Clerk No 2 · Taraf No 14 · Inam Register of Máravádi No 73 in the Darampuri Taluq Salem district

Register No 93 · 2 · 3 · 4 · 5 · 6 · 7 · 8 · 9 · 10 · 11 · 12 · 13 · 14 · 15 · 16 · 17 · 18 · 19 · 20 · 21

Devadayam dry 3-31 9 3.76
Survey No 131 No 15 S 4 5/8
Survey No 37 dry 2 0-25 60 6 1/4
No 138 S 3/4
4-15-15 4.40
S 5 3/8

2-10-8
0-7-1
3-1-9

For the Tax Pennadebt support free until known of Pattalamma at Maravadi; the temple is in good order

No Sanad. Disappears in all the accts from Fuslies [illegible]

In Kayemdiwa Pujari Ranga
In F 1180 Pujari Singappa

In F 1236 Pujari Chinnaya
In F 1268 Pujari Chinna chari

Maravadi Patalamma Goddess Pujari Ramachary Son 45 of Darampuri

To be Confirmed Tax free
[illegible] with the [illegible] of the Deity
6/2/63

Confirmed
P Chentsalrao
Assa
28 May 63
7 8 10

Case No 3

Perumal dry 3-36 9 3.91
Survey No 35 No 15 S 4 5/8
Brahman

Case No 4

2-1-2 Batavai Sodi 0-2-1

Do

Le F 1106 Kottayapatti Iyyangar [illegible]

No Sanad. Do

In Kayemdiwa Sureanarayana Sastri
In F 1180 Sureanarayana Sastri
In F 1232 Kattampatti Tirumalaiyangar

In F 1236 [illegible]
In F 1268 Venkata Subbasastri son of Narayanaiyangar

Confirmed on the Dec H Levered [illegible] Reg 81 Y F 3 1 86

SD No 1748

In F 1130/32/34 the Inam was entered in the name of Kattampatti Tiruvengadachari with a remark that the Inam was granted to her father Varadachari; that the Inam was mortgaged to Ramiengar; from him again the mortgage to Ramanujachari [illegible] for 18 kapar pagodas [illegible]

To be [illegible] for one [illegible] Narasimma [illegible]
LD No 316

X Now one Ramachary of Kattampatti claims the Inam as the Inamdar [illegible] that he is the descendant of the Original grantee Iyengar or Varadachary, but [illegible] it is not a descendant [illegible] of a collateral branch. The genealogical tree [illegible] are [illegible] the Inamdar [illegible] [illegible] he [illegible] the claimant [illegible] of the Inam from [illegible] mortgage [illegible] His claim. The [illegible]

Perumal dry 2-15-3 2.38
Survey No 133 S 2 13/16

1-7-8 Bata Sodi varta 0-2-11

Do · Do

No Sanad. Do

In Kayemdiwa Gopalakistnaya
In F 1180 Venkatasubbaya son
Le F 1106 Kote Ramanaiya [illegible] [illegible] for 5 kapar pagodas (F 1103)

In F 1236 Ramadevaga nephew
In F 1268 Govindaya son

Darampuri
Kadagathur Govindayan 50
2 Narayanan 40

Dr
[illegible]

He has any proposal on Cadjan but [illegible]

To be Confirmed
Tax 2-11
Dr 1/8 2-2 / 0-4-
6/2/63

Confirmed
P Chentsalrao
Assa
28 May 63
7 8 10
LD No 317

Case No 5

Darampuri Taluk
Máravádi Village
Register No 93
Sheet No 47

Closed 29-1-63

Maddimaradahalli

Inam Register of Maddimaradahalli No 74 in the Darampuri Taluq Salem district

Register No 94

Maddimaradahalli Village
Register No 94
Sheet No

The accts commence with the Kayam Zerayati of F 1106

Perumal dry 8 16-4 8.35
Survey No 28 No 81 S 9 7/8
[illegible] 5-38-11 3.97
S 11 3/4
12-12-5 12.32

6-8-4 Batavai Sodi 1-6-7
16-0-0
12-8-4

Do · Not known

No Sanad. Disappears in all the accts from Fuslies [illegible]

In Kayemdiwa Samabatta
In F 1180 Venkatragavaiya son
Le F 1106 Malte Ramanna of Darampuri

In F 1236 Venkatiaga naya son
In F 1268 Sattramala naya

Darampuri
Gunter Sampaiya 55
2 Venkatachala 50
3 Subbramanian 55
Subramana 50
5 Lakshmi Narana 48

[illegible]

Maravadi [illegible] to [illegible] Subramanya [illegible] (whose paling [illegible]) [illegible]

To be Confirmed
Tax 1-6-7
Dr 1/8 2-8 / 4
6/2/63

Confirmed
P Chentsalrao
Assa
28 [illegible]
LD No 3

Closed 29-1-63

Kadirinayakanahalli

Inam Register of Kadirinayakanahalli No 77 in the Darampuri Taluq Salem district

Register No 95

Kadirinayakanahalli Village
Register No 95
Sheet No

The accts commence with the Kayam Zerayati of F 1107

Devadayam dry 2-21 9 2.54
Survey No 12 No 52 S 3

1-7-8 For the Tax Perm support free of Perumal Chennakeswara Swamy at Maravadi Pattam; the temple is in good order

Not known

No Sanad

In Kayemdiwa Perumal
In F 1180 Pujari [illegible]
In F 1206 Kurattalvar

In F 1268 Pujari Kurattalvar

Maravadi Pattam Perumal Chennakeswara Swamy Deity
Pujari Married Venkatayan 50
2 Perumalaya 60

To be Confirmed
Tax free
[illegible] the Inam of the Deity

Confirmed
P Chentsalrao
Assa
25 May 63
LD No

fair [illegible]

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

Register No 96

The Accts Commence with the Kayam Jirwah of Fusly

Pursual dry 6-32-14 6·82
on No 30 No 83 S. 8 1/10
on No 32 dry 9-3[illegible] 10·0
2b No 82 S. 11 1/10
on No 49 dry 5-9-7 5·7[illegible]
No 79 S. 6 1/10
on No 50 dry 2-13 22·33
No 80 S. 2 3/6
on No 50 dry 2-11 2·27
No 81 S. 2 11/16
on No 28 dry 0-23-40·58
No 88 S. 4/10
on No 27 dry 3-13-53·33
No 87 S. 3 15/16
on No 26 dry 13-19-8 13·49
No 86 S. 15 11/16
on No 28 dry 0-19-1 0·48
No 90 S. 9/10
44-27-7 44·54 S. 52 2/8
on No 22 Wet 5-7 6·13
13-24-2·5 No 84 S. 2 61
on No 21 Wet No 89 2-11-8 2·29 S. 97 5/10
8-10-15 8·42 35 8 5/10
52-38 6 52·96
29-1-63

2-10-8 Batavritti Kodi 4-8-11 Dry No L Known
3-8-11
1-12-5
0-4-9
0-9-6
0-4-9
1-7-8
4-11-10
0-4-9
15-11-3
28-7-2
12-0-2
40-7-4
56-2-7

No Sanad
It appears in the Accts from Fusly as Inam

In the Kayam Dirwa
Nandachari Kuppaya
In Fusly 30
Sitaramaya
Venkataramanaya
~~Pay [illegible]~~
Subbaya for Ireland

In Fusly 16
Sitaramaya
Vasudevaya
Subbaya
In Fusly 48
Sitaramaya
Vasudevaya

In Fusly 68
Kuppaya
~~[illegible]~~
son of Kuppaya
This is a [illegible] made originally to Kotapalli Ramaiya. It was sold by him to Nechayaraya. From him it passed in sale to the Chetrabhanu [illegible] (7) [illegible] Kuppa. [illegible] the Kayam [illegible] Nandachari Kuppaya.

Darampuri Taluk
Sunnadahalli Village
Register No. 96
Sheet No.

Notice served on Nechaya of Atthur on the 24th April 1863 to be attached
19/5/63
[illegible]
Attached
21/10/65

Abs
Vasudevaya
[illegible]

Application for attachment 7 March 1866
Tully assessed for default 4/8/68

H. R. Copied & Exd.

Inam Register of Maravadinattam No 76 in the Darampuri Taluq Salem district

Register No 97

The Accts commence with the Kayam Jirwah Acct of Fusly

Kayam dry 0-29-10 0·76
No 113 No 113 S 7/8
0-9-6
For the Pay + Pooja support free of Perumal at Maravadinattam; the temple is in good order
No L Known
No Sanad
It appears in the Accts from Fusly as Inam
In the Kayam Dirwa Pujari Kurattalvar
In Fusly 30 Pujari Kurattalvar
In Fusly 16 Pujari Kurattalvar
In Fusly 48 Pujari Kurattalvar
Maravadinattam
Perumal Chennakesava Swamy Deity
Pujari Venkataya 50
Timmapalaya 25
Whole Inam
Tax free
[illegible]
Confirm
P. Chentawa
[illegible]
Case No. 1

Kayam dry 3-15-6 3·29
No 22 No 5 S 4
No 21 dry No 4 2-21-9 2·53 S 3
5-37-15 5·92 S 7
2-8-3
1-14-10
4-7-1
For the Pay + support free of Karagam Chellamma at Maravadinattam
~~at~~ The annual festivals are kept up. A temporary shed is raised on the occasion
do do
No Sanad
Do
In the Kayam Dirwa Chellamma Karagadnam
In Fusly 30 Pujari Saka Andiga
In Fusly 16 Pujari Saka Andiga
In Fusly 48 Saka Andi
Maravadinattam
Karagam Chellamma
Goddess
Pujari
Saka Goundan 52 Enjoys [illegible]
Andi at Kaveripatnam Enjoys [illegible] No 6
No 142 00
[illegible] Karagam [illegible]
Whole Inam
Tax free
[illegible] the Goddess
Confirm
P. Chentawa
[illegible]
Case No 2

Kayam dry 3-9-1 3·23
No 133 No 90 S 3 13/16
2-3-7
For the Pay + support free of Pattalamma at Maravadinattam the temple is in good order
do do
No Sanad
D
In the Kayam Dirwa Pujari Ranga
In Fusly 30 Pujari Chinnachari
In Fusly 04 Pujari Jan
In Fusly 68 Pujari Ranga
Maravadinattam
Pattalamma
Goddess
Pujari
Ramachary 50
Whole Inam
Tax free
[illegible] the Goddess
7 D. 321
Confirm
P. Chedu
Case No. 3

Sheet No 1 · Page · Gollahalli No 59

Inam Register of Gollahalli No 428 in the Darampuri Taluq in the Salem district

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Register No 227

Register No. 227

The accts Commence with the [illegible] of 1808

T.D. No 661

1 Devadayam Dry 2-26-10 2·61
Old No 44 No 60 S 1·14 5/8
2-15-1
Hoolta Codi support 0-14-3 of Venkataramana Swami at Gollahalli; the temple is in good order
Perma[illegible] Not known
No Sanad Stapped in all the accts from 1808 as Inam
Inam F 1208 Perumal Inam
Inam F 1230/36 Pujari Timmadasa
Inam F 1268 Pujari Chinnaya
Gollahalli Venkataramana Swamy
Pujari
1 Chinnaya 30
2 Subbaya 25
3 Krishnaya 18
Whole Tax 14-3
[illegible]
9/12/63
Confirmed
P. Chitambaram
Asst
10/10/63

Case No. 1

T.D. No 662

2 Personal Dry 9-14-4 9·36
Old Dry 81 No 495 S 3·76 1/4
4-7-1
Batavarti Codi 0-8-10
Hy do
No Sanad
Do
Inam F 1208 Subbaya
Inam F 1230/36 Seshaya son
Inam F 1268 Naranaya son
Pallkodu
Sahasranamam
Chandraya 30
Grandson
Wife 1
Son 1
Whole Tax 0 8 10
[illegible] 1/8 2-8-0
1-4-0
9/12/63
Confirmed
P. Chitambaram
Asst
10/10/63

Sheet No. 87

Case No. 2

3 Personal dry 6-17-0 6·43
Old dry 72 No 39 S 2·57
3-0-10
Batavarti Tax free do do
No Sanad
Do
Inam F 1208 Kolandaya
Inam F 1230/36 [illegible]
[illegible]
Inam F 1248 Gundaya brother's son
Unclaimed Property
[illegible]
One Naranam Nayandahalli [illegible]
[illegible]
Resumed
P. Chitambaram
Asst
10/10/63

Case No. 3

T.D. No 663

4 Personal dry 3-30-0 3·75
Old No 70 S 1·50
1-1-10
Panchangi Tax free do do
No Sanad
Do
Inam F 1208 Appabatta
Inam F 1230/36 Naranaya grandson [illegible]
Inam F 1268 Naranaya grandson
Mallahalli
Mitha
Krishnaya 20
Daughter's son
Wife 1
Brother 1
Whole Tax
[illegible] 1/8 2-0-0
9/12/63
Confirmed
P. Chitambaram
Asst
10/1/63

Case No. 4

Closed 24-2-63

Inam Register of Pilarahalli No 429 in the Darampuri Taluq in the Salem district

Register No 228

The accts Commence with the [illegible] of 1808

T.D. No 664

1 Personal Dry 2-23-8 2·89
Old No 64 No 29 S 1·15 1/2
Dry 4-8-8 4·21
Old No 37 No 59 S 1·68 1/2
7-[illegible]-05·80
1-8-7
3-11-10
5-4-5
Batavarti Codi 0-10-7
Hy Not known
No Sanad Stapped in all the accts from 1808 as Inam
Inam F 1208 Ramaswami Iyengar
Inam F 1230/36 Tatachari son
Inam F 1268 Tatachari son
Pallkodu
Chinnala
1 Krishnayangar 7 Grandson Mother 1
2 Narasimmayangar 5 Grandson
Whole Tax 10-7
[illegible] 2-10-0
1-4-0
9/12/63
Confirmed
P. Chitambaram
Asst
[illegible]/63

Closed 26-2-63

Inam Register of Timmanahalli No 431 in the Darampuri Taluq in the Salem district

Register No 229

Register No. 229

The accts Commence with the [illegible] of 1808

T.D. No 665

1 Devadayam Dry 3·37 8·94
Old No 87 No 20 S 1·57 1/2
Old No 112 [illegible] 0-36-8
No 45 S ·36 1/2
4·32 04 80
3-6-2
4-1-6
7-7-8
Hoolta Codi support 0-14-3 of Venkataramana Swami at Timmanahalli; the temple is in good order
Tax do
No Sanad Stapped in all the accts from 1808 as Inam
Inam F 1208 Perumal
Inam F 1230 Pujari Timmadasa
Inam F 1236 Perumal
Inam F 1248 Pujari Chinnaya
Timmanahalli
Venkataramana Swamy
Pujari
1 Timmaya 30
2 Subbaya 25
3 Kolandaya 18
[illegible]
In this case [illegible]
Whole Tax 14-3
[illegible]
Confirmed
P. Chitambaram
Asst
[illegible]/63

Inam Register of Eendahalli No. 432 in the Darampuri Taluq in the Salem district

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Register No. 230

Register No. 230

The acts commence with the [illegible] 1208

T.D. No. 666

Yendahalli Village

No. 1 Devadayam Dry 2·27 4 2·68
In No. 117 No. 97 S. 10 7/4
1-0-7
For the Sodi support 0-9-6 of Srineswara Swami ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ at Eendahalli; the temple is in good order
Of Not known
No Sanad
Upheld in all the acts from 1208 as Inam
In F. 1208 ~~Garvanaa~~ ~~Srineswara swami~~
In F. 1230 Pujari Vilichetti
In F. 1236 & In F. 1248 Ganesa
Do
Yendahalli
Srineswara swamy
Pujari Nanjundaya
7 [illegible]ahalli
Tole less 6
Less 0-9-6
[illegible] to be [illegible]
27/12/63
Confirmed
P. Chentalu
Apa
10/10/63

No. 2 Personal Dry 7 69·7·15
In No. 95 No. 45 S. 28 1/8
96-99
In No. 97 Wet 9-29 0·9·73
98-100-101 No. 60 S. 389
102
In No. 92 Wet 6·8 36·20
93-94 No. 46 S. 24 8/10
15·37·3 15·93
23·3·5 2·08
7-0-0
46-1-9
34-2-0
80-3-9
87-3-9
Batava Sodi rti 16-13-6
Ny Do
No Sanad
Do
In F. 1208 Samachar
In F. 1230/36 Srinivasachar Narasumma-char
In F. 1248 Names entered
Pallikoda
Yendahalli
1 Subbachary 48 — Grandson — Wife 1, Son 1 — No 1 boy 8
2 Amalachary 30 — Do — Wife 1 — aged [illegible]
3 Venkatachary 35 — Do — Wife 1 — Do 2 & 5
4 Srinivachary 18 — Do — Wife 1 — aged [illegible]
5 Subbachary 60 — Grandson — None
Tole less 6
Less 16-13-6
[illegible] 8 29 [illegible]
26 [illegible]
27/12/63
T.D. No. 667
Confirmed
P. Chentalu
Apa
10/10/63

[illegible] 20-2-63

Inam Register of Sunnadahalli No. 436 in the Darampuri Taluq in the Salem district

Register No. 231

The acts commence with the [illegible] 1208

T.D. No. 668

No. 1 Devadayam Dry 4·35 8·4·89
In No. 25 No. 12 S. 19 1/2
In No. 4 Wet 0-35 1·50·90
No. 4 S. 35 4/8
5·31 7·5·79
5-8-0
6-15-4
12-7-4
For the Sodi support 5-5-4 of Darmarajaswami at Sunnadahalli; the temples is in good order
Of Not known
No Sanad
Upheld in all the acts from 1208 as Inam
In F. 1208 Darmaraja swami
In F. 1220/36 Pujari Kolla
In F. 1248 Pujari Krolla
Sunnadahalli
Darmarajaswamy
Pujari
1 Malan 35
2 Mallan 45
3 Malan 20
4 Chinnan 18
Further [illegible] in Kept Reg. 17th Feb. 1867 T.D. No. 1707
27/12/63
Tole less 6
Less 5-5-4
[illegible] to be [illegible]
Confirmed
[illegible]
10/10/63

Inam Register of Sendaganattam No. 436 in the Darampuri Taluq in the Salem district

Register No. 232

Register No. 232

The acts commence with the [illegible] 1208

T.D. No. 669

No. 1 Devadayam Dry 5·19·0 5·47
In No. 9 No. 42 S. 29
50
3-1-9
For the Sodi Tax free support of Pattalamma at Pedarahalli; the temple is in good order
Of Not known
No Sanad
Upheld in all the acts from 1208 as Inam
In F. 1208 Pattalamma
In F. 1220/36 Pujari Karia
In F. 1248 Pujari Ranga
Pedarahalli
Pattalamma
Pujari
Chinna 60
Tole less
Tax free
[illegible] to be [illegible]
27/12/63
Confirmed
10/10/63

No. 2 Devadayam Dry 6·29 10 6·74
In No. 37 No. 11 S. 20 5/8
13-7-8
For the Sodi support 10-13-8 of Karagam at Peldarahalli; the temples in good order
Do Do
No Sanad
Do
In F. 1208 Sudra karage Jugam
In F. 1230/36 Pujari Chella
In F. 1248 Pujari Chella
Pelamahalli
Sudrakaraga
Pujari
1 Naga 90
2 Chella 40
Tole less
Less 10 13 6
[illegible] to be [illegible]
27/12/63
T.D. No. 670
Confirmed
10/10/63

No. 3 Personal Dry 5 11·4 5·28
In No. 39 No. 9 S. 21 1/4
60
In No. 41 Wet 0-20 0·65 0
No. 6 S. 20
5·31·4 5·78
7-4-2
1-0-0
8-4-2
Batava Tax free rti
Do Do
No Sanad
Do
In F. 1208 Appaballa
In F. 1230/36 Naranaya Grandson
(Deceased [illegible])
In F. 1248 Narana ya Grandson
Mallahalli
Nulla
Krishnaga 90
Daughter's son
Mother 1, Wife 1
Tole less
[illegible] 8 21 [illegible]
27/12/63
T.D. No. 671
Confirmed
10/10/63

Reg. No. 231 ~~L.I. No~~

Sunnadahalli (as per Regr.)

Register of Inams in the village of Sunnathahalli in Dharampuri Taluk, Salem District.

~~L.I. No~~

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Personal	Dry										In the Pymaish account the name of Kuppiah is entered. In the accounts of Fasly 1230 the dry portion of the Inam is entered in the name of Seetharamiah, son of Kuppiah, and Venkataraganaya, the son of Vasudevaiah, [illegible] and the wet in the name of Subbayan brother of Kuppayan (Pymaish Inamdar). In the accounts of Fasly 1234 the names of Seetharamayan and Vasudevayan (grandson of Vasudevayan) appear as the parties in possession of the dry portion and Subbayan as holding the wet.
	83	8 7/16	6.82	2-10-8	2-10-8	Balavritti	Jodi 4-8-11	Hereditary	Said to have been granted by Jagadeva Rayar a former Ruler	No sanad	
	81	11 13/16	9.99	3-8-11	3-8-11						
	79	6 4/16	5.25	1-12-5	1-12-5						
	85	2 8/16	2.08	--4-9	--4-9						
	58	2 9/16	2.89	-9-6	-9-6						
	88	11/16	-.58	--4-9	--4-9						
	87	3 15/16	3.34	1-7-8	1-7-8						
	86	15 15/16	13.49	4-11-10	4-11-10						
	90	9/16	-.48	--4-9	--4-9						
			44.55	16-11-3	16-11-3						
	Wet										
	54	261	6.13	28-7-2	28-7-2						
	89	97 6/16	2.29	13-4-7	12-4-2						
			8.42	41-7-9	40-7-4						
Grand Total			52.97	57-3-	56-2-7						

14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
	Kantharavan Kuppayan is entered in Fasly 1207 account. No entry is made in the accounts with regard to his ancestors.	I Sebbayan age 52 II Ramasawmy age 43 III Vasudevan age 43	Dharampuri Tumkur Narayan	Grandson to Kuppan in col 15 Great Grandson to Do	I Wife 1 daughter 1 2 II Wife 1 Sons 1 daughters 2 Grandchildren 1 5 III Wife 1 Son 1 Daughters 4 6 13	Descendants of the Pymaish Inamdars	The Inam being of an older date than 50 years, it is recommended to be confirmed to the parties whose names are entered in the 16th column at 1/8th Quit Rent which has been agreed to. Former Jodi — Rs 4-8-11 Additional Quit Rent Rs 6-8- 11-- Combined Quit Rent Eleven Rupees The same remark entered in the case of Lakkanahalli applies to this also. Ledwich Rice Ag Sub Collector	I.C. No 1759. Confirmed as recommended on a Combined Quit Rent of Rs 11. To be released, if under attachment. W. Main 17.7.67

Supplemental Sheet No 226
Reg. No. 231
Case No. 2

In the Inam Jabita account 1232 the same entries as those entered in the account of 1230 appear with the remark that the Inam was originally granted in gift by Jagadevaraya to one Kolagathur Thirumalayan, and that it has since changed hands till it was at last given in gift to the Pymaish Inamdar Kuppiah by Sheik Tippu Abdulkhadar in the year Chitrabhanu. It is also stated that Mr Cockburn when enquiring into the validity of claims to Inams in the year [illegible] took down the name of Subbaya for some of the fields and subsequently a division of family property [illegible] taken place between Seetharamaya, Vasudevayan & Venkatachalayan (son of Subbaya) in the year Prabhava the portion of the Inam held by Subbaya fell to Seetharamaya and Vasudevayan. And further that Vasudevaiah was dead, and that the Inam lands belonging to his son Venkataraganaya wholly that situated in the village of Vellalapatti had passed by mortgage into the hands of one Narasinga Rao, and that these were in enjoyment in [illegible] of Narasingarao Seetharamaya.

In the Inam [illegible] account of 1248 in the Taluq the name of Kantharavan Kuppiah is entered under the Head of "Pymaish Inamdar" and the names of Sebhaya (son of Seetharamaya) & Vasudeva as the parties in possession with remarks similar to those entered in the account of Fasly 1232 together with a note to the effect that Seetharamaya was dead, that his son Sebaya and another Vasudevaiah were in possession; that Subbaya having died without issue [illegible] and that the registry was made in the names of both the parties though pattas were issued in their [illegible] with reference to a remark in the accounts of Fasly 1248 that the Inam lands had been enjoyed by others by a deed of partition signed by Subbaya and to a counter remark subsequently entered in the same account, that as Subbaya had no issue, the Karnam being asked is unable to account for the discrepancy. With reference to another entry in the same account that Seetharamaya was the brother of Subbayan which is inconsistent with the former entries in the accounts the Karnam states that he knew Seetharamaya to [illegible] Kuppiah.

The Petitioner Sebhaya in his petition states that the entry in the accounts of 1232, that the Inam was granted to Kuppaya by Sheik Tippu Abdul Khadar is an erroneous one, the grant having been made by Tippu Saib son of Alli Saib, and that Subbayan whose name is entered in the account of 1232 as the person in the enjoyment of the wet portion of the Inam was not the brother of his adoptive father but cousin or dayadi.

Documents

The two entered in the Inam statement relating to the village of Vellalapatty.

Examd.
[illegible]
28/4/67

The remark on the first case applies to this also.
Chas. Porter
Collector
Salem
7th June 67

Salem 23rd February 1867
Salem Subcollector's Office

Rec. 28/2/67

Received 22 December 1867