
இவ்விடம்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
தினம் மாதம் வருடம்
தூரப்பார்வை உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.



Reg-no 225
Sheet 12
338
338

General Remarks

Name of Village

This is a Kiriya Village. It was a grant of Lay Sri Rāja on Sarva Swāmi tenure. Its Rent at the time of grant was 158-5-4. In Fasli 1209 Sadi of 159 Pc were charged. In F 1209 and F 1210 Captain Graham resided at the Village on a light Shrotriem tenure. In F 1211 & F 1212 Mr D Cockburn confirmed the Village on the Enchiritaram or 1/3 tenure. In F 1213 Mr Cockburn resumed on half of the Village & confirmed the remaining 1/2 on the 1/3 share which in effect turned the Village into a 1/4 share Village. The resumption of one half was made in F 1213 because the half was mortgaged to the Karnam and then given by the Karnam in gift to Lingappa Rangasami. A few fields were Bhattavarti Swāmi & they were the resumed in F 1213 and confirmed on the Enchiritaram tenure. Hence the shares are 1/3 on the few resumed Bhattavarti lands & 1/4 on the remaining lands of the Village. The Bhattavarties appear on a separate Register. The web depends on a tank & on an anicut. They are repaired at the joint proportionate cost of the government and the agraharamdars.

Homahulli

15 Received Total agrahat as per Survey.

	Total Agrahat	846.13
Add increase found in the resurvey of F 1218		1.11
	Total	850.78
Deduct Poramboke		95.17
	Net remaining	755.61
Deduct Swāmi		7.59
	Net remaining	748.02
Add error calculated from Poramboke		8.68
	Total	756.70

Cultivation in F 1271.

Details of cultivation in F 1271									
	Dry	187.74	—	221	8				
				65	8	7			
	Wet	15.48	—	283	9	3			
	Total	203.17							
	Pdagal								
	Dry	31.66							
	Wet	7.78							
	Total	39.44							
	Total Dry	219.40							
	Do Wet	23.22							
	Total	242.62							

The assessment memo to Tank shows eight Khane been entered in the Deed but the mistake seems to be a correction as the word was eight & erased

28/6/29

Commencement unto in Dry 14.08
Wet — 14.18

Grand total	Dry	232.18
	Wet	23.22
		256.70

For Subsistence Sadi 177-29 Dero 47-6 Act Chavy

Act Land. Produced a rental Patta of F 1210 in the name of Abr. & Nobel in the name of Bilaiya — a descendant of Lay Sri rented the original grant Patta of F 1210 Lemmappa enjoys in the name of the Village. Timmappa is the son of Bilaiya

Original Grant Pattas Paijaya of F 1213 & F 1210 Bilaiya 15

In F 1234. Lay Sri rented the original grant Patta of F 1210 Lemmappa enjoys in the name of the Village. Timmappa is the son of Bilaiya

Cultivation & Rent during the last 5 years.

	Fasliah	Dry	Wet	Total	Inamdars making Wet Cultivation & deducting Cultivation	Agraharam share	Ryots share
		A. C.	A. C.	A. C.	R—	A. P.	R. A. P. R.
37-4-9	Fasli F 1269	142.97	19.35	162.32	252—	1—5	45—11—7	206—5—10
28-12-10	Fasli F 1268	142.97	19.35	162.32	214—	9—9	39—14—3	174—11—6
22-1-7	Fasli F 1269	154.33	19.72	174.05	205—	10—8	82—10—9	168—15—11
258-6-5	Fasli F 1270	176.17	15.43	191.60	214—	1—	39—6—2	174—10—10
94-5-5								
352-10-10	Fasli F 1271	185.78	4.66	190.44	212—	12—8	39—2—9	173—9—11
Total Land.	Total	802.22	78.51	880.73	1099—	3—6	200—13—6	898—6—
	Average by 5.	184.45	15.70	176.15	219—	13—6	40—2—9	178—10—9
258-6-5								
94-5-5								
352-10-10								

Collector's Letter 3/27 September 1866 No 34, forwards a copy of Sub Collector's letter in which he states "After enquiry & I consider that the value of the Village of Homahulli has been under-Estimated by the Assistant Deputy Collector."

"The lands of this Village for Fasli 1271 was given at Rupees 219 but since then and within the last 5 years it has risen to Rupees 262 and is likely from the increasing value of the land & become still larger. There is also a large extent of uncultivated waste (Acres 101) which is capable of being in future brought under cultivation and the Village has further the advantage of having 3 irrigation works within its limits."

"Judging from the above that there is for further improvement and the profit & the average of the last 5 years Rupees 262 is the fair Government assessment."

As the terms of Collector's letter

Ground Assessment 87 Inamdar's Wet Cultivation 101 Poisses Bongal 101

Under the above rates, the proposed rent

Dr
Fasli 1269 262-12-8
1270 8—
1271 262-12-8
264-12-8

Purchasers Son Survivor daughters Son of the Surety

Bilaiya executed a Deed of Sale in the name of Bilaiya on stamped paper dated Parmidesta selling half share in addition to the half already mortgaged, the amount being 150 Pc the mortgage amount to Pc Total 394 Pagodas and adding a stipulation that if the amount of sale and mortgage be not returned by the end of Siddharti (F 1269) the whole of the allowance should be considered as sold for the above aggregate amount.

Bankamkota

1 Raja Venkamma Beylaiyā Daughter 40. Daughter-in-law Bilaiyā

1/8 share of the allowance &c

Her husband had mortgaged 1/2 share in the allowance with the lands of the Pattas to Pathan Kaligaru

This formed a case of lapse. Venkamma's husband Timmappa died in 1856 and a lapse enquiry was held. The claim of the purchaser Venkatramaiyā was also adverted in the enquiry.

Mr Brett Collector pronounced that the sale was inadmissible in as much as it took place subsequent to the ruling of 1848 and confirmed the Shrotriem in the name of Timmappa's wife Venkamma directing that after her death the circumstance should be reported for the orders of the Hon'ble Vide Collector's Fasli No 817 dated 15th October 1858. Notwithstanding this order the sale and the Enchiritaram continued in the enjoyment of the purchasers and of his widow Lakshmamma and on Bilaiyā Timmappa while executing the Kararnama of 1853 got his lands in the Village registered in the name of Pakati-papamaiyā in whose name the Patta stands to the day. It appears that the purchaser Venkatramaiyā was the eldest son of Timmappa or the daughter son of Bilaiyā. Venkatramaiyā died 5 years ago leaving a widow & three sons. Timmappa's wife & Venkatramaiyā had already entered into a Kararnama with each other confirming the sale between themselves & arranging that 1/8 share of the allowance and Patta lands should be enjoyed by Venkamma as her own property. Venkamma's Pakati (brother) Lingappa and Ramaiyā

Bankamkota

2 Lingapati Ramaiyā 13 3/8 of the allowance & Patta lands &c

Purchasers Son Survivor daughters Son of the Surety

Brother Brother Sister (Married) In 1853 Timmappa executed a Deed of Sale in the name of Bilaiyā on stamped paper dated Parmidesta selling half share in addition to the half already mortgaged, the amount being 150 Pc the mortgage amount to Pc Total 394 Pagodas and adding a stipulation that if the amount of sale and mortgage be not returned by the end of Siddharti (F 1269) the whole of the allowance should be considered as sold for the above aggregate amount.

Present Venkamma Pakati Ramaiyā Lakshmamma & her son Lingapati Ramaiyā

Ramaiyā on Venkatramaiyā

Pattas Paijaya of F 1213 & F 1210 Bilaiyā 15

In F 1234. a descendant of Lay Sri rented the original grant Patta of F 1210 Lemmappa enjoys in the name of the Village. Timmappa is the son of Bilaiyā

Original Grant Pattas Paijaya of F 1213 & F 1210 Bilaiyā 15

In F 1234. a descendant of Lay Sri rented the original grant Patta of F 1210 Lemmappa enjoys in the name of the Village. Timmappa is the son of Bilaiyā

Sub Collector's

Ramaiyā on Venkatramaiyā

Lingapati Ramaiyā

Lakshmamma & her son Lingapati

Details of allotments showing the last 5 years.

	Land assessment	Remission	Village Establishment	Expenditure
Sa. F. 1267	274-9-4	2-1-4	22-7-11	"—8—"
Sa. F. 1268	234-4-4	41-11-3	21-11-3	"—8—"
Sa. F. 1269	227-1-7	32-11-3	21-6-11	"—8—"
Sa. F. 1270	235-10-11	62-14-6	21-7-11	"—8—"
Sa. F. 1271	254-6-2	6-5-7	21-9-6	"—8—"
Total	1218—"	126-15-4	108-12-6	"—15—"
Average by 5	241-9-7	25-6-5	21-12-1	"—3—"

This Samahandi account not being clear as to the plan on which the Reiz was share by Government as agraharadaru I asked the Taeildar for further explanation and he has submitted a precise statement which is recorded in the back (below) of the Register which gives full particulars of the shares.

The Taeildar explains that the former statement excluded the Reiz including gaffer & pari pootiyan and he has now given the value of these shares as the net division.

Fasliyar.	Samahandi Assessment of the Village Cash.	Reduced by Jodi pootiyan.	Reiz remission.	Deduct Inamdar's share.	Net Total of 3 Crop shares.
Sa. F. 1267	252-1-5	114-14-8	137-2-9	45-11-7	91-7-2	206-5-10
Sa. F. 1268	214-9-9	94-14-11	119-10-10	39-14-8	79-12-7	174-11-6
Sa. F. 1269	205-10-8	95-10-4	110-"-4	36-11-9	73-5-7	167-15-4
Sa. F. 1270	214-1-"-	95-14-6	118-2-6	39-4-2	78-12-4	174-10-10
Sa. F. 1271	213-12-8	95-4-3	117-8-5	39-2-9	78-5-8	173-9-11
Total	1099-3-6	499-10-8	602-8-10	200-13-6	401-"-4	898-6-"-
Average by 5.	219-12-6	99-5-4	120-8-2	40-2-9	80-5-5	179-10-9

(Signed) S. Narayanarao
Deputy Collector

Jno
Asst

to Sahib Ramayya) agreed to the regularity of the allowance in the names of both for their respective shares as settled by private Pasarmanies. I see no objection to complying with their request under the most liberal rule now otherwise.

From the Collector's Diary it appears that Venkamma was reported to have had no issue, perhaps the female issue was not then known by the reporting Taeildar among inheriting heirs. It is true Venkamma has no male issue but she has a daughter married & so the registration according to the request of the parties does not in any way affect the rights of Government. Venkamma is subject only to 1/8 Dust Dent having a daughter who is capable of bearing children. Under these circumstances I would Confirm the allowance in the names of both Kanakkan.

The average Reiz is	219-12-6	
Pycar share or 3/5	179-10-9	
Inamdar's share	40-2-9	
Do. the deferred Do.		Rupees
Dust Dent 1/8		Rupees
		Rupees
Deduct for the repairs of the tank & Bund		
		Rupees

The net ryakat is only about Rs. 139 from the ryots to whom I propose the average amount should be apportioned for repairs of the tanks of irrigation the details for repairs would amount to about Rs. 8 or 9 share of Government would be about Rs. 6 in round numbers.

The Inamdar agrees to take up the village on the Kistpaw fixed of Rs. 179.

(Sign'd) S. Narayanarao
Deputy Collector

4th April 1863
Devanpari

(True Copy)
J. W. Braun
Asst Inam Commissioner

Inam Commissioner's Office
Madras 6th July 1866

Comd. D. Srinivasa Sastry
Examiner

Enc. in a letter from the Collector of Salem dated 27/9/66 No. 34/533.

In a letter from the Asst Inam Commr. to the Collector of Salem dated 4th July 1866 No. 718.

(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)
Taxable Persons Name and Village	Amount of Land Tax charged for the year	Deduct for Land forfeited	Net Amount	Deduct Remission and Share	Net Land Tax remaining	Total of Columns Five and Six
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

Details of [illegible] being [illegible]

	Land assessment	Kattu-cum	Village establish-ment	Repairs	
In J1267	274-9-4	3-12-4	22-7-11	3-	
In J1268	236-4-	41-11-8	21-10-3	3-	
In J1269	227-1-1	32-11-3	21-6-11	3-	
In J1270	235-10-11	42-14-6	21-9-11	3-	
In J1271	234-6-2	6-3-7	21-9-6	3-	
Total	1208-	126-15-4	108-12-6	15-	
Average by 6	241-9-7	25-6-5	21-12-1	3-	

This Samabandi account not being clear as to the way in which the Beriz was shared by government and agrahara dars I asked the Tahsildar for further explanation and he has submitted a statement which is recorded on the back (Colm) of the original and which gives full particulars of the shares.

The Tahsildar explains that the former statement in which the Beriz including Gusta and Jari portions could be had was given the values of those shares and the sub-divided

Shares	Samabandi Beriz excluding Village establishment	Deduct Gusta portions	Netta remaining	Deduct Inam dars shares	Net remaining	Totals of Cols 2 and 5 or circa
In J1267	252-15-	114-14-8	137-2-	45-11-7	91-7-2	206-5-
In J1268	214-9-9	94-14-11	119-10-10	39-14-3	79-12-7	174-11-6
In J1269	205-	95-10-4	110-4	38-10-9	73-5-7	167-15-
In J1270	214-1-	95-14-6	118-2-6	39-6-2	78-12-4	174-10-10
In J1271	212-12-8	95-4-3	117-8-5	39-2-9	78-5-8	173-9-11
Total	1099-3-6	499-10-8	602-8-10	200-13-6	401-11-4	898-6-
	136	99-5-4	126-8-2	40-2-9	80-5-5	179-10-9

[illegible] Ramaiya. Ven-
-maiya who [illegible] amendment of 1853 got his name
the village re[illegible] the name of Venkatramanaiya
ri whose ancestor [illegible] this day. Mappa that
the purchaser [illegible] the eldest son of Sriramappa
to the daughter [illegible] Venkatramaiya died 6 years ago
leaving a wife [illegible] Venkatramaiya
-yan has also [illegible] Ramanama with each of them
Confirmation [illegible] shares and arranging that
pattah of the [illegible] should be enjoyed by
Venkamma and [illegible] Ramnáik's Vakil (Potter)
Peddasantra[illegible] Ramanama, Venkatramaiya
Vadon (Vishwa[illegible] Vakil Ramaiya) agreed
the Registry of the [illegible] his name of be to for their
respective [illegible] letter by private Nadamandar-
Sheristadar [illegible] complying with their request and
the most [illegible] be now obtaining.

From the Vakil Potter appears that
Venkamma [illegible] three ancestors, perhaps the
fourth ancestor [illegible] ranked by reporting Pandaram
among inferior [illegible] this true Venkamma has male
issue but [illegible] daughter married and to the registration
according to [illegible] of the parties does not in any way affect
the rights of [illegible] Venkamma is entitled to 4/8
Dand Pattah [illegible] daughter who is capable of supporting
children [illegible] circumstances should continue the
allowance [illegible]

Taluq [illegible]

The wet Byakati[illegible]
Taking 1 & from [illegible] 185 Rupees
represent 20 the average [illegible] 6 Deduct for the repairs
of repairs to works of irrigation to [illegible] Cultivated and Inam
Estimate for repairs and maintenance [illegible] 179 Rs. The system of
& Rs. The [illegible] of [illegible] Rs. 6 to five on Village
work & 60 [illegible]

Regist[illegible] 25 of [illegible] Vol No 384 [illegible] Dumb[illegible] ale[illegible] of the [illegible]

Reg[illegible] 25
Regd No 28
Vol[illegible] p 340

General Remarks

Sri Benkat[illegible] Pyapandiya. It was a grant of Jagadéva Rái on [illegible] Sivan Subbu Nayak.
Graham rente[illegible] [illegible] 83-5-2. In Fasli 1218 Adi of the Rupees was charged. In Fasli 1219 and 1220 Captain
Trishiram or ⅓ [illegible] Shastriyam [illegible]. In Fasli 1211 and 1212 the L. Cockburne confirmed the village on the
which in effect [illegible] Cockburne resumed one half of the village and confirmed the remaining ½ or 15 to 15 shares
mortgaged to the [illegible] share village. The resumption of one half was in Fasli 1219 because the half was
and they were also ra[illegible] Ramam in gift to Srirangapatam Rangasami. After fields were Bhattaram the Inámdars.
lands and 16 on the [illegible] confirmed on the Trishirkam tenure. Hence the shares are ⅓ or the resumed Bhattaram the
anihat. They are [illegible] village. The Bhattaram appear on a separate register. The well depends upon a tank and on an
[illegible] unfortunate cost of the government land [illegible] Agr[illegible] Labourers.

15 Personal Total Fyahat per Survey

Total Fyahat 41-68
An increase found in the re survey of Fasli 1218 41-68
Total 350-18
Deduct Poramboke 95-11
Net remaining 255-7
Deduct Inam 1-59
Net remaining 253-12
Add Increase which is [illegible] for Poramboke 2-8
Total 255-20

[illegible] Total [illegible]
[illegible] Increase [illegible]
[illegible] Total [illegible]
[illegible] Deduct [illegible]
[illegible] Net [illegible]
[illegible] Deduct [illegible]
[illegible] Net [illegible]
[illegible] Add [illegible]
[illegible] Total [illegible]

For [illegible] 28-5-10

[illegible] Pattas [illegible] Sethies
[illegible] granted Nanjaya Patta of Fasli
[illegible] and Fasli 1220 Bitaiya

[illegible] name of [illegible] Tickets 8 [illegible] Inam [illegible] Patta [illegible] Bitaiya

Cultivation

65-8-7
280-9-5

Cultivation during the last 5 years

Judicial Any Writ

		A/c	A/c										
37-4-9	In Fasli 1267	142-9	7	19-35				5	35-11-7	205-5-10			
28-12-10	In Fasli 1268	142-9	7	19-35				9	39-14-3	174-11-6			
66-1-7													
258-5-5	In Fasli 1269	154-3	3	19-7	174	[illegible]		[illegible]	8	36-10-9	188-15-11		
94-5-5	In Fasli 1270	176-1	7	15-4	3	191-0	214	[illegible]	1	39-6-2	174-10-10		
552-10-10													
	In Fasli 1271	185-7	8	66		190-14	212	[illegible]	12	8 39-2-9	173-9-11		
	Total	802-22	3	78-5	1	780-7	3	1179	3	6	200-13-6	898-6	

Dunkanith

1 Raja Venkan[illegible] on a [illegible] allowance [illegible]
2 Sing[illegible] Sanniyasi [illegible]

Daughter-in-law Daughter married to Sellagiri of the Vellala caste

Purchaser's son otherwise daughter's son-in-law of the Survey Sirandar Venkam Betaiya Kavaiya and late Ramaiya

Half share in addition to the above mortgaged, (by sale the mortgagee has [illegible] Estate 394 Rupees stipulation that if the [illegible] of sale and mortgage be not [illegible] by the end of Siddharthi (Fasli 1219) [illegible] of the allowance shall be con[illegible] as sold for the above aggregate

Her husband had mortgaged ½ share in the allowance with the land of the Vellala to Nattavan Sellayan Kumaiyan Brother's brother's sister's (unmarried) son Venkatra maiyan In 1853.

Punnappa executed a deed of Kadanadana on stamped paper dated from Siddhartha in addition to the mortgage, (by sale the mortgagee has 525 Rupees stipulation that if the [illegible] of sale and mortgage be not [illegible] by the end of Siddharthi (Fasli 1219) [illegible] of the allowance shall be con[illegible] as sold for the above aggregate

This formed a cause of lapse Venkanmás husband Punnappa died in 1856 and a lapse enquiry was held The claim of the purchaser Venkatramaiya was also advanced in the enquiry. Mr Brett Collector pronounced that the sale was inadmissible in as much as it took place subsequent to the ruling of 1848 and confirmed the Shastriyam in the name of Punnappa's wife Venkamma directing that after her death the circumstance should be reported for the orders of the Hazur Vide Collector's Sahib Surnadar 387 dated 15th October 1858. Notwithstanding this order, the land and the Trishirkam

A Dr 9108 dated 8th May 1865 from Venkatachala Chetty claiming certain inams in the Ayyavaram and the Tahsildar Ayyavaram reported thereon dated 20th January 1866 No 4-

The land claimed consists of

Fasli 85	1-03
86	1-66

The former no doubt is the Assessment but appears to be a subsequent [illegible]

Pt endorsed that it is not necessary to register the inams, but that the inamdar settlement must not prejudice the claimant's rights or enjoyment as between him and the aggravated ryots

J.H.
4/9/66

Approved
W Morris
18/9/66