Inam Register of Karapahalli No 40 in the [illegible] division [illegible]

Row 5

Register No 8 291

[illegible] No 6

2 Dry 61 Do 95 — 2 19 14 2·49 84 S — 0 15 0 — For the support of Sanyadevara at Karapahalli — the conditions unperformed — Unenfranchised — In Fasli 1212 Sanga Devara Inam — It appears in all the accounts from Fasli 1212 as Devadayam — In Fasli 1234 Sanyadevara Pujari Opala Muniya — In Fasli 1249 Pujari Hanuma — Karapahalli Sanyadevara Pujari Sevanande alias at Karapahalli

The alienees are unconnected with the alienor — The alienations are not doubtful — In 1826 the widow Naranamma sold the first 2 fields together under 2 T Deeds in equal shares to Madua Row & Gopalachari — she sold the other field also to Gopalachari under another T D — Gopalachari sold under another T D half of the land ~~sold~~ to Madua Row

Tax free — Doubtful
21/3/63

Register 291
Sheet No 301

Confirmed
6/7/64

7

291 6 — Personal Dry 14 Do 27 — 5 38 0 5·95 / 20 S — 2 3 9 — Hd 34 Do — 0 10 11 0·27 / 9 S — 0 1 7 — Dry 135 Do — 0 26 12 0·65 / 22 S — 0 1 5 — Total 6 34 14 1·87 / 23 S — 2 11 9 — Balavanti Do Do Do — Unenfranchised — In Fasli 1212 Ramakka ~~Ramakka~~ of Anekal — In Fasli 1218 ~~Ramaya~~ Ramakka — In Fasli 1234 Hanumakka of Anekal Gopalaya Markiyan — In Fasli 1249 [illegible] Naranamma Purchasers Naranamma Ramachary [illegible]

Karapahalli Mudumbi Venkatachary 30 — 2 Ramaswamy 50 — 3 Krishnaswamy 40 — ½ the ~~[illegible]~~ Karapahalli 4 Venkataraya 40

Purchased from Naranamma & [illegible] — Purchaser Madava Rao

Whole of the land excluding Karapahalli Naranamma sold it [illegible]
3/4/63

The title deeds are 4 of which the 2 executed to Gopalachari were lost — There are the other 2 T D executed to Madua Rao produced ✕✕ which show the transactions to be bonafide. No 1 is gone away, No 2 & 3 are sons of Gopalachari — No 4 is son of Madua Rao by adoption. Vide Tahsildar's [illegible] 28 Sept 1866 No 62

An alienee holds the whole of the land; the alienees [illegible]
6/10/68

Wrote to Tahr 8/3/66

Confirmed on ⅙ as (6) —
T. R.
16/10/66

13/11/66

Cleared

Register 292

Inam Register of Paliyam No 65 in the Dankenikota division of the Hosur Taluk Salem Dist

The whole communicated with the Register [illegible]

Register No 292

1 — Devadayam Dry 2 Do 88 — 8 1 5 8·02 / 27 S — 6 0 0 — For the support of Linimah Devara at Sankimadugu — the conditions unperformed — Unenfranchised — In Fasli 1212 Linimala Devara Pujari Venkata — It appears in all the accounts from Fasli 1212 as Devadayam — In Fasli 1234 Linimah Devara Pujari Ranga — In Fasli 1249 Pujari Ramaya Nagaiya — Sankimadugu Linimah Devara Pujari Rangayan 30 — 2 Venkata Krishnayan 28 — 3 [illegible] 18

Tax free — To be in the name of the Deity
21/3/63

Confirmed
6/7/64

TDM 3571

Devadayam Dry 35 Do 35 — 6 28 16 6·72 / 22 S — 9 14 8 — For the support of Pallalamma at Pangahalli — the conditions unperformed — Unenfranchised — Do — In Fasli 1212 Pallalamma Inam Pujari Naiya — In Fasli 1234 Pallalamma Pujari Arda — In Fasli 1249 Pujari Pallalamma — Pangahalli Pallalamma Pujari Venkatam 40 — 2 Chinna Venkatam 80

Tax Rs 1-7-9 — To be in the name of the Goddess
21/3/63

Confirmed

TDM 3572

Personal Dry 5 Do 87 — 1 38 5 1·78 / 6 S — 0 14 3 — Balavanti Land free — Do Do — Unenfranchised — It appears in all the accounts from Fasli 1212 as Inam — In Fasli 1212 Ramaya of Pangahalli — In Fasli 1218 Ramaya of Pangahalli — In Fasli 1234 Ramaya of Palkode — In Fasli 1249 Ramaya — In Fasli 1257 [illegible] — Pangahalli Sankaran Krishnaya died 8 years ago — The alienee is his wife Venkamma 22 years of age — No free

In this case the deceased's dividend son Pangahalli Venkataramaya files a statement, and the Inam was in his enjoyment. But he has no right to the Inam — his apparently any enjoyment of it — but it is at all in his possession, it must be of the right of the deceased widow — and so Venkataramaya's claim is rejected; & the registry made in the name of the deceased's wife Venkamma.

This is a case of lapse — the deceased has left a widow Venkamma. Tax free in her name. Quit rent Rs 2 a 8 0
Does not lapse
16/3/63

Confirmed

Personal Dry 6 Do 88 83 — 4 9 0 4·22 / 14 S — 4 3 7 — Do — Do Do Do — Unenfranchised — In Fasli 1212 Ramaya of Pangahalli — Do — In Fasli 1218 Ramaya — In Fasli 1234 Ramaya — In Fasli 1249 Ramachary Ramachary Krishnachary — Maramahalli Mudumbi Srinivasa chary Brahman — 2 Venkatachary — 3 Ramachary — 4 Krishnachary — Do — Do — Inam produce [illegible]

Tax free Rs a 9 — Quit rent ⅛ Rs 8 0
3574

Confirmed
6/7/64

D 29-76 — 21 0 6 — 1 8 6

[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~

Register No. ~~[illegible]~~ 293

[illegible] in the [illegible] of the [illegible]

The Acts commence with the [illegible] Fusli of [illegible]

Regr. No. 293

1	Devadayam Wet Dry 85	100	1 9 0·16 5½ s	1 0 4	For the support of Malleswara swamy at Melpaii. The service is performed	Pre Fusli	Not known	Sarvamanyam [illegible]	In [illegible] Nagammanna [illegible] Melpaii Malleswara swamy. In F. [illegible] Pujari Mallaya	In F. 1254 Pujari [illegible] Malleswara swamy In F. 1274 Pujari Melpaii	Melpaii Malleswara swamy Pujari Mallaya ~~[illegible]~~ at Nammandahalli	To be confd. Tax free Held in trust of the Pagoda 21/3/63	3575 Col M 6/7/6[illegible]
2	Devadayam Wet 99 Dry 85		6 9 0·16 5½ s	1 0 4	For the support of Rangaswamy at Punjahalli. The service is performed	Do	Do	Sarvamanyam Do	In F. 1219 Ranga swamy [illegible] Pujari Venkata of Punjahalli In F. 1245 Pujari Rangaya	In F. 1234 Pujari Ranga In F. 1249 Ramanujaya [illegible]	Punjahalli Rangaswamy Pujari ~~[illegible]~~ Rangan 30 2 Venkata [illegible] 27 3 [illegible] 18 ~~[illegible]~~	[illegible] of Rangaya [illegible]	To be confd. Tax free Held in trust of the Pagoda 21/3/63	Col M 7
3	Devadayam Wet 98 Dry 85		13 11 0·34 11½ s	2 2 1	For the support of Varadarajaswamy at Melpaii. The service is performed	Do	Do	Sarvamanyam Do	In F. 1219 Varadaraja swamy In F. 1245 Varadaraja swamy Pujari Ramaswamy	In F. 1234 Pujari Ranga In F. 1249 Pujari Ramanujaya [illegible]	Melpaii Varadarajaswamy Pujari Rangayan 30 2 Venkata [illegible] 27 3 [illegible] 18 of Punjahalli		To be confd. Tax free Held in trust of the Pagoda 21/3/63	3577 Col M 7
4	Devadayam Wet 95 Dry 39 21 Wet 181 Dry 124		3 22 18 3·57 10 s 1 1 10 1·04 8 s 4 24 7 4·61 15 s	13 5 3 [illegible] 5 21 1 8	For the support of Lingappa Devara at Sandamaddu. The service is performed	Do	Do	Sarvamanyam Do	In F. 1219 Lingappa Devara of Sandamaddu In F. 1218 Pujari Venkata	In F. 1234 Pujari Ranga In F. 1249 Pujari [illegible] [illegible]	Sandamaddu Lingappa Devara Pujari Rangan 30 2 Venkata [illegible] 27 3 [illegible] 18 of Punjahalli		To be confd. Tax free Held in trust of the Pagoda 21/3/63	3578 Col M 7
5	Devadayam Wet 121 Dry 188		88 4 0·83 2 s	5 3 5	For the support of Hanumantaraya swamy at Ratnagiri. The service is performed	Do	Do	Sarvamanyam Do	In F. 1219 Hanumanta Rayaswamy Pujari [illegible] In F. 1258 Ratnagiri Hanumantaraya Pujari [illegible]	In F. 1234 Pujari [illegible] In F. 1245 [illegible]	Ratnagiri Hanumantarayaswamy Pujari Lingappa [illegible] 30 ~~[illegible]~~ at Dakshina Kola	The [illegible] the temple is in good order.	To be confd. Tax free Held in trust of the Pagoda 21/3/63	3579 Col M 7
6	Devadayam Wet 161 Dry 21		1 7 10 1·19 10 s	4 7 1	For the support of Lingappa Devara at Penjamu. The service is performed	Do	Do	Sarvamanyam Do	In F. 1219 [illegible] Lingappa Devara [illegible] In F. 1258 Pujari [illegible]	In F. 1234 Pujari Ranga of Punjahalli In F. 1248 Alvaraya In F. 1257 [illegible] Alvaraya	Penjamu Lingappa Devara Pujari Rangayan 30 2 [illegible] 27 3 [illegible] 18	[illegible] of Rangaya [illegible]	To be confd. Tax free Held in trust of the Pagoda 21/3/63	3580 Col M 7
	Devadayam Wet 120 Dry 21 Wet 188 Dry 115		98 0·70 23½ 1 16 8 1·21 47½ s 2 4 8 2·11 71 s	5 3 6 10 8 10 15 12 4	For the support of Hanuma Deva at [illegible] The [illegible]	Do	Do	Sarvamanyam Do	In F. 1219 Indra Karaya swamy Pujari Bella In F. 1218 [illegible]	In F. 1246 Belaya In F. 1246 5[illegible] Chinna	Punjahalli Indrakaraya Pujari Tollijanoda 35 [illegible]		To be confd. Tax free Held in trust of the Pagoda 21/3/63	3581 Col M 6[illegible]

W 9 4 50 11 3

Collr. Collr. Collr. Collr. Collr. Collr.

[illegible] Register of Oomani Palam No 66 in the [illegible] of [illegible] the Dankenkota Division Hossoor Taluk Salem District

Register No 293

Sheet No 302

Devadayam Dh No 19 Do Do No 131	Wet No 11 – 36 10 0·92 30 3/8 Wet No 89 – 18 4 0·46 15 3/8 Wet No 131 1 18 8 1·41 47 1/8 Total 2 31 1 2·78 93 5/8	6 13 4 3 6 7 10 8 10 20 12 9	Pratti [illegible] Permanent Not Resumed Support of Ullama Karaga at Harajahalli the Lushkio in perpetuity	[illegible] On all the [illegible] as [illegible]	[illegible] 1812 Haraja [illegible] Pujari [illegible] Gounda [illegible] 1818 Pujari Dasa	[illegible] 1834 Pujari Dasa [illegible] 1849 Chella [illegible] 1857 Chella [illegible]	Harajahalli Ullama Karaga Pujari Muniya Gounda 40 2 ~~[illegible]~~ Mara 85 3 Muniya 30 of Chudanur	— —	Whole Inam Tax free [illegible] in the name of the Goddess 8/3/63	4017 Confd [illegible] 7/10/64
Devadayam Do No 22	Wet No 42 1 4 15 1·12 37 13/16	7 3 3	Pratti do do do Support of Pallalamma at Paigahalli the Lushkio in perpetuity	Do	[illegible] 1812 Pallalamma [illegible] Pujari Haraja [illegible] 1818 Pallalamma	[illegible] 1834 Pujari Aresa [illegible] 1849 Pujari Aresa [illegible] 1857 Pujari Venkata Aresa	Paigahalli Pallalamma Pujari Venkatama 40 2 Chinna Venkatama 30 of Paigahalli	— —	Whole Inam Tax free [illegible] in the name of the Goddess 2/3/63	Confd [illegible] T.D. 4018
Devadayam Do No 20 Do No 182	Wet No 38 – 34 9 0·87 29 8 Wet No 185 1 20 2 1·50 50 5/8 2 18 11 2·37 77 9/16	6 3 7 11 3 6 17 10 7	Pratti do do do Support of Ullama Karaga at Perizanur the Lushkio in perpetuity	Do	[illegible] 1812 Perizanur Haraja Timmappa of Paigahalli	[illegible] 1834 Pujari Bola [illegible] 1849 Perichi	Perizanur Ullama Karaga Pujari Perichi alt	— —	Whole Inam Tax free [illegible] in the name of the Goddess 2/3/63	Confd [illegible] T.D. 4019
Devadayam [illegible]	Wet No 103 – 14 5 0·36 12 8	2 3 7	Pratti do do do Support of Dodda Karaga at Perizanur the Lushkio in perpetuity	Do	[illegible] 1812 Perizanur Dodda Karaga [illegible] Pujari Chenna Raya [illegible] of Paiga halli [illegible] 1818 Chenna Raya	[illegible] 1834 Raya [illegible] 1857 [illegible] Raya	Perizanur Dodda Karaga Pujari Muniya 25 2 Chenna Raya 20 3 Keppi 50	partners [illegible] daughter-in-law [illegible] ½ [illegible] 2	Whole Inam Tax free [illegible] in the name of the Goddess 2/3/63	T.D. 4020 Confd 7/10/64
Devadayam Do 98	Wet No 104 – 28 13 0·61 24 8	3 11 8	Pratti do do do Support of Dodda Karaga at Harajahalli the Lushkio in perpetuity	Do	[illegible] 1812 Haraja halli Dodda Karaga Pujari Dasa [illegible] 1846 [illegible]	[illegible] 1849 Pujari Muniya [illegible] [illegible] 1857 [illegible] daughter-in-law [illegible] Tulaka	Harajahalli Dodda Karaga Pujari Tulaka 30 of Paigahalli		Whole Inam Tax free [illegible] in the name of the Goddess 2/3/63	[illegible] T.D. [illegible]
[illegible] Do 80	Wet No 105 – 19 1 0·47 16 8		Support of Dodda Karaga at Sandimadugu the Lushkio in perpetuity	Do	[illegible] 1812 Dodda Karaga of Sandimadugu Pujari Harra	[illegible] 1834 Rudda [illegible] 1846 daughter-in-law [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] 1857 [illegible]	Sandimadugu Dodda Karaga Goddess Pujari Bolegai Munnan 30 2 Timman 20	brothers	Whole Inam Tax free [illegible] in the name of the Goddess 2/3/63	T.D. 4022 Confd [illegible]
Devadayam Do 9 Do 183	Wet No 186 1 28 11 1·71 57 3/4 Wet No 187 – 32 12 0·82 27 1/2 2 21 7 2·53 85 1/4	10 11 – 6 1 10 16 12 10	Pratti do do do Support of Basavanna at Paigahalli the Lushkio in perpetuity	Do	[illegible] 1812 Paigahalli Basavanna Pujari Basava	[illegible] 1834 Basava [illegible] 1846 [illegible] Mataya 1 [illegible] 2 3 Chikka [illegible] 3 [illegible] 1857 [illegible]	Paigahalli Basavanna Pujari Mataya 60 of Nammandahalli		Whole Inam Tax free [illegible] in the name of the [illegible]	Confd T.D. 4023 7/10/64

	11 89 128 o 11 75 0'30 119 1 7 10 1'19 205	5 8 10 1 7 10 6 11 8	Dale Hardi	[illegible]	[illegible]	Sarvamanyam [illegible] ... Juras Inam	Sr 9707 Venkatappa of Payakalli Sr 7818 Venkatappa	Sr 7824 Venkatarayya at Maradi[illegible] Sr 7829 Venka Laxmamma Daughter in law Sr 7827 Laxmamma daughter	Venkata Laxmamma at Dalagiri also		Date of appl. for inam 4th August 1864 Full amount deft 6/11/65
Personal	Sr No 107 2 3 10 4'11 Dt 17 18 19 50 135½	35 10 6	D	[illegible] 2 3 6	D — D	Sarvamanyam [illegible] in all the [illegible] from 1812 as Inam	Sr 9707 [illegible] of Payakalli Sanjaya of [illegible] Sr 9718 Ramadevi of [illegible]	Sr 9784 Sanjaya Sr 9789 [illegible] [illegible] Venkatalaxmamma [illegible]	Varanasi [illegible] [illegible] Venkatalaxmamma [illegible] 31 — [illegible] [illegible] — Wife 1 [illegible]	7/3/63	Whole Confd Tax 2-3-6 Qr 8 24-o-o 6 Rs 572 4024 Cofd 8/10/64
Personal	Sr No 109 2 23 8 2'58 Dt 52 66 8 7 5 Sr No 193 2 18 12 4'35 Dt 53 59 o 121 5 6 37 4 6'93 2015	22 8 10 32 5 2 55 o o	D	[illegible] 8 2 2	D — D	Sarvamanyam	Sr 9712 [illegible] of Payakalli Sr 7818 Daughter in law Ragavamma Father	Sr 7824 Varadaya at Maradakalli Purchaser Sr 7249 Rama [illegible] Rama [illegible] & [illegible] Remark The Inam passed from Ragavamma [illegible] to [illegible] then to Maradakalli Varadaya & Laxmamma [illegible] from the [illegible] for 40 [illegible] [illegible]	Payakalli Madrenthi [illegible] 1 [illegible] 30 Purchaser [illegible] — Wife 1 2 Ramanayya 60 Purchaser [illegible] — Sons 2 3 [illegible] 40 Do — Wife 1	The acct of [illegible] [illegible] the purchaser Varada [illegible] to [illegible] & the other purchaser Rama [illegible] in [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 8/3/63	Whole Confd Tax 8-2-4 Qr 8 26 14 Rs Confd [illegible] Cofd 4025
Personal	Sr No 116 7 8 1 7'20 Dt 160 178 96 99 100 2295 Sr No 58 9 25 9 9'65 Dt 13 2 11 52 3925 15 [illegible] 11 16'85 5285	12 11 10 28 o o 40 11 10	D	[illegible] 5 8 9	D — D	Sarvamanyam The [illegible] combined quit rent ought to be 20 Rs & not 21; but the small difference needs no notice	Sr 9712 [illegible] Ramaya of [illegible] [illegible] Laxmamma at Japati daughter in law	Sr 7834 [illegible] of Madpondahalli a purchaser [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Ramaya	Madpondahalli [illegible] [illegible] Venkatalaxmamma 60 Purchaser [illegible] — Wife 1 Sons 2	[illegible] [illegible] Laxmamma Daughter in law [illegible] 30 [illegible] 2nd [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 19/5/63	Whole Confd Tax 8-9 Qr 8 13-o-o 21 Rs Confd Cofd 572 4
Personal	Sr No 190 5 32 9 6'73 Dt 96 87 88 193¾ 8 [illegible] the Inam may be Confirmed at ½ Qr [illegible] to the present [illegible] — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Inam [illegible]	30 14 1	D	[illegible]	D — D	Sarvamanyam Remark [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Narayya & [illegible] [illegible] the Inam [illegible] to Palkod Subbaraya [illegible] Madpondahalli Subbaraya [illegible] Chendanahalli [illegible] Venkataraya	Sr 9712 Anna [illegible] of Palkod Sr 7818 Annapurnamma's [illegible] Subbaraya at Palkod	Sr 7828 Annapurna Subbaraya at Palkod [illegible] Sr 7869 [illegible] [illegible] Subbaraya & Chendanahalli	Madpondahalli [illegible] Dinne [illegible] Venkatalaxmamma [illegible] 50 [illegible] [illegible] — Wife 1 Sons 4 Vakil [illegible] Madpondahalli [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Laxmamma [illegible] 2 A [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Laxmamma to Venkatalaxmamma	The [illegible] claim on mortgage [illegible] [illegible] Abbaya Ramaya mortgaged the Inam to Palkod Subbaraya & that [illegible] to the present [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Inam [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] Land [illegible] [illegible]
Personal	Sr No 107 3 32 13 8'87 130 1 Sr No 121 3 14 7 3'36 113 8 7 9 3 7'23 2285 There is a ½ of the Inam — the other ½ was resumed to [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] — as the [illegible] Ramanamma who died [illegible]	28 14 2 12 8 11 41 5 1	D	[illegible] 1 8 9	D — D	Sarvamanyam Case 14 A [illegible] 425 of 1866 from the Party in Col. 16 regarding [illegible] of the Inam [illegible] quit rent [illegible] accordingly [illegible]	Sr 9712 [illegible] of Dambahalli Sr 7818 [illegible] [illegible]	Sr 7834 [illegible] Venkataraya at Dambahalli Sr 7828 [illegible] [illegible] & Laxmamma at Dambahalli Sr 7829 [illegible] Laxmamma daughter in law	Dambahalli [illegible] Laxmamma sons 50 wife	One [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 7/4/63	The Inam was confirmed in 1858 to Laxmamma [illegible] Whole Confd Tax 1-13-9 220-o-o 22 Rs 4034 Cofd
Personal	Sr No 96 7 27 1 7'67 Dt 187 188 187 100 258	38 3 7	D	[illegible] 11 3	D — D	Sarvamanyam [illegible] in all the [illegible] from 1812 as Inam The Venkata Daraya & Venkata Ramaya have filed the statement for the Inam in their own names as daughters sons of the Survey Inamdar [illegible] the survey Inamdar [illegible] [illegible] is living — a [illegible] — I have therefore informed the Inam the [illegible] of Venkataramaya & [illegible] [illegible] & Venkata [illegible]	Sr 9712 Ramaya of Payakalli Sr 9718 Ramaya of ~~Payakalli~~	Sr 9782 Ramaya of Palkod Sr 9789 Ramaya Sr 7829 [illegible]	~~Payakalli~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Kistnaya son aged [illegible] years ~~Venkatalaxmamma 28 Daughters son — Wife 1~~ Brothers 2 ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Venkata [illegible] 31 Daughter — Wife 1~~	[illegible] [illegible] his widow Venkamma [illegible] Apply to Rodriam [illegible]	This is a case of lapse — The deceased Kistnaya was the son of the Survey Inamdar. He died 8 years ago [illegible] a young widow Venkamma Whole Confd in her name Tax — 16-3 Qr 219-o-o 20 Rs 4264

Register 293

Register [illegible] Panjahalli [illegible] — Fair Register of Inam Statements [illegible] Dankenkota [illegible]

Register No 293
Sheet No 303

[illegible] Personal — [illegible] 1-17-2 1·28 — 288 — 8-14-3 — Dry [illegible] — [illegible] — 1-6-3 — [illegible] — [illegible] — [illegible]
[illegible] 1-15-2 1·42 — 288 — 7-1-9
2-34 — 2·84 — 968 — 11-0-0
Disappears in all the [illegible] 1802 as [illegible]
[illegible] — [illegible] — [illegible]
[illegible] — Present [illegible] Dauni [illegible]
[illegible] 1 — [illegible] 2
[illegible] to [illegible]
To be Conf^d
[illegible] 1-6-3
[illegible] 21-12-0 — 3-4-0
Cancelled
Cert^d
T.D.R. 3993 — 30/8/66
5
7/3/63

[illegible] Personal — 98 — 4-33-3 — 2·81 — 161½ — 23-11-11 — D — [illegible] — D — D
Remark in 1807 — This Inam [illegible] mortgaged [illegible] — [illegible]
Remark in 1829 — [illegible]
Do
Dankenkota
[illegible] 56 — 2 [illegible] 45
To be Conf^d
[illegible] 2 12-0
[illegible] Conf^d
This Inam [illegible] in the Accts as that of the [illegible] — As appears from the Accts [illegible] — [illegible] Collector [illegible] declared that the Inam was one of a resumable character [illegible]
The present holders have inherted the Inam [illegible] Concession of the [illegible] the Inam with the [illegible]

[illegible] Personal — [illegible] 2-27-8 0·94 — 122 — 81½ — 8-1-9 — D — [illegible] — D — D
[illegible] 188 — 5-10 — 7·12 — 224½ — 62-2-8
135 — 8-3-2 8·08 — 271½ — 70-3-3
Settled on Supplemental Register [illegible] 5/8/69
Do
Date of appl for attachment 4th August 1864
[illegible] Reld [illegible] 6/9/65
On [illegible] report the [illegible] Collector passed an order on 25th August 1858 [illegible] Inam before the [illegible] 1847, [illegible] as appeared on a reconsideration [illegible] the Inam [illegible]

[illegible] Personal — 102 — 6-12-15 — 6·38 — 212½ — 45-3-7 — D — [illegible] — D — D
[illegible] 4-10-9 — 4·26 — 150 — 16-10-6
10-31-8 — 10·79 — 367½ — 65-14-1
[illegible] 1 & 4 Produces [illegible]
[illegible]
1 [illegible] 50 — 2 [illegible] 38 — 3 [illegible] 56 — 4 [illegible] 184
[illegible] 102 — 6·33 — 27-3-7 — [illegible] 1-12-5
5 [illegible] 31 — 6 [illegible] 40 — 7 [illegible] 32
[illegible] 152 — 4·46 — 16-10-6
To be Conf^d [illegible]
[illegible] 1-12-5
[illegible] 25-8-0
T.D.R. 3994 — T.D.R. 3995 — 3996
Date of appl for attachment 4th August 1864

[illegible] Personal — [illegible] 2-39-0 2·97 — 100 — 11-1-9 — D — [illegible] — D — D
[illegible] No 133 of 1866 [illegible]
[illegible] that he was absent at Mysore & consequently unable to file the Statement.
Referred to [illegible] for [illegible] 5/3/66
Settled on [illegible] 12/4/69
Released [illegible] 6/11/65

[illegible] Personal — [illegible] 9-0-8 9·22 — 310½ — 80-7-9 — D — [illegible] — D — D
[illegible] 6-14-2
Do
[illegible]
[illegible] 60 [illegible]
[illegible] 1 — [illegible] 1
To be Conf^d
[illegible] 6-14-2
[illegible] 29-0-0
16 [illegible]
7/3/63
Cancelled
Cert^d
T.D.R. 3997 — 30/8/66

[illegible] Personal — [illegible] 5-18-10 5·37 — 183 — 20-1-8 — D — [illegible] — D — D
Do
[illegible] 30
To be Conf^d
[illegible] 22-8-0
Cert^d
T.D.R. 3998 — 30/8/66

[illegible] Register [illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible]

Grader [illegible] 293

[illegible] 29 Personal Dry No 116 3 7 16 3·20
D No 251-252 107½
11 2 8 Bala [illegible] Inam [illegible]
[illegible] Appayya [illegible]
In F. 1234 Appayya
In F. 1249 Appayya [illegible]

Rejected 293

Settled on Supplemental Register J.W.B[illegible] 13.[illegible]

One Tale Venkata Rayappa son of Appayya [illegible] petitioned Collr in 1859 claiming [illegible] for the field [illegible] No 139 [illegible] This latter [illegible] was settled in the name of [illegible] Prasanachary [illegible] both [illegible] before me [illegible]

Date of applic for attachment 4th August 186[illegible]

Personal [illegible] 6/7/65

[illegible] 30 Personal Dry No 174 3 22 13 3·57
120 8
D No 146-248
Dry No 175 20 32 7 20·81 [illegible]
D No 157-158-167 168-169-170-171-172-173 249-250
Total 24 15 11 22·38
819½ 8
22 3 7 D [illegible] 0 15 0 D D [illegible]
77 11 7
& the others
99 15 2
Bala Krishnayya [illegible]
In F. 1234 Bala Krishnayya
In F. 1249 [illegible]
[illegible] Narasimhulu
Balakrishnayya 80
2 Venkappa 30
3 Ramayya 75
4 [illegible] 100
5 Ramayya [illegible]

Tahsildar's Arzi dated 19/1/67 No 5 reports that No 2 in Col 16 declines to receive the TD on the ground that he & the others did not agree to the 2R. Rejected as it appears from the Dy Colls statement that all the parties in Col 18 did agree to the 2R. 13-2-67 J.W.Brain

Do

[illegible] 15 [illegible]
13 [illegible]
3/11/63
Cert [illegible]
30/8/6[illegible]
2-4

[illegible] Personal Dry No 147 5 16 9 ~~6·48~~ 5·41
D No 174-175-188 182
Dry No 185 2 1 13 2·05 68¾
D No 177-178
7 18 6 7·46 250¾
20 3 6 D [illegible] 0 14 3 D D [illegible]
10 3 0
30 1 1
Do
Pullayya
In F. 1234 Pullayya
In F. 1249 [illegible]

Date of applicn for attachment 4th August 1864

[illegible] 6/7/65

[illegible] Personal Dry No 119 2 38 2 2·90
D No 157 97½
10 13 4 D [illegible] D D [illegible]
Do
Venkata Krishna [illegible]
In F. 1234 Venkata Krishna [illegible]
In F. 1249 Krishna [illegible]

Do Do

6/7/65

[illegible] Personal Dry No 148 1 [illegible] 1·93
95 8
7 3 7 D [illegible] D D [illegible]
Do
Venkata [illegible] Ramanujam [illegible]
In F. 1234 Venkataramayya
In F. 1249 Venkataramayya Raghunatha Row
[illegible]
Venkatarayappa 65 [illegible] [illegible]
2 Ramayya 63 Do [illegible]
3 Raghunatha Row 58 Do [illegible]
4 Chikka Raghunatharow 9 [illegible]
Vakil [illegible] Row
18/11/63
4000
30/8/6[illegible]

[illegible] Personal Dry No 151 12 19 3 12·28
D No 239 240 241 242 243 245 252 255 256 257 420 8
45 7 3 D [illegible] D D [illegible]
Do
Ramo Krishnayya [illegible]
In F. 1234 Ramo Krishnayya [illegible]
In F. 1249 Ramayya Venkata [illegible]
[illegible]
Narasimha [illegible]
Srinivasa [illegible] 30
2 Ramakrishna [illegible] 55
3 [illegible] 25
4 Srinivasa [illegible]
[illegible] 1/8 share
18/11/63
4000
30/7/6[illegible]

[illegible] Personal Dry No 50 2 10 7 2·26
D No 246 247 76 8
8 7 7 D [illegible] D D [illegible]
Do
Valla [illegible]
In F. 1234 Malla [illegible]
In F. 1249 [illegible]

Date of applicn for attachment 4th August 1864

[illegible] 6/7/65

Regr No 293 for
Sheet No 304

Inam Register of Amani Palam No 66 in the ~~D~~ [illegible] Dankini [illegible]

[illegible] Pangahalli No 6

[illegible]	[illegible] 184 – 14 15 0·87 D No 187 12½	1 18 8	Bala- aanti	Free	[illegible]	[illegible] Naum	[illegible] [illegible] in all the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible] Ramadeo	[illegible] 34 [illegible] [illegible]	Peranaiyar am Durgiaadi [illegible] achary 12 grandson [illegible] Statement [illegible] by the Uncle Sundrachary – 3/10/63	Tole Ca[illegible] [illegible] Q Rs 8	[illegible]
[illegible] 87 Perasaaf	[illegible] 187 2 15 3 2·38 D No 203-206 805 [illegible] 128 2 28 15 2·90 D No [illegible] 91 5 3 8 5·78 171 508	8 14 3 13 7 8 22 5 11	D	[illegible] 0 14 3	D	D	[illegible] D	[illegible] Ramaza of Pangahalli [illegible] 18 Ramaza of Pangahalli	[illegible] 34 Ramaza [illegible] 29 Ramaza [illegible] [illegible]	Pangahalli [illegible] Desikachary 30 Grandson [illegible] 2 Srinivasachary 20 Do [illegible]	—	Tole [illegible] [illegible] 16-3 Q Rs 8 [illegible] 12 3-12 8/11/63	T Dn 3959
[illegible] 88 Perasaaf	[illegible] 3 32 11 3·87 D No 63-62 180 [illegible] 1 16 14 1·22 D No 66 47 13/16 [illegible] 1 0 18 1·02 D No 56 32 5/16 5 12 1 6·31 212 1/8	33 11 3 17 7 7 8 14 3 54 15 11	D	[illegible] 6 14	D	D	[illegible] Do	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 18 [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] Ramaza [illegible] 29 Ramaza	[illegible] [illegible] 1 [illegible] 52 Brothers 2 [illegible] 45 Grandson 3 [illegible] 34 Do 4 [illegible] 28 Do 5 [illegible] 30 Grandson 6 Venkata [illegible] 28 Do 7 [illegible] 26 Do	[illegible] 1 [illegible] 1 [illegible] 1 [illegible] 1 [illegible] 2 [illegible]	[illegible] 4½ share [illegible] ½ share [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	Tole [illegible] [illegible] 16 Q Rs 8 36 [illegible] 18 [illegible] 8/11/63	T Dn 3960
[illegible]	[illegible] 5 4 10 4·17 D No 161-162-145 142	26 4 7	D	[illegible] 3 14	D	D	[illegible] It appears in all the [illegible] [illegible] as [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 18 [illegible]	[illegible] 34 [illegible] [illegible] Ramaza [illegible] 29 [illegible] [illegible] grandson's [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Rangamma 50 grandson's wife [illegible] 6 Srinivasa of [illegible]	She says she adopted her brother's son Ramaza —	Tole [illegible] The adoption is doubtful – 2 people of [illegible] present have attested the statement. One says he knows nothing of the adoption & the other boy says the adoption was made since 6 years [illegible] [illegible] at [illegible] [illegible] 3-14 Q Rs 40 [illegible] 2/9/63	T Dn 4186 ¼ of the [illegible] to be charged if the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] half [illegible] be charged

C 2 Rent 20 – – –

Regr No 294

Inam Register of Periyaaur No 67 in the Dankinikota Division of the Oosoor Taluk [illegible] Dist — 30/9/63

The [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible] 26 2 27 2 2·67 90 [illegible] 1 5 9 7 5·23 D No 30-31 176 7 36 9 7·90 166 [illegible] 0 14 10 0·38 D No 198 12½ 8 11 8 8·29 278½	1 0 0 4 9 2 5 9 2 0 14 10 6 8 0	For the support of Lemimala Devara at Periyaaur The [illegible] [illegible] for the support of [illegible] Swamy at Amani Palam [illegible]	Free	Perma- nent	[illegible] Naum	[illegible] It appears in all the [illegible] from [illegible] as [illegible]	[illegible] Lemimala Devara [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] all the fields [illegible]	Periyaaur Lemimal Devara Pujari Raaya 30 2 [illegible] 20 3 [illegible] 18 at Pangahalli [illegible] 26 [illegible] 7·90 – 5 9 2 Periyaaur Tank 2 [illegible] Devara Pujari [illegible] at Chamanur [illegible] [illegible] 164 – 0·38 – 0 14 10	[illegible] Tole [illegible] 2 [illegible] [illegible] Tax free & [illegible] Tax free 21/10/63	T D No 4252 4253
[illegible] 2	[illegible] 24 4 25 11 4·63 D No 164 156	1 11 7	For the support of Lemimal Devara at Periyaaur [illegible]	D	D	D	[illegible] D	[illegible] [illegible] Devara [illegible]	[illegible] Devara [illegible] Ramaya [illegible]	Periyaaur Tank [illegible] Lemimal Devara Pujari [illegible] – at Chamanur [illegible]	Tole [illegible] Tax free [illegible]	T Dn 3961

				3 11 3.	[illegible] Support 11 11 of Sangameswara at Periyamar the Pujaris in succession	Pura Anat	Not known	[illegible] Draftsman in all the Acts from Fusli 1212 as Inam	In Fusli 1212 Saiga Devara Anam In Fusli 1216 Pandiga Pujari at Perdurtak	In Fusli 1167 Pujari Irala Gaudaya In F 1227 Irala Gaudaya	Periyamar Sangameswara Pujari Mari 40	Daughter-in-law at Periyamar	—	—	To be conf. Ts. 11-11 [illegible] 21/3/63	5 Sm 3962	Conf[illegible] 20/8/61
Dhaodayam	Dy No 90	23 38 3 27.95		31 5 4	[illegible] Support 27 2 11 of Allamakaraya at Periyamar the Pujaris in succession	D	D	[illegible]	In F 1212 Karaya Pujari Limmappa	In F 1234 Pujari Bola	Periyamar Allamakaraya Pujari Perichi	alt	—	—	To be conf. Ts. 27-2-11 [illegible] 21/3/63	5 Sm 3963	Conf[illegible] 20/8/61
	D No 34-35-36 37-38-39-41	939½								In F 1249 Perichi							
	[illegible]	1 27 13 1.70 67		6 5 4				Do									
	D No 73-75																
	Total	29 31 29.65 991½		37 10 8													
[illegible] Personal	Dy No 36	5 1 2 5.02		1 14 3	Bala Varli	Tasree	D	D	In F 1212 Tejare Bulaha Anadam	In F 1234 Bulahara Dani	Tejarudgraha[illegible] Nagahedi 1 Lakshman Mannuja Laolly 40	Baudami Bhaudam	Wife 1 Sons 3	Enjoy the Produce in Equal Shares	To be conf. 2R⅛ 2-4- [illegible]	5 Sm 3964	Conf[illegible] 3/8/[illegible]
	D No 162-165	1698						Do		In F 1249 Hamiri Laaaly Bulahaadami Bulahara Dani	2 Krishnamurthy 30 3 Krishnaaaly 40	Do Do	Wife 1 Wife 1 Sons 2				
[illegible]	Dy No 17	5 2 9 6.09		5 11 4	D	Tax free	D	D	In F 1212 Havaji Limmappa	In F 1234 Limmappa Mortgagee Ramabadraily	Madigadahalli Sridhara Ramanudabhat 25 Lapeeri	Mortgagee Ramabadraya [illegible]	[illegible]	In 1853 a complaint having been made regarding the Inam an enquiry was held, the enjoyment of Bayanarasa [illegible] was traced — the Collector called a Final report from the Tahsildar whether the enjoyment was Shatiri [illegible] date 1848 or subsequent to that date & which the enjoyment has been of a known date 1848, to report when lapses occur — [illegible]	The family of Limmappa became extinct — [illegible] In the [illegible] Limmappa had mortgaged the land to Ramabadraya in Sarvaya [illegible] (or 1787) [illegible] Ramabadraya & [illegible] have enjoyed to [illegible] In 1853 the Collector [illegible] by the Inamdar [illegible] had been of a known date to 1848, to enquire [illegible] & make a report on [illegible]	5 Sm 39[illegible]	Co[illegible]
	D No 28	171															
	Dy No 48	1 24 3 1.61		1 6 3	×× There the Record does not shew that any subsequent [illegible] in which the person on the right of the present enjoyee is based on a mortgage which has stood for a period of 60 years — the amount of mortgage was stated in the Act to be 80 Pagodas. As the enjoyment has been long, there yet [illegible] in mortgage giving due consideration to the rights of Govt & to the long enjoyment ×××			Do		In F 1249 Limmappa daughter-in-law Mortgagee [illegible] Ramabadraya & Benchera	2 Ramanabhat [illegible] Kotnabhat 30 3 Ramanbhat 50	[illegible] Laxmi					
	D No 50	57								In F 1263 Limmappa daughter-in-law [illegible] Mortgagee [illegible]	Benyaya ½ share Lakshmi ½ share						
	Total	6 27 12 6.70 225		7 1 7													
Inamdar [illegible] to the [illegible]	Land [illegible] [illegible]																
[illegible]	Dy No 11	8 [illegible] 8 43		3 2 7	D	D	D	D	In F 1212 Ramanjaya	In F 1234 Rampaya	Madi Nagaram Srinivasa Ayagar 40	Ayagar son	Wife 1 Son 1	Enjoy ⅛ share	To be conf. 2R⅛ 2-6- [illegible]	5 Sm 3966	Conf[illegible]
	D No 85-120-121	283½						Do		In F 1248 Srinivasaya Ramaya [illegible]	2 Ramakrishna Ayagar 55 3 Seshu Ayagar 20 4 Chinna Ayagar 5 Rama [illegible]	Do [illegible] Do	Wife 1 Wife 1 Wife 1 Brother	In 43 [illegible] ⅛ share In 205 [illegible] ⅛ share	[illegible]		
[illegible]	Dy No 27	2 3 5 2.08		1 13 4	D	D	D	D	In F 1212 Ramaya of Pamyahalli	In F 1234 Ramaya of Palkod	~~Ramalinga~~ ~~Venkata Raaya 40~~ ~~Ramaswami~~ ~~Ramanna 36~~	Krishnaya aged 8 years son Daughter's son	~~Wife 1~~ ~~Brother~~ ~~Wife 1~~	Only heir in his widow Venkamma [illegible] ~~Enjoy the Produce~~ ~~[illegible]~~	This is a case of lapse — The deceased Krishnaya was the son of the [illegible] Inamdar — He died 8 years ago leaving a young widow Venkamma. To be confirmed in her name. 2R½ 1- [illegible] Recorded [illegible]	5 Sm 3967	Conf[illegible]
	D No 24	79			Note Venkata Raaya & Venkataramanna have filed the Statement for the Inam in their own names as daughter's sons of the original Inamdar — But the original Inamdar's daughter-in-law is living, a good heir of the enjoyee the Inam. I have therefore confirmed the Inam in the Name ×××			Draftsman in all the Acts from Fusli 1212 as Inam	In F 1249 Ramaya of Pamyahalli	In F 1265 Krishnaya son							
[illegible] Venkamma [illegible] transferred the claim of Raaya & Venkataramanna																	
Personal	Dy No 55	5 31 1 6.80 195		3 9 4	D	Total 0 8 7	D	D	In F 1212 Ramaya Ramaya of Pamyahalli	In F 1234 Ramaya of Pamyahalli	Manandahalli Mudumuki Srinivasa [illegible] Ramaswami Ayagar	Ramaswami son [illegible] Ramaswami	Wife 1 Brother Sons 2 Wife 1	Enjoy the Produce in 4 equal Shares	To be conf. Ts. 3-7 2R⅛ [illegible] 1-8-[illegible]	5 Sm 3968	Conf[illegible] 30/[illegible]
	D No 132-133									In F 1249	2 Ramaswami Ayagar						
	Dy No 74	1 27 11 1.74		1 8 2				Do		In F 1265 Ramaswamy [illegible]	3 Ramaswamy Krishnaswami						
	D No 201																
	Dy No 100	2 [illegible] 11 2.02 68		1 12 4													
		[illegible] 9.50		6 13 1													

Regr No 293
Sheet No 69.0

No 293

Register of Inams in the village of Amani Talav (1) Dantinikota Division of in the Oossoor Talook Salem District.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
No 26	Personal	No 97 Hallasale Nanjie.	Gunters 100.	A. C. 2. 97	"	Rs. A. P 11. 1. 9	Batawarte or Subsistence Grant	Tax-free	Hereditary	Not known	No Sannad. The Inam is entered in the accounts of Fasli 1212 and those of subsequent Faslies.	Not known	In the Kayam Tirwa accounts of Fasli 1212 - Sunkarappa.	In the accounts of Fasli 1218 and 1234 Sunkarappah. This is the same person whose name is entered in Column 14. In Fasli 1249 Sunkarappah is mentioned as deceased and the following individuals are entered as his grandsons. Subramanyah — 1 Nanjundappah — 2 Sunkarappah — 3 In Fasli 1257 the Inam is entered without the name of the holder.	Soobrayappah. Age 35.	Toomkoor in the Toomkoor District, Mysore Territory.	Son of Subramaniah whose name appears in the accounts of Fasli 1249.	Wife — 1 Sons — 2 3	"	The Inam being of an older date than 50 years and being in the continued enjoyment of the descendant of the original grantee, it is recommended that it be confirmed to the party in the 16th Column at ⅛ Quit Rent Rs. A. P. 1. 6 - which has been agreed to. The Inam was placed under attachment in consequence of the non-attendance of the Inamdar before the Inam Dy Collector. On an appeal made by the parties to the Inam Commissioner, the case was re-opened and the excuse put forward by the Inamdar, viz., that he at the time that the Inam enquiry was going on was absent at Toomkoor where he is employed & was not aware of the notice issued, has been established to my satisfaction. It is therefore recommended that the Inam may be released from attachment. Fredrick Rice Ag Sub Collector.	W.D. No 4417 Collr written to release November 1869.

Case No 26
Personal

Informed at [illegible] Instructed the [illegible] to be released from attachment
R/ #8.0
12/11/69

L4

Head Sub Collr's office
Hosoor 12th October 1869.
Campbell.

Ent. in letter
No 345 of 1869

J. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

Correction in this page (1)
one
Appasawmy Pillay
Exr.

Reg No 293
Sheet No ~~682~~ 676

ister of Inams in the village of Aniani Talale in the Oossoor Taluk ~~Denkanicottah Division~~ Salem District --

No 293 Talavu

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
No 29.	Personal	Wet No 146 Jatigutlay Nanjah	„	Acres cents 3-20	„	11. 2. 8	Batavarti or Inam granted for subsistence.	Surva Inam or Tax free.	Hereditary	Unknown.	No Sunnud.	Not known.	In the accounts of Fasli 1212 the name of Pennagaram Appajee Ayah	In the number chitta account of Fasli 1236 Pennagaram Appajee Ayah's name is entered This individual is the same person whose name appears in the 16th Column In the accounts of Fasli 1257 Veneatadasachari - This name is shown as being that of the party to whom the Inam had been alienated -	I Veneatadasachari Age 50 II Veneataragen Age 30 III Ramien Age 20.	Shalagheri Skalli	II & III Great Grand Sons of the original grantee. I Alienee.	No I wife - 1 Sons - 2 Daughters 1 4 No II wife - 1 Daughters 1 Son - 1 3 No III None.	The first named individual in the 16th Column enjoys this Inam by virtue of gift and the other two by inheritance.	The Inam being proved to be of an older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the parties in the 16th Column at 1/8th Quit Rent Rs/1. 6 - / One and Annas Six which has been agreed to. This Inam was placed under attachment on account of the non attendance of the Inamdars before the Inam Dy Collector at the time of enquiry. But they having subsequently attended before the Tasildar within the prescribed time it is recommended that the Inam be released from attachment. Fredrick Rice Ag Sub Collector	Confirmed in one Title Deed on a quit rent of Rupee 1„8„- To be released from attachment. J W Brain 1/3/69 1-4 T. D No 4341

Case No 29
Personal

Salem Sub Collector's Office
Oossoor 10th October 1868.

C. M.
Hd. C.

Entd.
K.A.M.
22/2/69

Written to Collr. to release
March 1869.

Corrections. None in this page
Appasawmypillay
Exr.

J. Robinson
Commissioner