Regr. No. 30
Sheet No. ~~650~~ 644

(Denkanikota Div.
Agraharam)

No. 30 Register of Inams in the village of Chinnamathagondahalli in the Mathagondahalli Jaghir of the Hosoor Taluq, Salem District

Register No. 30

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11 | sonal Dry to Anchinal Punjo - | | Gunthas<br>128¼ | Ac. Cents<br>3-81 | „ | Rs A P<br>3-12-6 | Bhatavasti | Jodi „ „ 8 payable to the jagirdar | Hereditary | granted by Poligar Chandrasa Narainaidu year not known - | No sunnud - It appears in all accounts from fasli 1212 as Inam - | grantee Sambavathani - | In fasli 1212 in Sambavathani | In fasli 1249 Sambavathani's son Narasambhutter - In the account of fasli 1257 it is stated that Sambavathani was dead & that it was then in the enjoyment of the mortgagee Venkataramanayya - | 1 Remains Subba bhutter aged 62 - | Do Hosoor | Grandson of Sambavathani the original registered holder | 1 wife<br>1 son<br>1 daughter in law<br>1 grandson<br>1 granddaughter<br>5 | „ | The Inam being of an older date than 50 years it is recommended that it be confirmed to the present holder, subject to a quit rent of Rs „ 8 „ which he has agreed to -<br>The Inam was attached on 9th February 1865 but the Inamdar appeared before the Tahsildar on 8th July 1865. It is therefore recommended that the attachment be withdrawn | Confirmed on a Quit Rent of 8 Annas.<br>J W Brain<br>14.12.67 |

Case No. 11 as per Original Register

4

8 — TDO

T. G.D. No.
4349

The Collector supports the Sub Collector's recommendation attachment will at once be withdrawn.

P. B. Pelham
11th November 1867 Collector

Fredk Rice
Asst. Sub Collector

Enclosures 7

Entd
T. A. W.
14/11/67

Camp Sub Collector's Office
Hosoor 21 October 1867

Compd.
[illegible]
H. S. C.

Fair copied

Filed

Corrections none in this page
G. Thacomey
Ex.

W. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

Regr No. 30
Sheet No. 53

The Jagirdar appeals & requests the Commissioner's consideration on the following points..

| | | Remarks of the Assistant. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | That the agreed extent & value given by the Collector for the Villages through the Shirastedar according to their ajamayish is not correct – that one has been sent by the Deputy [illegible] & that the latter one should be adopted in the settlement – ~~they~~ | This cannot be done; as the Jagirdar's own ajamayish of the Village cannot be acted upon as an authority against that of the Survey accounts.– | Approved WRS |
| 2 | The Collector charged 268 Rs for land (acres 524) entered as immemorial waste & left unassessed in the Survey accounts – The value of this land, the Jagirdars say, ought not be taken into calculation at all. | This land might be fit for cultivation or pasturage – The asst. can however be reduced to R200.– | This deduction may be made. WRS |
| 3 | That the average of 10 years collections should be taken for determining the value of the Village instead of the average of 5 years, the Jagirdars as the average of 10 years only was taken when the Jagir was originally granted.– | There is no reason for adopting the average of 10 years. The 5 years average only has been generally adopted throughout the Dist. & is nearer the present value of the Village. | Approved. The usual practice of the Dept. is the average of 5 years WRS |
| 4 | That the Village servants now enjoy a ready money allowance at a certain percentage on the revenue, & that it should be deducted from the Beriz as has been done when the villages were granted as Jagirs.— | A deduction of Rs 22 has been already allowed – but according to the strict calculation on the average of the last 5 years it amounts to Rs 363 which will be allowed as these are authorized remissions on acct of the Village Establishment.– | Approved WRS |
| 5 | That the repairs of the Tanks are now done by the Govt., & that they will be continued to be so done till the terms of the grant expire. & that the [illegible] amt. of repairs should be deducted from their quit rent; & not from the assets of the valuation of the Villages. | The request can be fairly complied with. The Collr's letter dated 30th Sept 1864 shews that the amt. expended by Govt. on acct of Marammat has been 2419 Rs within the last 20 years – or for the date of the grant – The average is 59 Rs 3/4th of wh. can be ~~[illegible]~~ deducted from the QR – | This is fair – WRS |
| 6 | That in addition to the Village Karnum, Mayagar, & Toti for whom there are authorized allowances, they entertain a certain establishment for the management of the Villages, their pay amounting to Rs 240, & that this amt. also should be deducted from the valuation of the Villages.– | ~~Rs~~ 5 per cent on the Beriz has been already allowed – no further allowance seems to be called for | Approved WRS |
| 7 | That they have applied to the Collr for copies of certain documents to prove that they possess reversionary right to said [illegible] in the Villages, & that [illegible] those documents [illegible] sufficient evidence to prove their | This will be done if the necessary documents are produced within a reasonable time [illegible] 2 mon | Appd |

42R

the Lose should be apportioned on the Villages engaged by their relatives ~~a [illegible]~~ in proportion to the Beriz of those Villages, for which an account has been sent for through the Tahsildar.—

That on a certain extent of waste, being an amt of 800 Rs the Collector 1/8th of the waste amt as grazing tax, & that as there are no chances of that land being brought under cultivation because the land will be required for pasture, no further amt may be added ~~as waste~~ to the valuation on account of the waste.—

This will be done accordingly so far as the Quit rent is concerned— But the [illegible] as it is being paid on Villages only, this will be left as it is.—

Approved — W.W.

In addition to the 1/8th they ~~—~~ already get, 1/4th of it will be added to the valuation on account of prospective improvements, & the increasing value of pasturage land— no further indulgence is called for.

Approved

Deshastry the senior Asst with whom ~~[illegible]~~ I have consulted agrees with me in my remarks above given.—

P. Chentsal Rao
7/1/65

According to the above calculations, the Quit Rent amounts to Rupees 1053 as shown below.

Approved & confirmed W.W.
19/1/65

Ayacut value — 6225
Beriz of 5 years — 4433
Remr — 1792

1/4 of the above waste, added to the amt of 5 years amounts to Rs 4881

Deduct for Collector's allowances, as ded in para 4 } 303
Deduct on acct of Maramut expenses in para 6 } 220
Total 523

Remr 4358
Deduct Jodi 4348
Quit rent 1/4 including Jodi Rs 1097

Deduct on acct ... 34

433
448
4881

Extract

| Names of the Villages | Beriz of 5 yrs | Add 1/4 of the waste | Total | Deduct on acct of expenses | Remr | Jodi | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ~~5 years Beriz~~ of the 9 Villages | 3943 | 352 | 4295 | 465 | 3830 | 10 | 382 |
| ~~5 years Beriz~~ for T. Ichapuram | 362 | 60 | 422 | 45 | 377 | | 377 |
| ~~Do~~ of Bhadrapalli | 128 | 36 | 164 | 13 | 151 | | 151 |
| | 4433 | 448 | 4881 | 523 | 4358 | | 4348 |

Extract granted to Chetambaraiya the party mentioned in Renga Charlu's Remarks— 5-6-69

| | Combined Q.R. 1/4 | Deduct Maramut expenses | Remr | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| x | 965 | 4 | 921 | |
| x | 94 | " | 94 | In the Village the tank is repaired by Dasabandam |
| | 38 | | 38 | In the [illegible] is a tank, [illegible] from the [illegible] the Jagir— The wet land is cultivated [illegible] wells repaired by the owners— [illegible] |
| | 1097 | | 1053. | |

Inam Register of the Jaghir of Madgondapalli No. [illegible] of the [illegible] Division of the Oossoor Taluk in [illegible]

[illegible] No. 2/30

Register No. 30
Sheet No. 362

This is a Jaghir, consisting of 11 villages granted by the English Govt. of Madras in 7030 in appreciation of the services of Nayappaya head Sheristadar

The soil is generally poor & water scarce

In regard to the repairs of the tanks, it is alleged that the Govt. have undertaken to repair the tanks. But the correspondence before me shows that if any permanent settlement ~~[illegible]~~ is to be made the fact should be ascertained from the Revenue authorities, & the ~~few~~ circumstances only ~~[illegible] [illegible]~~ brought into the settlement [illegible]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Present

Total Ayakut as per Survey

Total Ayakut — 5105·84
Deduct Poramboke — 737·57
Net Remaining — 4368·27
Deduct Inam — 327·01 — 665-5-9
Net Remaining 4041·26
Add Land brought under cultivation from Poramboke 138·45
Total — 4179·71

Cumbuloor

Cultivated [illegible] in 1231
Dry — 3014·05 — 4143-12-8
Wet — 256·3[illegible] — 1260-10-7
Total — 3270·31 — 5404-7-5

Oodagi

Dry — 335·69 — 443-6-4
Wet — 32·84 — 177-9-11
Total — 368·53 — 621-0-3
Total Dry — 3349·74 — 4587-3-0
Total Wet — 289·10 — 1438-4-10
Grand Total — 3638·84 — ~~[illegible]~~ 6025-7-10
[illegible] — 531·[illegible] — 26[illegible]
[illegible] 0·95 — [illegible]
Total — 535·[illegible] / 35·87 — 26[illegible]
Total Dry — 3884·[illegible] 66 — ~~[illegible]~~ 4885-1-
Total Wet — 290·0[illegible] 5 — ~~1438-4-10~~ 1438-12-10
— 6293-13-10
Total Ayakut Value — 4174·71 — 7087-13-10

charge at ½ per acre on average land in [illegible] waste

Total present Ayakut value

4687 3 [illegible]
1438 [illegible] 8
6125-7 8
6287
1740

For Public Service

Jaghir

For 3 lives from the date of grant: if reckoning the age of the grantee as one life

Grant made by the Government for having performed valuable services in the Revenue Dept. 3rd May 1821 or 7030

On original Sunnud [illegible]
[illegible] Collector of Salem
[illegible] Jaghir
3 lives
performed valuable services
Government from 7030 included in the following
Madgondapalli — 12 — 2
[illegible] Madgondapalli — 45 — 13 — 11
[illegible]palli — 5 — 13 — 2
[illegible] Madgondapalli — 12 — 2
[illegible]palem — 4 — 14 — 10
[illegible] palli — 254 — 2 — 3
[illegible] — 229 — 0 — 1
[illegible] [illegible] palli — 52 — 9 — 11
[illegible] — 46 — 11 — 4
[illegible] — 386 — 12 — 2
[illegible]palli — 154 — 10 — 7
Total 11 Villages — 3793 — 11 — 7
dated 3rd May 1821 or 7030

On 7030
Sheristadar
Nayappaya

Madgondapalli
[illegible] [illegible] Madanam
Venkataramanaya son 50

1
Now by Brothers [illegible]

Vakil [illegible]
[illegible] of Madgondapalli

This is a Jaghir granted to Nayappaya the head Sheristadar of the value [illegible] for 3 lives including himself — Nayappaya died, & his son Venkataramanaya now represents — According to the grant subject to interpretation of the Hindu Law, the tenure is to be continued to the present enjoyer & to his next male heir. The property becomes under the rules subject to ¼ Q.R. — a most advantageous settlement for the Inamdar. But Venkataramaya the son so little appreciated an offer of permanency for a terminable tenure, that they are determined to enjoy the Jaghir on the present tenure. Their argument is that the payment of the Q.R. annually [illegible] be a loss to them for they are [illegible] to make such capital of the Jaghir [illegible] during their tenancy that they may derive an interest almost equal to the value of the Jaghir. But this is imaginary & unreasonable. In fact it is he has no reasons to state. He & his [illegible] kept off giving a decided answer wavered ~~[illegible]~~ between the ~~[illegible]~~ horns of Dilemma as they supposed, temporized, appeared ~~[illegible]~~ often but retired for further consideration; & at last sent in a Vakil to state that they would be willing ~~[illegible]~~ to any reduction, if ⅛ Q.R. should be charged — & that they cannot accept any terms on ¼ Q.R. — As their request is avaricious, & cannot be complied with under the rules, I would confirm the Jaghir under the spirit of the grant — limiting the tenure ~~for~~ during the lives of the present enjoyer & his next ~~male~~ heir ~~male~~.

The Ayakut value of the Jaghir is Rs. 6087-13-10. The Sunnud was granted — calculating the Beriz at an average of 10 years actual cultivation & the value of the Jaghir according to the grant was Rs. 3793-11-7. But it will be found in the case of Karahali — another Jaghir — the result of which has been already [illegible] that the grant was [illegible] true on the Ayakut value — this circumstance formed the main difficulty with the Karahali Jaghirdar to come to any settlement on the new principles. [illegible] cannot take the average ~~[illegible]~~ of [illegible] 7030 as the [illegible] for the Madgondapalli Jaghir — we cannot [illegible] the [illegible] basis of permanent settlement in the face of the Jamabandi [illegible] showing [illegible] Beriz of the Jaghir a [illegible] 25135 [illegible] from [illegible] I would [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] for [illegible] [illegible]

Permanent Deposit to Beriz at 5254 — after deducting [illegible] the expenses, & cost of establishment & including [illegible] Sunday [illegible] [illegible] the settlement would be as follows:

Jodi 10 [illegible]
Q.R. ¼ 1311
Together 1321
Do. [illegible]
[illegible]

Vakil [illegible] Narraya

Total Cost on the present tenure

[illegible]
16/1/66

Fair Copied

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 5 years — as per Karnam's Accts.

| Fusli year | Dry | Wet | Total | Beriz | Deduct Peishcush | Net Remaining | Extra Income | Total | Deduct Village Establishment | Net Remaining |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | 2770·95 | 225·85 | 2994·80 | 4877 | 3 696-6-5 | 4130-11-10 | 88-7-7 | 4219-3-5 | 220-7-1 | 3998-12-4 |
| [illegible] | 2832·52 | 231·12 | 3063·74 | 4959 | 1 712-9-6 | 4246-11-7 | 87-11-7 | 4334-10-2 | 222-15-10 | 4111-10-4 |
| [illegible] | 2955·03 | 247·32 | 3200·65 | 5712 | 5 848-9-7 | 4368-10-11 | 83-14-6 | 4452-9-5 | 225-13-2 | 4266-12-3 |
| [illegible] | 2758·04 | 251·62 | 3229·66 | [illegible] | [illegible] 831-13-1 | 4440-9-4 | 84-3-6 | 4524-12-[illegible] | 227-7-[illegible] | 4297-5-6 |
| [illegible] | [illegible]·05 | 251 | 3233·70 | 5464 | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | 4433-11-1 | 229-10-[illegible] | 4204-0-5 |
| | | | [illegible] | | | | | | | 21038-8-10 |

7 8 Nos. 4031
4032
& 4033

The Jaghirdar objects to the Deputy Collector's valuation of the village upon the whole Byarao — The cultivation of Fusly 1271 is given as 5600 Rs, but the Jummabundy net beriz of the year is given as only Rs 4400. The difference is the remission allowed on Polleywaste the full assessment of which is included in the Deputy Collector's cultivation beriz — The Jaghirdar wishes that the actual average collections of the last 10 years should be taken, but the average of the past 5 years alone would seem to be a ~~better~~ standard for permanent valuation — This amounts to Rs ~~4205~~ 4210 ~~[illegible]~~

~~Rs 4205~~

To this have to be added on the one hand something for prospective improvements which at 1/4th of the difference between the ayacut and average beriz amounts to Rs 400 — on the other hand some 5 percent for tank repairs and 5 percent for establishment charges has to be deducted which will be about 300 Rupees So that on the whole I beg to recommend that the value of the Jaghire for our present purpose may be taken at the average beriz of the past 5 years or Rs ~~4225~~ 4210 on which quit rent upon which remains the existing Jodi of Rs 10 is Rs ~~1054~~ 1050 or Rs ~~1064~~ 1060 inclusive of Jodi

The Jaghirdar claims with reference to the terms of the grant that the cost of tank repairs which has been ~~hitherto~~ incurred by Govt should be deducted from his quit rent in the event of the repairs devolving upon him as they must when the Jaghire is permanently enfranchised and ~~then~~ with reference to this a reference has been made to the Collector — But it is seen from the correspondence connected with this grant that the Govt undertook the repairs not as a part of the condition of the tenure, but simply for the purpose of securing the tanks from neglect ~~[illegible]~~ during the temporary tenure of the Jaghire — The Jaghirdars ~~[illegible]~~ would [illegible] seem to me to have any right to have the cost deducted from the quit rent, but allowance is made for it as above in the valuation of the village.

~~The Jaghirdars have also the reversionary right to all the Inams in the Jaghire and also collect the existing Jodi thereon. They have therefore a right to the quit rent imposed on them of the former as well as to the Jodi and in the event of enfranchisement 1/4th will be chargeable upon these assets also — ~~In small~~ others like these the arrangements are usually made for the collection of the Jodi and quit rent upon personal and charitable grants directly by Govt and if this is done, it will be necessary to remit to 3/4 the other items of the Jaghirdars assets from his quit rent upon the village — The Jodi however having been already included in the average collections above given, the remission to be made in the quit rent under this item will be the whole Jodi plus threefourths of the additional quit rent.~~

The existing Jodi will continue to be paid as usual to the Jaghirdars — They further claim the reversionary right in all the Inams in the Jaghire — From the Sanad however there appear to be reserved requisite Inams at the date of the grant being alone made over to the Jaghirdars.

The Jaghirdar states that out of his 11 villages, Mullikarra is enjoyed by his collaterals Chedumbaraya and Venkatambbaya and Budapulle by Streecuntaya and requests that separate title deeds may be issued to them for these villages to which they also agree. The Ayacut and past and present Beriz of these villages as compared with the whole grant are as follows

| | Ayacut | Beriz of the present | Beriz of past 5 years |
|---|---|---|---|
| Whole grant | [illegible] | 3799 | 4210 |
| XI Mullikarra | [illegible] | 306 | 341 |
| Budapulle | [illegible] | 151 | 127 |

The proportion of quit rent on Mullikarra whether calculated on the past or present beriz will be Rs 86, and on Budapulle it is Rs 31 in one case and 43 in the other the average of both these viz Rs 37 is adopted — The remainder of the quit rent viz Rs 927 will be borne by the holder of the other villages on enfranchisement [illegible]

X ascertain particulars thro' Dy Collr

HC

Fair Copied

4318
318
2715
1085
125
960
1060

XX
20
Mullikarra 87
Budapulle 38
Aregrant 960

HC

Jahagir Madhwanahalli

Inam Register of Madhwanahalli – No 1 – Jahagir in the [illegible] of the [illegible] Taluka

This is Jahagir village [illegible] commenced [illegible] Register [illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dy. No 1 | 5 22 11<br>187 3/32 | 5·56 | 12 7 3 | [illegible] | 2 15 5 | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | La [illegible] | La [illegible] | Madhwanahalli | Whole Inam |
| Dy. No 5 | 2 1 11<br>72 7/8 | 2·17 | 3 2 2 | of [illegible] at Madhwanahalli [illegible] | | | | | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] 23 of [illegible] | Jodi 2-15-5 |
| Dy. No 3 | 0 11 14<br>10 5 | 0·30 | 0 7 1 | | | | | | | La [illegible] | | [illegible] |
| Total 3 fields | 8 7 2 | 8·03 | 11 2 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| Dy. No 1 | 0 7 1<br>7 5/8 | 0·22 | 0 13 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total 4 fields | 8 11 6<br>277 19/32 | 8·25 | 11 15 11 | | | | | | | | | 31/3/13 |

3740

6

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dy. No 4 | 4 18 10<br>145 7/8 | 4·33 | 8 2 0 | [illegible] | [illegible]<br>0 14 6 | D | D | [illegible] | La [illegible] | La [illegible] | Madhwanahalli<br>[illegible] | [illegible] 51 | [illegible] | Whole Inam |
| Dy. No 6 | 3 18 9<br>111 7/16 | 3·26 | 5 2 8 | | | | | | | | | | | Jodi 16-6 |
| Dy. No 1 | 1 26 10<br>56 5 | 1·67 | 2 6 10 | | | | | | | | | | | [illegible] 23 |
| Dy. No 7 | 1 2 14<br>36 5 | 1·06 | 1 9 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dy. No 8 | 1 13 13 | 1·35 | 2 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dy. No 9 | 0 10 7<br>8 7/16 | 0·25 | 0 3 11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dy. No 10 | 0 31 3<br>31 1/4 | 0·98 | 2 1 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total 6 fields | 13 2 12 | 13·07 | 21 10 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dy. No 2 | 0 11 4<br>12 5 | 0·35 | 1 5 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dy. No 3 | 0 21 3<br>18 | 0·63 | 2 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total 2 fields | 0 35 11<br>30 | 0·88 | 3 5 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total 8 fields | 13 38 7<br>469 1/2 | 13·95 | 24 15 10 | | | | | | | | | | | 31/3/13 |

Reg No 30
Sheet No 365

3741

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dy. No 11 | 4 28 4<br>168 3/32 | 4·70 | 6 7 10 | D | [illegible] | D | D | [illegible] | La [illegible] | La [illegible] | Madhwanahalli<br>Daughter | | Whole Inam |
| Dy. No 12 | 3 3 3<br>103 1/2 | 3·08 | 4 9 9 | | | | | | | | 1 [illegible] 30 | Grandson | wife 1<br>brother 1 |
| Dy. No 13 | 1 15 0<br>46 1/4 | 1·38 | 2 0 11 | | | | | | | | 2 [illegible] 25 | Grandson | wife 1 |
| Dy. No 14 | 0 4 12<br>4 5 | 0·12 | 0 4 0 | | | | | | | | 3 [illegible] 18 | Grandson | Unmarried |
| Total 4 fields | 9 11 3<br>311 29/32 | 9·28 | 13 1 6 | | | | | | | | | | Family undivided |
| Dy. No 2 | 0 3 11 | 0·09 | 0 3 9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dy. No 6 | 0 4 7<br>3 23/32 | 0·11 | 0 4 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total 2 fields | 0 8 2 | 0·20 | 0 8 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total 6 fields | 9 19 5<br>318 3/4 | 9·48 | 13 14 8 | | | | | | | | | | |

D 30·8
1 30
31 68

55-14-5

3-11-5

3742

Jan
Copied

[illegible] of Madjendapalli [illegible] 1 to [illegible] in the [illegible]

Reg. Nr 30

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible]<br>Gm | Personal<br>~~[illegible]~~<br>Punji | Dy No 15 | 2 24 12<br>87 3/4 | 2·12 | 3 14 3 | Mala Zanli | Sarv free | Not [illegible] | Sarv Maniam | Devadayam<br>Offerings<br>in aid to<br>[illegible]<br>[illegible]<br>[illegible] 2 | Do 1712<br>Ammalapur<br>Venkatapu Raya | Do 1749<br>Venkateswara dasu<br>Subbaya Brother dasu<br>Narasaya Brother dasu<br>Do 1857<br>Venkateswara<br>Subbaya<br>Narasaya<br>Brother | Madjendapalli<br>Marasur<br>Venkateswara 40 | grandson | Wife 1<br>Daughters 2<br>Brothers 2 | Venkateswaraya's brother Venkatagirisaya's son he alleges that he enjoys the whole himself with his brother & that Subbaya of 1857 has gone astray some 20 years ago unmarried & that Narasaya has got for his share lands elsewhere & he produces no documents 29/5/63 | To be Conf<br>Rs 1/8 2 · 8 · 0<br>[illegible] | T.D.n<br>3743 | Cert. [illegible]<br>617th<br>728 |
| [illegible] vu<br>Gm | Personal<br>Kalvanamanam Punji<br>Dinna Punji<br>Nde Punji | Dy No 16<br>Dy No 17<br>Dy No 18 | 11 28 3/4<br>894<br>1 9 7<br>21 1/4<br>0 11 11<br>1/4 | 11·71<br>1·22<br>0·45 | 17 8 0<br>1 3 10<br>0 10 3 | Do | Sub<br>1 9 9 | Do | Do | Do Devadayam | Do 1712<br>Kalleppaya<br>Subbaya | Do 1749<br>Narasappa dasu<br>Narasappa Brother dasu<br>Do 1857<br>Venkateswara<br>Pallaya<br>Appu<br>Narasappa<br>Brother dasu<br>Narasappa<br>Venkappa<br>Venkata Raya | Mallapur<br>Marasur<br>1 Narasappa 30<br>Subbaraya<br>2 Venkateswara 50<br>3 Lakshmaya 50<br>Madjendapalli<br>4 Venkateswara 45 | Brother<br>Grandson<br>Do<br>Do<br>Grandson | Wife 1<br>Son 1<br>Brothers 2<br>Brothers' wives 1<br>Wife 1<br>Brothers 3<br>Brothers 2<br>Wife 1 | Sub 1 to 3<br>enjoy 1/2 share<br>& No 4<br>1/2 share<br>31/3/63 | To be Conf<br>Sub 1 - 9 - 9<br>Rs 1/8 23 - 4 - 0<br>Total Rs 4-12-0<br>[illegible] | T.D.n<br>3744 | Cert. [illegible]<br>617th<br>728 |
| | Total 3 fields | 13 14 11<br>449 1/4 | 13·38 | 19 6 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pallu Rau Punji<br>Narasanna Khani Punji | Sub No 6<br>Sub No 7 | 1 34 15<br>62 31/32<br>0 31 3<br>26 3/16 | 1·87<br>0·78 | 4 10 8<br>2 14 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2 fields | 2 26 2<br>89 3/16 | 2·15 | 7 9 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total 5 fields | 16 0 12<br>538 7/32 | 15·13 | 26 15 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| [illegible]<br>Gm | Personal<br>Kotikalu Rani Punji | Dy No 19 | 2 26 8<br>93 15/16 | 2·91 | 4 5 10 | Do | Sarv free | Do | Do | Devadayam<br>Do | Do 1712<br>Venkatapati Raya<br>Chinnappa | Remark in 1749<br>Narasu Saranda Devi Venkata-... his name Pottu of [illegible] deed his Daughter's son Ramakrishna son Subbaya was at Madjendapalli he sold the whole land to Pittaya for 7 Pagodas & 5 Fanams in Bramadi - | Do 1749<br>Lakshmaya<br>Brother<br>Do 1857<br>Pittaya<br>Brother<br>Remark -<br>Narasaya Brother of [illegible] Subbaya sold it to Venkata Rao Pittaya in Bramadi for [illegible] Pagodas & 8 Fanams & his [illegible] it to Narasaya [illegible] for 30 Rs. in Subba Rao's [illegible] | Madjendapalli<br>Avadhanam<br>Lakshmaya's son<br>Ramabhat 34 - | Purchaser's son | Brother all | Produces a deed of sale on stamped paper dated Pramadi or 1819 by one Madjendapalli Ramaya's son Subbaya to Venkata Lakshmaya selling by field 5 times land called [illegible] Hola to the west of the Cournamid bank - he [illegible] to land as [illegible] in circumfield. [illegible] explained as [illegible] 29/5/63 | Subbaya deed 6 years. His son Ramabhat enjoys to claim the alleged sale took place as early as the Purana. To be Conf<br>Rs 1/8 2 · 8 · 0<br>Cancel<br>[illegible] | T.D.n<br>3745 | Cert. [illegible]<br>5 |
| [illegible]<br>Gm | Personal<br>Ade Manu Punji<br>Pulla Kallu Punji | Dy No 20<br>Dy No 21 | 2 38 2<br>99 1/4<br>3 10 5<br>109 1/2 | 2·95<br>3·25 | 4 6 8<br>4 13 9 | D | D | D | D | Devadayam<br>Do | Do 1712<br>Vallabaya | | Do 1749<br>Timmamma wife<br>Narasaya<br>Narappa<br>Brother dasu<br>Do 1857<br>Pittaya<br>Narappa<br>Brother dasu | Madjendapalli<br>Uddapur<br>Pittaya 40<br>Vakil Subbaya of Madjendapalli | Brother's son | None - | To be Conf<br>Rs 1/8 21 - 6 - 0<br>[illegible] | T.D.n<br>3746 | Cert. [illegible]<br>617th<br>728 |
| | | Total 2 fields | 6 8 7<br>208 3/4 | 6·20 | 9 4 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fair Copied

[illegible] of the Jaghir [illegible] — Inam Register Magjondapalli & 1 [illegible] of the [illegible] Division [illegible]

Register No 30
Sheet No 366.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cess 30 | Perenaa Kalarai Polijan Punji<br>Hanjedam Punji<br>Manjada Punji<br>Soman Punji<br>Kodihalli Dari Punji<br><br>Inamdam Punji<br>Do Punji | Dy No 22<br>Dy No 23<br>Dy No 24<br>Dy No 25<br>Dy No 21<br>Total 5 fields<br>Dry No 8<br>Dry No 9<br>Total 2 fields<br>Total of 7 fields | 0-5-4 5 1/4<br>5-34-2 129 1/2<br>3-5-6 102<br>2-27-2 90<br>3-11-10 110 5/8<br>13-0-8 433 3/8<br>0-33-5 33<br>0-3-9 3<br>1-2-14 36 5/8<br>14-3-6 470 3/8 | 0·15<br>8·85<br>3·03<br>2·68<br>3·29<br>13·00<br>0·98<br>0·09<br>1·07<br>14·07 | 0-5-3<br>5-12-0<br>5-5-4<br>2-3-2<br>5-12-10<br>21-8-5<br>4-14-3<br>0-7-10<br>5-6-1<br>26-14-9 | Bala Santi | Dry 3 3 7 | [illegible] Ind Inam | In Dana — This does not appear in the Register of 1802 — It appears in all the Acts from 1818 as Inam | Da 1802 Samaya Ind. Person<br>Da 1818 Manjapalli Samaya<br>Da 1818 Venkataramanaya | Da 1849 Venkata Naiya<br>Da 1857 Subbamma Daughter in law | Subbamma 30 — Brahmin Wife — District Inam 1 | Produces an order of Collector under Collector's orders, for confirming the Inam to Subbamma only for life | To be Conf. Tax 3-3-? Qr 1/2 12 — Total 15 Rs — Does not enfranchise — 17/4/63 | T. Dn 3650<br>Enf 6/7/1?<br>4 Q<br>Fds |

Note: The inam covered by T.D. No 3650 has been treated as enfranchised. Vide G.O. Reg. No 588 dt 4-11-1935
3476
For Secretary to G.[illegible]
2/11/35

Fair Copied

---

Inam Register Pedda Magjendahalli & 2 Hamlets of in the Jaghir Magjondahalli in the Denkani Kota Division of the Hosur Taluk Salem Dt.

[illegible] In Hamlets the Jaghir Magjondahalli —

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cess 1 30 | Ramadevi Nallakalla Punji<br>Kalva Nanjai | Dy No 1<br>Wet No 1<br>Total 2 f | 3-3-15 104 5/16<br>0-?-? 14 5/8<br>3-27-? 118 15/16 | 3·10<br>0·43<br>3·53 | 3-?-?<br>2-?-?<br>6-5-1 | Priests service of Narasimha Swamy at Pedda Magjondahalli The Service is performed | Dry Full | [illegible] | In Dana — It appears in all the Acts from 1818 as Inam | Da 1802 Narasimha Swamy Pujari Ramaya | Da 1849 Narasimha Swamy Pujari Ammaya<br>Da 1857 Narasimha Swamy Pujari Ammaya | Pedda Magjondahalli Narasimha Swamy Pujari Amaraditti Subbaya 20 | The Narasimha Swami pagoda of Magjondahalli is the actual [illegible] for the Inam — But he has the enjoyment — Da 1849 & 1857 Ammaya was entered as the Inamdar and as the [illegible] Ammaya [illegible] Subbaya [illegible] Inam & [illegible] — To be Conf. | 7/3/63 | T. Dn 3651<br>Enf<br>7<br>Fds |
| Cess 2 | Dongaraya Mulakamanu Punji<br>Kalladi Nanji | Dry No 2<br>Wet No 2<br>Total 2 f | 3-15-6 108 3/4<br>0-14-8 12 1/2<br>3-31-4 121 1/4 | 3·38<br>0·37<br>3·75 | 3-5-11<br>2-13-5<br>6-2-4 | Priests service of Hanumantaraya Swamy at Pedda Magjondahalli The Service is performed | D | D | D — In Dana — Do | Da 1802 Hanumanta Rayaswamy Pujari Chinnarappa | Da 1849 Hanumanta Rayaswamy Pujari Padma Nabaya<br>Da 1857 Pujari Padma Nabaya | Pedda Magjondahalli Hanumantaraya Swamy Pujari Nagiraya 35 of Magjondahalli | | To be Conf. [illegible] In the name of the Deity — 27/4/63 | T. Dn 3652<br>Enf<br>7<br>Fds |
| Cess 3 | Devaraya Kalkali Punji<br>Hosahalli Punji | Dry No 3<br>Dry No 4<br>Total<br>Wet No 3 | 6-26-5 190 3/16<br>2-22-3 86 5<br>8-8-11 276 3/16<br>2-0-8 67 21 | 5·65<br>2·56<br>8·21<br>2·01 | 10-8-11<br>2-8-11<br>13-1-10<br>7-10-10 | Priests service of Ullamaraya at Peddamagjondahalli The Service is performed | Dry 14 18 0 | D | D — In Dana — Do | Da 1802 Ullamaraya Pujari Ramanna | Da 1849 Hanumaraya Pujari Linganna Gandaraya<br>Da 1857 Pujari [illegible] | Peddamagjondahalli Ullamaraya Pujari Siriyanna 35 & Subbaranna 66 of Peddamagjondahalli | | To be Conf. Total Rs 13 — In the name of the God | T. Dn 3653<br>Enf 6/7/1?<br>Fds |

14. 13. 0

11. 59
2. 81

Fair Copied

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | Devadayam<br>Yelle Kallu Punja<br>Kallu Punja | Sy No 5<br>Sy No 4<br>Total | 2 33 1 2·82<br>96<br>0 17 14 0·45<br>15<br>3 10 15 3·27<br>111 | 2 13 -<br>2 12 5<br>5 9 5 | For Jaramma's Annual festival at Madhavapalli [illegible] | [illegible] | Rama [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | In 1849 Jaramma Pujari Rallaraja | In 1849 Jaramma Pujari Ramaraja<br>In 1857 Pujari Ramaraja | Madhavapalli<br>Jaramma Pujari Rider Ramaraja 42 | — | — | — | To be confirmed in respect on the usual service of Jaramma Pujari<br>1/4/63 | T. Sin 3654 | Cert Me 6/7/63 |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>Kallupalli Punja<br>Kallu Punja | Sy No 6<br>Sy No 5<br>Total | 4 30 7 4·76<br>160<br>0 30 2 0·75<br>25 5/16<br>5 20 9 5·51<br>185 5/16 | 8 14 3<br>4 10 10<br>13 9 7 | Rulu [illegible] | Do<br>0 1 9 | Do | Do | [illegible]<br>Do | In 1849 Venkata Ramaraja | In 1849 Rama Krishnaraja Narana Bhat<br>In 1857 Ramaraja Ramakrishna Ramabhat | Madhavapalli<br>Palikallu<br>1 Rajanipalibhat alias Nagendrabhat 25<br>2 Anantabhat 20<br>3 Ramanamma 18<br>$\frac{1}{2}$ of Inv. 2-5-0·37-2-5-5<br>4 Ramabhat<br>Ramakrishnaraja 55<br>By No 6-4·76-8-14-3<br>Inv No 5-0·38-2-5-5<br>5·14-11-3-8 | Brothers son<br>Do<br>Do<br>Adopted son of Ramakrishna | Self 1<br>Self 1<br>Self 1<br>[illegible] 0 0 11<br>Done 3<br>Daughter in law 3<br>[illegible] 0 0 11 | In 1863 Produces a deed [illegible] 1846 Purchased by Venkatachelabhat [illegible] & Venkataramanabhat<br>Cert by Collr to Ramakrishna in 1841 | To be confirmed in 2/63 pt in the name of [illegible] 63<br>[illegible] 11<br>[illegible] $\frac{1}{8}$ 2·[illegible] 6<br>[illegible] 6<br>Confd [illegible] in the name [illegible]<br>[illegible] 11<br>[illegible] 8<br>[illegible] 8<br>1/4/63 | T. Sin 3655<br>3656 | Cert Me |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>[illegible] Punja<br>[illegible] Kallu Punja<br>[illegible] Punja | Sy No 7<br>Sy No 8<br>Total<br>Sy No 6<br>Total of [illegible] | 1-30-8 1·76<br>59 1/4<br>2-16-11 2·42<br>81 1/4<br>4-7-3 4·08<br>140 1/2<br>0-13-1 0·33<br>11<br>4-20-4 4·51<br>151 1/2 | 2 10 6<br>4 8 4<br>7 2 10<br>2 7 1<br>9 9 11 | Do | Do<br>0 5 1 | Do | Do | [illegible]<br>Do | In 1849 Paulayi Narana Bhat | In 1849 Venkata Ramabhat<br>In 1857 Venkataramana Bhat | Madhavapalli<br>Palikallu<br>Venkataramana Bhat 11<br>1 | Brothers son | Done 3<br>[illegible] 2 | Produces a deed [illegible] 1851 [illegible] by Naranabhat [illegible] Venkataramana Bhat | To be confd<br>Tot. 5 1<br>[illegible] $\frac{1}{8}$ [illegible]<br>[illegible] 1 1/2 [illegible]<br>[illegible]<br>2/4/63 | T. Sin 3657 | Cert Me |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>[illegible] Punja | Sy No 9<br>Sy No 7<br>Total | 2·40 As [illegible]<br>2 18 2 ~~2·44~~<br>80 3/4<br>0 18 11 0·35<br>11 1/2<br>2-29-13 ~~2·79~~<br>92 1/4 2.75 As | 3 11 10<br>2 1 7<br>6 2 5 | Do | Do<br>0 6 4 | Do | Do | [illegible]<br>Do | In 1849 Nallaraja | In 1849 Chekravarti Srinivasa Ramachary<br>In 1857 Venkata Subbaraja | Nallur<br>Nallan Chakravarti<br>Srinivasa Chary 48<br>Inv No 7-0·35-2-1-7<br>2 [illegible] 70<br>As 2.40<br>By No 9-~~2·44~~-3-11-10 | Brothers son<br>son | Self<br>Done 1<br>[illegible] 0 2 8<br>[illegible] | — | To be confd in 2/63 1st in the name of [illegible]<br>[illegible] 2-8<br>[illegible] $\frac{1}{8}$ 2·4 [illegible]<br>[illegible] in the name of [illegible]<br>[illegible] 2-8<br>[illegible] $\frac{1}{8}$ 2·8 [illegible]<br>1/4/63 | T. Sin 3658<br>3659 | Cert Me 6/7/63 |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>Boyi [illegible] Kallu Punja | Sy No 10 | 2-7-8 2·78<br>78 1/2 | 3 4 5 | Do | [illegible] | Do | Do | [illegible]<br>Do | In 1849 ~~Chilambi~~ Chilambara Bhat | In 1849 Ramalinga Bhat<br>In 1857 [illegible] in the name of [illegible] Chilambara Bhat | | | | No enjoyment No [illegible] [illegible] disappears [illegible] | Entered in the attachment list dated 4 Augt 64 letter No 89.<br>Time one year expired<br>Fully [illegible] for default<br>F.R. 3/8/66 | | |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>Bini [illegible] Kallu Punja<br>[illegible] Punja | Sy No 11<br>Sy No 8<br>Total | 1 11 0 1·27<br>42 7/8<br>0 25 0 0·13<br>21<br>1 36 0 1·90<br>63 7/8 | 1 14 7<br>2 15 7<br>4 11 2 | Do | Do | Do | Do | [illegible]<br>Do | In 1849 Venkata Bhatlu Lakshmamma | In 1849 Subbamma Grand [illegible] Daughter in law<br>In 1857 Subbamma [illegible] | Madhavapalli<br>Rider<br>Nagendraja 30 | Subbamma's Daughter's son | Self 1<br>Brother | | To be confd<br>[illegible] $\frac{1}{8}$ 2·10<br>[illegible] | T. Sin 3660 | Cert Me |

Regr No 30

Jahagir Madjandahalli

[illegible] Register of Madjandahalli - No 1. - Jahagir in the Dankinikota Division of the Hosur Taluk
This is Jahagir village [illegible] with the Register [illegible] -

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | [illegible] | Dry No 1 | 5 22 11<br>187 | 5.56 3/32 | 12 7 3 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 2 | 2 1 11<br>72 | 2.17 7/8 | 3 2 2 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 3 | 0 11 14<br>11 | 0.80 | 0 7 1 |
| | | Total | 8 1 2 | 8.03 | 11 2 8 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 1 | 7<br>7 5/8 | 0.22 | 0 13 5 |
| | | Total | 8 11 3<br>277 17/32 | 8.26 | 11 15 11 |

Private [illegible] of Allamakarsa at Madjandahalli [illegible] — [illegible] 2 15 5 — [illegible] — [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] at [illegible] ought to [illegible]

Do 7212 Allama Korsa [illegible] Pujari Nanjaiya

Do 7029 Allama Korsa Pujari Nanjundaya

Do 7057 Allamakorsa Pujari Nanjundaya

Madjandahalli
Allamakorsa
Pujari
Achaya
23 of Dankinikota

Title Confd
Tax 2-15-5
[illegible] of
[illegible]

[illegible]

31/3/13

7 Cer
3740

[illegible]
6171
T.D.

---

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | [illegible] | Dry No 4 | 1 18 10<br>145 7/8 | 4.33 | 8 2 0 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 5 | 3 18 9<br>111 7/16 | 3.16 | 5 2 8 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 6 | 1 26 11<br>56 | 1.67 | 2 6 10 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 7 | 1 8 14<br>38 | 1.08 | 1 9 9 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 8 | 1 13 13 | 1.25 | 2 0 0 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 9 | 0 10 7<br>8 7/16 | 0.25 | 0 3 11 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 10 | 0 35<br>31 | 30.98 | 2 1 4 |
| | | Total | 13 2 12 | 13.07 | 21 10 6 |
| | [illegible] | Wet No 2 | 0 14 4<br>12 | 0.35 | 1 5 4 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 3 | 0 21 3<br>18 | 0.63 | 2 0 0 |
| | | Total | 0 35 11<br>30 | 0.88 | 3 5 4 |
| | | Total | 13 38 7<br>469 | 13.95 | 24 15 10 |

[illegible] [illegible] — [illegible] 0 14 6 — D — D — [illegible]

Do 7212 [illegible] Bhat

Do 7029 Venkata Rambhat Brother's son

Do 7057 Venkata Rambhat Brother's son

Madjandahalli
Palikatte
Venkataram Bhat 51 — [illegible] son & [illegible] — Sons 3 Daughter in law 3

Produces a deed of gift in [illegible] dated Vikrama in 1830 by Narasimha Bhat to Venkataram Bhat

Title Confd
Tax 16-6
[illegible] 1/8 23 [illegible]
[illegible]

Confirmed

31/3/13

Reg No 30
Sheet No 365

Do

Confd
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
a [illegible]

6171
T.D.
7 Cer
3741

---

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | [illegible] | Dry No 11 | 4 28 4<br>168 5/32 | 4.70 | 6 7 10 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 12 | 3 3 3<br>103 1/2 | 3.08 | 4 9 9 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 13 | 1 18 0<br>41 1/4 | 1.38 | 2 0 11 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 14 | 0 4 12<br>4 | 0.12 | 0 4 0 |
| | | Total 47 | 9 11 3<br>311 23/32 | 9.28 | 13 7 6 |
| | [illegible] | Wet No 4 | 0 3 11 | 0.09 | 0 3 9 |
| | [illegible] | Do No 5 | 0 4 7<br>3 23/32 | 0.11 | 0 4 5 |
| | | Total | 0 8 2<br>7 | 0.20 | 0 8 2 |
| | | Total | 9 19 5<br>318 | 9.48 | 13 14 8 |

D — Tax free — D — D — [illegible]

Do 7212 [illegible] Bhat

Do 7029 [illegible] Nanjunda Bhat

Do 7057 [illegible] Bhat Subbabhat Ramabhat [illegible]

Madjandahalli
[illegible]
1 Ramabhat 30 — Grandson — Wife 1 Brother 1
2 Subbabhat 25 — Grandson — Wife 1
3 [illegible] Bhat 18 — Grandson — Unmarried — Family undivided

Title Confd
[illegible] 1/8 21-12-0

Do

[illegible]

31/3/13

Confd
6171

T.D.
7 Cer
3742

[illegible]
Copied

[illegible] Register of Madhavapalli [illegible]

Rep. No. 30

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | Personal<br>~~[illegible]~~ Punji | Dy. No. 15 | 2 21 12<br>87¾ | 2·12 | 3 12 3 | Bala Varti | Tax free | Not [illegible] | Not known | For Inam<br>[illegible]<br>in all the<br>[illegible]<br>[illegible]<br>[illegible]<br>2 | Fa. 1218<br>Seemalthor<br>Venkatapati<br>Raju | | Fa. 1249<br>Venkataraju<br>son<br>Subbaraju<br>Brother's<br>son<br>Narasaraju<br>Brother's<br>son<br>Fa. 1257<br>Venkataraju<br>Subbaraju<br>Narasaraju<br>Brothers | Madhavapalli<br>Maraitur<br>Venkataraju 40 | Grandson | Wife 1<br>Daughters 2<br>Brothers 2 | Venkataraju's brother Venkatapati Raju's [illegible] He alleges that he enjoys the whole himself with his brother & that Subbaraju's brother has gone astray since 20 years, is unmarried, & that Narasaraju had got for his share lands elsewhere & he produces no documents. 29/5/63 | To be cont.<br>Qt. ⅛ 7-8-0 | T.D. No. 3743 |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>Mahanamattam Punji<br>Dinne Punji<br>Pedda Punji<br>Total 3 f.<br>Pulli Reddi Punji<br>Pedda Reddi Punji<br>2 fields<br>Total 5 f. | Dy. No. 16<br>Dy. No. 17<br>Dy. No. 18<br><br>Dy. No. 6<br>Dy. No. 7 | 11 28 14 894<br>1 9 7 21¼<br>0 16 11 14<br>13 14 11 449¼<br>1 38 15 62 31/32<br>0 21 3 21 3/16<br>2 26 2 89 3/16<br>16 0 12 638 7/32 | 11·71<br>1·22<br>0·45<br>13·38<br>1·87<br>0·78<br>2·65<br>16·13 | 13 8 0<br>1 3 10<br>0 10 3<br>19 6 1<br>4 10 8<br>2 14 6<br>7 9 2<br>26 15 3 | D<sup></sup>o | Tax<br>199 | D<sup></sup>o | D<sup></sup>o | For Inam<br>D<sup></sup>o | Fa. 1218<br>Pullapparaju<br>Pallaraju | | Fa. 1249<br>Narasappa son<br>Narasappa Brother's son<br>Fa. 1257<br>Venkataraju<br>Pallaraju<br>Appa<br>Narasappa Brother's son<br>Narasappa<br>Venkappa<br>Venkata Raju | Mallasini<br>Maraitur<br>1 Narasappa 30<br>2 Venkataraju 50<br>3 Lakshmaraju 50<br>Madhavapalli<br>4 Venkataraju 45 | Brother's Grandson<br>D<sup></sup>o<br>D<sup></sup>o<br>Grandson | Wife 1<br>Son 1<br>Brothers 2<br>Brother's widow 1<br>Wife 1<br>Children 3<br>Brothers 2<br>Wife 1 | 1 & 3 enjoy ½ share<br>2 & 4 enjoy ½ share | To be cont.<br>Tax 1-9-9<br>Qt. ⅛ 23-4-0<br>4-12-7<br>Tl. + 2 Rs. [illegible] | T.D. No. 3744 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 31/5/63 | | |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>[illegible] Punji | Dy. No. 20 | 2 26 8<br>93 15/16 | 2·91 | 4 5 10 | D<sup></sup>o | Tax free | D<sup></sup>o | D<sup></sup>o | For Inam<br>D<sup></sup>o | Fa. 1218<br>Venkatapati<br>Rama<br>Chinnappa | Remarks in Fa. 1249<br>[illegible] his daughter-in-law Ramakka [illegible] Subbaraju now at Pallapumadhavapalli. He sold the whole land to Pittaraju for 7 Pagodas & 8 Fanams in Parwadi.<br>Remarks Samaraju [illegible] his son Subbaraju sold it to [illegible] Pittaraju in Parwadi for [illegible] 7 Pagodas 8 Fanams & the Mamlatdar [illegible] [illegible] for 20 Rs. [illegible] | Fa. 1249<br>Lappaya Purchaser<br>Fa. 1257<br>Pittaraju Purchaser | Madhavapalli<br>Avadhanam Lappaya's son Ramabhat 34 | Purchaser's son | Brother 1 | Produces a deed of sale of [illegible] dated [illegible] or 1819 by one Madhavapalli Ramaraju's son Subbaraju to [illegible] Lappaya [illegible] 5 [illegible] Kolas [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] as [illegible] [illegible] 29/5/63 | Lappaya died 6 years. His son Ramabhat enjoys the Inam. The alleged sale [illegible] as [illegible]<br>To be cont.<br>Qt. ⅛ 7-8-0<br>[illegible] | T.D. No. 3745 |
| [illegible] | Personal<br>[illegible] Punji<br>Pallakatta Punji<br>Total 2 fields | Dy. No. 21<br>Dy. No. 21 | 2 38 2<br>99¼<br>3 10 5<br>109½<br>6 8 7<br>218¾ | 2·95<br>3·25<br>6·20 | 4 6 8<br>4 13 9<br>9 4 5 | D | D | D | D | For Inam<br>D<sup></sup>o | Fa. 1218<br>Vallaraju | | Fa. 1249<br>Seemamma wife<br>Ramaraju<br>Rajappa Brother's sons<br>Fa. 1257<br>Pittaraju<br>Rajappa Brother's sons | Madhavapalli<br>Uddapini<br>Pittaraju 40<br>Vakil Subbaraju of Madhavapalli | Brother's son | None | | To be cont.<br>Qt. ⅛ 21-6-0 | T.D. No. 3746 |

Fair Copied

29/5/63

Regr No 39

Sheet No 370

Ossur Taluk
Salem Dist

Fair copying

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 87.8 1.07<br>86 | 1.2.7 | [illegible] | [illegible]<br>1 Venkata Lakshmakka 45<br>2 Ramakka 35<br>3 Laxmamakka 35<br>4 [illegible] 30<br>5 [illegible] 25 | [illegible] | Tole Cess<br>Rs 2.2.0 | T. D. No<br>3723<br>6/7/16 |

[illegible] 9 Hamlet in the Jaghir of Magondahalli [illegible] of the Oosoor
Taluk Salem Dist

This is an Hamlet of Magondahalli

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 830<br>8.61 3.13<br>105/8 | 2.1.7 | [illegible] | [illegible]<br>93 | Tole Cess<br>[illegible] | T. D. No<br>3724 |
| 8.87 0.95<br>82 | 0.9.3 | [illegible] | Magondahalli<br>1 [illegible] 30<br>2 [illegible]<br>3 [illegible] | Tole Cess<br>Rs 2.2.0 | T. D. No<br>3725 |

[illegible] 10 Hamlet in the Jaghir of Magondahalli [illegible] of the Oosoor Taluk
Salem Dist

This is an Hamlet of Magondahalli

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 830<br>3.87 3.81<br>180 | 2.10.8 | [illegible] | [illegible] 30<br>[illegible] wife<br>None | Tole Cess<br>Rs 2.2.0 1-4-0<br>Does not enfranchise | T. D. No<br>3726 |

Note: The inams covered by T.D. No 3726
has been treated as enfranchised vide
S. Ct. Reg: No. 588 dt 4-11-35.
34-1
for Secretary to S.C.
10 Sep 35

[illegible] 11 Hamlet in the Jaghir of Magondahalli [illegible] of the Oosoor Taluk Salem Dist

This is an Hamlet of Magondahalli

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 830<br>2.89 2.98<br>100/8 | 1.8.9 | [illegible] | [illegible] 40 | Tole Cess<br>on the usual conditions of [illegible] the Land | T. D. No<br>3727 |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] 45 | Tole Cess<br>[illegible] 6-0 | T. D. No<br>3728 |

0 8 15 0·22 0 8 11
7/32

2 26 3 2·65 2 15 11
89 1/32

3 28 12 3·72 5 5 1
125
2 1 0 8 1·01 5 15 9
84

4 29 4 4·73 11 8 3
169

2 6 15
11 1
7 38
11 8 1

Gomattam Kuppayangar 85 said Daughter Sisters 1/4 share

Produced a certified [illegible] paper dated [illegible]

3729

24/10/63

5

3730

24/4/13

Copied

[illegible] கொள்ளப்பட்ட.

மாதம் வருடம் 1986

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த

மாதம் வருடம் 1986

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.