Register of Inams in the village of Athalvadi in the Taluq of Oossoor Salem District Denkanikotta Division P. 67

Reg No 302

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
No: 8 of the Inam Commissioner	Personal Chittamida Jedimu Punji	Dry No: 80	Guntas 220	acres cents 6-55		Rs. A. P. 6-8-4	Bhatavarti	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	No sanad - but it appears in accounts from 1212 as an Inam -	Not known	Mysore Vencata Chari fasli 1212 -	In fasli 1234 Mysore Vencata Chari is entered as the Survey Inamdar - In fasli 149 his daughter-in-law Kanakammal - In fasli 1257 Alwarayangar daughter's son of the Survey Inamdar -	Kenthada Alwar Iyengar age 60	Dharampuri -	Daughter's son of the Survey Inamdar	wife age 39	"	Alwar Iyengar is the grandson of the original holder in the female line and as such is the rightful owner of the Inam - The Inam being of older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the present holder subject to quit rent of Rs - 14 - which has been agreed to - The Inam was placed under attachment on 9th February 1865 but this case is reopened with reference to para 5 of the Inam Commissioner's letter No: 513 dated 4th June 1867. With reference to para 4 of that letter I am of opinion that the Inam can be released at once from attachment - Frederick Rice Ag Sub Collector.	Confirmed, as recommended by the Collector, on 1/4th assessment Quit rent Rupee 1 " 8 " - 22/6/68

Case No 8
of the Inam Commissioner

Reg No 302
Sheet No 680

The Inam Commissioner remarks that the table of genealogy given in the Statement does not correspond with the entries in Columns 14 and 15 of the Statement prepared by the Collector. The Deputy Collector states that in fasli 1236 the Inam stood in the name of one Alwarayangar but the present enquiry shows that at that period there was no person of that name and that no Inam account was prepared in fasli 1236 - The Kurnam is unable to explain on what authority the Deputy Collector has made such entry nor does it appear from the Statement [illegible] by the Inamdar that there was any [illegible] as that referred to by the Inam Deputy Collector.

Again with regard to the entry of fasli 1257 the Kurnam explains that the individual mentioned as son of the Survey Inamdar is his grandson on the daughter's side. The account of that fasli corroborates the statement of the Inamdar but it appears that a mistake has inadvertently crept in in the Statement rendered to the Inam Deputy Collector in which this Appa Iyengar was entered as son instead of as son of the person entered in Column 14.

The quit rent in this case will have to be raised. Considering the age of ~~the~~ Inamdar and his wife it is out of question that there is any prospect of their having issue hereafter.
(1)

Chas. [illegible]
Collector

16th April 1868
Enclosures 30

J. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

3 : 4

8 m 700
T D No
4350

Entd 20/4/68 N.A.m

Salem Sub Collector's Office
Hosoor 19th September 67

Corrections in this page (1) one
Appasawmy Pillay
Escr

Compd C.M. H.D.C.

Reg. No 1 5-00

Register No 1

(Ossur Div.)

Register of Inams in the village of Hosoor in the Oosoor Taluq Salem District.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
[illegible] the Inam Commissioner's File No 1	Inam Personal	Dry No: 241 Eppegidam Punji	Guntas 221	Acres cents 6-61	" -	R. A. P. 9-12-6	Originally [illegible] granted free for doing service under Desam chetti but tho at present the service is being performed this can no longer be considered as service Inam and should therefore be held as purely personal -		Hereditary	Not known	No Sanad. It appears in all the accounts from the Kayam Tirva of fasli 1214 as Inam -	At the time of Pymash Idalvathi Raman -	In the Kayam tirva account of fasli 1212 the name of Raman was entered	the accounts of fasli 1232 Raman Kunthigadu Rangadu fasli 1248 Basavan Rangan Lingan Ramudu fasli 57 the	1 Idalvathi Basavan age 60 2 Raman 20 3 Thamadu 15	Oosoor	Basavan is son of the original Registered holder No II is his grandson - No III his cousin -	No: 1 has 2 sons. The others two none -	"	This Inam being of an older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the present holders, subject to a quit rent of Rs: 1-4-0 which they have agreed to - This Inam was placed under attachment on the 26th January 1865 tho. the Inamdars have not appeared before the Inam or Revenue authorities within one year from that date the case has been reopened with reference to the Collector's letter No 889 dated 12th October 1866- Hedrick Rice Ag Sub Collector	T. D. No 4351 Confirmed on a quit rent of Rupee 1.. 4.. J.W.B. 25-6-68 4 8 [illegible]

name of the holder is not entered but the land shown as Inam - Of the persons entered in the account of fasli 1232 Mathingeden and Rangan were both entered father and son of the Registered holder of fasli 1272 respectively and Raman as his cousin - Of those of fasli 1248 Basavan and Rangan are sons of the original registered holder and the other two are cousins -

No. 44 of the Inam Commissioners

Personal

Regd. No 1

J. W. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

The Inam re-presented at [illegible] on the [illegible] for settlement of the [illegible]
8 G. R. 6

Reg. No 248 - Do in the village of Kellamangalam (Halamangalam topes in G. Cerj -) in the Oosoor Taluq Salem District (Dankeni Kottai Divn).

1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
[illegible]	No: 229 Puttatha Punji	101½	3-02	"	5-9-6	[illegible] Jodi	2-11-8	Hereditary	Not known	No Sanad. It appears in all the accounts from fasli 1212 as Inam -	Surya Naranier	In the accounts of fasli 1212 the name of one Surya Narain Iyah is entered	In the accounts of faslis 1234 and 1249 Athinarayanappa's name appears but in the account of fasli 57 Dasappa's name is entered - Athinarayanappa is son and Dasappa direct grandson of Surya Narayanappah	Dasappa age 35	Kellamangalam	grandson of the original Registered holder	"		This Inam being of older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the present holder subject to a quit rent of Rs: 9-4-0 which has been agreed to - This Inam was placed under attachment on 9th February 1865 - The Inamdar has since appeared before the Sub Collector Mr Thomas and satisfactorily accounted for his not having appeared before the proper authorities within the prescribed time - The Sub Collector authorized the reopening of this case - The Inamdar also presented a petition to the Collector who being satisfied with his explanation, sent an order to the Tahsildar dated 10th January 1867 No. 3 directing him to investigate and report the case to the Sub Collector - Hedrick Rice Ag Sub Collector	Confirmed on Combined quit rent of Rs 9.. 8.. - J.W.B. 22-6-68 2-4 T. D. No 4352
	Dry No 237 [illegible]masam Punji	155	4-61 (1)	"	2-10-11															
	Dry No: 239 [illegible]lamaram Punji	125	3-47	"	6-14-10															
	Dry No: 250 Nerazal Punji	190½	5-66	-	8-6-1															
	Dry No: 252 Eppegadam Punji	173 7/8	5-16	"	7-12-7															
	Dry No: 275 Maggi Punji	56 3/16	1-67	"	2-9-10															
	Dry No: 278 Kuller Punji	44 7/16	1-32	"	1-15-9															
	Total Dry		24-91	"	41-13-8															
	Wet No 385 Kallatha Nanji	54½	1-62	"	12-0-10															
	Wet No 420 Punjitharam Nanji	4 13/16	0-14	"	1-0-11															
	Total Wet		1-76	-	13-1-9															
	Grand Total		26-67	"	54-15-5															

Case No 11 of Inam Commissioners File

Corrections (1) One on this page
[illegible]
Examr.

The recommendation in these two cases is Correct.

The Inams in all the 3 Cases in the Registers have been ordered to be released

C. H. Perkins
Collector

[illegible] of Kelamangalam No 3[illegible] in the [illegible]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Register Vol 48

Regr [illegible] Sheet No 277

The Inam [illegible] commenced with the Inam [illegible]

Read Collr letter of 26/1/71 No 236. and the G. O. of 15/1/67 No 122.

No 1 Devadayam Dry No 8 [illegible] 8.41 — 15-11-1
Do No 50/52 No 147 S 282 5/8
Do No 125/126 Dry 6-2-13 6.07 — 9-1-4
No 15 S 204
14-19-2 14.48 — 24-12-5
S 480 5/8
Do No 318 Wet 0-22-5 0.56 — 4-2-8
No 371 S 18 3/4
Do No 26 Wet 1-12-8 1.32 — 1-7-7
No 452 S 66 5/8
Do No 202 Wet 0-37-2 0.93 — 4-10-4
No 502 S 31 3/16
Do No 215/213 Wet 3-29-9 3.74 — 13-15-4
No 525 S 125 1/16
6-21-8 6.55 — 36-3-5
219 3/4
21-0-10 21.2 — 58-15-10

For the Jodi support 5-16-10 of Chennaya Swami at Kelamangalam the temple is in good order — Perma nent — Kowle? Purva

No Sanad. It appears in all the accounts from Fusli 1212 as Inam

In Fusli 1212 Chennaya Swami Pujari Venkatakistnaya

In Fusli 1236/1267 Pujari Venkata Kistnaya

Kelamangalam Chennaya Swami deity Pujari [illegible] Venkataya 50 Venkatramanaya 26 at Kelamangalam

Jodi 4-13-9

XX to Government — Assmt.
Total Dry 11.74 — 23-3-8
Do wet 7.03 — 34-11-1
18.77 — 57-14-9

A fresh T. D. will be drawn accordingly.

Clee Morris
4/2/71 Actg 2nd Member

Communicated to [illegible] 9/3/71.

The Inam land set aside for the great fair at this Village is Dry No 215, and measures acres 6.07 assessed at rupees 9-1-4. The land assigned by the Collr in lieu of it is Dry and measures acres 3.33 assessed at rupees 7-8-7 and wet 0.48 assessed at rs 0-7-8. The Total Dry and wet now assigned, is acres 3.81 assessed at rupees 8-0-3. The difference between the former assessment and that now proposed, or rupees 1-1-1, will be deducted from the Permanent Jodi hitherto collected from the Pagoda, and the remainder 4-13-9 will be entered in the T. D. as payable XX

Whole [illegible]
Rs 5-14-10
[illegible]
[illegible]

T. Cir 3206

2/7/6[illegible]

6

21.02
3 7 0
17 22

Devadayam Dry 4-16-13 7.57 — 11-0-0
Do No 104/110 No 187 S 247 9/16
Do No 110 Dry 3-27-0 3.67 — 5-8-6
No 207 S 223 3/16
11-1-13 11.04 — 16-8-6
S 471 3/16
Do No 291 Wet 1-1-3 1.03 — 7-10-8
No 358 S 34 5/8
Do No [illegible] [illegible] .84 — 6-4-5
[illegible]
[illegible] .87 — 13-15-1
S 62 7/8
12-38-9 12.91 — 30-7-7

For the Jodi support 4-11-10 of Anjaneya Swami at Kelamangalam the temple is in good order — Do — Do

No Sanad — Do — Do

In Fusli 1212 Hanumantaraya Swami Pujari Venkatakistnaya

In Fusli 1236/1267 Pujari Venkata Kistnaya

Kelamangalam Anjaneya Swami deity Pujari Chennavenkataya Venkatramanaya 60/20 at Kelamangalam

Whole [illegible]
Rs 4-11-10
[illegible]
[illegible]

T. Cir 3207

6

Devadayam Dry 8-31-16 8.80 — 16-6-9
Do No 212 No 216 S 295 1/16
122-127
Do No 293 Wet 1-31-3 0.78 — 5-13-4
No 354 S 26 1/4
9-23-1 9.58 — 22-4-1

For the Jodi support 5-14-10 of Pattalamma at Kelamangalam the temple is in good order — Do — Do

No Sanad — Do — Do

In Fusli 1212 Pattalamma Pujari Rama

In Fusli 1257 Pujari Piramalega

In Fusli 1236 Pujari Perumal

In Fusli 1269 Pujari Piramalega

Kelamangalam Pattalamma Goddess Pujari Munnenuda 65 at Kelamangalam

Whole [illegible]
[illegible]

T. Cir 3208

6

Devadayam Dry [illegible]-15-[illegible] [illegible] — [illegible]
Do No 329 No 158 S 46 3/4
Do No 175 Dry 0-20-12 0.52 — 0-12-5
S 17 7/16
Do No 250/163-14 Dry No 253 9-23-7 9.59 — 14-4-10
S 322 13/16
11-19-13 11.51 — 15-12-5
S 386 3/8
Do No 274 Wet 0-25-2 0.63 — 5-8-2
No 541 S 21 3/16
Do No 266 Wet No 416 0-31-5 0.78 — 5-12-10
S 26 3/16
1-16-7 1.41 — 11-5-0
S 47 3/8
12-36-6 12.92 — 27-1-5

For the Jodi support 5-16-10 of Uttamakaraya at Kelamangalam the temple is in good order — Do — Do

No Sanad

In Fusli 1212 Uttamakaraga Pujari Rama

In Fusli 1236 Pujari Akki

In Fusli 1249 Pujari Piramalega

In Fusli 1257 Pujari Piramale

Kelamangalam Uttamakaragam deity Puja Munnenuda 45 at Kelamangalam

Whole [illegible]
Rs 5-14-10
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

T. Cir 3209

2/7/6[illegible]

8

22-8-4

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் வருடம் 86
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible] மாதம் வருடம் 86
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்.

[illegible] Register No 347 Kelamangalam

Register No 248 — Inam Register of Kelamangalam No 347 in the Denkanikotte Division of the [illegible] in the Salem District — Date of appln for [illegible] 4th August 18[illegible]

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1511 Letr to Colr 19/4/66	Personal On No 130	Dry No 229	3-0 13 3·02 § 10½		5-9-8	Bata Kodi varti 2-11-8	Do		Not known	No sanad	In 1812 Suriyanarayanaya		In 1236 Narayanaya son					The Lessee [illegible] Nos 229-237 & 239 in favour of Kelamangalam Ayyappa [illegible] [illegible]	Pts assessed for default
	On No 144 130	Dry No 257	4-24 7 4·61 § 155		8-10-11						[illegible]		In 1249 Adinarayanaya son						
	On No 151	Dry No 239	3-18 13 3·47 § 125		6-14-10						[illegible]		In 1257 Adinarayanaya son						
	On No 145 146	Dry No 250	5 26 11 5·66 § 190½		8-6-1						[illegible]								
	On No 141	Dry No 252	5 6 7 5·16 § 173 7/8		7-12-7						[illegible]								
	On No 172	Dry No 278	1-12-14 1·32 § 44 7/16		1-15-9						[illegible]								
	On No 169	Dry 275	1 26 14 1·67 § 56 3/16		2-7-11														
			24-36-15 24·91 § 846 [illegible]		41-15-8														
	On No 278	Wet No 85	1-24-8 1·62 § 64¼		12-0-10														
	On No 264	Wet No 425	0 5 12 0·14 § 4 13/16		1-0-11														
			1-30-4 1·77 § 69 1/16		13-1-9														
			26-27-3 26·67		54-15-5														
1512	Personal On No 225	Dry No 225	1-20-4 1·51 § 50 5/8		0-11-0	Batave Taxrti fee	Do	Do		No sanad	In 1812 Sitarama Somayaji		In 1194 Subbasastri son	Holada Agrahar late Kolal Satavalli					[illegible]
	On No 115	Dry No 227	1-11-11 1·17 § 42 15/16		0-10-5								In 1249 Subbasastri son	Rama Sastri 62	Brahmin				Dt 1/8 [illegible] 10-0
			2-31-5 2·78 § 93 [illegible]		1-6-3					Do			In 1257 2 Ramasastri Sitarama sastri grandson	Srikanta Sastri 60	Do				[illegible]
	Wet No 280	Wet No 380	0 27 3 0·68 § 22 13/16		3-6-3														3 [illegible]
			3-18-8 3·46		[illegible]-12-6														
	[illegible] On No 137 136	Dry No 235	6-14-6 6·36 § 213¾		9-8-2	Bata Kodi varti 2-5-0	Do	Do		No sanad	In 1812 Venkatasastri Ramaya		In 1236 Muddu Kistnaya grandson	Byramangalam Kalluri				[illegible] 243	[illegible]
	On No 150	Dry 241	1-19 12 1·49 § 50 3/16		2-3-4								In 1249 Muddu Kistnaya	Muddu Kistnaya 60	Brahmin	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible] 2-5-0
	On No 167 168	Dry No 272	5-6 8 5·16 § 173 7/16		7-12-7								2 Subbayya	2 Subbaya 50	Do	[illegible]		[illegible]	
	On No 170	Dry No 271	3-13-4 3·33 § 112		[illegible]-14-7								3 Achyutha sons	3 Kistnaya 55	Do	[illegible]		[illegible]	Dt 1/8 24-4-0
			16-13-12 16·34 § 549 3/8		24-6-8					Do			Ramaya	Chikkaballapur		[illegible]			6-8-0
	On No 263	Wet No 427	0-15-1 0·38 § 12 5/8		2-12-10								4 Lakshminarayana	Ramaya 70	[illegible]	[illegible]			
	On No 252	Wet No 392	1-25-3 1·63 § 54¾		10-2-3								5 Subbaya						
			2-0-4 2·01 § 67 3/8		12-15-1								6 Ramaya & brothers						
			18-14-0 18·35		37-5-9								In 1257 1 Achraya Muddukistnaya						

Regr No 248
Sheet No 278

Settled on Supplemental Register. [illegible] 22-6-66

[illegible] in favour of Kelamangalam Ayyappa [illegible] the father [illegible] appears & [illegible] [illegible] does not [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] I do not see that [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] a sale [illegible] repeated [illegible] claim [illegible]

Appd [illegible] 22/1/66

6/1/66

Applt Adeappa sons Adinarayana Appl 1257 Subbaraya Sastri dated 12 Jany 1866 No 27 claiming [illegible] Title deed & alleging that [illegible] filed [illegible] [illegible] before [illegible] after [illegible] [illegible] dated June 1863 & produced Refd to Collr [illegible] 3 Jany 1866

T D No 3216

2/1/[illegible]

T D No 3216

2/1/[illegible]

I 19 12
2 69
21 81

42-2-5

4 Laxminarayana brothers
5 Sitaramaya 2
6 Kistnappa

1 Achraya Muddukistnaya
Subbaya

2/1/63

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
3 தேதி [illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் வருடம் 86
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible] மாதம் வருடம் 86
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.
[illegible] 86

Kelamangalam inam Register of Kelam ... kotte Division of the Hosur Taluq in the Salem dist.

[illegible] 4 5 6	7	8 9	10 11	12	13 14	15	16 17	18	19	20	21	
Personal Dry 2-19 12.50 In No 298 299 No 376 S 8 3/10 Regr No 248	21-92	Bata Lodi varti 101	Hy Lodi Kurnu	No Sanad [illegible]	Iu F 1112 Naranaya Iu F 1236 Venkatramanaya Iu F 1257 1 Pinnaya 2 Ramaya 3 Subrayappa 4 Aswathanarayana (grandson)	Iu F 1249 1 Venkatramanaya 2 Venkatanaranaya brother 3 Laxminaranaya 4 Subbaraya [illegible]	Kelamangalam Ramakatam Ramaya 28 2 Venkatramanaya 16	[illegible]	[illegible]	—	Tolu lesf Rs 1-10-1 Qr 1/8 2-8-0 [illegible] 20/3/63	T.D. No 3218 [illegible] 2/7
Personal Dry 2-13 1.2.33 In No 299 302 No 383 S 78 7/8	21-71	Bata Lodi varti 1.5.4	Do do	No Sanad Do	Iu F 1112 Narasanada Naranaya Iu F 1116 Narasaya	Iu F 1249 Venkatramanaya Venkatanaranaya brothers Iu F 1257 1 Pannaya 2 Ramaya brothers grandson	Kelamangalam Ramakatam Ramaya 28 2 Venkatramanaya 16 Rammenahal 3 Aswatappa 50 4 Danappa 40	[illegible]	[illegible]		Tolu lesf Rs 1-5-4 Qr 1/8 2-8-0 3-10-0 20/3/63	T.D. No 3219 [illegible]
Personal Dry 1-14 14.37 In No 406 408 No 578 S 46 1/8	6-13-4	Bata Lodi varti 2.3.7	Do do	No Sanad Do	Iu F 1112 Sunkada Ramaya Iu F 1124 Sankada Seshagiriraya	Iu F 1249 1 Venkatramanaya 2 Laxminaranaya 3 Ramaya [illegible] Iu F 1257 1 Venkatramanaya 2 Laxminaranaya 3 Ramaya [illegible]	Kelamangalam Sunkam Laxmi Narasappa 60 2 Kuchamma 50 3 Kistnappa 18	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] 3-1/2	Tolu lesf Rs 2-3-7 Qr 1/8 2-10-0 2-12-0 20/3/63	T.D. No 3220 [illegible]
Personal Dry 0 27 10.68 In No 391 No 18 S 22 1/10 In No 303 Dry 0-12 10.30 No 331 S 10 3/10 0-39 20.98 Celly	0-5-4 2-4-6 2-9-10	Bata Pax varti free	Do do	No Sanad Do	Iu F 1112 Panchangam Ramabatta zafted Iu F 1116 Fari	Iu F 1234 Panchangi Seshamma Iu F 1249 Panchangam Seshamma wife Iu F 1257 Ramaya [illegible]	Kelamangalam Racher Ramachari 33	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	Tolu lesf Qr 1/8 2-0-0 20/3/63	T.D. No 3221 [illegible]
Personal Dry 2-5 27 13.5.57 In No 336 243 No 304 S 18 7/8 In No 281 Dry 0-21 10.54 No 389 S 18 3/10 6-4 7.6.11 Celly	8-5-5 2-11-0 11-0-5	Bata Pax varti free Kyrati	Do do	No Sanad Do	Iu F 1112 Fakir Khan Fatte Khan Iu F 1234 Kyrati Jalal Khan	Iu F 1249 Jalal Khan 2 Raja Khan 3 Nasara Khan Iu F 1257 1 Jalal Khan 2 Raja Khan 3 Narasa Khan sons	Kelamangalam Jelal Khan 65	[illegible]	[illegible]	—	Tolu lesf Qr 1/8 2-1-0 20/3/63	T.D. No [illegible] [illegible]
Personal Dry 1-0 21.00 In No 129 No 234 S 33 3/4	10-0	Kyrati Pax free or Sala vadi	Do do	No Sanad Do	Iu F 1112 Vira Iu F 1257 Pilluga	Iu F 1039 Vira chalvadi Inam Iu F 1249 chalvadi Inam 1 Hanuma 2 Pi[illegible]ga					Date of applicn for alln 4th August 1864 Patta issued for desan 6/11/65	

A Dry No 29 of 1866 Appd 15 Dry from mange claiming regt. Endorsed Dasply to Collector 19/6/66

Inam Register of Kelamangalam No 347 in the Denkanikotte Division of the Hosur Taluq in the Salem [illegible]

No 248 3	4 5	7	8 9	10 11	12	13	15	17 18	21	
Dharmadayam Sur No 241	Dry 2-7-11 2·19 No 136 S 73 5/8	13-12-4	For repairing the tank of Kottacheruvu at ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]	Tax free Not known	No sanad [illegible]	In F 11212 Not found In F 11218 Talavaradi ga Chinkarappa	In F 11236 1 Chinkarappa 2 Nanjappa son of Chinkarappa	[illegible] Subbarayappa abs	The tank is in good condition [illegible]	
40 Sur No 409	Dry 1-32-5 1·81 No 518 S 61 47/64	11-7-0					In F 11269 Nanjappa [illegible] Senkarappa son of Sambaya & [illegible] Subbaya	[illegible]		T. Con 3044
Sur No 441	Dry 0-19-13 0·49 No 579 S 16 21/32	2-7-5								
Sur No 378	Dry 0-30-15 0·77 No 623 S 26 1/32	3-5-10								
Sur No 370	Dry 1-39-6 1·98 No 632 S 66 11/16	12-7-2								
Sur No 372	Dry 0-29-12 0·74 No 636 S 25	4-9-7				In F 11257 1 Subbaya 2 Nanjundaya 3 Chidambaraya	Kelamangalam Senkarappa son of Nanjundaya & his uncle's son Subbaya			
Sur No 410	Dry 0-34-10 0·85 No 571 S 28 5/8	5-5-6								
Sur No 418 419 420 421	Dry 1-30-13 6·77 No 591 S 227 37/64	42-0-10								2/7/63
	15-24-12 15·10 S 594 13/16	95-7-8								

Regr No 248
Sheet No 279

பிப்ரவரி [illegible]
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
பிப்ரவரி மாதம் 1986 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மார்ச் மாதம் 1986 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

3	4 5	7	8 9	10 11	12	13	15	17	18	19	20	21	
Dharmadayam Sur No 67	Dry 2-9-4 2·23 No 642 S 75 5/8	11-6-6	For repairing the tank of Chinna [illegible]	Tax Do Do	No sanad Do	In F 11212/18 Not entered In F 11257 1 Annayappa 2 Senkarappa	In F 11236 Senkarappa In F 11269 Chikka Senkarappa brother's grandson	Hosur [illegible] 1 Narasappa 37 2 Ramakrishna 18 3 [illegible] 37 4 Krishnappa 34	Brothers son Do Do	[illegible] 1 [illegible] 1 [illegible] 1 [illegible] 1	[illegible]	Title Confirmed Tax free On the usual Conditions when the tank [illegible]	T. Con 3050
77 Sur No 84	Dry 1-24-? ·61 No 654 S 54 1/4	9-8-10											
	3-33-13 3·84 S 129 7/8	20-15-4	It is good order										

116-7-0

Closed 17-3-63

Inam Register of Chapuram No 348 in the Denkanikotte Division of the Hosur Taluq in the Salem dist

The acts commenced with the Register [illegible]

Regr No 249

3	4 5	7	8 9	10 11	12	13	15	17	21	
Sur No 61	Dry 0-19-15 0·50 No 134 S 14 3/4	2-7-8	For the support of Senkaranarayana Swami at Hosur the temple is in good order	Tax free Not known	No sanad [illegible]	In F 11212 Not entered In F 11218 Senkaranarayana Swami Archaka Sonaya	In F 11236 Archaka Sonaya In F 11257 Archaka Ruddiraya	Hosur Senkaranarayana [illegible] Pujari Amaravathi Ambaya 28 of Hosur	Title Confirmed Tax free Do to the usual [illegible]	T. Con 3051
Devadayam Sur No 75	Dry 1-35-14 1·90 No 23 S 63 3/4	0-11-4	For the support of Basaveswara at Chapuram the temple is in good order	Tax Do Do	No Sanad Do	In F 11212 Not entered In F 11218 Basavana Inam	In F 11236 Basavana Inam In F 11269 Pujari Kalaviraya In F 11257 Pujari Kalaviraya	Chapuram Basaveswara Deity Pujari Kalaviraya abs	Title Confirmed Tax free Do in the Inam of the Deity	T. Con 3052 2/7/62

Collector's letter 28th March 66 No 6 forwarding copy of one from Sub Collr 15th idem No 115 states that the pagoda is not in existence & the land lay waste till 1271 when on application of a Ryot, a temporary patta was granted; ~~and~~ [illegible] the Temple Committee demanded possession [illegible] on receipt of the Title Deed [illegible] requests whether the T.D. may [illegible] facts be made over to the Committee [illegible]

No 249

[illegible] No 348 in the Denkanikotta Division of the Hosur Taluq in the Salem dist.

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
Devadayam Do No 70	Dry 0 22.5 0.56 No 115 S 18 3/4		Regr No 249		2-12-6	Firstha support for of Kasiviswesvara Swami at Chapuram The temple is in good order	Pat	DL	Not known	No Sanad [illegible]	In F 11212 Not entered In F 11218/34 Kasiviswesvara Pujari Samaya		In F 11269 Pujari Venkaraya chandraya In F 11257 Venkarappa chandraya		Chapuram Kasiviswesvara Swami Dei Pujari Sekarappa Chandraya		—	—	To be confirmed tax free during the [illegible] 14/4/63	I Sun 3053 [illegible] 27/1/6
Personal Dry Do No 16 Do No 63 Com to Coll 19/2/66	1-19-8 1.50 No 40 S 50 Dry 0-16-14 0.37 No 135 S 12 1/2 1-34-6 1.87				0-8-11 1-12-6 2-5-5	Balavarti	Tax free	Do	Do	No Sanad Do	In F 11212 Not entered In F 11218 Venkatrama Sastri		In F 11236 Venkatramasastri In F 11249 Venkatramasastri In F 11257 Venkatramasastri			On 12 of 1866 by one Venkatramasastri claiming the [illegible] Inam, & explaining that he was absent at Benares when the investigation was conducted, & that his sons were minors & unable to attend. Refer to Collector for enquiry and report [illegible] M 6/2/66			Date of appl for attachment 4th August 1864 Full assessed for default M 6/11/65	Settled on [illegible] 28-11-67 [illegible]
Personal Dry No 31	1-35-0 1.87 No 31 S 6 3				0-13-3	Balavarti	Tax free	Do	Do	No Sanad Do	In F 11212 Not entered In F 11218 Venkata Kistnambatta		In F 11236 Venkata Kistnambatta In F 11249 Venkatramanabatta son In F 11257 Venkataramanabatta son						— Do — Do Full assessed for default M 6/4/65	
Dharmadayam Do No 65 69 Do Do	Dry 3-24-12 3.54 No 119 S 114 7/64 Dry 0-9 0 0.22 No 120 S 7 9/10 3-30-12 3.76 S 126 [illegible]				22-0-3 0-15-2 22-15-5	Do For the Tank at Kilamangalam It is in good order Repairs are made by the Dasavandamdars		Do	Do	No Sanad Do	In F 11212 Not entered In F 11218 × Kilamangalam Venkarappa's son Nanjundaya Subbaya brother's son In F 11257 1 Subbarahmania 2 Nanjundayya 3 Chidambaraya		In F 11236 Kallattha [illegible] Venkarappa Nanjappa son Venkarappa In F 11269 [illegible] Karappa's son Somlaya × Subbaya		Tumkur ~~[illegible]~~ Subbarow [illegible] individual [illegible]				To be confirmed as the tank is in good condition on the usual terms.	I Sun 305 4

Noted 17 3 [illegible]

No 5/66 No 42

Case No 2

The Collector forwards the Title deed together with copy of Sub Collector's letter No 583 of 8th October /66, which gives the following information.

"There is no trace of the Pagoda. The idol has been removed & placed on a Tank bund in an adjacent village & that it has ever since remained there without any puja, though it is asserted that service had been recommenced some 10 or 15 years ago & continued to be performed to this date. This latter statement is not worthy of credit since it is the usual ground put forward in cases to secure the Inams. The villagers of Kilamangalam [illegible] to [illegible] the service [illegible] is not a bona fide [illegible]

Since it is likely that as soon as the possession of the Inam is obtained matters will be allowed to relapse into their present condition."

~~The Title deed will be cancelled & the Inam resumed.~~

~~The Collector will be requested accordingly.~~

Under these circumstances, the best course will be to ask the Collr to deposit the T.D. in his office till the villagers build a Pagoda & regularly restore the Puja – If they do not agree to re-build the Pagoda, within a year, & also [illegible] permanently the Inam may be fully assessed.

M 1/2/6

See Collr's letter dated 28 Aug 1868 No 874. The villagers did not build the Pagoda within one year. The Inam has therefore been fully assessed [illegible]

M 7/8/68

Fair copied.