Agrahar Dhanthilu XIII

13 Register No 13
Sheet No 32 b

328

Inam Register of [illegible] Taluk [illegible] village [illegible] District [illegible]

This is a Srotriem village — the share of the Circar is 2/3 & that of the Inamdars 1/3 —
The village is in the midst of a jungle, & has remained from long time a mass of waste — the soil is [illegible], & there is no temptation for Ryots to take up the lands for cultivation — the village is haunted by wild beasts.
The village was originally a Sarva Inam; converted into a [illegible] village by Tippu, & confirmed on the Srotriem tenure by Mr. [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் வருடம் 1986
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible] மாதம் வருடம் 1986
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

No 13

Srotriem Village

Extent Assessment [illegible]

Ryoti	112.49	
[illegible] comprised in the [illegible] of [illegible]	30.25	
Total	142.94	
Deduct [illegible]	47.23	
Nett	95.71	
		Rupees
Dry	59.65	39.12.0
Unassessed waste	36.06	[illegible]
Total	95.71	39.12.0

For [illegible] in Cherry

Tax or average Beriz of last 5 years
None

Nil

Nil [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Rao

The Inamdars [illegible] that the village was granted as Sarva Manyam by [illegible] Rao to Tippam [illegible] & that in Tippu's days it was continued as Sarva Inam tenure; that by [illegible] the village remained a desert. [illegible] the village having remained waste [illegible] it was [illegible] & that Mr. [illegible] confirmed the village on Srotriem tenure
[illegible]

Original grantee Tippam [illegible]

State
[illegible] Document. [illegible] & [illegible]
1/2 share
[illegible] by [illegible]
Anantaya to Rama [illegible] Ramachandra Bhat & [illegible] Ramabhat [illegible]

Denkankotta
1 Tippam Subbaya 24 — Lineal descendants of the grantee — [illegible]
2 Narasimhappa 18 — Do — [illegible]
3 Ramaya 40 — Do — [illegible]
4 Subbaya 28 — Do — [illegible]
1/4 share

Denkankotta
5 Anayadi Luxmana chey 30
6 Hanumantha [illegible] 3 — Purchasers [illegible] & [illegible] — [illegible]
7 Venkatraya [illegible] 56 — [illegible]
8 Anantayya 52 — [illegible]
9 Sripati Acharya 40 — [illegible]
10 Rama Krishna [illegible] 45 — [illegible]
11 Venkataramana 25 — [illegible]
1/2 share

Denkankotta
12 [illegible] Betrayabhat 8 — Purchasers [illegible] — [illegible]
13 Subbabhat 35 — Do — [illegible]
14 Narasimhabhat 30 — Do — [illegible]
15 Keshabhat 20 — Do — [illegible]
1/4 share —

Produces [illegible] in [illegible] dated [illegible] 1669 (?) [illegible] Anantaya to Rama [illegible] & Rama [illegible] [illegible] share in the village for [illegible]

Produces [illegible] dated [illegible] (1847) by Anantaya [illegible] Ramaya to Betrayabhat [illegible] share for [illegible]

Confirm

in the names of Nos 5 & 13 —

The village is a mass of waste from long time — there has been no revenue derived to the Inamdars. The position & resources of the village are of so disadvantageous a character [illegible] was [illegible] for [illegible] in the [illegible] — In [illegible] whimsical rate was charged [illegible] for the village. It appears that some 30 years ago, a few lands were cultivated by adventurous Inamdars & Ryots but ~~[illegible]~~ they were early repulsed in their ~~[illegible]~~ enterprise & the village has remained a desert up to this day. I therefore recommend that the village may be made over to the Inamdars at a moderate fixed tax of [illegible] a year, this appearing to me as a [illegible] to [illegible] the enterprise of the Inamdars in the direction of cultivating & opening up the village as a [illegible] property. The Govt. will also realize a ready & [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ income of [illegible] a year in lieu of the tax [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

This case is quite an exceptional one & there being no data on which to found a compromise the best course is, as proposed by the Sub Collector, to leave the village for the present on its existing tenure —
[illegible]
11/1/65

The [illegible] village
The village has been a dreary mass of waste for the last 30 years — No Jamabandi was [illegible] during the last 5 years [illegible] the Kayam [illegible] the lands [illegible] were [illegible] — In [illegible] there was no cultivation.

xx village is a Kayam Tax of [illegible] a year, [illegible] from an attachment to ancestral property than from any inducement of an immediate profit — I therefore recommend that the village may be made over to the Agraharadars on the tax of [illegible] a year & confirm on the Kayam [illegible] tenure, with all the rights of enfranchisement, in the names of Nos 5 & 13 —
[illegible]
Dy. Collr.
26/3/63
Dharmapuri

A copy of the report from the Sub Collector on the subject of this Agraharam is enclosed, from which it will be seen that there are objections to the enfranchisement of the village on the terms proposed by the Deputy Collector.

[illegible]
Collector

Fair Copied