

Reg. No 28 Sheet No 487

5	[illegible] Punji Reg. No 28	5.38 a 5.98 203 [illegible] 238 [illegible]	Kembanahalli Village Sulgiri palayapet Ossoor Taluk Salem Dist	4 77	[illegible] This is an old Inam of [illegible]	No Sanad It appears in all the accounts from F 1209 as Inam	At the Survey Nanjunda Bhat 50	S. Fusly Vira-Nanjunda	Fasli 1282 Nanjunda Bhat Fasli 1257 Nanjundaya	Sulgiri Annapurnamma 60 2 Parvatamma 50	Old Inam 5 acres [illegible] Do	[illegible] who derived the inheritance from their father To [illegible] 2-4-0 28/4/62 Doc 17 [illegible] 18.3.62 T.D. 1206
6	[illegible] Dry [illegible] Punji	2.88 4.08 4.01 182 7/8 [illegible] 160 8/16 [illegible]		303	Do Do Do Do [illegible] Srinivasa Kadim [illegible]	No Sanad [illegible] It appears in the accounts of F 1228/32 as Inam [illegible] grant	At the Survey [illegible] Srinivasa Chary	[illegible] Srinivasa Charry	Fasli 1282 [illegible] Srinivasa Chary Fasli 1257 Varada Chary	Chappadi [illegible] Srinivasa [illegible] 20 2 Rangamma 40	[illegible]	~~[illegible]~~ To be [illegible] 28/4/62 T.D. 1207
7	[illegible] Dry [illegible] Punji	7.59 7.14 240 [illegible] 285 9/16 [illegible]		554	Do Do Do Do [illegible] Varadachary Kadim [illegible]	No Sanad This Inam is of the same description as above	At the Survey Chappidi Varadachary	[illegible] Varada Chary	Fasli 1282 Varada Chary Fasli 1257 [illegible]	Chappadi [illegible] [illegible] 42 2 [illegible] 37	Old Inam [illegible] Do Do	To be [illegible] 28/4/62 T.D. 1208
8	[illegible] Dry [illegible] Punji [illegible] Punji	7.221 7.55 253 13/16 [illegible] 302 9/16 [illegible] 1.68 1.06 29 [illegible] 20 6/16 [illegible] 8.28 8.71 292 13/16 [illegible] 348 7/16 [illegible]		718 448 1204	Do Do Do Do The registry in the [illegible] the name of the individual in S 1682 [illegible] Col: 16 was ascertained to be a Kadim [illegible] a mistake, & therefore it is struck off. See supplemental	[illegible] Sanad dated 1682 by Polygar [illegible] to Venkatachary [illegible] produced. It appears in all the [illegible] as Inam	At the Survey Venkata Chary	[illegible] Chary [illegible] Venkata Chary [illegible]	Fasli 1282 Venkata Chary Fasli 1257 [illegible]	Chappadi [illegible] Venkata Chary 29 & register which accompanied Collector's letter dated 6-8-72 No 195	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Venkata Chary [illegible] as that of Venkata [illegible] at [illegible] & [illegible] that of [illegible] Venkata Chary at Chappadi [illegible] hence it is a [illegible] [illegible]	To be [illegible] [illegible] 28/4/62 T.D. 1209
9	[illegible] Dry [illegible] Punji [illegible] Punji	10.70 10.18 342 [illegible] 407 [illegible] 1.20 11 1.52 51 [illegible] 90 11/16 [illegible] 11.27 11 11.70 890 [illegible] 467 11/16 [illegible]		9.74.5 5.92 15.77	Do Do Do Do Do This is an old Inam of Survey	A Sanad dated [illegible] 1682 by Polygar [illegible] to Venkatachary [illegible] It appears in the [illegible] as Inam	At the Survey Venkata Chary	[illegible] Venkata Chary [illegible] Venkata Chary	Fasli 1282 [illegible] Venkata Chary Fasli 1257 [illegible]	Chappadi [illegible] Venkata Chary 29	[illegible]	To be [illegible] 2-[illegible] 28/4/62 T.D. 1210
10	[illegible] Dry [illegible] Punji	2.34 3.2.86 96 [illegible] 114 3/16 [illegible]		220	Do Do Do Do This is an old Inam of Survey	No Sanad A [illegible] produced. It appears in all the accounts [illegible]	At the Survey Venkata Rayappa	[illegible] Venkata	Fasli 1282 Venkata Rayappa Fasli 1257 [illegible]	Sulagiri [illegible] [illegible] 50	[illegible]	To be [illegible] 2-0-6 T.D. 1211

	[illegible]		3 8 8 66 78 8/16	1.95 Acs SS		10 5 3 9 6 4	Hola Kalla	Tax free	Perma-nent	Not known This is an old Inam of Swag The Inam Venkata Ramaiya[illegible] Inam title deed [illegible] As there is none mention as an old Inam deed	No Sanad It appears in all the accts from 1809 as Inam	At the Survey Venkatesha This was [illegible]	In 1809 [illegible] Ramaya Pentaga	In 1832 Hola Kodiya In 1857 Vijaya Mortgager	Nanjundapalli in the Malur Taluq Mudambi Kistnaiyengar Age 50	Son-in-law of a donee	Wife & brother's sons 3	[illegible] 4 8	A deed of gift on common paper dated Subanaik by one Dasappaiyer to his son in law the present enjoyer ~~purchaser~~ produced Long enjoyment is proved	How Dasappaiyer acquired the Inam is not known but the family has been long in enjoyment. The original family is extinct. I would confirm the Inam at ¼ Rs 4-4 compulsory Sd/ A. Narain Rao Deputy Collector 28/8/62	T.D. 4146 Certfd 27/1/68
			12 15 5 416 ¼ 495 5/16	12.38 Acs. SS		17 10 7 -6-7													compd with the original		25 gun
11	[illegible] Pasi Punje	Bylu 8	8 7 2 235 327 4/16	8.18 Acs SS		14 0 9	Nattam Kallu	Do	Do	Do This is an old Inam of Swag	No Sanad Do	At the Survey Sadanapalli Sanadu Letters At Sadanapalli Gaudu	In 1809 Rakka Kodiya Sadanapalli Gaudu	In 1832 Rakka Kodiya In 1857 Venkata Rao Mortgagee	Athiganam Basava Gowd 38 2 Ranje Gowd 35 3 Subbarayappa 12	Self Son Son	[illegible]		Inland under mortgage to Dodi Chennappa ~~[illegible]~~	To be confirmed ~~Do~~ Ch ⅛ 2-4 13/5/62	T.D. 1212 Certfd 18363
	Halu Kaduguru Nanje	Nelluruga	1 7 9 40 47 9/16	1.79 Acs SS		3 0 0															
			9 14 13 315 374 13/16	9.37 Acs SS		17 0 9															

Register No 29

Rejected No 29

Inam Ryotwary Bellahalli No 55 in the Sulagiri Pattapalli Hosur Taluk Salem Dt.

Inam value entered in the Tax in Bravely 1-13-6

The accts available are the accts of 1809, & the Mahat accts of 1832 — & the Register of 1858

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	[illegible] Punje	Dry 1809	2 15 3 80 95 3/16	2.38 Acs SS		2 5 1	For the support of Linga Devara Swamy at Bellahalli The temple fell out of repair — 1830	Tax free	Perma-nent	Not known This is an old Inam of Swag	No Sanad It appears in all the accts from 1809 as Inam	At the Survey Linga Devara Pujari Linganga	In 1809 Linga Devara	In 1832 Lingama Devara In 1857 Venkata	Lingama Devara Pujari Venkata — The idol is not [illegible] to other temple & the temple has fallen into disuse for 10 years	Bellahalli				To be resumed for the reasons explained in Col 16 & 20 13/5/62	T.D. To be fully assessed
	[illegible] Samandruhalli Nanje		1 10 5 49 58 5/16	1.46 Acs SS		2 4 0	For the support of Someswara Swamy at ~~Do~~ Kempinahalli The God is not in the temple ~~Do~~ [illegible]	Do	Do	Do This is an old Inam of Swag	No Sanad It appears in all the accts from 1809 as Inam	At the Survey Someswara Swamy	In 1809 Someswara Swamy	In 1832 Someswara Swamy In 1857 Nanjundaya	Kempinahalli Someswara Swamy Pujari Lechamma 1 Annapoornamma 2 Parvatamma 3					~~To be confirmed~~ ~~Tax free~~ ~~[illegible]~~ The idol is not in the temple — the Pujari has taken away the idol — & the temple for which the Inam was granted is in ruins. To be ~~Resumed~~ 13/5/62	To be Re[illegible]
3	[illegible] Punje	Dry 1828	3 13 10 112 ¼ 133 10/16	3.32 Acs SS		2 15 8	Kotha Rakki	Do	Do	Do This is an old Inam of Swag	No Sanad It appears in all the accts from 1809 as Inam	At the Survey Sajjalam Venkata Ramaiya	In 1809 Letter Venkata [illegible] Letters Sayanna [illegible]	In 1832 Narayan Rangappa In 1857 Venkata [illegible]	Chappidi Sotti Rangaswamy 30	Self	[illegible]	Do		To be confirmed Q ⅛ 2-6-0 Certf 25/6/63	T.D. 121 Certfd

A Pet: 261 of 1866 from the party in Col. 16 requesting to next [illegible] to make up for the deficiency in the extent

Confirmed

Rejected 15 Dec 66

Register No 27

The Inam ... [illegible] in F. 1209 ... [illegible]

... [illegible] available are ... [illegible]
& the ... of F. 1212 ... [illegible]

Reg No 27
Sheet No 486

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
1 Dasmanga [illegible] Modalur Range	[illegible] 1827	8-30-10 59 3/8 70 10/16	1·77 Ac 88		8-14-8	Devotti Durusanahalli Tank at Durusanahalli [illegible] Repairs are made by Mr [illegible]	Tank	Pomi [illegible]	[illegible] an old Inam of Fusly	No Sanad It appears in the acct of F. 1209 It does not appear in [illegible] [illegible] 100 years	At the Survey Dodejanadu	In F. 1209 Tank [illegible] Sand as old as [illegible]	In F. 1882 Dodejanadu In F. 1857 Dujaya	Chappedi [illegible] Ramaswamy Iyengar 62 2 [illegible] 87 3 [illegible]		[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] 1856 [illegible] 28/4/62	[illegible] [illegible]	1214 18 March 57 8
2 [illegible] [illegible] Range	[illegible]	6-30-12 227 1/2 270 12/16	6·77 Ac 88		3-8-11	Kheta Varti	Do	Do	Do [illegible] an old Inam of Fusly	No Sanad [illegible] appears in all the acct F. 1207 as Inam	At the Survey [illegible] Venkappa	In F. 1209 Rangaya In F. 1212 [illegible] Rangaya	In F. 1882 [illegible] Rangappa In F. 1857 Venkata [illegible]	Venkata [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	No [illegible] an [illegible] [illegible] Sara Rangaya has been enjoying the Inam He was adopted 25 years ago his parents are alive			He is a [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] took place Confirm the Inam at 1/8 2·80 25/6/61	1215
3 [illegible] [illegible] Range	[illegible]	2-19-15 [illegible] 99 15/16	2·50 Ac 81		1-9-4	Do	Do	Do	Do [illegible] appear in the acct of F. 1212 in the name of Saranga Rangaya It was not [illegible] Inam Do	No Sanad [illegible] does not appear in the acct of F. 1209 ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] F. 1151 [illegible] appears in the [illegible] of F. 1182 [illegible] & in the [illegible] of F. 1188	At the Survey Srinivasaya	In F. 1212 Saranga Rangaya [illegible]	In F. 1882 Srinivasaya In F. 1857 Srinivasaya	Chappedi [illegible] [illegible] Rangayangar 38	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ 27/6/62	1216
[illegible] Range	[illegible]	1-15-1 12 15/16 15 6/16	0·38 Ac 81		1-8-9															
		2-35-5 96 15/16 115 6/16	2·88 Ac 81		2-15-9															
4 [illegible] [illegible] Range	[illegible] 1808	a-30-10 25 3/4 30 11/16	0·36 Ac 88		2-1-6	[illegible]	Do	Do	No proof whatever [illegible] [illegible] an old Inam Do	No Sanad [illegible] does not appear in the acct of F. 1212 [illegible] It appears in the [illegible] acct	At the Survey [illegible]	Not found in F. 1209 [illegible] [illegible] an old Inam	In F. 1882 [illegible] In F. 1857 [illegible]	Chappedi [illegible] [illegible] Rangayangar 38 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]
5 [illegible] [illegible] Range	[illegible] 1836	4-23-11 252 3/8 188 1/16	4·59 Ac 88			Do	Do	Do	It appears in the acct of F. 1212 [illegible] that the Inam had been enjoyed [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in F. 1212 as an old Inam [illegible] before F. 1209 Do	No Sanad This does not appear in F. 1209 as Inam It appears in F. 1212 as Inam in the name of Ramaya In F. 1231 it is [illegible] as an Inam [illegible] under the orders of the Collector The [illegible] Collector [illegible] [illegible] Inam the [illegible] the Inam [illegible] [illegible] which	At the Survey Chappedi Ramaya	In F. 1212 Ramaya [illegible] In F. 1209 not found In F. 1231 [illegible] [illegible] an old [illegible] from before F. 1209	In F. 1882 Chappedi Ramaya In F. 1857 Dujaya	Dalapeti [illegible] [illegible] Rangayangar 37 2 [illegible] Iyengar 42		[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible]		Does appear in F. 1212 at the earliest [illegible] It was [illegible] in F. 1231 by the Collector [illegible] in F. 1203 [illegible] [illegible]	1217
[illegible] Range	[illegible]	4-27-6 167 1/2 187 1/16	4·69 Ac 88		5-10-4															
		9-11-1 311 7/8 371 1/16	9·28 Ac 88																	
[illegible] Range	[illegible] 1837	a-33-8 29 34 6/16	0·85 Ac 88		3-3-3															
		10-8-9 340 7/8 403 9/16	10·14 Ac 88		8-13-7															

[illegible] Palayapattu Ossur Taluk [illegible]

Kadirakalluhalli, Sulagiri Palayapattu

Ossur Taluk

Regr No 27

"1511 0.47
15 3/? Acs
18 4/16 [illegible]

1 3 10

[illegible]

There is an old Inam of 1212 Fusli

The Inam does not appear in the 1212 as Inam at the earliest date. It does not appear in the 1231 but it appears in the Carnatic [illegible]

At the Durga Puttuswamy

The 1212 [illegible] from long time

Do 1088 Puttuswamy

Do 1057 Dinjaya [illegible]

Chappidi

Pratwari [illegible] Varada Chary 25

[illegible]

To be confirmed

Rs 1/8 2-2-0

25/4/62

Fusli 1218

18.3.63

[illegible] 11 14 0.30
10 Acs
11 14/16 [illegible]

1 11 10

do do do

The 1212 not found. The 1231 [illegible] It does not appear that it was included in the [illegible]

do

The Inam does not appear in any of the early accts. In 1231 it is classed as an Inam granted under the order of the Collector many years, but it was resumed.

At the Durga Panchayi Ramaya

not found in old accts.

Do 1088 Panchayi Ramaya

Do 1057 [illegible]

Berthi

Panchayi [illegible] 80

[illegible]

To be resumed [illegible]

13/5/62

Not found in the old accts. The later accts describe it as an old Inam. The Inamdar has failed to prove it [illegible]

9/12/61

Register No 28

Regr No 28

Inam Register of Kempanahalli No 54 in the Sulagiri Palayapattu Ossur Taluk Salem Dist.

The Inam value entered in 1231 is Brahmadaya — 2-14-2
Devadaya [illegible] 26-9-4
Total 29-7-6

This includes both [illegible]

The accts of 1209/12, & the ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] accts of 1222/31 [illegible] Fusli

[illegible] the Karnam [illegible]

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

[illegible] Range 1 79 8 1.29
50 Acs
59 8/16

1 1 9

Temple support of Timmaraya Devara Swamy at Kempanahalli. The Temple fell out of repairs

[illegible]

The 1212 Tirumala Devara [illegible] grant

The Inam does not appear in the 1209 & 1212. It appears in the 1231 as old Inam

At the Durga Tirumala Devara Salami Mukhaya

The 1212 Tirumala Devara [illegible]

Do 1088 Timmappa Devara Swamy

Do 1057 Varada

Kempanahalli old Inam

Timmappa Devaraswamy Pujari Timmali

To be confirmed

Tax free during the pleasure of Govt

13/5/62

Fusli 1217

7 6 3 7.15
240 1/2 Acs
28? [illegible]

7 1 9

Temple support of Someswara Swamy at Kempanahalli. The Temple is in good order but the idol is taken away by the Poligar of Sulagiri

do do do

There is an old Inam of 1209

The Inam does not appear [illegible] as Inam. In 1231, No 26 is entered as the Inam of Someswara Swamy of 1062 as the Inam of [illegible] Swamy but no temple of the latter exists. The Inam has been [illegible] x

At the Durga Pujari Nanjundaya

The 1209 [illegible] Someswara Swamy

Do 1088 Someswara Swamy

Do 1057 Nanjundaya

Kempanahalli old Inam

Someswara Swamy Pujari Parvatamma

~~[illegible]~~

There is no idol in the temple for 8 years, nor is any puja performed. The Poligar has taken away the idol into the fort at Sulagiri.

To be Resumed

T D No 4405

13/5/62

If the idol exists & Puja [illegible] in a different temple [illegible] the Inam [illegible] separate [illegible]

x [illegible] the idol [illegible] 8/3/66

[illegible] Range 3 4 75 3.12
182 15/16

11 10 6

Temple support of Bellali [illegible] at Bellali. [illegible] Repairs are made by the Dharmakartas.

do do do

There is an old Inam of 1209

The Inam [illegible] appears [illegible]

At the Durga Madda Malaraya

The 1209 [illegible]

Do 1088 Hodiya [illegible]

Do 1057 [illegible]

Chappidi Muniswamy Iyengar 42

2 Kasturi Rangaiyangar 37

[illegible]

[illegible] purchased from [illegible]

To be confirmed

Tax free ~~[illegible]~~ on the usual condition of service

Fusli 1220

Case 2

The Idol was removed 20 years from the orgl Pagoda — It was never taken [illegible] Poligar — The Worshippers placed the idol [illegible] another Pagoda [illegible] of which also they are the Pujaris [illegible] 14th May 1866 [illegible] 34 — The Inam is confirmed accordingly

Extract from the Register of Inams in the Village of Kemparamahalli - Sulagherry Palayapet - Osoor Taluq - Salem District -

~~Regr No 28~~
Sheet No ~~738~~ 732

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
7	Personal Dry Bhávi Punje Wet Bhávi Nunje	No 50 No 51	7-22-1 253 12/16 N.S. 302 1/16 S.S. 1-6-5 39 N.S. 46 6/16 S.S. 8-28-7 292 12/16 N.S. 348 7/16 S.S.	7.55 1.16 8.71		7-11-8 4-4-8 12--4	Bhita Vritti	Tax free.	Permanent	Not known not found in old Acct. A Kanadaf S. 1682 is produced & in F 1230 Venkatachary, a Kadim grant Jári	A Sunnud dated Sálivahana 1682 by Polygar Kistnarayyara to Venkatachari is produced. It appears in all the Accounts from Fusly 1209 as Inam	At the Survey Vencata Chairy	In Fusly 1231 Venkata Chairy of Kudiamur	In Fusly 1232 Venkata Chairy In Fusly 1257 Inam Jaried } Name is not entered	Chappedi Prativádi Venkata Chairy	29	Grand Son	Wife — 1 Brother — 1 & He produced only a Sunnud for both the Cases. Sd. A. N. Rau Dy Collr	This person describes himself as the grandson of Venkata Chari In Fusly 1231 the Case is entered as that of Venkata Chari at Kudiamur; and next Case is entered as that of Bodda Venkata Chari at Chappedi. The Inamdar says they were Cousins. I believe it is a misappropriated Inam of an extinct family. &.	To be Confirmed For the doubt in the heirship created by Comparison of this and the next Case ~~To be Confirmed~~ Quit Rent at 1/2 Rs. 6 - " - Compulsory. (Signed) A. Narain Rau Dy Collr I.C. 25th April 1862.	Confirmed Sd. A. Sashiah Apl Offr 18-3-63. T.D. No 1209.

(True Copy)

Ag [illegible] Member in Charge of the Inam Depart

Rev: Bd Office
Inam Depart
8th April 1870

Compd

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
7

(Enclosures 20 together with list).

Register of Inams in the Village of Rempiranapalli, Shulagiri, Poliput, Oosoor Taluk, Salem District.

No. 7.	Personal	Bandé Punj No: 50. Banu Nanj No. 51.	Guntas 253 13/16 39 292 13/16	A. C. 7. 55 1. 16 8. 71	—	Rs. A. P. 7. 11. 8 4. 4. 8 12. 0. 4	Bhatavritti. This or subsistence grant.	Tax free.	Hereditary.	Not known	No sunnud or other documents	Not known.	In the Accounts of Fusly 1209 — Vencata Chari	In the accounts of Fusly 1229 and 1232 Vencata chari. This is the individual mentioned in the 14th column.	1 Vencatachari Ramaswami Iyengar Age 40 yrs. 2 Veeraraghava Iyengar Age 38 3 Ramaswami Iyengar Age 57.	Kothapalli Mauzah & Boodilingam, Oosoor Taluk.

14th column.

In the accounts of Fusly 1257 the Inam is entered, but without the name of the holder

Alienees. No. 1. Wife 1. son 1/2; No. 2. None. No. 3. Wife 1.

It appears that 30 years ago, Dasaiyengar son of Vencata Chari the original grantee, gave this Inam as a dowry to his daughter, Latchamammal who was married to one Krishnaiyengar. She died without issue and the Inam then passed to the present holders who are his brothers' sons.

This Register is prepared in accordance with the Collector's letter dated 4th December 1871 No. 3557.

2. The Inam is of an older date than 50 years and being a recent alienation, it is recommended that it be confirmed subject to 1/4 quit-rent namely Rs. 3-0-0. The Title Deed No. 1209 which, as stated in my letter to Collector dated the 13th February 1871 No. 90, has been erroneously issued in the name of Pratiwadi Vencata Chari, is herewith returned for cancelment.

Fred Nicholson

Sub Collector

Confirmed on 1/2 assesst.
16/8/72.

T. D. No. 4447

Reg. No 28
Sheet No ~~737~~ 731

Salem Sub Collector's Office
Camp Palicode 4th March 1872

Fair copies

To
The Collector of
Salem

Exd
W. E.

Exd
15/8/72

(Vi.) Regr No 69.

Register of an Inam
in the Village of
Kamparanahalli
Oosur Taluq.