Agraharam

Eyaleganapalle Agraharam

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No XVI
Nallur Subbaya
V 1

Bagalur
Nandiala
Sivalinga Sastri Purchaser Wife 1 Sons 6
30
V 1 By purchase as per Stamped Deed of Sale dated Rudirodgari (or Fs 1272) on back year by a purchaser Tirumalaya to the present holder for 45 Rs.
An original deed dated [illegible] is also held by him —

1V — 1
Berg Tol — Q Rs
24 15 a — 4 14 7 — 2 8 a

Regr No 26
[illegible] 449

Case No XVII
Seshayan
V 3

Bagalur
Ramapuram
Venkatacharlu Brother's grandson 25
Wife Brothers
They enjoy in 3 equal shares
3 V
Berg — Tol — Q Rs
74 13 a — 14 11 8 — 7 8 a
2 Dalimangalam
Timmappa 55 Son
3 Bagalur
Akkamma Brother's widow 60
V 3 own

Case No XVIII
Vydiam Nanjappa
V 1

Bagalur
Brindavanam
1 Nanjundayan 25 grandson's son None
2 Nanjappa 28 Do grandson Wife
V 1 own
V ½ By purchase from S Kastnayan Venkataramanayar V ½ by Court auction as per receipt in Sarim(?) jil.
Total V 1½
They are of an undivided family
1½ V
Berg — Tol — Q Rs
87 6 6 — 7 5 10 — 3 12 a

Case No XIX
Kistnayan & Venkataramanayan

Hosur
Moddur
Narayanasastri By Court auction 50 Sons 4.
V ½ By Court auction as per receipt for 25 Rs —

½ V
Berg — Tol
12 7 6 — 2 7 3
Q Rs 1¼ Rs

Case No XX
Annaya
V 1

Bagalur
Brindavanam Nanjundayan 55 grandson's son Wife Sons 2 grandsons 2
Enjoys himself
V 1 own

1 V
Berg — Tol
24 15 a — 4 14 7
Q Rs 2 8 a

Fair Copied

Case No XIII
Nilammah
V 1

Bagalur
Jadakamallu
1 Venkatesa Bah 35 By Survey Mortgage Wife 1 Sons 2
2 Sarambah 25 Do Wife 1
3 Subbabah 50 Do Wife 1 Sons
V 1 By Survey Mortgage
V 1 By Survey Mortgage from Nanjundabah V 1 to aforesaid Subbabah
Total 2
Of the original family Nanjundabah is said to live at Bagalur
Allege that former Survey [illegible] son Subbabah mortgaged this 80 years ago to Nilammah grandfather's father of the present enjoyer.
Of the original family Subbabah & Narayanabah are said to live at Banglore

The Case
2 V
Berg — Tol — Q Rs
49 14 a — 9 13 2 — 5 0 a

Case No XIV
Ramaxibah
V 2

Bagalur
Subravati
Sampangi Ramabah 19 By inheritance Mother Wife 1
V 2
—
One Bagalur Narayanabah claims ½ under the same right of inheritance, but he has no enjoyment. He may seek redress in the Court.

The Case
2 V
Berg — Tol — Q Rs
49 14 a — 9 13 2 — 5 0 a

Extract granted to [illegible] 21-9-65

Case No XV
Narasambah
V 2

Bagalur
1 Subravatti Sampangi Ramabah 19 grandson's grandson Mother Wife 1 No 1 enjoys 5/8
No 2 3/8
2 Narayanabah 22 Do Uncle's Wife 1 No 3 4 5 & 6 enjoy ½
Hosur
3 Buchamma 45 By inheritance Adopted son No 7 8 & 9 enjoy ½
4 Subbabah 42 Do Wife Sons 1
Tolasandoddi
5 Nanjundabah 40 Do Wife
Bagalur
6 Venkatabah 25 Do Do
Chennasamudram
7 Rukamma 80 Do Daughter 1 Daughter's sons
8 Parvatamma 40 Do None
9 Akkammiammal 20 Do Do

2 V
Berg — Tol — Q Rs
49 14 a — 9 13 2 — 5 0 a

3 4 5 [illegible] 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No XXI Ahobala Bah V1	Hosur Appaldadiam Nanjunda Bah 32 V1 By gift	owner's brother's grandson's son	Wife & Mother & Daughters 2	alleges that his family got it by gift but there is no deed of gift in support of it	1V	Berij - Jodi - Q.R. 24-15-a - 4-14-7-2-8-a
Case No XXII Baskaraya V1.	Baliganapatti Mudumbikerturi Ramaswami 35 V1 own	Daughter's son's son	Wife & sons 2	Enjoys himself	1V	Berij - Jodi - Q.R. 24-15-a - 4-14-7-2-8-a
Case No XXIII Kasi Naranaya V½	Baliganapatti Raya Pedda Sampangi 1 Ramaya 40 2 Venkata-chelaya 20 V½ own	Grandson's son Do	Wife & sons Brother	They are of a undivided family	½V	Berij - Jodi - Q.R. 12-7-6 - 2-7-3 - 7¼ Rs
Case No XXIV Ramakishnaya V½	Serangapatam Brindabanam Subbarayadu 30 V½ own	grandson of the daughter's son	Mother & Aunt & Wife	He enjoys himself	½V	Berij - Jodi - Q.R. 12-7-6 - 2-7-3 - 1¼ Rs

797-14
157-
1764 12
80

Checked

Total settlement.

Total Cases in one [illegible] village -

V.S. 32	Berij	Jodi	Q.R.
32	797-14-10	157-2-"	79-11-
		Payable to the Colgn	

Fair Copied

[illegible] 611

No. 5

Inam Register of Kundanahalli No 66 in the Bag[illegible]

Wet Nunjay Sy No 62
Bunde Punji
2 20 a 4·50
151 1/4 Ag
180 Sg

Wet No 7
Malladu Dinne Nunji
27 9 0·69
23 5/32 Ag
27 9/10 Sg

6 7 9 5·19
174 13/32 Ag
207 9/10 Sg

5 0 7
2 4 5
9 8 a

For the Tank called Kundanahalli Tank at Kundanahalli
The Tank silt out of repairs for the last 30 years

Tax free

Perma nent

Not hereditary

In F 1228 ~~[illegible]~~
Gouse Miza purchased it in Prajotpatti F 1240 from [illegible] but since Gouse Mirza has been enjoying the Inam
A Sannad of the Tank under [illegible] produced in the name of the former Inamdar was stated to have been one Hamsala Vera

No sanad
It appears in all accts. of surveys as Inam

At the survey
Salmon Miza Saib purchaser from the former Dasavandadar Hamsala Vera

In F 1231
Salman Miza Saib
In F 1257
Dalavai Rangapa Naidu

Bagalur
Patiem
Mareechendra
Sakara
Naganingum
30

Old Inam

Purchaser

No 1
~~Brothers 2~~
Brother 2

2 Deed of sale are produced one dated Vikruti or F 1240 by Gousmeah Saib to Rangapa Naidoo for 21 Rupees 2d dated Parabava F 1258 by Dalavoy Rangapa Naick to the present enjoyer for 55 Rs

5/4/62

To be Confirmed Tax free on the usual Conditions of service to the Tank

[illegible]
Sub Collr
[illegible]

T.D. No
549

Confd
24 Octr

806

Report No 11
Sheet No 386

Comparative Statement

In F 1231 - 10·08 - 17 5 8 Ps 0 0 0
In F 1257 do do do

The Present Azmoish agrees with the former one

No of Fields				Description of Inams	Extent					Jody	Assessment		Proposed Jody	Affected	Sealed Comps
Dry	Wet	Garden	Total		Dry	Wet	Garden	Total							
3	3	a	6	Personal	3	3·90	0·78	a	4·68	a a a	6	14 11			
1	1	a	2	Dasvandam	1	4·50	0·69	a	5·19	a a a	9	5 a	2 a		
4	4	a	8	Total	4	8·40	1·47	a	9·87	a a a	16	3 11	2 4		
a	1	a	1	Devadayam	1	a	0·18	a	0·18	a a a	1	1 4			
4	5	a	9	Total	5	8·40	1·65	a	10·05	a a a	17	5 8			

நா. ரமானுஜம்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி
மாதம் வருடம் 86
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
பப்ரவரி
மாதம் வருடம் 86
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்.

No 12

Inam Register of Balijanahalli No 42 in the Bagalur Palayapattu Oosur Taluk Salem Dist

Report No 12

The Sanad of F 1212 has been since received from the Collr's Office & its references are noted in each of the last column in the cases

Queries on Ancient Village of the Bagalur Poligar.
The aspect is [illegible]
The first Inam was suppd in F [illegible] quietened subsequently in F 1233 under the orders of Collector Mr Cockburn

2 3 4 5 1 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Devadayam Sy No 36
Narala Punji
2 27 9 2·41
87 7/8 Ag
104 9/16 Sg

3 2 a

For the Support of Rasi Gnanasambandam Swamy at Bagalur
The Sanction is good order

Tax free

Perma nent

Not heredit

In F 1228
Old Devadayam Inam granted by [illegible] Chidappa Naick
In F 1231 do
Never suppd

No sanad
It appears in the survey accounts Bayachwatt F 1231 [illegible]

At the survey
Rasi Gnanasambandam Swamy Pujari Ramaya

In F 1231
Pujari Ramaya
In F 1257
Ramaya

Rasi Gnanasambandam Swamy
Pujari Annasamudram alias Linga Dixit

Bagalur

Old Inam

To be confirmed tax free so long as the Inam is in [illegible]
26/3/62

T.D. No
550

Confd
do

Devadayam Sy No 91
Dari Punji
1 33 1 1·63
61 10/32 Ag
78 7/10 Sg

2 12 1

For the Support of Perumal Eswara Swamy at Balijanahalli
The Sanction is good order

do do do

It was granted in Chetaya [illegible] before [illegible] by Chidappa Naick
In F 1237 Do No Remarks
never suppd

No sanad

Do

At the survey
Perumal Eswara Pujari Venkatappa

In F 1231
Pujari Venkatappa
In F 1257
Hiriyappa

Balijanahalli

Perumal Eswara Swamy
Pujari Sakari Venkatappa

Old Inam

To be confirmed Tax free [illegible] Inam of the [illegible]
26/3/62

806

T.D. No
551

Confd
do

806

Devadayam Sy No 87
3 23 16 3·75
126 Ag
127 15/16

6 11 4

For the Support of Ganga Devala at Balijanahalli & a small host [illegible]

do do do

In F 1228 No remark regarding the age of the Inam or its first grant
In F 1231 No Remark [illegible]
Never suppd

No sanad

Do

At the survey
Pujari Veerappa

In F 1231
Pujari Veeregowda
In F 1257
[illegible]

Balijanahalli

Ganga Devala
Pujari
Bire Gaud

Old Inam

To be confirmed On the usual Conditions of service

T.D. No
552

Confd
do

Register of Baljanahalli No 22 in the [illegible] Palayapattu [illegible]

Register No 12

Personal D. No 21 1 11 3 1·28 Dri Punji 43 a.s. 61 3/10 s.s. — 1 14 7 — Whole Inam Tax free Permanent Ditto — Survey — At the Durga — Venkata Chelaya — Sa 1231 Venkata Chelaya — Baljanapalli — Old Inam — Father Ramaya 32 — adopted son to Venkata Chellaya's brother's son — [illegible] — To be confirmed — 7 Sn 553

Lessee — Granted by Polygar Chokka [illegible] to [illegible] 55 years before — It appears in all the accounts [illegible] as Inam — Not found in 1212 — Sa 1267 [illegible] — [illegible] — 26/3/62 — Confd — 24 Octr

Personal D. No 35 3 17 3 3·43 [illegible] Punji 115 1/4 a.s. 101 3/10 s.s. — 3 6 2 — Do Do Do Do — Survey — At the Durga — Venkata [illegible] — Sa 1231 Venkata [illegible] — [illegible] — Old Inam — Venkata Ramanaya 63 — [illegible] son — adopted son — [illegible] — To be [illegible] — 7 Sn 554

Granted by Polygar [illegible] to [illegible] 94 years before — Do — It appears in the acct of 1212 — Sa 1267 Venkata Ramanaya — Confd — 2/3/62

Personal D. No 37 2 2 6 2·16 [illegible] Punji 69 3/10 a.s. 42 5/10 s.s. — 3 1 1 — Do Do Do Do — Survey — At the Durga [illegible] Ramaya — Sa 1232 Ramaya — Baljanapalli — Old Inam — [illegible] Ramaya 40 — [illegible] — To be [illegible] — 7 Sn 555

Sa 1231 — Old Inam — It appears in 1212 — Do — It appears in the acct of 1212 as Inam — Sa 1212 [illegible] — Sa 1267 [illegible] — 2/3/62

Personal D. No [illegible] 2 3 3 2·58 [illegible] Punji 86 3/4 a.s. 103 1/10 s.s. — 2 9 0 — Do Do Do Do — Survey — At the Durga [illegible] — Sa 1212 [illegible] — Sa 1231 [illegible] — Bagalur — Old Inam — [illegible] 80 — [illegible] — Daughter — To be [illegible] — 7 Sn 556

Sa 1231 — Old Inam — It appears in 1212 — Do — Sa 1267 [illegible] — 2/3/62

Personal D. No 18 0 23 15 0·60 [illegible] Punji 20 7/64 a.s. 23 15/16 s.s. — 2 9 10 — Do Do Do Do — Survey — At the Durga Ramaya — Not found in 1212 — Sa 1231 Ramaya — Baljanapalli Deceased — To be confirmed [illegible] of the [illegible] 1231 — It does not appear in the early accounts — 106 — Dir

Sa 1228 — Granted by Polygar Chudappa Naik to Chelaya 15 years ago — This entry [illegible] Inam record — Do

D. No 33 0 24 9 0·61 Dri Punji 20 5/8 a.s. 22 9/16 s.s. — 3 0 7 — Vide settlement dated 9th June 1862 — Sa 1267 Chelaya son — Father 1 Ramasawmi aiyangar Age 32 — Field No 13 0·60 4-9-10 — Wife & [illegible] daughter 2 — No deed of gift. This appears to be the share of an [illegible] — To be confirmed in 2 Title Deeds — 1st in the name of No 1 Quit Rent [illegible] — Compulsory — 2nd in the name of No 2 Quit Rent [illegible] — Agreed to — A. Narain [illegible] Dy. Collector — 23/8/62 — 4157 4158

1 8 8 1·21 40 47/64 a.s. 48 8/16 s.s. — 7 10 5 — Sa 1231 — Granted by Polygar Chudappa Naik to Chelaya [illegible] 72 years ago — [illegible] Inam [illegible] — a decree of the [illegible] — 24/7/62 — Nagappa [illegible] Nambipalli — 2 [illegible] Ramasawmi aiyangar Age 25 Field No 33 0·61 3-0-7 — Grandson — Wife 1 — Mother 1 — Agreed to [illegible] — [illegible] No 31 [illegible]

Personal D. No [illegible] 11 8 4 1 11·85 Dri Punji 398 5/16 a.s. 474 1/16 s.s. — 11 12 10 — [illegible] Land Called Baljanahalli [illegible] Baljanahalli & [illegible] [illegible] in good condition [illegible] by the [illegible] 81-10-9 — Do Do Do — Survey — It appears in all the acct as Inam — At the Durga [illegible] son of [illegible] Gaud — Sa 1212 [illegible] Gaud [illegible] Inam — Sa 1231 [illegible] — Baljanapalli — Old Inam — To be [illegible] — 7 Sn 557

D. No 84 2 20 9 2·51 [illegible] Punji 84 1/2 a.s. 100 9/16 s.s. — 3 8 11 — Sa 1267 [illegible] — [illegible] Gaud 50 — [illegible] — [illegible]

D. No 83 18 11 14 18·29 [illegible] Punji 616 a.s. [illegible] s.s. — 27 6 4

D. No 82 0 17 3 0·43 [illegible] Punji 12 7/16 a.s. 17 2/16 s.s. — 0 10 4 — D. No 17 0 33 3 0·84 27 24/32 a.s. 33 3/16 s.s. — 6 2 11 — Sa 1212 [illegible] in Sultan's days — 2 [illegible] — [illegible] — 60-8-2 55 — [illegible] was not [illegible] but it is [illegible] — [illegible] Baljanahalli [illegible]

33 [illegible] 33·08 — 48 6 6 — Total [illegible] 83 36 1/2 33·92 — 113 5/32 a.s. — 29 9 4 — 84 21 105 — 0·84 1·21 2·05 — 60 8 2 7 1/105 68 2 7

[illegible] Dated No 6 [illegible]

No 20 Pooneaf Dy No 17 3 9 a 0·22 Arali Maram Pungi 108 6/16 Ac 129 Gs — 5 13 6

Dy No 18 2 5 7 4·78 Dasi Pungi 138 7/8 Ac 158 4/10 Gs — 8 1 2

Dy No 19 5 81 8 5·39 Kottukalva Pungi 194 1/2 Ac 231 8/10 Gs — 8 10 6

Dy No 16 5 0 13 5·02 [illegible] Pungi 168 8/8 Ac 200 12/16 Gs — 11 7 1

18 6 9 18·16 610 1/8 Ac 438 9/10 Gs — 88 15 3

Kota Kallu — Dry — Permanent — Not Renewed — For Carried

Except found in the Cadjan Dory of 31 they were [illegible]

In a Letter of the Collector dated 26th Jany 1824, [illegible] [illegible] old Inams Copy of Order was sent with the General Report

The Inam was Circar land in the Survey of F1203 & in the Nagana Grant of F1231. In F1233 it was given as Inam under orders of Collr Cockburn in Letter No 32 dated 26th January 1824.

At the Survey Birijonda Circar Patch land [illegible] Birijonda — It found in F1262

As per the explanation given by the Collector dated [illegible] the [illegible] old Inams [illegible]

Sy No 1281 ~~Circar land~~ ~~Birijonda~~ — Baliganahalli

Sy No 1259 Birijonda — Morase Kapu Bine Grand 55 — Inadar — [illegible] old

The Inam does not appear in the accounts of F1262

I [illegible] the [illegible] Refer to Inam At 1/2 & R 217 No [illegible]

The Inamdar produces a Deed by the Poligar dated Chitrabhanu or F1233 [illegible] the Karnam to fix the land in possession of Bine Gound as Inam. By a reference to the Records it appears the Inam was entered as Circar in the Survey of F1203 & in the Nagana Grant dated F1231. In F1233 the Inam was [illegible] restored to the present holder. Mr Cockburn's letter states that in F1226, when the Pollam of Bagalur came under resumption, the Collector resumed all the Pett Inams & that the lands were brought under Circar [illegible] in F1226; that in consequence at the Survey the lands were measured as Circar land. Mr Cockburn ordered that as the Inams were from long time enjoyed without interruption, & as the Pett Inams in the Soolagiri and Kenkeri? Pollams were left undisturbed, it was not proper to resume these Inams in the Bagalur Pollam — & that the Inams should be restored to the proper holders. Since F1233 the Inams are in the enjoyment of the family. Tho' the Poligar has no claim on the land, [illegible] of the Inam cannot [illegible] from the [illegible] circumstance of its resumption. xx

v. Can 218

Confd

24 Octr

T D 8

8/7/[illegible]

Register No 12 Sheet No 387

Comparative Statement

In the Nagana Grant of F1231

Nature of Inam as it appears in the Nagana Inams — 456 15/16

the Kasbah Baliganahalli Gedahalli & Puram — 809 1/8

all of which were [illegible] as one village & [illegible] — 135 6/16

2779 1/16

Reg	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	Description of Inam	Extent					Jodi			Quit rent			[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
3	a	a	3	Devadayam	3	8·79	a	a	8·79	a	a	a	12	4	5				
4	1	a	5	Dharmadayam	1	33·08	0·84	a	33·92	a	a	a	49	9	4				
8	2	a	10	Personal	6	27·51	1·21	a	28·72	a	a	a	52	8	6				
15	3	a	18	Total	10	68·78	2·05	a	70·83	a	a	a	115	a	3				
2	1	a	3	[illegible]	1	3·35	[illegible]	a	4·03	a	a	a	8	2	6				
17	4	a	21	Total	11	72·[illegible]	2·[illegible]	a	74·86	a	a	a	123	2	8				

Register No 13

Register No 13

The Inam Register of Puram No 87 in the Magany Baliganahalli Bagalur Palayapattu Oossoor Taluk Salem Zillah

In case of F1262 a separate [illegible] for this village

This ~~was~~ village was a hamlet of Baliganahalli — In both the Survey & in the Nagana Grant of F1231, it was treated in the same way as hamlets — But from long since the Karnam wrote a separate account for the ~~village~~ hamlet & in F1259 ~~it has been~~ a separate register has been prepared for this village. Accordingly, the ~~[illegible]~~ village has been recorded as a separate one.

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

[illegible] Old No 6 Pettada Pungi Dy No 27 12 11 6 12·29 412 7/8 Ac 491 6/10 Gs — 61 2 6

For the [illegible] Pagoda in the Magany Baliganahalli this is [illegible] Pagoda are made by the [illegible] Circar Ayakut 150 8 9

Dry — Permanent — Not Renewed

This was included in the Accounts for the Case No 9 in the Register No 12

For Carried

In this field it found as Inam in the Survey of Balapalli in the Nagana Grant of the same village & in the Register of F1259 for the village of Puram

At the time the villages were clubbed & merged as one, the Karnam [illegible] list for the village which was entered as a separate village

At the Survey Birijonda

Sy No 1281 Birijonda

Sy No 1259 Birijonda

Old Inam Baliganahalli

Bine Grand 58

[illegible] Inadar

[illegible]

Total [illegible] Enfranchised

v. Can 219

Confd

do 228

26 Octr

Register No 14 — The [illegible] has been due in the names & its references are noted in each case [illegible] a [illegible]

The Register of [illegible] No 38 for the [illegible] of [illegible], the hamlet of Balijapalle [illegible]. There was also a hamlet attached to the village of Balijapanahalli – both in the survey & in the village account – the Karnikam of the hamlets has been held by a separate man – & in survey they were treated as separate villages – Accordingly the present registers have been prepared

Register No 14

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	Dasavandam Ari Maru Punji	Dry No 185	3·25 7 199 7/32 125 7/16	3·64 as SS	3 11 8		For the support of Ganga Devala at Balijapanahalli, a small temple [illegible] under a [illegible] tree for [illegible] once in 8 days.	Pay free	Permanent	Inam	No Sanad In 1228 [illegible] no remark [illegible] It appears [illegible] the [illegible] it was [illegible]	It appears the title [illegible]	All the [illegible] Birigaunda Ganga Devala		In Fasli 1231 Birigaundu In Fasli 1263 Birigaundu		Balijapanahalli Ganga Devala Pujari Bire Gaund		To be resumed an old inam		To be confirmed Tax free & [illegible] [illegible] 20/8/62 [illegible]	T.D.N 220	Confd [illegible] 24 Oct 62 [illegible]
2	Dasavandam Nanja Punji	Dry No 188	1·80 10 69 6/16 70 11/16	1·76 as SS	1 11 9		For the support of Linga [illegible] Devara at Balijapanahalli. The [illegible] is [illegible]	do	do	do	No Sanad In 1228 granted [illegible] before [illegible] In 1231 no remark. The inam was [illegible]	Do	All the [illegible] Pujari Venkatappa		In Fasli 1231 Pujari [illegible] Venkatappa In Fasli 1263 [illegible]		Balijapanahalli Linga Devara Pujari Sahani [illegible] Kulappa		Old Inam		To be confirmed Tax free [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	T.D.N 221	Confd [illegible] do [illegible]
3	Devadaya Chikka Devadam Punji	Dry No 197	1·32 8 62 11/16 72 5/16	1·86 as SS	1 13 8		Whole Kanike	do	do	do	No Sanad In 1228 granted [illegible] "[illegible] in [illegible] 55 years before" In 1231 Do	Do	All the [illegible] Venkata Chelaya	In 1231 Venkata Chelaya	In Fasli 1231 Venkata Chelaya In Fasli 1263 Rangappa Kotlappa		Balijapanahalli Pujari Ramaswamaya 32	[illegible] one [illegible] Adopted son from a [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] in 1863	[illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] Venkata [illegible] [illegible]	To be [illegible] [illegible] 2 – 4 Confd [illegible]	T.D.N 222	Confd do [illegible]
4	Devadaya Nanja Punji	Dry No 187	0·85 3 29 11/16 35 3/16	0·88 as SS	1 2 9	do	do	do	do	do	No Sanad In 1231 [illegible] It appears in 1812 [illegible]	Do	All the [illegible] Balijapanahalli Ramaya [illegible]	In 1812 [illegible] [illegible]	In Fasli 1231 Hari Ramaya In Fasli 1263 [illegible]		Balijapanahalli Rangappa Sampaji Ramaya 6	Old Inam [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	To be [illegible] [illegible] 2 – 2 [illegible] 20/3/62	T.D.N 223	Confd [illegible] do [illegible]
5	Devadaya Gelachi [illegible] Punji	Dry No 180	1·32 1 65 5/8 72 1/10	1·80 as SS	do 12 10	do	do	do	do	do	No Sanad In 1228 granted by [illegible] "Rayappa [illegible] the [illegible] last [illegible]" In [illegible] [illegible] "[illegible]"	Do [illegible] not appear in the [illegible] as inam	All the [illegible] Venkata Ganeshaya	This does not appear in Fasli	In Fasli 1231 Venkata Ganeshaya In Fasli 1263 Venkata Ramanaya		[illegible] [illegible] Venkata Ramanaya 69	Old Inam	Both [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] from a [illegible] [illegible] – but the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]		To be [illegible] [illegible] 6 [illegible] 12 [illegible] 20/3/62	T.D.N 224	Confd do [illegible]
		Dry No 182	1·83 1 40 1/2 28 3/10	1·20 as SS	1 3 0																		
		do	2·0 4 101 1/8 121	3·00 as SS	2 15 10																		
6	Devadaya [illegible] Punji	Dry No 189	2·10 1 75 3/4 100	2·25 as SS	3 5 10		do	do	do	do	No Sanad In 1228 granted [illegible] "Brahman [illegible] last [illegible] Chandappa [illegible]" In 1231 [illegible]	Do [illegible] in the [illegible]	All the [illegible] Nallary Ramachary	In 1812 Nallary Venkata Chary	In Fasli 1231 Ramachary In Fasli 1263 Thirumala Chary [illegible]	Bayalu	[illegible] 1 [illegible] 55 2 [illegible] 57 3 [illegible]	Old Inam [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] in equal share [illegible]	To be [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 2 – 6	T.D.N 225	[illegible]

Dry 13 – 39   11 – 11 – 1

1 cost