Register 69

Register 69

Register 69
Voter No 63

Perumal Halli village
Kodur Polak Salem District



Register No 69

Register No 69 of Inams in the village of Perumal in the Taluk of Cossoor Salem District.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Perumal	Dry No 237 Bojjimanal Punjah Wet No 277 Addle Punjah	Garden: 47½ - 1 - 41 / 22½ - 66 / 69⅝ 7-07				2-10-2 / 4-1-6 / 6-11-8	Batavarte Inam granted for subsistence	Saree Inam Estate	Heirs Hitary	Land to have been granted by Govt. in the Fasli 1209 of the Inglish Date of grant unknown.	Ni Tunnad Inam to be entered in the accounts	Veneappen	In the accounts of Fasli 1212 Gopalan son of original grantee. He left two sons Venkata Krishnan & Venkata Krishnan	In the accounts of Fasli 1232 Gopalan Do. Age 80. Grant Do. 1268 his brother Do. Age 38. Age 50.	No I Ramiah Age 65 No II Do. Age 30. Grant Do. No III Venkata Krishnan Age 38. No IV Venkata Krishnan Age 50	Do. Benjamy Kothoo	Do. Benicke	No I Wife — 1 No II Children 1 Son — 1 Daughter — 1 3 No III Mother — 1 Wife — 1 Sons — 3 Daughters 1 6 No IV Wife — 1 Daughters 5 6 No V Sons — 2 Daughters 3 5 No VI Wife — 1 Son — 1 Daughters — 2 Brothers — 2 Do minors — 2 8	The Inam is of an older date than 60 years and is proved to have been in the continuous enjoyment of the descendants of the original grantee. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the parties in the 16th Column at ½ Quit Rent Rs — 11 — Annas fourteen which has been agreed to. The Inam is under attachment on account of the holders having failed to appear before the Inam Dy Collector during the Inam enquiry but having subsequently appeared within the prescribed time before the Fasildar it is recommended that the Attachment be released.	Confirmed on a quit rent of Annas 14. ½ to be released from attachment. W.W. Price 25/2/69. 1-4 Rs 0-14-0 Written to Coll. to release March 1869 S. D. No 4345

Case No 3
Personal
Register 69
Sheet No 119

Salem Sub Collector's Office
Cossoor 10th October 1868.
C. Muthusamy Mudali
No 26.

Recd 4/2/69

Corrections done on the part
J. Thackeray
Esq

Confirmed
W. Price Esq
Inam Commissioner

Fred Price
Ag Sub Collector

Class, Extent and Value of Land.					Description, Tenure and Boundaries in respect of the Land.						and Remarks.										
			Extent.				Tenure.								Particulars regarding Present Owner. If the Farm has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each shares should be entered in these columns.						
No. of case in Register, Division, Hobli, Village.	General Name by which the Village is known.	Survey number and name of Hobli comprised in the grant.	Land Measure.	English measure.	Survey Assessment.	Revised, or grand Assessment.	Description of Farm.	Whether free, &c., service, &c.	Hereditary or renewable.	By whom granted and at what point.	Written Instruments in support of the claim. Original Sanad, all Miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession.	Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the Register prepared under Regulation XX. of 1802, or in the permanent settlement accounts; and relationship of such person to original grantee.	Name entered in the Survey, or in any subsequent accounts and relationship of such person to predecessors.	Name and age.	Place of Residence.	Relation to original grantee or aforesaid Registered Holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unencumbered with the original holdern, by what means has obtained, and in what year.	REMARKS. An abstract of the Government order in every case of lapse already reported upon to be entered in this column. Deputy Collector's opinion and recommendation.	Date, Comment of the Inam Commissioner on his
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
7.	Inam Agrahara No 212		1-31-0	1 91		1-31-0	Per the Inspector Inam reddi Sent at Burmakalli the Inam is in good order and repairs are made by Parabandam dar	10 Pagodas	Perpetual	Not known	Not produced It appears in all the accounts from Sanad 1209 as Inam	Infault 1210 Sunnam reddi to Appaiya	Infault 1232 Under Lingappa Appaiya Mudigappa In P1240 Lingappa purchased Infault 1249 Ramanaikudi	Hoofan Hampe Ramakkal Age 62	Inam	3 daughter and one grandson	(Cotton) whose father Appaiya mortgaged of P 1232. he produces a deed of gift on common paper dated 1239 Phalk 1250 by Ramayya and Linappa sons of Appaiya of Phalk 1232 to Narasambhat adopted father of Ramabhat.	It forfeited the Inam is described to have been sold to Lingappa for 110 Rupees to defrayed his Inam had been long under mortgage with the family of Lingappa	To be confirmed Inam per the usual condition of service — To the same Khata — say a son of Lingappa of Phalk 1249 Khata the Inam never given in gift it seems that, but as Inam that was mortgage for in these times, the Inam was allowed in his enjoyment. It will be Under the Inam was never purchased by his father Lingappa never living that other been long ago obtained by mortgage to produce a deed of mortgage dated 1793 a Purchase by Sunnaiah to Appaiya	Confirmed (Signed) J. Stokes Lpt 4/56 22nd October 1862 J. B. No 65

Copy
Register'd
Checked 1/57

Burmakalli village
Hosma Valuk

(Cotton)
mortgagee the have and Inam for 16 bags dar and bounding himself to redeem the property at any time by paying the mortgage sum and one rupee of interest that ought be incurred. I have — the date in one hand blank through it some one else unexplained, to produce a blank stamped paper which is described by a resident of the country to have been purchased for one anthony the hand of Sale for the bank he produces some witness of expense she made by her for the to prove that the gift some years made Stokes the and I can see better reasons to each other — Lingappa and his brother Some adopt the gift and evidence his bond affections very close for family — the present enjoyer produces a deed of a Subsistence in fault 1247 demanding him for the repairs he states that the gift was not verbally made and in fault 1253 the deed of gift was executed in —— year January yet and his uncle Sons divided the property and not the Sons worked the extension of the Inam as yet unmade. The original formerly is burning and they have never received — I suppose therefore Confirm the Inam in the name of Ramabhat. Ramayya may have evidence in the Courts, of to have any claim to prefer the original.

(Signed)
Assistant

Witness