Sheet No. 768

Cul. Regr. No. 1572 of 1906

Supplement Register of

Regr No.	Village	No. of cases
48.	Gangikottur (Bariki Palayapat)	3
49.	Kempasamudram (Do)	2
50.	Kunnpanapalli (Ankusagiri Palayapat)	5
51.	Timmasamudram	5
52.	Neralikare (Ankusagiri Palayapat)	10

INAM [illegible]
13 DEC [illegible]
MADRAS.

Hossur Taluk

Salem District.

Corr. Regr. No. 1572 of 1906

No. 48 Register village of Gangojikottur

This is Jyani village of Poligar. The accounts commence with the register of F 1212. Lands registered in F 1230 is Batavritti 7-0-5. For Inam 33-7-3

1.	Personal Elegiti punji [illegible]	Dry No. 1	9-29	9-74		6-0-10	Bata vritti	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known In F 1231 Restored as an old Inam existing prior to F 1209 according to the account of F 1229 /Sd/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner	No Sannad. This does not appear in the Register of F 1212. It appears in the [illegible] Kayam Tirva of F 1228 in the Inam lists of F 1232 to F 1257 as Inam. In F 1230 zufted and restored in F 1231 as an old Inam	In F 1212 not found In F 1228 Palakdu Surappa In F 1232 Palakdu Surappa [illegible] (15th Col.) ~~In F 1248 Surappa~~ In F 1257 [illegible]ppa
2	Personal Bande Punji [illegible]	Wet No. 1	-6-8	0-16		--15-7	Do	Do	Do	Do In F 1231 restored as an old Inam according to the account of F 1229 /Sd/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner	No Sannad. This does not appear in the register of F 1212. It appears in the Hukum Kayam tirva of F 1228 in the Inam lists of F 1232 to F 1257 as Inam. This was zufted in F 1230 and restored in F 1231 as an old Inam	In F 1212 not found In F 1228 [illegible] Krishtappa
			No. 48. Register village of Gangojikottur									
3.	Personal Bokanchina Punji Tota Punji Halludu Nanji [illegible]	Dry No. 2 Dry No. 3 Wet No. 2	15-38-8 --30-9 16-29-1 1-10-1 17-39-2	15-16 0-77 16-73 1-25 17-98		24-8-9 1-3-5 25-12-2 7-11-5 33-7-7	Kota Kattu	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known In F 1231 Restored as an old Inam. /Sd/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner	No Sannad. It appears in the Register of F 1212 in the Hukum Kayam tirva of F 1228 in the lists of F 1232 to F 1257 as Inam. This was zufted in F 1230 & restored in F 1231 as an old Inam.	In F 1212 Hanumantha Gowda In F 1228 Timmaji

1	In F 1231 Sa[illegible] P[illegible] Vi[illegible] 1229 32 (Kodu[illegible] Inam P Col. Vi 1248 ri Inamke Papa Ramaiya Pa[illegible]	No. Torapalli Sampangi [illegible]	Daughter's Son of Surappa	Wife 1 Daughter 1	Produces a deed of gift on stamped paper dated Plavanga 1847 by Surappa to Sampangi Ramaiya giving in gift this and another Inam in another village.	To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8 -- 12 -- Compulsory /Sd/ A. Narainden Deputy Collector 3/9/63.	Confirmed /Sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/11/63. T. D. No 2466.
2	In F 1232 Krishtappa restored in F 1231 as an old Inam (15th Col.) Saraswatamma wife In F 1257 Ramaiya Cousin	Battalapalli 1 Udayagiri Ramabhat 50 2 Kistambhat 36	Inamdar's Grand Son. Do	Wife 1 Brother 1 Son 1 Brother's Sons 2 Wife 1 Sons 2	died 20 years ago it [illegible] Since then the present person enjoys as a Cousin Each enjoys a 1/2 share of the produce	To be confirmed in one Joint Title deed. Quit Rent 1/8 -- 2 -- Agreed to /Sd/ A. Narainden Deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed /Sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/11/63. T. D. No 2467.
3	In F 1232 Timmaji restored in F 1231 as an old Inam (15th Col.) In F 1248 [illegible] Ramappa brother's son Hanumantha Gowda Grandson Santappa brother's son Gangoji[illegible] In F 1257 Sakoji [illegible]	Gangoji Kottur 1 Karimbale Sakoji 70 2. Hanumantha Gowda 30 3. Muniyappa 30	Brother's son Grand son Brother's Grand son	Wife 1 Adopted son or otherwise uncle's grand son Wife 1 Daughter 1 Brothers 2 uncle's widow 1 Wife 1 Sons 2	Land is divided & enjoyed it in 3 equal shares	To be Confirmed in one joint Title deed. Quit Rent 1/8 4-4 Agreed to /Sd/ A. Narainden Deputy Collector 30/10/62	1351 Confirmed /Sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag. Spl. [illegible] 26/11/62 T. D. No 246[illegible]

4

Ad 16-12-06.

1351

No. 49. Register village of Virupasamudram.

This is an Iyini village of Poligar – The accounts commence with the r
of 1212. No jufty or restoration in this village.

1. Devadayam Gudi Punji ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Yellagu~~	Dry No. 1	3-4-16	3-12	2-12-5	For the support of Timmaraya Swami at Virupasamudram The temple is in good order	Tax free	Permanent	Not known	No Sannad. It appears in the Regd of F 1212 there in [illegible] Timmaraya in the Inam lists of F 1232 [illegible] 1232 [illegible] 1257 as Inam	In F 1248 Palakonda Swappa In F 1232 Palakonda Swappa	In F 1232 Sa[illegible] Pujari Venkat[illegible] In F 1257 [illegible] Pujari P. Col. In F 1248 Iranke[illegible] Paraya	Virupasamudram Timmarayaswami Pujari Venkata Kristnial 12 of Timmasamudram Vakil Narasimmaiya of Kadavanapalli	To be Confirmed Tax free Title deed in the name of the Deity (Sd/) A. Narain Rao Dy. Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed (Sd/) P. Chentsal Rao Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/11/63 T. D. No. 2469
(Sd/) M Robinson Inam Commissioner														
2. Personal Sonnagaruju Punji ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Yellagu~~	Dry No. 2	2-16-2	2-41	2-2-2	Bata Vritti	Do.	Do.	Do.	No Sannad. It appears in all the accounts from F 1212 as Inam that	In F 1212 Panchan Subbaiya In F 12[illegible] Panchan Subbaiya	In F 1232 Krishnaiya [illegible] In F 1248 Subbaiya In F 1257 Subbaiya	The party is absent		
(Sd/) M Robinson Inam Commissioner														

No. 50. Register village of Kuriyanapalli

This is Iyini village of Poligar – The accounts commence
with the register of F1202 – In F1231 restoration of
Mottrukattu Inam 36-4-6 and that of service Inams is
10-2-5

1 Devadayam Karisagaram Mundiri Punji Fasli ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Yellagu~~	Dry No. 1	3-11-14	3-31	3-5	For the support of Timmaraya Swami of Kuriyanapalli gole -14-3 The temple is in good order		Permanent	Not known	No Sannad. It appears in the register of F 1212 in the Hulsu Kayam tilua of F 1222 in the Inam lists of F 1231 to F 1257 as Inam ~~[illegible]~~	In F 1214 Timmaraya Swami In F 1228 Pujari Latchmiah	In F 1231 Timmaraya Swami Pujari Latchmiah In F 1232 Pujari Latchmiah (15th Col) In F 1248 Tiruvengalaiya Appaiya Venkataiya In F. 1258 Tiruvengalaiya Appaiya Venkatappa	Kuriyanapalli Timmarayaswami Pujari Venkatakristniah of Timmasamudram 12 vakil Narasimmaiya of Kadavanapalli	To be Confirmed Jodi -14-3 Title deed in the name of the Deity (Sd/) A. Narain Rao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed (Sd/) A. Seshier Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/11/63 T. D. No. 2470
(Sd/) M Robinson Inam Commissioner														

No 51 Register Village of Kuriyanapalli

2.	Devadayam Satigudam Pooja ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Village~~	Dry Sdl/	2-25-6	2-63 M Robinson Inam Commissioner	1-15-5	For the Support of Sakamma at Kuriyanapalli The temple is in good order	Tax free	Permanent	Not known	No Sannad It appears in all the accounts from Fusli as Inam	In 7 1234 Sakamma Pujari Chinnapaiya (In 5th Col) In F1248 Pujari [illegible]apaiya In F1257 Pujari [illegible]apaiya	Kuriyanapalli Sakamma Pujari Timmaiya 40 2. Chikkapapaiya 55		..			To be Confirmed Tax free Title deed in the name of the Deity sdl/ A Narainrao Deputy Collector 30/10/63.	Confirmed sdl/ P. Chentsalrao Ag: Spl. Asst. 2/6/11/63. T. Deed No 2471.
3.	Personal Humsa Maram ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Village~~	Dry No 3 sdl/	2-25-5	2-65 M Robinson Inam Commissioner	2-9-9	Bhattavritti	Do.	Do.	Do.	No Sannad It appears in all the accounts from F1201 as Inam	In 7 1232 Lachmi[illegible] Tottappa In 7 1232 Kristappa (15th Col) In 7 1248 Saraswatamma wife In 7 1257 Saraswatamma wife	Battalapalli 1 Edayagiri Ramabhat 45 ~~Do ramanmada~~ 2 Kristambhat 35	Uncle's grandson Uncle's grand ~~sons~~ son	Wife-1 Son-1 Wife-1 Sons-2	Saraswatamma died 20 years ago Nos 1 and 2 enjoy the Inam ever since as cousins. Enjoy the produce in equal share	To be Confirmed Quit Rent [illegible]-6- Agreed to sdl/ A Narainrao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed sdl/ P. Chentsalrao Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/11/63. T. Deed No 2472
4	Personal Hunisemale Pooja Note In the [illegible] of F1231 it is included an original gifted Inam with the remark that the Inam was made Inam that the gift was made because Poligar fixed boundaries to the Inam [illegible] of F1201 In F1231 included among Inam [illegible] gifted. ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Village~~	Dry No 4	16-7-7	16-19 sdl/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner	16-14-½	Nettara Katta	Do.	Do.	Do. In F1231 it was entered as an old Inam existing prior to F1201	No Sannad This document appears in the registers of F1211. It appears in the Hukum Kayamtirwa of F1228 in the Inam list of F1232 to 1257 as Inam. In F1232 gifted and entered in F1231 as an old Inam	[illegible]232 [illegible]ale [illegible]idow F1257 [illegible]anga [illegible]dow [illegible] Chinnappa Naik (15th Col) In F1248 Madi Naik Rangappa Naik Chinnappa Naik's son	Kariamapalli Kondla Ranganaik 55	Son	Sons-3 a brother's widow who has no enjoyment in the Inam	..	To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8-2 Ro. Agreed to In this case one Timma Naidu brother's grandson of the Survey Inamdar claims registry for a half on the ground that	Confirmed sdl/ P. Chentsalrao Ag: Spl. Asst. 26/11/63 T. Deed No 2473-

his father enjoyed the Inam till 20 years ago and that since then he has had no enjoyment. It appears the Stalla Inam which existed in the name of the claimant's father was gifted in F 1231 as a new Inam and that he has had no enjoyment in the Inam – I have therefore dismissed his claim and referred him for any redress to the Courts.

Sdl/ A Narainrao
Deputy Collector
31/10/62

10-12-56

			Kuriyanapalli									Nallur					
5 Personal Hallum Punji [illegible] s/d M Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 5	7-12-10	7-31		10-8-10	Amma Chetteram Inam	Do.	Do.	Do.	No Sunnud It appears in all the accounts from F 1212 as Inam Never grafted.	In Inam F 1231 Basapa Siddaiya Amma Chettram Inam In F 1232 Siddaiya (15th Col) In F 1248 Siddaiya In F 1257 Siddaiya	1 Basasapa 30 2 Muniappa 25 3 Timmaiya 18 4 Girappa 16 5 Hempanna 16 6 Nanjappa 30	Naiyulu	Grandson grandson grandson grandson brother's son in law brother's son	Wife-1 Sons-3 Wife-1 Sons-2 Wife-1 Wife-1 Sons-2	No 1 to 4 enjoy ½ share — They are brothers No 5 & 6 enjoy ½ share Land is not divided No 5 lives with No 6	To be Confirmed in the name of No 1 and 6 Quit Rent 1/8-1-6-0 Agreed to s/d A Narainrao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	1863 Confirmed s/d P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst. T. Dct. 2474
	No. 51 Register village of Timmasamudram											Timmasamudram					
	This is an Agiyim village of the Poligar. accounts commence with the Register of F 1212 In F 1231 restoration of Inam was Bramadayam 8-8-2 The accounts of F 1230/31 are not found.																
1. Devarayan Gudi Punji [illegible] s/d M Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 1	3-7-9	3-19		6-10-1	For the support of Thimmarayaswami at Timmasamudram The temple is in good order	Jodi -9-6	Permanent	not Known	No Sunnud It appears in the Register of F 1212 in the Hukum Kayamtirma of F 1228 & in the Inam lists of F 1232 to F 1257 as Inam —	In F 1232 Lachmaiya (15th Col) In F 1246 Pujari Tiruvengadaiya Vengataiya Appaiya In F 1257 Tiruvengutaiya Vengataiya Appaiya	Timmaraya Swami Pujari Appaiya 40		"	"	"	To be Confirmed Jodi -9-6 Title deed in the name of the Deity s/d A Narainrao Deputy Collector 31/10/62	1863 Confirmed s/d P. Chentsal Rao Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/4/63. T. Dct. 2475
												Mannuvarampalli					
2. Personal Dinna Punji [illegible] s/d M Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 2	1-27-2	1-67		2-7-8	Bata vritti	Tax free	Hereditary	Not Known Restored as an old Inam in F 1231	No Sunnud It appears in the register of F 1212 in the Hukum Kayamtirma of F 1228 and in the Inam lists of F 1248/57 as Inam	In F 1248 his sons visaya bathe Subbarayappa Muniappa (15th Col) In F 1257 Virappa Subbarayappa Munimangaraya Sampangi	1 Paramandi Subbarayappa 25 Neralikere 2 Venkataraya of Mannuvarampalli 3 Sampangi Ramaiya of 4 Rayappa 45		Donee's brother's son Donee's brother Donee's son Donee's brother	Wife-1 Brother-2 Mother-1 Sons-3 Mother-1 "	The original family is living and they admit the gift No deed of gift is produced enjoy the produce in equal shares.	To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8-4-0 Compulsory s/d A Narainrao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed s/d P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst. 26/4/63 T. Dct. 2397.
												Mannuvarampalli					
3. Personal Yettinaram Punji [illegible] s/d M Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 3	3-31½	3-78		2-12-1	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do. Restored as an old Inam in F 1231	No Sunnud It appears in all the accounts from F 1212 as Inam	In F 1232 Subba Batta (15th Col) In F 1248 Sampangi Subbiah In F 1257 Subba Bathe.	1 Paramandi Subbarayappa 26 2 Venkataiya that of 3 Sampangi Ramaiya 22 4 Rayappa 45		grandson son grandson son son	Mother-1 Wife-1 Brothers-2 Sons-3 Mother-1 "	Enjoy the produce in 4 equal shares.	To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8-5-0 Agreed to s/d A Narainrao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed s/d P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst. 26/4/63 T. Dct. 2398

[illegible]/10.12.86

4.	Personal Yella Punji ~~Correction done~~ of [illegible]	Dry No 4	2-6-4	2-16	3-3-6	Do	Do	Do	Do Entered as an old Inam in F 1231	No sannad It appears in all the accounts from F1212 as Inam	In F 1[illegible] Amma Chetti of Timma[illegible] In F 1[illegible] Amma chetti Subb[illegible]	124 1248	In F1231 Subbia (15th Col) In F1246 Subbia aunt Samma In F1257 Subbia	Manumaranapalli Salakhaw Samaiya 40	Grand son	Daughter 3		To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8 "40 Agreed to sd/ A. Narain Rao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	1363 Confirmed sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst 26/11/63 T. D. No 2399.
	sd/ M. Robinson Inam Commissioner																		
	No 51 Register Village of Timmasamudram																		
5	Personal Halami Samaram Punji ~~Correction done~~ sd/ M. Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 5	10-23-3	10-55	15-12-	Sheti Inam	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known never [illegible]	No sannad It appears in all the accounts from F1212 as Inam	Basa[illegible] In F 12[illegible] Bellata[illegible] ming[illegible] Bro[illegible] Brother	20	In F1232 Bellatammiah Gowda Brother (15th Col) In F1248 Bellatammia Gowda In F1257 Bellatammiah Gowda	Timmasamudram 1 Yechchi Gowda 70 2 Rama Gowda 55	Brother's son Brother's son	Wife - 1 son - 1 Wife - 1 son - 1	His brother's wife Pachamma died 10 years ago She had no share. Enjoy the Inam in 2 equal shares Land is divided	To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8 2Rs Agreed to sd/ A. Narain Rao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	1364 Confirmed Sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst 26/11/63 T. D. No 2400
	No 52 Register Village of Neralikare This is an Iyan Village of Poligar. Accounts commence with the register of F1212. In F1231 Restoration of Balsarouty Inam is 8-12-6 and that of Yeoft Inam 16-1-2. No accounts of F1230/31 are forthcoming in this village —																		
1	Devadayam Sakikgi Devi Punji ~~Modalu~~ Modalu Nanji	Dry No Wet	4-9-0 6-10 ~~6-[illegible]~~ 4-15-10	4-22 -16 4-38	6-5-4 -6-6 6-11-10	For the support of Timmarayaswami at Neralikare The temple is in good order	0-14-2	Do	Do	No sannad It appears in all the registers of F1212 in the Hulumi Kayamtirwa of F1228 as the Inam Lekha F1232 F1230/31 as Inam	In F[illegible] Sha[illegible] na[illegible] ami In F 1248 Thimma[illegible] yeg[illegible] pujari Lakshmi	1212 1248	In F1232 Lakshmi In F1248 Lakshmi sons (15th Col) 1 Tirumungadia 2 [illegible] 3 Appia In F1257 1 Tirumunkatia 2 Venkatia 2 Appial	Neralikare Timmarayaswami Pujari Tiruvenkatiya 55	..	..	..	To be Confirmed Jodi 0-14-2 Title deed in the name of the Deity sd/ A. Narain Rao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst 26/11/63 T. D. No 2401
	~~Correction done~~ of [illegible] sd/ M. Robinson Inam Commissioner																		
2	Devadaya Banatha Punji Padu Nanji ~~Correction done~~ [illegible] sd/ M. Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry Wet	2-21-2 --4-1 2-25-3	2-52 0-10 ~~2-8~~ 2-63	2-8-3 --5-6 2-13-9	For the support of Sakamma at Neralikare The temple exists in the days of [illegible] a shed is raised	Tax free	Do	Do	No sannad It appears in all the accounts from F1212 as Inam	In F Saka[illegible] In F Saka[illegible] dun[illegible] pujari Vira nothing about Nanji	1212 1229	In F1232 Virupia (15th Col) In F1248 papiah In F57 Papiah 2.	Neralikare Sakamma Pujari Thimmaiya 40 Chikka Papiah 50.	..	..	..	To be Confirmed Tax free Title deed in the name of the goddess sd/ A. Narain Rao 30/10/62 Deputy Collector	Confirmed sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst 26/11/63 T. D. No 2402

4/
10/12/06

3.	Dasandapam Quoram punji Sasana kallu manyi ~~Correspondence of~~ ~~[illegible]~~ s d/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 3 Wet No 2	1-27-4 1-16-5 3-2-14	1-70 1-38 3-08	3-1-2 8-2-4 11-3-6	For the support of the Vittasitanta [illegible] Kere The tank is in good order ayakat 17-13-1	Do.	Do.	Do The whole of the Inam I refer the claimants to court s d/ A Narainrao Deputy Collector 31/10/62	No sannad Do	In F 1212 Krishna bhatt In F 1212 Panchayutha Iswar Kusal setkia	12 am 28 aug m	In F 12[illegible] Inam bhat [illegible] 15th 1248 Seshiah (15th Col) In F 1257 Seshiah	32	Tettipalli 1 Dasada Subbaiya 55 2 Lakshmaiya 50	Son Brother's son	Wife 1 Son 1 Wife 1 Sons 2

Remarks	Decision
To be Confirmed on the usual Condition of service — One Ramachadraiya Survey Inamdar's uncle's grandsons son claims a share in the Inam — He states that No 1 was giving him his share of produce till 3 years ago and that since 3 years no share was given him but the Karnams Know nothing of it His statement of having received produce is contradicted by his assertion that he prevailed upon ryots one year to give Some sugarcane produce — The present enjoyer proves by his witnesses that he has been enjoying —	9 1354 Confirmed Sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst. 26/11/63 T. D. No 2403

No 5 – Register Vil [illegible] Krishnagiri

4	Personal Marsho Punji ~~Correspondence of~~ ~~[illegible]~~ s d/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 4	5-24	5-2		3-14-2	Bata written	Tax free	Hereditary	not Known	No sannad It appears in all the accounts from F 1212 as Inam	In F 1212 [illegible] Ginappa In F 1228 Dasappa	1212 1228	In F 1232 Dasappa (15th Col) In F 1248 Karanam Samudram Dasappa In F 1257 not Known	Annadapur. 1. Hastagiri Venkat Ramaiah 35 2. Kristamma 60	Brother's son Wife

Family	Enjoyment	Deputy Collector	Decision
Brothers 5 Wife 1 None.	Long ago divided Enjoy the produce in 2 equal shares —	To be confirmed Quit Rent 1/8 of [illegible] 4-0 Quit Rent 1/8 [illegible] 8 12 Agreed to sd/ A Narainrao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	1355 Confirmed on Quit Rent 8 Sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst. 30/11/63 T. D. No 2404

5	Personal Kodika Seemu Punji ~~Correspondence of~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Sd/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner	Dry No 5	3-24	2-6	3-7-7 In F 1257 1. Virappa 2. Subbarayappa 3. Munisamaiya 4. Sampangi	Do	Do	Do	Do	No sannad It appears in all the accounts from F 1212 as Inam	In F 1212 given In F 12[illegible] [illegible] The land was in part the year given to Rama bhatta In F 12[illegible] Sitaramma	1212 [illegible] [illegible] given [illegible]	By settlement [illegible] this Rama bhatta gave the same to Viranna bhatta the father of Sitarama In document (15th Col) Sitarama bhatta sons 1. Virabhatta 2. Subbarayappa 3. Munnappa	Virappalli Krishnagiri Taluk 1. Gundhu Viranna bhat 48 2. Subbaraya bhat 38 3. Munnama bhat 35 4. Sampangi Rama bhat

Relationship	Family	Enjoyment	Deputy Collector	Decision
Grand son Do Do Do	Wife 1 Sons 2 Wife 1 Son 1 Wife 1 Daughter 1 Wife 1	Enjoy the produce in 4 equal shares	To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8 8 agreed to Sd/ A. Narain Rao deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed Sd/ P Chentsal Rao Ag: Spl. Asst. 26/11/63 T. D. No 2405

[illegible] 12/10/06.

6.	Personal Marala Puja ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~	Dry No. 6	1-38-9	1-96	14-6	Do	Do	Do	Do	No Sannad It appears in all the accounts from F1212 as Inam	In F 12 Krest chat In F 12 Panch mutha [illegible] and the gave the Inam given that	In F Sale In F subs	12 [illegible] 28 [illegible]	In F1232 Muthen bhatta brother (15th Col) In F1248 Muthen wife Sachemma no sons in F1257	Darmisamudram Gandlur Venkata chalapati 60	Cousin's Son	Sons 3 Daughters in law 3	The gift is entered in the account of F1228 Produced no deed of gift
	Sd/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner																	
7.	Personal Arali Maram Puja ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~	Dry No.	2-17-14	2-45	3-10-7	Do	Do	Do	Do	No Sannad It appears in all the accounts from F1212 as Inam.	In F 12 Annia F1228 Annia		12 In	In F1232 Annappa Panditalu (15th Col) In F1248 his son Thimma Panditalu In F1257 Thimma Panditalu	Taliagrahar Rayadurgam Timmappa 55	Son	Sons 2 Daughter 1	"
	Sd/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner																	
8.	Personal Kallu Puja ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~	Dry No.	No. 52. Registry village of [illegible] 2-11-14	2-30	3-6-[illegible]	Bata vritti	Tax free	Here ditary	Not Known	No Sannad It appears in all the accounts as Inam	In F 12 Sanna In F 4 [illegible]		12 [illegible] 28	In F1232 Seshacharlu (15th Col) In F1248 Seshachari son Chelwa chari In F 1257 Chelwa chari	The parties are absent Sd/ A Narasi Row			
9.	Personal Chikalasi Puja Chikabaruli Manji	Dry No. Wet No. Wet No. 4	7--14 --28 7-28-14	7-02 0-70 7-72	7--10 3-11-6 10-12-[illegible]	Inam given for the Construction of the Fort	Do	Do	Do	No Sannad It appears in all the accounts from F 1212 as Inam	In F Sama gam In F 12 Sama midu yattep Seshiga		18	In F 1232 Sama Gowda (15th Col) In F 1248 Mummanjia Gowda In F 57 Mummanjia Gowda	The parties are absent Sd/ A Narasi Row			
	~~Condition~~ none Sd/ M Robinson Inam Commissioner																	

6.	To be confirmed Quit Rent Rs. ..4.. Compulsory sd/ A Narain Rao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	1355 V.D. Confirmed sd/ P Chentsal Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/11/62 T. D. No. 2206
7.	To be Confirmed Quit Rent 1/8 ..8.. Agreed to Sd/ A Narain Rao Deputy Collector 30/10/62	Confirmed Sd/ P Chentsal Ag. Spl. Asst. 26/11/63 T. D. No. 2407
8.		1356
9.		

A/
10/12/76

10	Personal Chekku kande punji Chekku kande Manji	Dry No. Wet	7-4-8 ...30-3 7-34-11	7-71 0-76 7-87	7-1-11 4-6-6 11-8-5	Kote Katte ford as above	Do	Do	Do	No sunnud It appears in all the accounts from F 1212 as Inam	In F 1223 Sishia In F 1238 Sishia

In F 1252 Seshia (15th Col) In F 1248 Seshaiya In F 1257 Seshaiya	Yettihalli Dravada Subbaiya 55 Laxmaiya 50	Son Brother's son	Wife - 1 Son - 1 Wife - 1 Sons - 2	Enjoy the produce in 2 equal shares	In this case one Ramakkatria uncle's grandson's son to the survey Inamdar claims registry. His relationship is admitted by the present enjoyers and they plead that he has had no enjoyment and that his grandfather was long ago divided - The claimant produces a panchayet Fasilnama dated Hevilambi in common papers which adjusts that in the tank Inam in this village, 32 guntas were to be given to No 1 father gratis, and the remainder should be enjoyed in 3 equal shares —	Confirmed Sd/ P. Chentsal Rao Ag. Depy. Asst. 26/11/63 T. D. No 2408

by No 1 and 2 by the claimant and this branch who it appears live from long time in the Mysore territories. He also produces 3 Karanamas of Sommia for some of the lands. But it appears that he has had no enjoyment from long time. I refer him to civil court.

To be therefore Confirmed

Quit Rent 1/8-1-8 agreed to

Sd/ A Narain Rao
Deputy Collector

31/10/62

Corrections none
ge Meyer

Sd/ W. Robinson
Inam Commissioner

[illegible]/12/06

Salem Collector's office
Dated 10-12-1906 }

Dy Collector.

Inam Register of Inams in the village of Virupasamuthram in Beri Kosolapet in the Oosoor Talug Salem District

	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
	Pers. at Devj nagarazu Thuiji	Guntas 80¾	ac. cents 2-41	„	Rs A P 2-2-2-	Bhattavarti	Taxfree	Hereditary	By former Government year not known	No Sunnad but it appears in the accounts from fasli 1212 as an Inam-	Not known-	In the account of fasli 1212 Punchanji Subbaya	In the accounts of faslis 1228, 32 48 & 57 the name of Punchanji Subbaya alias Punchanji Bhatter the original registered holder appears	Gurrua Bhatter age 27-	Maradamtharam in Krishnagiri Talug	Adopted son of Punchanji Subbaya & otherwise related to him as his brother's son	1 wife 2 sons-	„	This Inam being of an older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the present incumbent, subject to a quit rent of Rs 0-4-0 which he has agreed to. This Inam was attached on 8th February 1865 but the case has been reopened with reference to the Inam Commissioner's letter No 551 dated 10th June 1867 - The attachment is therefore to be withdrawn -	Confirmed [illegible] 1879 & [illegible] Attach withdrawn

Register No 49
Sheet No 631

Vide correspondence below
1 Letter from the Inam Commr. to the Collr. of Salem d/ 14th July 1866 No 737.
2 Do from the Collr. of Salem to the Inam Commr. d/ 15th Decr. 1866 No 797/48
3 Do from the Inam Commr. to the Collr. of Salem d/ 10th June 1867 No 551

Case No 2
Personal

Salem Sub Collector's office
Oospoor 19th September 1867-

Fedrick Rice
Asst Sub Collector

Forwarded to the Inam Commissioner with reference to his letter of the 10th June 1867 No 551. The Inam may be confirmed to Gurrua Bhatter who has established his title to the same after the Sub Collector

Salem Collr's office
31st October 1867

Chas. [illegible]
Collector

With 11 enclosures

Collr. written to release
January 1870.
F. Dis.
4427

Corrections none in this page
[illegible]
Exr

Robi[illegible]
Inam Commissioner

1	8 a	1st	10 6 2 a
2d	1 2 a	2d	5 0 a
3d	0 14 a	3d	3 12 a
4d	0 10 a	4d	2 8 a
5d	0 6 a	5d	1 14 a

Revised Assessment

Whole village

Regr No 78

Statement of the [illegible] Todg village of Patikaunahalli No 73
in the Bagalur Pollam in the Oossoor Taluk
Salem District

[illegible] Todg village - The village is 3d class in regard to its [illegible],
of the Tank is also of the 3d class in regard to its bund -
The Acts available ~~on~~ during the [illegible] lake & together
9 Acres.

In the [illegible] Mahl
Mahl Collector [illegible]
is confirmed as an old
Inam - [illegible]
be [illegible] on [illegible]

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Inam Bazaar Todg village of Patikaunahalli No 73 in the Bagalur Pollam in the Oossoor Taluk Salem District

Azahat

Total extent	140.09	
Deduct Poramboke	52.38	
	87.71	222-12-4
Deduct Inam	11.91	119-0-0
	75.20	103-12-4
Add Poramboke since brought under cultivation	2.07	5-14-7
Total	77.27	109-10-11

Particulars

[illegible]	66.53	85-5-10
[illegible]	2.46	13-15-9
	68.99	99-5-7
[illegible]	1.36	1-11-11
[illegible]	0.71	4-2-8
	2.07	5-14-7
[illegible]	5.74	3-9-0
[illegible]	0.47	0-13-9
	5.21	4-6-9
[illegible]	73.63	90-10-9
[illegible]	3.64	19-0-2
Total	77.27	109-10-0

Charged at [illegible] rates at 5 Rs per acre

For whose benefit as Charity

Rayaum Todg of 39-a-a 6

Hereditary

Whether Inam

# 4 The earliest document produced is dated Vikrama or F 1179

A Deed of Sunnud Dated Vikrama F 1179 (Probably one before last) by Pullaum Chendappa Naik to Jemadar Bawani Sing granting the village of Patikaunahalli in continuation of the former grant as Umbali or hereditary tenure —

2d A Deed by Poligar Vera Chendappa Naidu dated [illegible] or F 1123 - to ~~[illegible]~~ Govinda Sing Jemadar - on a [illegible] 39 Rs (No mention of Umbali is made in this)

3d The Collector's dated 22d December 1821 in the name of Govinda Sing on a [illegible] 39-0-0

Inamdar named in Vikrama or F 1179 Bawani Sing

In the [illegible] Patikaunahalli [illegible] village in the name of Govind Sing [illegible] from the [illegible]

F 1131 Govind Sing the Brother -

In the Register of F 1131, the [illegible] grantee was described to be one Hari [illegible] Sing, & the Inamdar in F 1131 [illegible] his son Govind Sing - The Inam is stated to have been given 100 years ago. The document of Vikrama is also noticed in the Register but the kind of Inam is never [illegible] in regard to any Inam in the Register -

Note - The Inamdar and [illegible] have been since received. ~~[illegible]~~ this Inam as an Umbali Inam originally but in the Register & Certificate of that Fasli, it was described as a personal grant. [illegible]

In the Poligar's list of F 1131 Patikaunahalli: "it was granted by Chendappa Naik to the village as Jaghir to Hari [illegible] Jemadar. The Inam lasted up to [illegible] The Inamdar was [illegible] in F 1100 it was made [illegible] from F 1108 to 1131 it was enjoyed as Inam"

Present

Jemadar -

Bhawani Sing 80 -	Brother	1st son	Not enjoy	1/3d share
2 Narsingabhan 32 -	Brother's 2d son adopted one in [illegible]	son of 2 [illegible]		
3 Devi Sing 25 -	Brother's 3d son	full [illegible]	Not enjoy	1/3 share
4 Rama Chendra Sing 3 -	Brother's 2d son's son	Mother	Nos 3 & 4 enjoy	1/3 share

Vakil - Baramahall [illegible] son of [illegible] at Baramahall

The village is divided in three shares but the tenure is not divided -

This is one of the eleven villages confirmed by the Poligar as a recent Inam, continued as Inam ~~[illegible]~~ in Mr Cockburn's days, [illegible] [illegible] of Poligar's servants [illegible] - The document of the earliest date produced is one dated [illegible] but it does not say which Vikrama it was but the name of the Poligar [illegible] it as far back as the Vikrama before last or F 1179 Mr Cockburn confirmed it in F 1131 as due in consideration - ~~[illegible]~~ The sunnud of F 1179 produced by the Inamdar's Vakil refers to its grant originally on Umbali terms - but it appears ~~[illegible]~~ to have been enjoyed always without [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] Karanamah, signed ~~[illegible]~~ by the Poligar & Vakil produced as a Karanamah as executed by former Jemadar to [illegible] the Poligar in Mahratti ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] that Govinda Sing ~~[illegible]~~ Sing - This Karanamah proposes that Govinda Sing [illegible] a further sum of 20 Rs, & to place at the Poligar's disposal the services of one ~~[illegible]~~ person always at the [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ Inamdar's Vakil ~~[illegible]~~ denies ~~[illegible]~~ all knowledge of the document. ~~[illegible]~~ The document is dated 2 days before ~~[illegible]~~ the date of the 2d Sunnud ~~[illegible]~~ of the Poligar produced by the Inamdar's Vakil - ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

2 The Poligar has also urged his objection against ~~[illegible]~~ the rights of the Inamdar. He pleads that this was a recent Inam - but Mr Cockburn recognized it as an old Inam. This Inam had been placed under attachment while the Poligar [illegible] between F 1124/29 - subsequent to the restoration order of Mr Cockburn dated F 1131 the Poligar [illegible] again [illegible] it & Mr Cockburn [illegible] [illegible] the conduct of the Poligar & ordered the restoration of the village & giving the Poligar the option of [illegible] & proving his claim before the Revenue authorities. No such claim was as yet preferred. As Mr Cockburn once recognized the village as Inam an [illegible] as a [illegible] one [illegible] filed on behalf of the Poligar with his own signature, ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years - according to Inamdar's return

F 1266 170 -

[illegible] 80.

Average [illegible] 2.95

71.56

Beriz

99-3-

The Cultivation Beriz comes up in 4 years to 103 Rs; the average has fallen by a fall in the [illegible] in one Fasli - [illegible] take the Azahat value to represent ~~the village~~ average revenue value of the village or 109 Rs

[illegible] Collector's Statement for F 1230 the cultivation & [illegible]

XX Tole Confirmed

39-0-0

XX [illegible]

T D No 2396

Fair Copied

Sheet No 22

Kazam god pattage

(Agraharam supplement)

Sheet No 18

Agrahar Inam
Register No ~~18~~ 17

Inam Register of Veerapatanandram No 57 in the Bagalur Palayapatam Oosoor Taluk Salem Dist.

Sarvadumbala Inam Village

The acct of [illegible] for the village
but [illegible], the village is [illegible] to [illegible] the Inam

Regr No 17
Sheet No 458

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
No 1	Devadaya	S No 36 Ravi Anchari Punji	3-28 11 120 3/4 143 11/16	3·59 Ac 85		2 7 0	For the support of Hanumantha Swamy at Veerapatanandram. The pagoda is in good order	No 2	Permanent	Not known	No sanad. It appears in all the accounts of Fusly [illegible] Inam	At the Durbar Hanumantha Swamy		In F1281 Pujari Gundaraja In F1257 Gundaraja		Veerapatanandram Hanumantharaya Swamy Pujari Sataru Gundaya		Old Inam	—	Confirm Tota 16-2 [illegible]	T.D.n 3766 Confd 11/7/64
No 2	Personal	S No 4 Nakkadasi Punji	0-14 14 12 3/8 14 14/16	0·37 Ac 85		0 3 6	Khala Karchi	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad. In F1212 there was no Inam. At the time it was Circar land. In F1281 it appears as Inam in the name of Ramalakkah. [illegible] F1257. [illegible] to [illegible] — this is a recent Inam granted by the Agraharadar	At the Durbar Circar		In F1281 Ramalakkah In F1257 Circar		To be treated as Inam. No documents for the claim. The Agraharadar says [illegible] the Inam				As this is not found in the accts of F1212/1228, [illegible]. Leave the Inam at the disposal of the Agraharadar, & strike off from the Inam Register	Struck off as a recent Inam

In F1228 Old Inam

In F1281 No Remark

In F1228 No Remark
Granted by Agraharadar

In F1281 No Remark
never [illegible]

Fair Copied

No. of Fields				Description of Inams	Extent					Assessment			Parvam			Proposed			Included	Comp.
Dry	Wet	Gar	Total		Items	Dry	Wet	Gar	Total											
1	0	0	1	Devadayam	1	3·59	0	0	3·59	0	0	0	2	7	0					
1	0	0	1	Personal	1	0·37	0	0	0·37	0	0	0	0	3	6					
2	0	0	2	Total	2	3·96	0	0	3·96	0	0	0	2	10	6					

Agrahar Inam
Register No [illegible]

Regr No [illegible]

Sarva Inam Village

Inam Register of Basavanahalli No 53 in the Bagalur Palayapatam Oosoor Taluk Salem Dist.

Sarvadumbala Inam village
The accts available are the survey of F1212.
In F1212, the abstract [illegible] that there was no Inam in the village,
but the Collector [illegible] to village [illegible] F1231

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
	Devadayam	S No 14 Kal Punji	1-38 6 65 2 78 86/16	1·96 Ac 85		1 14 10	For the support of Basaveswara Swamy at Basavanahalli. The pagoda is in good order	Do 0 18 7	Permanent	Not known	No sanad. It appears in all the accts of Fusly [illegible] Inam	At the Durbar Basaveswara Swamy		In F1281 Rachaya In F1257 Rachaya		Basavanahalli Basaveswara Swamy Pujari Basavaiya		Old Inam		Confirm Tota 13-7 [illegible]	Confd T.D.n 3767 11/7/64
		S No 2 [illegible] Punji	0-4 15 12 9/16 14 15/16	0·37 Ac 85		1 6 3															
			2 13 5 78 7/16 93 5/16	2·33 Ac 85		3 5 1															
No 2	Personal	S No 79 Oglle Punji	2 5 2 71 1/2 85 2/16	2·13 Ac 85		1 9 6	Bhatta Vritti	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad	At the Durbar Tippa Bhat		In F1281 Tippa Bhat In F1257 Narana Bhat		Bagalur Khadakamalla Narana Bhat Brother's son		Old Inam No 1 wife 1 adopted son 1 daughters		Confirm [illegible]	T.D.n 3768 Confd

In F1228
Hanumarasipalli
Old Inam

In F1281
Old Inam

Fair Copied

In F1228
Granted by Palegar
Khadakamalla Bhatta
Ammarapalli [illegible]

In F1281 Do Remark

[illegible] Inam village — Inam Register of [illegible] No 33 in the Register [illegible]

Dry No 23 2-38-15 2·90
Sripu Kuchuma Punji 97 7/16 Dry
115 15/16 Ex

Dry No 28 0-2-2 0·05
Moricheladam 1 7/16 Dry
2 2/16 Ex

Dry No 45 5-35-6 5·59
Kalla Punji 197 13/16 Dry
285 6/16 Ex

8-33-9 8·54
297 2/16 Dry
353 9/16 Ex

2-13-11
0-1-6
8-12-2
11-11-7

Vhala Kerlu | For | Regular | Not Known

In F 1228 "granted by Polvoncha [illegible] unto Sri Varahaswamy [illegible] to Nilakantaya in the [illegible] Brahmins"

In F 1231 Inam justified

In the [illegible] list of F 1231 this Inam is not entered

Unknown

It appears in the account of F 1228 as Inam

At the survey of Nilakantaya

In F 1231 ~~[illegible]~~ Mangapathi

In F 1257 Ramappa 50
~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~
Nagappa Surappa 60
3 Subbarayappa 52

Relation | [illegible] | Dry | Wet | Wet

There is not the occupancy of the Inamdar — the Munsiff of the village in charge ~~[illegible]~~ the Patta ... [illegible] temporary [illegible]

This is a doubtful Inam. As regards its origin and history it was ascertained that there were no Inams in the village.

[illegible] 23 R

7/8/62

To be a subject of ... was without Inam ... in F 1226, it is described as a ... during F 1226 in which year the Polvoncha ... the Inam ... under the ... In F 1251 it appears as a ... Inam ... not found in the list of restored Inams. The ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] has however brought [illegible] in F 1242 a land enjoyed tax free. It was in consequence entered into this Register. In 1845 the Zemindar wrote a petition to the Collector about a [illegible] to Inam, in which [illegible] described the Inam was granted to the [illegible] of Polvoncha ... Badrachellam ... owned to Polvoncha subsequent to F 1209 and so it is satisfactorily established that this is a [illegible]

Vide Petition which bears Collr's Endorsement No 27 dated 4th Decr 1845.

9/9/63

Fair Copied

Reg. Nos				Description of Inam	Extent					Jodi			Assessment			[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Dry	Wet	Gar	Total		Survey Nos	Dry	Wet	Gar	Total										
1	1	"	2	Seenadagam	1	1·96	0·37	"	2·33	"	1	8 7	3	5	1				
4	"	"	4	Perempoke	2	10·97	"	"	10·97	"	"	"	13	5	1				
5	1	"	6	Total	3	12·93	0·37	"	13·30	"	1	8 7	16	10	2				

Abstract Inam Register No 5 Rajam of Village — Inam Register of Badrachallam No 58 ~~in the~~ Register Palvoncha [illegible] Taluk [illegible] List

Register No 11

Inam of F [illegible] has been [illegible] [illegible] Seenadagam [illegible] are not entered in the [illegible]

Though a Rajam [illegible] village ~~[illegible]~~ No Inams [illegible] have been restored since F 1231

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Seenadagam Dry No 178 1-17-9 1·44
Seemmaram Punji 28 5/8 Dry
57 9/16 Ex

Dry No 181 1-29-9 1·74
Seemaram Punji 58 17/32 Dry
69 9/16 Ex

3-13-7
106 29/32 Dry
127 9/16 Ex

Dry No 67 0-5-7 0·13
Seemaram Punji 4 37/64 Dry
6 7/16 Ex

3-12-9 3·31
111 31/64 Dry
132 9/16 Ex

1-3-10
2-9-7
3-13-7
0-13-4
4-10-11

For the support of Seemmaraza Swamy at Badrachallam the [illegible] in perpetuity

Pt 1-2-11

Seemaam

Not known

In F 1228 No Remarks

In F 1231 Old Inam

Unknown

It appears in the survey account of F 1228 in the Rajam Jerwah acct of F 1230 and in the Register of F 1238

At the survey Pagani Salai Krishnaya

In F 1231 Pagani Salai Krishnaya

In F 1257 Enjoyer's name is not shown Inam entered

Badrachallam Seemmarazaswamy Pagani Mangamma

Old Inam

To be confirmed

Tax 1-2-11

3765

To the Swamy of the Deity

Confd

11/7/64

Fair Copied

Rates of assesst

		Wet
1st — 1-14-0	1st	6-4-0
2d — 1-8-0	2d	5-0-0
3d — 1-0-0	3d	3-12-0
4th — 0-12-0	4th	2-8-0
5th — 0-8-0	5th	1-14-0

(Whole villages)

Register 17
Sheet No 441

Revised old papers

Register of the Rayam Jody agrahar of Vimpadandram No. 54 in [illegible]
in the Oossoor Taluk Salem District

This is a Rayam Jody village —
The village is classed in regard to dry as 2d class; & the land is classed in regard to wet as 3d class — The soil is red [illegible] mixed & partly sandy. It is generally good —
The Acct available for the village is ~~the~~ the Register of F 1231 —

Approved & conf[illegible] as recommended. The Dep. Colr. [illegible] & sufficient reasons [illegible] the village with names of the 3 sharers [illegible] [illegible]

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Rayam Jody agrahar of Vimpadandram [illegible] No. 54 in the Bagalur [illegible] in the Oossoor Taluk.

Ayakat of F 1231.

Ayakat	[illegible]	10	
Exclusive of Dorumbok	105—95		
	235—03		312-4-9
Deduct Inam	3—96		3-12-11
Remaining circar	231—07		308-3-10

Particulars

Assessed 1st rate	Dry	121—76	121-7-8
	Wet	11—69	77-14-2
		133—45	203-7-10
2d rate	Dry	[illegible]—31	18—"
	Wet	7—31	86-12—"
		97—62	104-12—"
	Dry	212—07	109-9-8
	Wet	[illegible]	108-10-[illegible]
		231—07	308-5-10

Ayakat value.

No. 1 in Col 16 would not enfranchise or receive the T.C. unless the result of his appeal to the [illegible] is known — Vide Tah's Report dated 4th June 1866 No 44 —
The Tah. will inform No 1 that the result has been communicated to him by Endorsement upon his appeal & if he still refuses to receive the T.C. he will give it to Nos 2 & 3 —
T.K.
21/8/66

Rayam [illegible] 12 Pagodas [illegible] & 5 Rs per [illegible]

Rayam Jody
Tax Rs. 62-11-11
= hence as Charity

Rayam Jody

Sastra [illegible] Salivahana 1700 or Vilambi or A.D. 1776 by Poligar Chandappa Naik.

Original Sannad 787 in Teloogoo dated Salivahana 1700 or Vilambi by Poligar Chundappa Nayanangaru to Mahadeva Shastry son of Chandrasekhara Shastry & grandson to Subba Shastry granting the village of Vimpadandram & the tank in Vaddapalli with its ayakut Rayfree as [illegible] [illegible]

2d — A Sannad Tahid in Teloogoo dated Rudhirodgari or F 1112 by Poligar Veerabadra ~~Naik~~ to Mahadeva Shastry for the usual tax of 10 Pagodas

3d A Sannad dated of the Poligar Pabbian Mudde Veerabadra Nayaunda in Vibhava or F 1227 to Subbabhat Sadasivabhat & Mahadevabhat confirming the village on a tax of 10 Pagodas —

4th — A Tahid by Mr. Cockburn dated 22d December 1821 confirming the village [illegible] on a tax of 62-11-4

Mahadeva Shastry
6

Native [illegible] Brahmin

In F 1231 Subbabhat son
Old grantee

Bagalur.

Madhvir

16		17	18	19
Narayana Shastry	53	Brother's grandson ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]	Vimpadandram Do.	Enjoyed in equal shares
2 Mahadeva Shastry	58	[illegible]	Do. Do. Brother's [illegible]	in three places to produce
3 Subbramania Shastry	60	Mother's Brother's [illegible]	Do. Do.	& the greater part has been divided —

No 1 Does not enfranchise —



Note
The Sadar Acct of F 1231 there have been received [illegible] — On examination of the records it is found that the [illegible] of the grantee much earlier than the ~~Sannad~~ time when the Sannad produced by No 1 was [illegible] to — The Tahids of Rudhirodgari & Vibhava were also produced in the enquiry of 1231; as well as a Tahid of 1799 which was confirmed to them in the names of Subbabhat, Swarana Shastry & Mahadeva Shastry. The grant that the Sannad produced by No 1 is a very suspicious one.

According to the Register of F 1231 the village was granted 50 years previous to that Fasli to [illegible] & not for the [illegible]. It describes the ~~[illegible]~~ grantee ~~Inamdar~~ to be Mahadeva Shastry, & the Inamdar of F 1231 ~~as Subbabhat~~ as Subbabhat son of orig. grantee.
To be Confirmed —
Tax 62-11-11
Ds 1/8 { 29-" "
~~[illegible]~~
The Revised proposed is 297-5-8

T.D. No 2395

In this case No 1 Narayana Shastry states that Nos 2 & 3 are not entitled to any share in the village. He admits their enjoying the Inam from ~~a~~ long time, & Nos 2 & 3 produce Partition deed of Kalayukti; & Division list of [illegible]; & the subsequent partition deeds of ~~[illegible]~~ Nevalambi or F 1148 — as well as receipts ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 2 receipts of F 1180 ~~[illegible]~~ by the Tahsildar Ameer Khan — in the name of Subbavadhani
2 Do — Do Do Do in favour of Swarana Shastry
4 receipts of 1231 in the name of Swarana Shastry
6 Do of F 1148 in Do Do; also some receipts of F 1225/26 &c.

Narayana Shastry disputes the genuineness of the Partition deeds; & accounts for the fact of the receipts standing in the name of Swarana Shastry by stating that he & his father were away at Mysore, till F 1236. He further states that the village was originally granted to his father Mahadeva Shastry (B); & that 2 years before the grant, A, B & others divided their property — producing an old Kadjan deed document to that effect. The ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ Sannad points out [illegible] to B. but this document is alleged to be a spurious one by the other parties. As enjoyment in the names of all the 3 [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ as well as relationship we must confirm the Inam in [illegible] He has [illegible] objected to any ~~[illegible]~~ also acknowledges the enjoyment; but states that ~~[illegible]~~ he therefore objects to the title of Nos 2 & 3. The [illegible] Sannad & Partition deed [illegible] [illegible] should be decided by the Civil Courts. An authenticated copy of a Judgment [illegible] the Kistnagiri Moonsiff Court by Narayana Shastry in 1837 is produced, in which the enjoyment ~~is~~ ~~[illegible]~~ is described to be in 3 equal shares. ~~or partition~~ alone ~~[illegible]~~

In regard to the registry, Narayana Shastry claims it in his name ~~alone~~ As the leading ~~or~~ proprietor of the village. It appears that the collections were made & paid by Narayana Shastry till lately; but in his absence in the earlier years the [illegible] paid the Tax & granted receipts — A Tahid of the Poligar dated 28th June F 1263 ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] in which it is stated ~~[illegible]~~ that Narayana Shastry was [illegible] the Poligar commenced to [illegible] Rayam Jody & take receipts, but from 7 or 8 years the Circar Ameen ~~is~~ collecting from the 3 separately. The receipts F 1264 by the Circar Ameen in the names of [illegible] in the Tahsildar [illegible] all the 3 parties. & [illegible] [illegible] produced — [illegible]

Ex. Proceedings No 1204 of 1862 from No 1 — objecting to the issue in the names of Nos 2 & 3 alleging that they are not entitled to a share in the village
Rejected
4th [illegible]
W. [illegible]
Oggle

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years according to the Agrahardar's Return —

[illegible]	209-9-11
F 1267/70	209-9-11
Average	209-9-11

This is below the ~~Ayakat value~~ [illegible] by 99 Rs — The cause alleged is that [illegible] of the land is out of repair — This is the fault of the Agrahardars — The whole land can be put under cultivation — the greater part of the Dry — Land [illegible] Assume the Ayakat value as the ~~[illegible]~~ revenue value of the village — Rs. 308 Rs — In Mr. Cockburn's statement of the village Ayakat ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] appended to the Sannad, is 208 Rs — The rates of assesst are [illegible] compared with the character of the Soil — In F 1230 only 10 acres [illegible] was under cultivation ~~[illegible]~~ but the rest available for cultivation [illegible] [illegible] Ayakat
Ayakat value 308-3-10
[illegible]

Fair Copied