Sheet No 3

Sa. Ane [illegible] Vattarahalli No 30 [illegible] Taluq [illegible] Nagapatna [illegible]

Regency

Sheet No 393

Grounds S. No 31 – 8 8 0·21
Hani Nanji 7 1/8 Ks
8 8/16 SS

S. No 32 – 22 8 1·56
Kallada Nanji 62 1/2 Ks
62 8/16 SS

1 8 – 1·77
59 5/8 Ks
7 – SS

1-5-5
9-12-
11-2-

Khata Kurbi Pan fee Brui Vaut Sali Inam No Land

S. 1791 "Granted by Polygar Chikappa Naik in Sarvajit S. 2 year 1790"

It appears the all the cultivation of the Inam

× adopted son Subba Ramabhat & that he appears to be [illegible] as [illegible] The Inam recorded in the name of the adopted son Subba Ramabhat

Dk 2 – 6
with complete

At No [illegible] Rama Bhat
S. 1212 Bapuler Laxman bhat
Sa. 1831 Rama Bhat
Sa 1859 Laxmana Bhat
Do

Kodur Laxmana Bhat 57 son
Ups Kodur Sas 3

× his claim to property cannot be admitted he should [illegible] in the Civil Court if he has any right in the Inam

Bagahir Old Title

To be Confirmed

Rs 1/8 21-8-

Laxmanabhat's uncle [illegible] Pattabhi Ramabhat states that his father was enjoying a half of the Inam, & Laxmanabhat has since encroached upon the whole for the last 20 years, it is clear he had no enjoyment of any share in the Inam – in other villages he enjoys his share distinctly.

T. Deed 669 Confd
25 Octr

Podonaf S. No 30 – 17 15 0·45
Modalidi Nanji 15 8/32 Ks
17 16/16 SS

Wanted certainty [illegible] of the natural father [illegible] father the separate [illegible] together [illegible] the parties [illegible] as much Inam as [illegible] the Inam in the village is in the enjoyment [illegible]

1 10 5

No Land



Do

At No [illegible] Ramabhadraraja
Grants in [illegible] Ramadu [illegible] paragas
S 1212 Rama bhadraraja
Sa 1831 Pattabhi Ramabhat
Sa 1859 Aheramraja

Kodur Ramabhat died 3 years ago
Old Inam
Grandson
No wife or children
The heirs claiming are a Brother's widow Narasamma & an alleged adopted son Subba Ramabhat who is otherwise [illegible] brother's grandson

This is a case of Lapse – the adoption is proved by Brahmins [illegible] I have inquired into the case & I am of opinion that the adoption could not be considered legal or [illegible] as the adopted boy [illegible] related to the adopting father, [illegible]

T. Deed 966 Confd
D.R.

Gulonaf S. No 79 – 5 16 14 5·42
[illegible] Malla Nanji 183 1/4 Ks
216 7/16 SS

S. No 21 – 22 12 0·59
Malladi Nanji 20 Ks
23 12/16 SS

S. No 39 – 4 13 0·12
[illegible] Nanji 4 Ks
4 13/16 SS

28 9 0·71
24 Ks
28 9/16 SS

6 5 7 6·13
205 1/4 Ks
225 7/16 SS

3 6 1
1 7 8
– 4 9
1 12 5
6 2 6

S. 1791 "Granted in Pattana or 54 paragas & by Polygar Chikappa Naik"

No Land

Do

The Deeds not appear in the Act of 1212

At No [illegible] Narana Bhat
Do's not found
Sa 1831 Ritharaja
Sa 1859 Not [illegible] enjoyed [illegible]

Old Inam

Notice issued on the 3d March 1862

Inamdar has not submitted his case

Date of appn for attachment 13th June 1864

Relinquished for dept
13/11/65

To be resumed

[illegible] S. No 53 – 2 21 7 2·66
Narasi Malla Nanji 81 30/? Ks
106 7/16 SS

S. No 25 – 8 15 0·22
[illegible] Nanji 7 1/2 Ks
8 15/16 SS

2 35 6 2·88
88 15/16 Ks
116 6/16 SS

2 11 3
– 8 11
3 4 2

S. 1791 "Granted in the year [illegible] Ramada or 44 years ago by Polygar Chikappa Naik"

No Land

Do

The Deeds not appear in the Act of 1212

At No [illegible] Sellaraja
Not found in the Act of 1212
Sa 1831 Narayana Bhat
Sa 1859 Nagendraja

Old Inam disposed of by supplement in 28 [illegible]

Bettka Nanjun Laiya
Son Wife 1
Vakil Narasappandita
24/7/60
appd [illegible] 10/7/65
[illegible] with the original

Lago 4165

To be Confirmed for the doubt at [illegible]
Compulsory
Sd/ A. Narain Rao
Deputy Collector
28/5/62

T. D. Refd on 1/8 [illegible] change [illegible] because the Inam [illegible] a Palegar [illegible]

[illegible] S. No 33 – 1 7 – 0·42
Malladi Nanji 13 32/64 Ks
7 64 SS

1 6 8

S. 1791 "Granted by Polygar Chikappa Naik [illegible] Ramada [illegible]"

No Land

Do

At No [illegible] Narasimma Chary
S. 1212 Narasimha Chary
Sa 1831 Paravastu Narasimma Chary
Sa 1859 [illegible]

Bagahir
Paravastu Venkatachary 50
Brother son
Ups [illegible] Sas 2

This is now in the enjoyment of Narasamma [illegible] but he is not able to produce [illegible] This Inam was in the enjoyment of Narasimha Chary [illegible] Venkatachary who died 10 years ago [illegible]

To be Confd
Rs 8 [illegible]

T. Deed 678
Confd

In the Register of Vattarahatte No 30 in the Begur Patgahatta [illegible]

Old Inam

[illegible] Nanji 7 35 11 7·89
266 Ks
316 11/16 SS
8 13 3

Jodi No 14
[illegible] Nanji 1 34 15 1·87
68 Ks
74 15/16 SS
1 10 3

9 30 10 9·76
328 Ks
390 11/16 SS
10 7 6

Jodi No 26 1 9 9 1·22
Ababakalla Nanji 41 5/8 Ks
49 9/16 SS
4 10 4

Jodi No 52 2 33 11 2·84
Bodalere Nanji 122 3/4 Ks
198 11/16 SS
24 1 8

Jodi No 58 0 37 14 0·95
Ranga Palli Nanji 31 7/8 Ks
37 14/16 SS
2 5 8

7 1 2 7·08
236 1/4 Ks
281 2/16 SS
81 1 4

16 31 13 16·79
512 1/4 Ks
671 10/16 SS
41 8 10

5

For the Tank called Vattarahalli Tank Vattarahalli
No. repaired ever
Repairs are made by the Kaladandadars
Ayakat

Tax free

Term Rent

Inam Deed No 7 128/31
Mosmuth
Le 1212 granted by Saltanum Chuddappa made in Sarvadhari or 35 years ago

Not known

Dasaund
It appears made to the tank from long as Inam

At the Survey Nasanti Chinnaya

Dasa Tank Inam Appaya

Le 7 1231 Chinnaya
Le 7 1267 Patel Nanjappa Inam [illegible]

Vattarapalli
Vatterpalli Tank
Dasavandadars
Gane Savji at Bangalore

The Inam was not appealed. The Inam passed into several hands & the last purchaser is Gane Savji - the tank is in good condition. I therefore confirm the Inam on tenure of condition of service.

7 Ctn
671

25 Oct

1/4/62

No. of Fields				Description of Inams	Extent					Jodi		Assessment	Rejected	[illegible]	Ended	Remarks
			Total		No.	Dry	Wet	Gar	Total							
1	0	0	1	Devadayam	1	2·86	0	0	2·86	0	0	1 12 9				
2	3	0	5	Dasavandam	1	9·76	7·03	0	16·79	0	0	41 8 10				
3	7	0	10	Personal	5	8·02	3·63	0	11·65	0	0	23 9 9				
6	10	0	16	Total	7	20·64	10·66	0	31·30	0	0	66 15 4				

Register No 20

Register 20

Inam Register Jogi Kalasamanahalli No 27 in the Hobli Begur Patgahatta [illegible] Taluk Bangalore Dist

The act of Tank has been made deserve his references are noted in each case

Pure Dasavandam Inams village of the Bagalur [illegible]
The appeal is simple.
No Inams resumed have been registered in 7 1231-

2 3 4 5 1 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Nelladoga Jodi No 12 2 27 11 2·69
Mavala Nanji 90 1/2 Ks
107 11/16 SS
1 14 2

For the Lamp of Anjaneya Swamy at Jogi Kalasamanahalli the Sanctuary is in good order

Tax free

Permanent

Not known old Inam
Nosmuth It was renewed

Devadaya
It appears in the Survey Account of this village [illegible] Account of 7 1231 [illegible] the applicant of Inam

At the Survey Anjaneya Swamy Pujari Veeranaya

Le 7 1231 Anjaneya Swamy Pujari Veeranaya
Le 7 1267 Inam [illegible] details not shown

Old Inam

Jogi Kalasamanahalli
Anjaneya Swamy Pujari Natani Timmaya

[illegible]
I therefore confirm the Inam of the [illegible]

7 Ctn
673

1/4/62

Jodi No 16 0 8 14 0·22
Bani Nanji 7 1/2 Ks
8 11/16 SS
1 1 2

2 36 9 2·91
98 Ks
116 9/16 SS
2 15 4

Kereguduvayam Jodi No 1 1 35 0 1·76
Bodalere Nanji 57 1/8 Ks
76 SS
1905 0
5 0 0

Jodi No 8 2 23 14 2·60
77 17/64 Ks
103 11/16 SS
12 2 8

Do

For the Tank Constructed 2 Tanks called Veerabhadra & Chikka Tanks at Jogi Kalasamanahalli Repairs are good [illegible]

Do

Do

Le 1118 granted by [illegible] Chennappa made in Sarvajit

No Inam

Do

At the Survey Veeranaya

Le 1212 Veeranna Chetty

Le 7 1231 Veerabhadra Chetty

Le 7 1267 [illegible]

Kitnageri
1 Appanna Chetty
2 Ramaswami Chetty
2 Tirupataiya Chetty

Old Inam

Names corrected vide Jat Order 30th May 1867 No 16 - the T. [illegible] also corrected & [illegible] after the [illegible]
J.K.
19/8/67

The Inamdars have not appealed as yet. The present occupiers are purchasers [illegible] & the tank is in good [illegible]

7 Ctn
672

No. 20

Inam Register of Yogikatakamanakatti [illegible] in the Bagalur Talook [illegible]

Register No. 20
Sheet No. 3.94

Personal
Dry No. 9 2 6 14 2.17
Manala Ranji 144 1/4 Ass
166 14/16 E.S.
2 1 2
Whole Ravti Pasu Full Rami Raut [illegible] [illegible]
The land
It appears to be the same
[illegible] to the [illegible]
Li Fusli 1st granted
by Polygar
Chadappa
Naik in Prabhava
Yr [illegible]
At the survey
Narasimma Chary
In Fusli 1231
Narasimma Chary
In Fusli 1267
Rama Chary
Venkata Chary
Brothers
Li Fusli 12[illegible]
Venkata Chary
Bagalur Old Inam
Paravasthi Venkata Chary 50
Brothers 4
sons 2
Confirmed
Rs 1/8 2-4-0
4/4/61
Confirmed
449
25 Oct 62

Personal
Dry No. 83 3 33 1 3.82
Manala Ranji 128 5/8 Ass
153 1/16 E.S.
2 8 10
Do Do Do Do
The land
Li Fusli 1st granted
in Parthiva
Yr 57 years ago
by Polygar Chadappa
Naik
At the survey
Ramabhat
Li Fusli 12[illegible]
Venkata bhat
In Fusli 1231
Ramabhat
In Fusli 1267
Laxmana
[illegible]
bhat 50
Bagalur Old Inam
Kodur Laxmana bhat 59
son
Brothers
Brother's
sons 3
Whole Confirmed
Rs 1/8 2-8-0
4/4/61
Confirmed
450

Personal
Dry No. 39 2 17 9 2.44
Manala Ranji 149 3/16 Ass
177 9/16 E.S.
Dry No. 40 5 30 1 5.76
Halla Ranji 193 18/32 Ass
230 6/16 E.S.
10 7 15 10.20
342 26/32 Ass
407 15/16 E.S.
2 3 9
2 14 3
5 2
Do Do Do Do
The land
Li Fusli 1st granted
in Isvara
Yr 58 years ago
by Polygar Chadappa
Naik
At the survey
Venkata Chelaya
Not found in the Acct of Fusli 12[illegible]
In Fusli 1231
Venkata Chelaya
In Fusli 1267
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Vappachapalli Old Inam
Manikonda Ramakka 60
Brother's [illegible] widow
Daughter
Daughter's sons
Husband's
Brother's son
Venkata Chelaya
Ramakka requests
the Registry be made in
favour of her daughter's son
but her husband's brother's
son Venkatachelaya
states that the family
were formerly divided
& that this Inam & others
have been always allowed as
[illegible] to Ramakka. He
therefore opposes the claim of
Ramakka to Registry. [illegible]
[illegible] the Inam in favour of
Ramakka & have their [illegible]
their dispute in the Civil Court
if [illegible] does not appear in the
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
1/8 21 R[illegible]
Confirmed
451

Dry No. 15 1 19 12 0.49
Rami Ranji 16 4/16 Ass
19 14/16 E.S.
1 5 4
It does not
appear in the
[illegible]
Fusli 12[illegible]
Dry No. 4 2 16 12 0.67
[illegible] Ranji 22 15/16 Ass
26 12/16 E.S.
1 13 4
1 6 10 1.16
33 9/32 Ass
46 10/16 E.S.
3 2 8
Total
Dry [illegible] 11 14 9 11.36
381 15/16 Ass
454 9/16 E.S.
8 2 8
Dry 20.71
Wet 7.50
[illegible]
16-5-09

Personal
Dry No. 26 2 20 14 2.52
Dubbi Ranji 87 3/4 Ass
100 4/16 E.S.
1 12 3
Dry No. [illegible] 1 18 12 0.32
Rami Ranji 11 1/2 Ass
13 12 E.S.
1 10 8
Do Do Do Do
The land
Li Fusli 1231 granted
by Polygar
Chadappa
Naik in
[illegible]
56 years ago
At the survey
Nallari Rama Chary
It does not appear in the acct of Fusli 12[illegible]
It does not
appear in the
[illegible] 12[illegible]
In Fusli 1231
Rama Chary
In Fusli 1267
Annaya Chary
Srinivasa
Bagalur Old Inam
Nallari Annaya Chary 55
son
sons 3
Rs 1/8 2-5-2 1-12-3
Bagalur
2 Paravasthi Venkata Chary 50
Brother's son
family
[illegible]
[illegible]
Given [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] Daleep [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] the Inam
7 [illegible]
1/8 2-4-[illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
Confirmed

[illegible] Inam Register of [illegible] in the Bagalkot Taluka [illegible]

Register No 20

Revenue Sy No 50 Nilanur Kolla Ranje	2-25 7 2·63 88 19/32 A.S 105 7/16 S.S	2 8 2	Bhata vritti	Lag fee	Brahma Gift	Not known	No Inam In No 31 granted in Sarodlem 55 years ago by Solyan Chandappa Naik	Happens in all the Aethipare Inzegar [illegible] Do appear in the act of 1812	At the Survey Venkatadri Bhat Not found in 1812	In No 31 Venkatadri Bhat In No 57 Rajamma Sitamma Laxmamma Ramabhat	Bagalkot Do Yellala Venkatesa bhat 40 Old Inam Daughter Mother the Mother father [illegible] Sitamma Deceased [illegible] Laxuma widow [illegible] Lakhu [illegible] Venkatadri her daughter Title [illegible] 4/6/62 Reg 454 25 Octr
Revenue Sy No 2 Madalu Ranje	9 5 0·23 7 13/16 A.S 9 5/16 S.S Dry 2·63 Wet 0·23 2·86	0 8 3 2-4-5	Do	Do	Do	Do	No Inam Is No 31 granted in the year of Taraua or 58 years ago by Solyan Chandappa Naik	Do	At the Survey Venka Aradani Not found in the act of 1812	In No 31 Venka Aradani In No 57 Not known Inzegar Inam entered	Bagalkot Yellala Venkatesa bhat 40 Old Inam Daughter of the [illegible] Do Title [illegible] [illegible] 4/6/62 Reg 455
Revenue Sy No 3 Maral Ranje Sy No 67 Maral Ranje	1 7 13 1·20 20 3/16 A.S 47 13/16 S.S 2 21 8 2·63 85 5/16 A.S 101 8/16 S.S 3 29 5 3·73 195 1/2 A.S 149 5/16 S.S	1 1 9 3 0 10 4 2 7	Do Khali	Do	Do	Do	No Inam In No 31 Old Inam In No 22 8 No remark	Do	At the Survey Mastan Khan It does not appear in the act of 1812	In No 31 Mastan Khan In No 57 Nasar Khan Hayat Khan Sabanalli the Ali Khan Kadar Khan	Old Inam It has been [illegible] on the 3rd March 1862 the Inam was that [illegible] were Hayat Khan & Kadar Khan at Bagalkot 7 miles from [illegible] Date of appn for ~~attachment~~ 13th June 1864. Passed [illegible] 18/[illegible]/65 Title Resumed

No of Fields				Description of Inams	Extent					[illegible]			Inam			Present Date	Assessed	[illegible]	Cause
No	[illegible]	[illegible]	Total		[illegible]	No	[illegible]	[illegible]	Total										
1	1	0	2	Devadayam	1	2·69	0·22	0	2·91	0	0	0	2	15	4				
0	2	0	2	Dharmadayam	1	0	4·36	0	4·36	0	0	0	17	2	8				
8	4	0	12	Personal	7	27·09	1·73	0	28·82	0	0	0	23	6	9				
9	7	0	16	Total	9	29·78	6·31	0	36·09	0	0	0	43	8	9				

Register No 21

Register No 21

Inam Register of Kallipur No 28 in the Bagalkot Taluka [illegible]

33 [illegible]

Inam of 1812 is [illegible] This is a [illegible] Inam Village of the Bagalkot Taluka

It is recorded are [illegible] Card

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Revenue Sy No 3 Marala Ranje	2 16 7	2·40 10 3/8 A.S			1 8 2	Devasthan Hanuman	Lag fee	Pooja Hanuman In No 31 [illegible]	Not Known No remark	Inam Happens [illegible]	At the Survey Anganna Pujari		In No 31 Pujari Rajanna In No 57		Kalliperur Anganna [illegible] Pujari		Old Inam		Title [illegible]	TON 456	Reg [illegible]