Register No. 2[illegible] Sheet No. 61[illegible] Taluk [illegible] Village of Undiga [illegible] in the [illegible] Pargana in the Upper Taluk Salem District

There is a Rayaum [illegible] village — the [illegible] is [illegible]
An old [illegible] — the soil is pretty good — the assessment is [illegible]
The [illegible] commence with the Register of [illegible]

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Personal	The Azabat	Acct of Fusly 1242 is not forthcoming. The Azabat is ordered according to the [illegible]				For [illegible]	[illegible] 18-1-2	[illegible] 6	[illegible]	The sunnud [illegible] A Takid of the Collector dated [illegible] December 1821 confirming the village in the [illegible]	[illegible] Chintra [illegible]	The Fusly [illegible]	In the Register of Fusly 1231 Varadachy [illegible]	Kallapully — Kallanchakravarti				The 1/6 [illegible] share	An Inam is an old grant, apparently as [illegible] in the Register of Fusly 1214 [illegible] the Collector in Fusli — The [illegible]	[illegible]
		72.00 / 4.27													Venkata Varada [illegible] 45					
		76.27 / 9.55		86-6-10											2 Varada [illegible] 22					
		62.45 / 4.27		86-6-10 / 42-1-5											3 [illegible] 48					
		66.72		28-8-3						Rent [illegible] 18-1-2					4 Ragunatha [illegible] 38					
															5 Chakravarti [illegible] 22					

½ Shall + ¼ [illegible] — ¼ share

6 Kallapully — Kallanchakravarti — Venkatammal 46

7 [illegible] 38

Tho. Cuff [illegible]

Proposed value 114 Rs
[illegible] 18-1-2
[illegible] 96 Rs
[illegible] 6 Rs
[illegible] 6 12 Rs
[illegible] Total 102 [illegible]
36 [illegible]

[illegible] Tenancy [illegible] 18 (1?) 1855

The actual lands are not divided — the produce & emoluments are divided according to shares.

The shares are thus —

The 1/6 enjoyed [illegible] & ⅛ acre of Venkatamma [illegible] — the [illegible] he was not aware of such [illegible] from the [illegible] of the [illegible]

The [illegible] is enjoyed by all proprietors.

Their original share [illegible] & [illegible] belonged to Venkata [illegible] of Fusly 1231 but [illegible] to [illegible] share for other [illegible] by a [illegible]

The other ½ [illegible] by [illegible] as explained.

Contract granted to Gandarkotta Raganachary 1st December 1863

[illegible]

Venkatammal [illegible]

The woman states that she never adopted him — that she was a girl of 10 years when her husband died, that therefore no permission could have been granted to her by her husband for any adoption; that after she became of age, she brought [illegible] as a son [illegible] [illegible] to her [illegible] & is now living separately — that the property [illegible] to her [illegible] made to her [illegible] & not in the [illegible] as he was never adopted, & if at all adopted or brought the adoption could not [illegible]

7 Cur. 1855

1 Dec 62

[illegible] produces a decree of the Pandit Sadr Amin's Court in 1832 in a [illegible] between Venkatamma & a [illegible] as the proper [illegible] In the decree, the Sadr Amin seems to [illegible] Venkatamma & expressed an opinion that from Venkatamma's [illegible] to [illegible] she [illegible] to her adopted son, whom it would appear she had been [illegible] before the Pandit Sadr Amin having adopted — There is no doubt [illegible] in [illegible] of the facts of the adoption [illegible] — as the adoption was not quite [illegible]

Venkatamma's brother's son Ragava Chary produces a [illegible] by [illegible] the share of Venkatamma, executed by Venkatamma & her brother Varada Chary [illegible] to [illegible] adopted son. It appears to be [illegible] in the enjoyment of Venkatamma [illegible] Decree of 1832 [illegible] executed [illegible] when the Pandit Sadr Amin's Decree of 1832 [illegible] [illegible] could be valid [illegible]

App. [illegible] Dec 1862 [illegible] from the decision [illegible] Deputy Collector

[illegible] to the [illegible] by the Department [illegible] is disputed by [illegible] [illegible] which [illegible]