Sheet no 8 Insp no 53 Register of Inams [illegible] the Village Jértalávu no 406 in the Daramapuri [illegible]

Register no 218

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		
Case no 3	Devadayam Dn. 65 67 Dn. 66	Dry no 93 222¼ lys Wet no 92 34¼ lys 256½ lys	5-22 0-34 6-16	4 4 8	5.55 0.85 6.40	3-4-9 5-12-6 9-1-3	For the Support of Chavoodeswara Swami at Palcode Temple is in good order	Tax free	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Mentioned in all the Accts from 1208 as Inam	Infusly 1208 Chavooda Swara Inam		Infusly 1230/34/48 Poojari Lingaya	Palkoda Chavudeswara Swamy Pojari 1 Samaraya 45 2 Lingaya 30					Whole case Tax free Continuance of to Goddess 21/2/63	[illegible]	T. Dn 470 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst 10/10/63
Case no 4	Devadayam Dn. 350	Wet no 152 70 lys	1-30	0	1.75	11-13-0	For the Support of Karaga Goddess at Kalugoolahalli Temple is in good order	Tax free	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Do	Infusly 1208 Karaga Inam		Infusly 1234 Poojari Coottie son Infusly 1248 Poojari Jimma	Kallugoollahalli Doddu Karaga Pujari 1 Pulli 15 2 Budi 35 3 Madan 60 4 Pallan alias Paman 50				In 182 [illegible] ½ share In 187 [illegible] ½ share	Whole case Tax free Continuance of to Goddess 21/2/63	[illegible]	T. Dn 471 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst 10/10/63
No 5	Personal Dn. 74	Dry no 83 187½ lys	4-27	8	4.69	4-0-0	Batavarti	Tax free	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Do	Infusly 1208 Pooja Palli Sashaya Remark in 1230 this was given to Dilli Narasa Pandit by Sri Jeyadevarow & from him it was mortgaged to Sashaya	In 1230 Ramaya owns In 1234 Ramaya owns	Infusly 1230/34 Ramaya father Sashaya Mortgagee by Nursa Punditum Infusly 48 Nursimachari	Agraharam Kambalur Narahariachary 43		Grandson of Narasa Pandit	Wife 1 Son 1 Brother	Produces a bond of Narasimah on common paper dated [illegible] describing that Narasimmachary has redeemed this from mortgage by returning the amount to Ramaya. This was at the survey under mortgage with Pagalab Reddy. It was since redeemed	Whole case Rs 2 ⅛ [illegible] 8-0 26/8/63	[illegible]	T. Dn 472 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst 10/10/63
No 6	Personal Dn 131	Dry no 38 73 13/16 lys	1-31	13	1.80	1-8-6	Batavarti	Tax free	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Do	Infusly 1208 Teramala Davaro Inam	In 1230/34 [illegible] Durgam Linugam a owns	Infusly 1230/34 In 1248 Jimma Chayappa	Aiji 1 Subbarao 27	Satyamangalam in the Coimbatore Dt	Grandson	Wife 1 Sons 2	No mortgage or sale produced [illegible] before that period	Whole case Rs 2 ⅛ [illegible] 4 Escheat 2/8/63	[illegible]	T. Dn 473 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst 10/10/63
No 7	Personal Dn. 237 240 241	Dry and Wet no 224 157 5/16 lys	3-37	5	3.93	38-5-7	Batavarti	Jadi 5-6-7	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Do	Infusly 1208 & 1205 Ramaswami Iyengar Remark in 1230 [illegible]		Infusly 1230/34 Tatachari ~~father~~ son Ramaswamy Iyengar Mortgagee by Srinivasa Iyengar In 1248 [illegible]	Palkod Nallanchakravarthi Srinivasiengar 64		a cousin of Sunglaadar Srinivasachy	Wife 1 Son 2		He does not describe how Srinivasaiyar of the survey is connected to him. He is able to say that he is a cousin & has lay enjoyment. Whole case Total 5-6-7 Rs ⅛ 4-4 10 Rs 26/2/63	[illegible]	T. Dn 474 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst 10/10/63

Case No 3

Register No. 218

Jértalávu Village, Daraumpuri Taluk

Case No. 4

Sheet No. 83

Case No. 5

Case No. 6

Case No. 7

A P No 269 of 1865 from Ramaswamy Iyengar asking for extract of the case he filed in the Court.
Endorsed sending the extract
21 Nov 65

Extract granted to Ramaswamy Iyengar on the 20th Nov 1865

He has no documents to produce nor any evidence to prove his claim. His ~~statement~~ claim is negatived by the evidence of the Accts. He has no enjoyment from any term. His claim to registry is therefore rejected.

16/2/63

One Tirumale Vedantachar files a statement for this Inam. He describes himself as the survey Ramaswamy Iyengar's adopted son. The Acct of 1230 explains well that this Inam belonged to the Nallanchakravarthi family, & that the Ramaswamy Iyengar of the survey was only a mortgagee. Vedantachar states on the contrary that his ~~father~~ forefathers mortgaged the Inam to the present occupier's mother's forefathers [illegible] Register of Inams to 20[illegible] in the D[illegible]

Jertalavu Village

Dw 132 Dw 203	Dry 2 17 82.44 17½ Gs Wet no 251 0-31-140.80 31⅞ Gs 129⅜ Gs 3-4-6 3.24	2-11-10 3-12-5 6-8-3	Batavarti Jodi 2-1-2	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud It appears in all the accounts from 1208 as Inam	Infusly 1208 Venkatasachar	Infusly 1230/34 Venkatasachar Infusly 1248 Apanchar	Palkod 1 Sesha chary 26 2 Ananta chary 23 3 Ramachary 60 4 Narayanachary Palkod 45 5 Ananta Lesha chy 45	Self Do son son Cousin	No 1 to 4 enjoy the wet land in 3 equal shares & the dry land in 2 equal shares [illegible]	Tolerated Tax 2-1-2 Q.R. ⅛ 2-10-0 2-12-0 27/1/63	T.D.D. 475 Confirmed P. Chitaloo Asst 17/1/63
1 Personal Dw 377	Wet no 143 0-27-30.68 27¾ Gs	5-9-9	Chetti Inam Tax free	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Do	Infusly 1208 Veerabaddra chetti Inam	Infusly 1230/34 Veerabadra chetti Infusly 1248 Veerichetti	Palkodu Munisappa Chetti 24	Grandson	wife 1 mother	Tolerated Q.R. ⅛ 2.10 2/2/63	T.D.D. 476 Confirmed P. Chitaloo Asst 17/2/63

Register No. 218

Sheet No.

No. 10 Personal Dw 156 Dw 161 Dw 162 Dw 164 Dw 6	Dry 21 3-28-143.72 148⅞ Gs Wet no 115 0-354 0.88 354 Gs 184½ Gs 4-24-24.60	4-3-0 2-1-1 6-4-1	Batavarti Inam Jodi 0-6-6	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Do	Infusly 1208 Venkataramnaya	Infusly 1230/34 Venkataya Infusly 1248 Venkataramanaya	Bedrahalli 1 Anantachary 50 2 Jayachary 45 Dry 21-3.72 4-3-0 [illegible] Palkodu 3 Haranam Venkataramanaya 42 Wet 115-0.88 2-1-1 [illegible]	Brother Do Grandson	Daughter's son Do wife 1 sons 5	2 persons [illegible] & [illegible] It appears the [illegible] mortgage [illegible]	Tolerated to 2 Nos [illegible] Tax 4-5 Q.R. ⅛ 2.8.0 2.12.0 & to 3rd [illegible] Tax 2-1 Q.R. ⅛ 2.6.6 2/2/63	T.D.D. 477 Confirmed P. Chitaloo Asst 17/2/63
5 Personal Dw 159 160	Dry 22 6-3-12 6.29 243¾ Gs	8-6-1	Batavarti Jodi 0-9-3	Permanent	Not Known	No Sannud Do	Infusly 1208 Sashaya	Infusly 1230/34 Ramaya sons Infusly 1234 sons Ramayan	Palkod 1 Karamade Seshaya 25 Bedrahalli 2 Narayaya 40	Grandson Daughter's son	4/1 wife Wife	[illegible] in equal shares	Narayaya to lack appear [illegible] last year. He has no issue. His claim is claimed by his uncle's grandson Seshaya [illegible] Narayaya. These 2 persons have since agreed with a karatnah agreeing to divide in 2 equal shares [illegible] declare [illegible] one half of the Inam Tolerated Tax 9-3 [illegible] 4-8-0	T.D.D. 479 Confirmed A. Narayaya to be one half of the Inam, [illegible] lapsed to one of the sharers. The case [illegible] has been [illegible] charged for the whole Inam. P. Chitaloo Asst 17/2/63

I. D. [illegible] whether Rompoya [illegible] [illegible]

Turf no 57 Register of Inams in the Village Karatarahulli no. 416 in the Daramporee Taluq Salem District

Ser No 219

Register No. 219

[illegible]

12 Personal 1 Dw 30 Dw 31 Dw 32 Dw 33	Dry 40 21-3 4 21.8 843¼ Gs	18-12-0	Batavarti Jodi 1-2-0	Permanent	Not Known	Sannud It appears in all the accounts from 1208 as Inam	Infusly 1208 Annumbattan	Infusly 1230/48 Annumbattan	Chettipalli 1 Kralti Serappaya 50 2 Rama Linyaya 35 3 Seshayya 40 4 Venkataraya 25 5 Venkata Subbaya 22	Self Do Do Do Do	4 sons 1 brother 4 sons 2 brothers 4 sons 4 brothers 4 brothers	All the 5 are said to be brothers & they enjoy the Inam in 5 equal shares	Tolerated Tax 1-2-0 Q.R. ⅛ 2-4-0 3-4-0 [illegible] Subbaya 2/1/63	Confirmed in the names of all the parties as above P. Chitaloo Asst 10/1/63 T.D.D. 480

Extract granted to Venkataraya on the [illegible] Feb 1866.