of Rámábhotlu. In Fusly 1230 the name of Buchammál is entered as the daughter-in-law of the Inámdár. The same entry appears in the accounts of Fuslies 1232. 34 & 48—	The party in the 10th column is the same individual whose name appears in the Pymash and Kayam Tirva accounts. Buchammal whose name appears in the subsequent accounts is the daughter-in-law of the original Grantee—	I Séshabattan age 45 II Sundara Sástri age 40	Dharmapuri Rayakotta	aliences through remotely related to the party entered in the account of Fusly 1230 and subsequent accounts—	I Daughter 1 Sons 4 Wife 1 6 II Daughters 2 Son 1 Wife 1 4	acquired the Inám by alienation 20 years ago	The Inám is of an older date than 50 years, but the parties in present possession having acquired the Inám through gift only 20 years ago, the Inam is recommended to be confirmed to them subject to 1/4th Quit Rent Rs 3-8— 13-7-66 Sub Collector	The same information was called for in case of the Village of Khandekoundenhalli Reg. No 8 of this Taluq is required for this case. 31.5.67

Register No 13 (Shrotriem Jari Muttah)

Fair Copied

Minor Inam Reg'r ~~No~~ 10 — Khandékoundenhalli — Krishnagiri Taluq Salem Dt

Batavirti or Subsistence Inam	Dry Elasi-maram punje	Guntas 201	5°02	2-5-11	2-5-11	Granted by a former Government	u	Hereditary	Granted by a former Government Time unknown	No Sanad. a deed of gift executed by Buchammal in the year Parábava	Rámábhotlu	In the Kayam Tirva account of Fusly 1207 the Inám is entered in the name of Rámábhotlu. In Fusly 1230 the name of Buchammal appears as the daughter-in-law of Rámábhotlu deceased. The same entry appear in the accounts of 1232 [illegible]	Rámábhot is the party in the 13th column is the same person whose name appears in the Kayam Tirva account of 1207. Buchammál whose name appears in the subsequent accounts is the daughter-in-law of the [illegible]	I Séshabattan age 45 II Sundara Sastri age 40	Dharmapuri Rayakotai	aliences through remotely related to the party entered in the account of Fusly 1230	I Daughter 1 Sons 4 Wife 1 6 II Daughters 2 Son 1 Wife 1 4	acquired the Inám by alienation 20 years ago.	The Inam is of an older date than 50 years but the parties in the 16th column having acquired it by a recent alienation (20 years ago) the Inam is recommended to be confirmed subject to 1/4th Quit Rent Rs —8— annas eight 13-7-66 Sub Collector	The Inam has already been fully [illegible] because the party No 2 in Col 16 [illegible] it before [illegible] to state how the Inam was acquired by the parties in Col 16, whether the sellers are alive [illegible] what they have regarding the alienation. 16.3.67

per Reg'r

Reg'r No 10 (Shrotriem Jari Muttah)