Yelegunapalle Agraharam

Agraharam

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No XVI
Nallan Subbaya
V 1
Bagalur
Nandiala
Sivalinga Sastri Purchaser Wife 1 Sons 6
30
V 1 By purchase as per Stamped Deed of Sale dated Rudirodgari (or 1842) on each year by a purchaser Tirumalaya to the present holder for 45 Rs.
An original deed dated Neveladi is also held by him —
1 V - 1
Bery Tol - Q Rs
24 15 a - 4 14 7 - 2 8 a

Regr No 26
Theerwa No ~~4~~ 449

Case No XVII
Seshayan
V 3
Bagalur
Ramapuram
Venkatacharlu Brother's grandson 25
Wife brothers
They enjoy in 3 equal shares
3 V
Bery - Tol - Q Rs
74 13 a - 14 11 8 - 7 8 a
2 Dalimangalam
Timmappa 55 Son
3 Bagalur
Akkamma Brother's widow 60
V 3 own

Case No XVIII
Vydiam Nanjappa
V 1
Bagalur
Brindavanam
1 Nanjundayan 25 grandson's son None
They are of an undivided family
1½ V
Bery - Tol - Q Rs
87 6 6 - 7 5 10 - 3 12 a
2 Nanjappa 28 Do grandson Wife
V 1 own
V ½ By purchase from J Kistnayan Venkataramanayan V ½ by Court auction as per receipt in Saruvajit
Total 1½

Case No XIX
Kistnayan & Venkataramanayan
Hosur
Moddur
Narasimha Sastri By Court auction 50 Sons 4
½ V
V ½ By Court auction as per receipt for 25 Rs —
Bery - Tol
12 7 6 - 2 7 3
Q Rs 1 4 Rs

Case No XX
Annaya
V 1
Bagalur
Brindavanam
Nanjundayan 55 grandson's son Wife Sons 2 grandsons 2
Enjoys himself
1 V
Bery - Tol
24 15 a - 4 14 7
Q Rs 2 - 8 a

Fair Copied

Case No XIII
Nilumbah
V 1
Bagalur
Tadakamallu
1 Venkatesa-bah 35 By Survey Mortgage Wife 1 Sons 2
2 Sarambah Mortgage 25 Do Wife
3 Subbabah 50 Do Wife 1 Sons
V 1 By Survey Mortgage
V 1 By Survey Mortgage from Sinnamadubah V 1 to aforesaid Subbabah
Total 2
Allege that former Survey Inamdar's son Subbabah mortgaged this 80 years ago to Sitcheunbah grand father's father of the present enjoyer.
In the original family Nanjundabah is said to live at Bagalur
In the original family Subbabah & Naranabah are said to live at Banglore
The Case
2 V
Bery Tol Q Rs
49 14 a - 9 13 2 - 5 ~~8~~ a

Case No XIV
Ramaxi-bah V 2
Bagalur
Subravati
Sampangi-Ramabah 19 By inheritance Mother Wife
V 2
One Bagalur Naranabah claims it under the same right of inheritance, but he has no enjoyment. He may seek redress into Court.
The Case
2 V
Bery Tol Q Rs
49 14 a 9 13 2 - 5 a

Extract granted to Narrappa 21-9-65

Case No XV
Narasam-bah V 2
Bagalur
Subravatti
1 Sampangi-Ramabah 19 grandson's grandson Mother Wife No 1 enjoys 5/8 V No 2 3/8 V
2 Naranabah 22 Do Uncle's aunt Wife 1 Nos 3 4 5 & 6 enjoy ½ V
Hosur
3 Buchamma 45 By inheritance Adopted son Nos 7 8 & 9 enjoy ½ V
4 Subbabah 42 Do Wife Sons
Tolasandoddi
5 Nanjunda-bah 40 Do Wife
Bagalur
6 Venkatabah 25 Do Do
Chenna Samudram
7 Venkamma 80 Do Daughter Daughter's sons
8 Parvatamma 40 Do None
9 Akkammi-ammal 20 Do Do
2 V
Bery Tol Q Rs
49 14 a - 9 13 2 - 5 8 a

3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No XXI
Hosur
Uppaldadiam
Ahobala Bat V 1
Nanjunda Bat 32 owner's brother's grandson's son
Wife's brother's daughters 2
alleges that his family got it by gift but there is no deed of gift in support of it
V 1 By gift
1 V
Bery – Tot – Q.R.
24-15-a – 4-14-7 – 2-8-a

Case No XXII
Baleganapatti
Mudumbikasturi
Baskaraya V 1
Ramaswami 35 daughter's son's son
Wife's sons 2
Enjoys himself
V 1 order
1 V
Bery – Tot – Q.R.
24-15-a – 4-14-7 – 2-8-a

Case No XXIII
Baleganapatti
Raya Pedda
Sampangi
Kasi Naranaya V ½
1 Ramaya 40 grandson's son
2 Venkatachelaya 20 Do.
Wife's sons
brothers
They are of a undivided family
V ½ order
½ V
Bery – Tot – Q.R.
12-7-6 – 2-7-3 – 7¼ Rs

Case No XXIV
Serangapatam
Brindabanam
Ramakichaya V ½
Subbarayadu 30 grandson of the daughter's son
Mother's Aunt's Wife's
He enjoys himself
V ½ order
½ V
Bery – Tot – Q.R.
12-7-6 – 2-7-3 – 1¼ Rs

797 – 14 –
157 – 2 –
[illegible] 12 –
80

Checked

Total settlement.
Idle Cases in the old jirta village –

V. 32 – Bery 797-14-10
Toty 157-2-"
Payable to Colign
Q.R. ~~[illegible]~~ 79-11-

Fair Copied

Inam Register of Kayam Jody agrahar of Guliganapalli No 70 in the Bagalur Palyapatt

Register No
26

Regr No 26
Sheet No 448

This is a Kayam Jody Agrahar - & an old grant

The Accts commence with the Acct of Fusli

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1

No
26

2

Personal Kayam Jody Agrahar of Guliganapalli No 70 - in the Bagalur Palyapatt in the Hosur Taluk of the Salem Dist.

3–6

Ayakat of F 1231

Ayakat	708-89	
Deduct Poramboke	161-34	
	547-55	
Deduct Inam	58-36	111-10-6
Remaining Circar	489-19	

Particulars

Cultivated land	Dry	144-46	184-10-9
	wet	76-35	456-3-8
		217-71	640-14-5
Uncultivated	Dry	265-37	199-8-0
	wet	6-11	15-0-0
		271-48	214-8-0
Total	Dry	406-84	384-2-9
	wet	82-35	471-3-8
		489-19	855-6-5

7

Charged at 3/4 per acre & 2 1/2 for wet

8

For subsistence as Charity

9

Jody 111-10-6

10

No

11

In Salivahana 1546 era -

by Polygar Chendappa Naik -

12

Not named persons

The villagers originally 32 families

13

Original Grantees
1 Gopalapandit ~~[illegible]~~ 1 V -
2 Rayanna Aiya 1 V -
3 Akunuthi Venkataramana bhat 1 V -
4 Kotali Lingabhatta 1 V -
5 Balaraya 1 V -
6 Venkatabhatta son Narayanabhat 1 Vritti
7 Kotali Shastry 1 Vritti -
8 Ramarabhatta son Tippabhat 1 V -
9 Narayanadu Venkataramana bhat 1 V -
10 Alakandu Lingabhat 1 V
11 Linga Pandit son Naraya Pandit 2 1/2 V -
12 Yelavarthi Venkataramana bhat 1 1/2 V -
13 - Ramabhatta son Ramabhat 2 Vrittis
14 - Kabbalala Agraharam Kuppabhatta 1 Vritti
15 Bagalur Gopalakrishna Shastry 1 Vritti -
16 Akunuthi Ramabhat 1 V -
17 Gubbi Ramachandraya 1 V -
18 Oosur Nagappa 1 V -
19 Avvachetti Srinivasa Dikshita 3 Vrittis
20 Sambhu Pandit 1 V -
21 Ramabhatta 1/2 V -
22 Narayanabhatta Rangabhatta 1/2 V
23 Sankaranarayana 1 Vritti
24 Seshabhatta son Subbabhat 1 V -
25 - Ramalingaya 1/2 V
26 - Dasappa Venkatappa 1/2 V
27 Subbarayudu [illegible]

15

Present
Not found any Inam deeds

In F 1231 the Cultivating Register

Granted by Polygar Chendappa Naik to Gopal Pandit and 32 Vrittis

Present Enjoyers Linganna - & 32 Vrittis

This is a grant made 200 years ago by our ancestors the village. Latterly Polygar Nayappa Naik confirmed it as a Jody village

In the Polygar's Acct of Fusly
Guliganapalli Kadim Inam in 32 Vrittis

21

The Polygar's Vakeel has nothing to state against the rights of the Inamdars —

The principal Inamdars of this village are Oosur Ramabhat, Bagalur Narasingarayar, Ramabhat, &c. Almost all the Agraharamdars appeared for their sundry Inams in the Palyapatt & elsewhere. but they have not filed Statements for this village. Ramabhat - who has since come to Krishnagiri for his Inam at Serapalli has stated that the Agraharamdars of Guliganapalli would not listen to his ~~call to file~~ advice to file Statements - & that the Statements cannot be drawn up by the principal members assembling - Most of the Inamdars live at Oosur & at Bagalur. They have obviously neglected to appear. I would therefore attach the village -

3/9/63
Krishnagiri

[illegible]
Dy Collr

Lower part

Cultivation & Collections during the last 5 years - ~~[illegible]~~ as explained by the Kurnam -

Fusly 1266 -	798-13-11
Fusly 1267 -	548-12-0
Fusly 1268 -	549-12-0
Fusly 1269 -	798-13-11
Fusly 1270 -	546-12-0
Total	3232-15-10
Average of 5	647 - Rs

The figures below the Ayakat by 208 Rs. Almost the whole Ayakat is cultivated - & their tax rents amount in some years to 800 Rs nearly - I would therefore fix the value of the village at the Ayakat being Rs 855 Rs

Fair Copied

A Petn No 20 of 1865 from ~~the~~ Swaminatha Sastrulu & others Complaining of the non settl. of the Village while a statement was filed before the D Collr.

Ordered to appear before the D Collector
24 Oct 65

To be attached

14 Nov 65

The Dy Collector to attach the Village under attachment ... which ... collection ... under ... letter of the 30 Sept ... No 70

... Supplemental Inam Register of Guliganahalli Jodi Agrahar No 70 in the Bagalur ... Hossur

[illegible] Supplement

This is a Jodi Agrahar in the Bagalur Pollam - the Jodi being paid not to the Poligar - The village is enjoyed in 31 Vrittis - No deeds of individual enjoyment are forthcoming - The Jodi appears to be paid collectively in one sum -

The present enjoyments are stated according to the Agraharam Shanbhoge's accounts

This village was placed under attachment as the Inamdars did not obey the summons -

This is included in the attachment memo list dated 30th September 1863 - Since Inamdars appeared & it is settled - ~~that the attachment be withdrawn~~

Regr No 26
Sheet No 472

The Agrahar value of the village is Rs 797 ... Bery in 1801 ... 774 Rs - The Agraharam [illegible] state that the Bery as the average ... of the respective vrittis - or Rs 128 ... for the whole village ... The Karnam's return ... as 690 Rs - varying from a maximum of Rs 686 to a minimum of Rs 392 ... Agrahar Bery. The balance of ... 24 15 ...
... mean ... I propose ... village - Each of the 30 vrittis ... Jodi 4-1-7 ... Rs 2-8

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Present Agrahar

Dry Total 708-35-8 708.89
Garden Total 161-13-7 161.31
Remaining 547 22 1 547.55
58 14 8 58.36 111-10-6
489-7-9 489.79 797-4-0

Particulars
Dry 141-18-7 141.46 184-10-9
Wet 76-9-11 76.[illegible] ~~45~~-3-8
Total 217-28-5 217.71 [illegible]-14-5
Unenumerated Waste Dry 265 15 0 265.37 132-10- at ½ the assessment
Wet 6 4 4 6.11 26-6-5 at full assessment
Total 271-19-4 271.48 157- -5
Total Dry 406-33-7 406.84 317-4-9
Total Wet 82-14-2 82.35 480-10-[illegible]
Grand Total 489-7-9 489.19 797-16-10 Total Agrahar value

Fees Subba Rao as Charity
Jodi 157-2-0 Payable to the Poligar
Granted 200 years ago by the Poligar [illegible]
The grant is stated to have been made ... as a [illegible] ... village ... in 1850 ... were ... 27 people ... in 32 Vrittis ... in 1850 ... 27 people in 32 Vrittis ... Rs 774-[illegible]

Case No I
[illegible]
1/2 V 1

Case No II
Venkatachar
V 1

Case No III
Rent 5
Guvanahalli
Muttu Kar Nanjundabat
V ½

Agrees with the Const accounts of the whole village } 80
Do [illegible] Collr 79 12
Do

Bagalur
Jadakanahalli
Ramabat 50 great great grandson Vritti [illegible]
2 Venkatesabat Do grandson Vritti [illegible] 2
3 3 Surabat 33 Do Vritti [illegible]
4 Nanjundabat 40 Do None
V 1
Possession 2 Vrittis ½
Title deeds 1/2
Rs 26-15
Jodi 4-16-7
Rs 2-8
Confirmed ... 2 R ... 21/3/65

Hossur
Jadakanahalli
1 Surabat 25 By exchange ... Venkata chaslust
V 1
Vritti brother uncle 1
He acquired his half from ... deed of exchange
1/2
Rs 26-15
Jodi 4-16-7
Rs 2-8

Hossur
Jadakanahalli
Venkatesabat 33 Do grandson
V ½
Vritti [illegible]
No deed ... gift ... [illegible]
1/2
Rs 12-7 2-7 3-14
2-4

[illegible] Register of [illegible] pattigunapalli Agraharam No. 30 in the Bagalur Palepat of the Hosur Taluq Sub di[illegible]

3 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Regr No 26

Case No IX
Serirunarana bah Vs 1½
Sarjapuram
1 Vallabadarthi Gehatare 45 grandson grandson Wife 1 sons [illegible] They enjoy equally 3 shares
1¼ V
Berg - Tot - DR
37 6 6 - 7 6 10 - 3 12 -
2 Ammanni-amma 20 grandson son's wife None
Sarjapuram
3 Surambah 35 grandson grandson Wife 1 sons 2
Vs 1½ over
[illegible]

Case No X
Vengamlah Vs 1
Bagalur
1 Akumalle Vengandlah [illegible] grandson grandson Wife 1 brothers 1 brother's [illegible]
Sarjapuram
2 Venkatramaiah 5 son of the grand grandson eternal grand mother
Vs 1 over
Vs 1 By purchase from Gollapalli Subbaiah Vs 1 by himself to Vengamlah mother's father's grand father of the No 2
Vs ½ By purchase from Sotalah Ramaiya's ½ by himself to aforesaid Venkamlah
Total Vs 1½
2½ V
Berg - Tot - DR
62 5 6 - 12 4 5 - 6 4 -
[illegible]

Case No XI
[illegible] Tammiah Vs 1
Bagalur
1 Akumalle Venkataguiah Brother's grandson grandson grandson Wife sons 3 65
2 Nanjundiah 28 Son of the brother's grandson Wife brother brother
Vs 1 over
Vs 1 By purchase from Holale Lingamlah No by purchase to Venkatavaralle to Venkataramanaiah uncle of No 1 & grandfather of No 2 in [illegible] for Rs 26 Pagodas as alleged
Total Vs 2
In this one Ramaiah Vakeel for Achamma brother's widow [illegible] advances a claim for ½ Vs But Achamma has no enjoyment at present leaves her [illegible] to the Courts -
2 Vs - 8
Ber - Tot - DR
49 14 - - 9 13 2 - 5 0 -
[illegible]

Case No XII
Rambo Pandit Vs ½
Srirangapatnam
1 Brindavanam Subrayudu 30 son of the grandson grandson Wife mother Brother's widow Aunts 2 They enjoy it themselves
Ramanathapuram
2 Subbamma 24 grandson son's wife None
½ Vs over
Volutary
½ V
Berg - Tot
12 4 6 - 2 7 3
DR 2/14 [illegible]
[illegible]

Case No IV
Tirumaraya Jotishi Vs 1
Bagalur
Sadakamalle Naranaiah Vs 1 60 grandson son Wife 1 adopted son 1 He enjoys it himself
1½ V
Berg - Tot - DR
24 15 - 4 10 7 - 2 [illegible]
[illegible]

Case No V
Tirumalaya Vs ½
Bagamangalam
Ramayan Vs ½ 50 Brother's grandson Wife 1 sons 2 He enjoys himself
½ V
Ber - Tot - DR
12 7 6 - 2 7 4 - 1 6 -
[illegible]

Case No VI
Tirumalaiah Vs 1
Kottapeta Rama Chandrayan Vs 1
Bagalur
Sadakamalle Naranaiah 60 Purchaser's grandson son Wife 1 son
Vs 1 by purchase
Vs 5/8 + 3/64 by purchase from Inamdar's son Ramaiya ~~[illegible]~~ the father ~~[illegible] of the Inamdar~~ [illegible]bah father of the present enjoyer
~~[illegible]~~
He seems to have no documents but alleges to have purchased 20 years ago
1 5/8 + 3/64 V
Ber - Tot - DR
~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~
41 10 - - 8 3 3 - 4 4
[illegible]

Case No VII
Kottapeta Ramachendrayan in the above Case Vs 1
Harguntapalle
1 Ramayan 30 Brother's grandson Brothers 2
2 Subbarayappa 40 grandson's son Brother's grandson grandson Brothers 2
Vattagiri
3 Nanjundayan Brother's grandson Wife 1 sons 3
Vs 5/16 + 1/64 over
Vs 1 By purchase from Narasambah to his ~~brother's grandson~~ uncle's son Vengalaiah to Raguvappa grandfather's father of the No 3
Total 1 5/16 + 1/64
1 5/16 + 1/64
Ber - Tot + DR
~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~
33 1 10 - 6 8 3 - 3 2 [illegible]
[illegible]

Case No VIII
Linga Pandit Vs 2½
Yossur
1 Brindavanam Strikanta Pandit 46 5th grandson Wife 1 sons 3 No 1 & 2 enjoy 1/5 share & others each enjoy 1/5 share
Bagalur
2 Naranappan 18 6th grandson Wife 1 mother None
3 Kistnappa 30 5th grandson None
4 Viswanata Pandit 40 Do Brother 1 Wife 1 son 1
5 Ramakistna Pandit 66 Do Wife 1 sons 2
6 Ramalinga Pandit 30 Do Wife 1 daughters 3 Brother 1 [illegible]
Vs 2½ over
2½ V
Ber - Tot + DR
62 5 6 - 12 4 3 - 6 [illegible] 6 4
[illegible]
[illegible]

Sheet No 6 –

Supplemental

Gulikanapalli
Hosur Taluk
Bagalur Palayapet

Regr No 26
Sheet No 463

2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

[illegible] 11 23 15 10·82 8 6 2 [illegible] at Gulijanapalli [illegible] 1228 [illegible] Pujari Samaya [illegible] 24 Octr 62

8 9 6 8·23 4 7 8

329 6/10

19 2 5 19·05 9 7 10

640½

762 6/10

2 20 2 8·51 21 12 10

120 2/10

22 22 22·53 31 2 8

702 7/10

3 10 12 8·27 28 5 1 at Gulijanapalli Pujari [illegible] Samaya

114

180 11/10

2 31 15 2·80 6 3 8

94

112 15/10

2 13 6·05

282 5/10

2 19 6 2·68

88½

77 5/10

8 22 3 5·56 23 7 7

287½

322 3/10

6 23 3 6·58 4 2 8

187½

1 7 5 1·23 7 2 1

48

7 5 7 11 4 9

236

280

8 1 5 8·63 4 0 0 To be Enfranchised

331 6/10

0 13 1 0·33

8 14 11 8·36

25 Jany 62

[illegible] 28 4 70
[illegible]
28 3/8 Acs
28 7/16 [illegible]

2 7 0 3 Khata Pati | Tax free | [illegible] | Brahman

The Inam was granted in the year [illegible] by [illegible] in 1831 granted by [illegible]

It appears to be held free [illegible]

At the survey Nanjunda Bhat

In 1831 Subba Bhat

In 1859 [illegible]

Old Inam

Application for attachment dated 13th June 1864 No. 64

~~Fully [illegible] for default~~ [illegible] 3/8/66

Personal [illegible] 1 4 10 1 70
[illegible]
37 5/8 [illegible]
42 12/16 [illegible]

5 9 6 do do do do

The Inam

The Inam was granted in the year [illegible] Parthiva by the Mahajans

In 1831 granted by the [illegible]

At the survey Nanjunda Bhat

In 1831 Nanjunda Bhat

In 1859 [illegible]

Karaballi
[illegible] Nanjappa 67
2 Lingappa 62
3 Srikantaya 55

[illegible] 1/2 share
[illegible] 1/2 share
[illegible] 1/2 share

Old Inam

Land enjoyed [illegible]

[illegible]

Rs 8 2 12 0

25/3/[illegible]

[illegible] 307

24 [illegible]

Register No. 26.

W 2 R 012

Comparative Statement

In the [illegible] 1281 - 58 36 - 111 10 8 [illegible] 1725
In the Inam Register [illegible] - 58 36 - 111 12 [illegible] 1725
Increase [illegible] 0 6

Reg [illegible]				Description of Inams	Extent					Jodi		Inam Assessment		Proposed [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	Remarks
2	2	0	6	Devadayam	2	25 13	5 99	0	31 72	1	12 5	54	63				
2	4	0	6	Personal	4	18 61	8 69	0	12 20	0	0	22	126				
6	6	0	12	Total	6	38 74	9 58	0	48 32	1	12 5	79	29				
1	4	0	5	[illegible]	1	6 09	3 95	0	10 47	0	0	32	79				
7	10	0	17	Total	7	35 83	12 03	0	58 36	1	12 5	111	106				

Fair Copied

[illegible] No. 1 - [illegible]

Inam Register of Kodagala No. 71 in the [illegible] Palegaballi [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

Register No. 1

The [illegible] of this village [illegible] this village [illegible]

This is a [illegible] village - The [illegible] Inam [illegible] ever been restored to the village -

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

[illegible] 2 6 0 2 15
[illegible] 189 1/2 Acs
166 - Rs

3 7 7 [illegible] Tax free | [illegible]

The Inam "Old Inam"

The Inam [illegible]

It appears to be held free from the [illegible] as Inam

At the survey [illegible]

In 1831 [illegible] Venkata

In 1859 Venkatappa

Kodagala [illegible] Venkatappa

Old Inam

Tax free

[illegible] 308

do

25/3/62

[illegible] 4 25 13 4 67
156 13/16 Acs
186 13/16 Rs

3 8 0 [illegible] at Kodagala [illegible]

do do do The Inam

The Inam "Old Inam"

The Inam [illegible]

At the survey [illegible]

In 1831 [illegible] Nanjaya

In 1859 Malla -

Kodagala [illegible] Marlaya [illegible]

Old Inam

[illegible] family [illegible]

Tax free

[illegible] 369

do

23/[illegible]

[illegible] 2 78 0 2 45
82 2/8 Acs
98 - Rs

2 7 1 [illegible] at Kodagala [illegible]

do do The Inam

The Inam "Old Inam"

The Inam [illegible]

At the survey [illegible] Nanjaya

In 1831 [illegible] Nanjaya

In 1863 [illegible]

Kodagala [illegible]

Old Inam

Tax free

[illegible] 370

[illegible]