No 42 Register of Inams in the village of Bimandapalle in the Berike Polipet - of the Salem District

12 Bemandapalle (as per [illegible])

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11											
Case	Personal grant	Dry Marakee punja	Guntas 243½	A. C. 7-23		Rs A P 5-6-5	Batavarthee or Subsistence Inam	Tax free	Hereditary	Time of grant not known said to have been granted by Karaab[illegible]	No Sannad	Not Known	The Inam is not entered in the accounts of F 1212.	In the accounts of F 1228 the name of Rajachar is entered with a remark to the effect that the Inam was	Thulasi-bai - age 34	Kishnagherry	widow of Ramachari son of Rajachari whose name	Two the mother in law Daughters - 2 -	" Granted -	This Inam is of an older date than 50 years and is proved to have been continuously in the enjoyment of the descendants of the original grantee - It is recommended to be confirmed to the party in the 16th column at 1/8 Quit Rent Rs. „-10-„ annas ten which has been	Confirmed on a quit rent of Annas 10. To be released from

Register No 42

~~639~~ 633

P. 189

Register No 11

No 11 Register of Inams in the village of Guttapalle in Berike Polipet or (1) Ankasapur Palepet in the Oossoor Talook Salem District

Case No 2 as per Original Register	Personal	Dry Gundala Maram Punja	Guntas & Cents 138¾ 3-89	-	Rs. A. P. 4-6-9	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	None	Not known	In the acct of F 1212 the name of Yerra Chennan is entered	In F 1222 the Inam is entered in the name of Yerra Chennan.	I Ramachendrian age 28 -	Guttapalle	Unconnected with family	Father - 1 Brothers 2 wife - 1 Daughter 1 5	This Inam was acquired by the party in the 16th column by alienation.	This Inam being a recent alienation it is recommended that it be confirmed to the parties in the 16th column subject to 1/4th Quit rent Rs 2-12-0.
		Veppala Maram Punja	140¼ 6-17	-	6-3-7							The same entry appears in the accts of 1230 and 1231 with a note in the latter acct: that it was under jufti in consequence of its no entry in the Inam Zabita. In 1232 Yerra Chennan. In 1257 Vencatarayappah.	II Soobbarayappen age	Do -	Do -	wife - 1 Daughter 1 2		The Inam was placed under attachment in consequence of the non-attendance of the parties before the Inam Dy Collector but they having since appeared before the Tahsildar within the prescribed time it is recommended that this Inam be released from attachment -
			271 8-6		10-10-4													

Although the alienation was made before 1848 there is no reason for charging (2) 1/4th, as the sellers are alive & admit the sale. The Inam is therefore confirmed on 1/8th quit rent (or (3) Rs 1-4-0) chargeable compulsorily. Released from attachment.

W. Brace[illegible]

14/10/69

Case S[illegible] attachment

Register No 11

Sheet No ~~640~~ 634

Corrections in this page (3) Three

J. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

Appasawmy Pillay
Exd

Salem Sub Collector's office
Oossoor 10 September 69

Exd
6-10-69

Campbell

Hedsinckhick
Dy Sub Collector

5

I. D. No
4368.

Collector written to to release
October 1869

	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		17	18	19	20	121	
Rr 8/1 Barikiri Cummaperu? Palayphat Register No 11 Guttakallu Agram Supplement Sheet No 620	1-11-6	Batta worthy	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known This is understood to have been granted by ~~the~~ Poligar Dodda Chikka[illegible] to Appaiah in Paridavi or 54 years ago	No sanad This does not appear in the Register [illegible] but it appears to have been granted by Poligar 54 years ago in Paridavi	This is a Dorva Agram village with the Register In F 1212 cipinof found ~~In F 1229~~ [illegible] In F 1229 [illegible] that [illegible] by Poligar Dodda Chikka[illegible] in Paridavi or 54 years	In F 1228 Panchangam Appa batta	In F 1232 Panchangam Appa batta 57 Soubba batta	Agraharam Pattram 1 Soobba batta 40	Grand son	Wife & Brother's Aunt	He enjoys it himself	Rejected	
Pannah? Do 2	1-23-6 1·58	1-12-9	Batta worthy	Tax free	Do	Do This is understood to have been granted 55 years ago by Poligar Dodda Chikka[illegible] to Beeraiah	No sanad	In F 1212 Thimma[illegible] In F 1228 Venkata chelum batta In F 1231 [illegible]	In F 1229 This stated to have given of Pellibatta father Venkata chelum batta by the Poligar Thodda[illegible] Kiagavundo 55 years ago	In F 1232 Seveream batta son of Venkata chelum batta In F 1257 Seveream batta		Thus does not appear to be an old Inam			Rejected	
	7-10-8	Batta worthy	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad It appears in all the acts from FMB? 20 Inam	In F 1212 Narsam batta In F 28 Narsam batu In F 1231 Narsam batu	In F 1232 Narasa[illegible]m batu	In F 1257 Tolkam daramia	Agraharam Royadurgam 1 Venkata narnappa 45 2 Venkamma 30	Brother's Grand Son Daughter	Wife & sons None		It appears to be an old Inam [illegible]	FD No 3863 Confd 27/11/62
Dn 2:35-10 3·8	6-6-9 6-3-7 10-10-4	Sultha? Batta Inam foreman?	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad	In F 1212 Yerrachinna In F 1228 Yerrachinna	In F 1229 Jaftia Inam of Rokkagulige chinnia old Inam applied because [illegible] in the [illegible]	In F 1232 Yerrachinna In F 1257 Venkata royappa	Settled on Supple "mental Register" 14/10/69			Application Rolev for attachment 4th Nov 1864 Fully approved for defence? FD 31/7/66	An old Inam free ~~[illegible]~~ Inam be alone to be attached	Inam & release of [illegible] H
Dyghts? 15 4·77 8-2-35·00															

19 Register of Inams in the Village of Jovi Timmasamudram No 56 in the Aukeragiri? Pallepah in Ceuri? Taluq Subdivision

~~This is a Nayam? Ooty village the [illegible] commences~~

[illegible] Do 1 Batta Dry Wet	1-27 11 1·69 0-8-3 0·20 1-35-14 1·88	2-8-3 1-8-6 4-0-9	For the support of Basavesvaraswami in Timmasamudram. The temple is in good order	Tax free	The Manr?	Not known	No sanad It appears in all the acts from FMB? 20 Inam	With the Register of 1212 In F 1212 Basavesvaraswami In F 1229 Basavesvaraswami	In F 1230 Basavesvaraswami	In F 1257 Rudraya grandson	Timmasamudram Basavesvaraswami Pujari Marappa 80 Timmasamudram	11	An old Inam of [illegible] Ellickep? Inam Ediv? [illegible]	FD No 3864 Confd [illegible] 7/17

Regr No 19

Register [illegible] the Village of [illegible] Timmasamudram 54 in the Ankusagiri Talooka [illegible]

Regr No 19

	2	3	4	5–6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
[illegible]	Devadayam Hindu Punjah No 2 Pakkide Wet Gedendrayee No 2 arr Vide ~~Punjah~~	Dry Wet	3-20-12 0-8-8 3-29-4 ~~2.6.11~~	3·52 0·21 3·73 ~~2.17~~	5-6-4 1-8-5 6-6-9 ~~7.8.8~~	For the support of Timmaraya swami in Timmasamudram temple is in good order	Tax free	[illegible]	Not known	No sanad Appears in all the accts from F1212 to Inam	In F1212 Timma Raya Swami F.1229 Betaraya Swami Inam	In F1230 Betaraya swami	In F1257 Betaraya swami Pujari Kistnaya	Timmasandram Timmaraya swami Deity Pujari Kistnaya				—	To be Confd tax free Id in [illegible] [illegible]	Confd IC 28 27/7/66
[illegible]	Devadayam Hindu Swami Punjah Bette Punjah Wet Nunjah Sub Mugistr 30/12/68 Noted	Dry No 3 Dry No 4	10-25-7 3-7-15 13-33-6 2.6.11 16-0-1 Noted 1/12/70	10·67 3·20 13·87 2·17 16·04	19-11-7 5-0-6 24-12-1 7-8-3 32-4-4	For the support of Kylasa swami in Timmasamudram the temple is in good order	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad Do	In F.1212 Kylasa Swami In F1229 Kylasa Swami	In F1230 Kylas Natha Swami Inam	~~In F1257~~ ~~Kylasanatha~~ ~~Puja~~	Timmasamudram Kylasaswami Deity Pujari Chennabasavaya 60 at Timmasamudram		—	—	—	To be Confd Id in the name of the Deity	Confd T.D. No 386 IC
[illegible]	Personal Saswa [illegible] Punja Kallu Wet No 5	Dry No 5	3-30-5 2-19-11 4-10-0	3·76 0·49 4·25	5-10-0 3-10-7 9-4-7	Batavarthi	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad Do	In F1212 Venkataya In 1229 Venkatagiraya In F1230 Venkata giraya		In F[illegible] Venkata giriaya	Kallupalli Samaya 38 2 Venkataramanappa 50	son Brother's son	Hire			To be Confd Id No 4 8 1-4-62	Confd T.D. No 385 IC
[illegible]	Personal Thangudu [illegible] Punja	Dry No 6	3-7-6	3·15	4-12-6	Batavarthi	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad Do	In F1212 Battaha the Ramaya In F1229 Battuhalli Ramaya	In F1230 Ballahalli Ramaya Hadim [illegible] Part grassed In F1257 [illegible]	—						Application to Collr for attachment 4th Nov 1866 Fully assessed for default T.K 31/7/66	
[illegible]	Personal Setti Punja	Dry No 7	2-25-9	2·64	4-0-7	Batavarthi	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad Do	In F1212 Senkaraya In F1229 Kichaya	In F1230 Senka rabatta		Sonnepuram Sitaramaya 20 2 Sankarappa 30	grandson's son grandson	Brother's 2 [illegible]	They enjoy the Inam in equal share		To be Confd Id No 8 8 1/4/62	Confd T.D. No 2868 IC 27/7/[illegible]
[illegible]	Personal Pangeri Sadhu Punja	Dry No 8	1-7-12	1-19	1-12-7	Batavarthi	Tax free	Do	Do	No sanad Do	In F1212 Kasivaranaya In F1229 Kasivaranaya	In F1230 Kasi Varanaya Hadim [illegible]	—	Balyampalli Nagareddi Sampangi Ramaya 40	grandson's son	last 1 Brother 1	Undivided		To be Confd Id No 6 8	Confd T.D. No 2869 IC 27/7/64

Chittoor

[illegible]

Desoor Taluk

Inam Village
Register No 10

Register No 10

Sheet No ~~612~~ 606

This is a Sarva Inam village being an endowment to the [illegible] of Timmaraja Swamy

The village is of the 3rd class in regard to dry, & of the 2nd class in regard to the wet

The tank is now out of repair from 20 years. The ~~village~~ is cultivation

~~[illegible]~~ limited to the dry. The wells available command [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible]

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Devadayam

Total ayakat as per acct of F 1281

Total ayakat	153-7-3	153·18	
Deduct Poramboke	38-[illegible]-2	38·43	
Net Remaining	115-30-1	115·75	122-4-6
Deduct Inam	6-17-18	6·44	7-1-2
Net Remaining	112-12-4	112·31	115-3-4
Particulars			
Dry	106-15-3	106·38	97-5-6
Wet	5-37-1	5·93	17-18-10
Total	112-12-4	112·31	115-3-4

For the proper support of the temple of Timmaraja Swamy at Ankusagiri. The temple is of stone — it is 25 [illegible]. Puja is rendered every ~~[illegible]~~ day.

Ayakat value

Permanent

Not Known

No Sanad. It appears in the Acct of Fusli as a Sarva Inam. It is an old Inam.

The Fusli Register ~~[illegible]~~ Timmaraja Swamy. The remark is that the village is enjoyed as Sarva Inam for the [illegible] of Timmaraja Swamy.

The Fusli Chakravadi [illegible] entered as a Devadayam & Sarvamanyam

Ankusagiri.

Timmarajaswamy Deity.

Pujari Ramanujaya 25

Daughter's son of one Ramanujaya of Inamdar

[illegible]

The Poligar. Apparently as the [illegible] Dharmakarta of Devadayam as the endowment in his Pollam

There are old grants. The Ayakat of Fusli is 115-3-4. The total being in the [illegible] to be confirmed to the Temple. T.D. in the name of the Deity Timmaraja Swamy of Ankusagiri

T.D. No 253

Confirmed [illegible]

The [illegible] management of the [illegible] of the village [illegible] with the Inamdar the Poligar [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 6 years.

[illegible] 1277	115-3-4
[illegible] 1278	115-3-4
[illegible]	115-3-4
[illegible]	115-3-4
[illegible]	-3-4
[illegible]	4

The whole village is under cultivation. The wet [illegible] is entered [illegible] of ayakat according to the Ayakat of Fusli [illegible] uncultivated. The net average value of the village may be taken at the ayakat [illegible] 115-3-4 [illegible]

Inam Register ~~[illegible]~~ No 21 Devadayam village in the [illegible] Pollam [illegible]

Kuppu

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Devadayam

Ayakat as per acct of F 1282

	Total ayakat	891-52-15	891·82	
	Deduct Inam	469-26-13	469·67	
	Net Remaining	422-6-2	422·15	627-9-2
	Deduct Inam	34-7-3	34·18	98-0-7
	Net Remaining	387-38-[illegible]	387·98	529-8-7
	Particulars			
[illegible]	Dry	274-3-15	274·10	297-11-1
	Wet	20-16-14	20·42	108-11-2
	Total	294-20-13	294·52	406-8-8
[illegible]	Dry	92-17-12	92·32	122-2-11
	Wet	1-5-6	1·18	5-13-[illegible]
	Total	93-[illegible]-2	93·46	128-1-11
Total	Dry	366-16-11	366·42	426-[illegible]-5
	Wet	21-22-42		109-8-2
	Total	387		[illegible]

For the support of [illegible] Swamy Deity at Bende. The temple is in good condition.

Cultivation & Beriz during the last 6 years.

Fusli 1277	320-2-9
Fusli 1278	320-2-9
Fusli 1279	320-2-9

The village is found in the Acct of Fusli as Sarva Inam or [illegible]. It appears as an Inam village.

This is an Inam grant of the Poligar & is therefore struck off the list.

[illegible]

This is not found in the Accounts of Fusli as an Inam village. In the 2 books of Fusli showing Inam villages granted from 1792 & Inam villages of subsequent date, this village is not entered as Inam. The Devadayam villages are not at all entered in the Register of Fusli.

Inam V[illage]
Regis[ter]

Register ~~10~~ 11

The whole village is nearly cultivated
The assessment is suitable —

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

[illegible]	189-[illegible]	189·89	
Dry [illegible]	177-?-5	175·91	
[illegible]	211-[illegible]-7	211·88	226-7-8
Patch dam	13-14-7	13·36	19-10-9
Total	197-19-0	197·27	206-6-11
Add Parts [illegible]	7-29-7	7·74	8-5-1
Total	205-8-7	205·21	214-12-0

Particulars

Dry	185-19-5	185·49	197-6-11
Wet	2-18-15	2·48	8-13-1
Total	187-38-4	187·97	206-4-0
[illegible]	17-10-3	17·24	8-8-0
	0	0	0
[illegible]	17-10-3	17·24	8-8-0
Total	205-8-7	205·21	214-12-0
		222·73	205-16-4
		2·48	8-13-1
		225·21	214-12-0

Charge to [illegible] as per [illegible] worked [illegible] free [illegible]

For Inam Register

to Charge

Granted to Nabob 1684 — Gr. D —

by Odeyar Timmappa Gowda

Was originally granted to village dated 1684 in Cultivated by [illegible] Carthigeni & Nagaraya & [illegible] of village for service in village of Chikka Guttahalli

TR. A [illegible] of the Collector dated 27 December 1821 in [illegible] of Ramaraya [illegible]

by Grant

Present

Guttahalli

Service

village

Nagarappa

Entered that it was enjoyed as service since village formed long time

Brahmin

village

Brother of Nagappa

Amma

Guttahalli

Brahmin

1 Nehagaraya 47

2 Nehagaraja 55

3 Subbarayappa 26

Brother of Nagaraya

Brother's [illegible] of Nehagaraya —

Do Brother's [illegible] Nagaraya

The share of No 1 is ½ ; & that of the 2 & 3 is ½ — A portion of the land has been divided; & the [illegible] in equal share [illegible]

The Inam [illegible] at the [illegible] of [illegible] as an [illegible]

It is [illegible] to the [illegible] standing [illegible] that the village was [illegible] in the Days of Hyder [illegible] for 10 years — that it was continued in Hyder's days 11 years & 16 years in the days of Tippu Sultan & 19 years in Company's days ~~[illegible]~~ & that it was never [illegible]

Wm Elliot

The [illegible] Joint [illegible]

[illegible] of [illegible]

of the present

Nearly [illegible]

The [illegible] of the Ryabat assessment of the village ~~[illegible]~~ viz 214-12-0

The Inam was enjoyed on personal service — The Inamdars do not wish to be enfranchised —

Qr. 8 27 Rs

Do not Enfranchise

T. No. 2538

Confirmed

[illegible] commuted at present [illegible]

79 [illegible]

[illegible]

4/3/64

	64-6-5
	68-12-0
	80-9-7
1771	98-11-7
Total	401-1-1
	80-3-5

The [illegible] being partly [illegible] of the village was rated at 219-6-9

[illegible] rated at 170 Rs

The expense [illegible] is smaller [illegible] it remains a part of [illegible] is likely to come under cultivation —

I would therefore ~~[illegible]~~ fix the average value of the village at the Ryabat assessment viz 214-12-0

Ext. — ~~At~~ Subsequent to this the ~~Poligar~~ resumed the village on the ground that it had been given for service, & that the service was no longer required — the Collector overruled the plea of the Poligar & again directed the restoration of the village in 1833 —

[illegible]

Do not wish to be ~~enfranchised~~ Enfranchised —