Reg. No. 261

[illegible] Camp No. 39 — Inam Register [illegible] No. 197 in the Dharmapuri Taluk Salem Dist.

Register No. 266

The Inds commence with the [illegible] of Fusli 1205

Sheet No. 99

No. 1 Perasnaf Dry No. 28 5 24 2 5·69 6 1/16 — 6 4 9 — Bala Unali — Pag. 4 131 — Do — Not known — Inamdar — It appears in all the accts from F1205 as Inam

Do No. 11 12

Do No. 27 10 6 3 10·15 12 8 — 11 6 9

Do No. 13 14 15

Do No. 39 8 16 6 8·41 9 15/16 — 9 7 7

Do No. 21 42

Do No. 8 3 11 2 3·28 3 7/8 — 3 11 a

Do No. 9

Do No. 7 2 30 a 2·75 3 1/4 — 3 7 a

Do No. 8

Do No. 6 3 6 15 3·17 3 3/4 — 3 4 3

Do No. 7

Total 33 12 14 33·37 39 7/16 — 37 9 3 — Case No. 1

In the F1205 Jangam Chillah of F1205 I. Venkata Dasayan Bagh Appayan 6 7/16 fuli by 12 fuli II. Malayan 9 15/16 fuli III. Pennasamda Ramayan 3 7/8 fuli 3 1/4 fuli 3 3/4 fuli 39 7/16 fuli

In F1280 18 fuli by Cholapati Venkataya Bought from Venkata Krishnaya Venkata Rukkaya Sons of Venkata Dasayan [illegible] after it was sold to Kanisipalli Narasaya by Cholapati Venkataya

In F1282/84 Narasaya Purchaser — In F1248 Yedapadi Rukkaya Marliappa

Kanisipalli Brut — Narayanappa 28 — Purchaser Narasaya [illegible] — Sec. 1 Sec. 2

Produces a Deed of Sale on common Paper bearing date [illegible] by Venkatayan Purchased from Durayamnan [illegible] Kanisipalli of Narasayan [illegible] 39 7/16 fuli and [illegible] Inam in the village [illegible] Kanisipalli for 500 Rs

The Sale is attested in F1280

Yedapadi Narasaya [illegible] Perumalaya is present — He alleges enjoying the Inam by right of Marliappa — He says this Inam belonged to Narasaya & Narasaya made it Marliappa Dr Sons Narasaya and [illegible] of Kanisipalli of [illegible] in [illegible] that person appeared as a [illegible] for the [illegible] but never claimed this Inam; Perumalaya says he & his [illegible] enjoy the Inam & they would come for them to file a statement, as under the above circumstances, neither would the alleged owner [illegible] Marliappa file a statement, I would attach the Inam

7/9/63

The persons ~~present~~ since present

[illegible]

Lot 4-131

Q.F. 24 Rs 9 Ps [illegible]

12/9/63

D.O. No. 1738

Confd

23/10/65

No. 2 Perasnaf Dry No. 32 9 12 6 9·31 11 1/2 8 — 11 10 2 — Do — Pag. 1 810 — Do — Do — Inamdar — Do

Do No. 18 19

Case No. 2

In F1205 Tirumalayan Bala Ramayan by 11 fuli — In F1280 Hallayan of Chamballi

In F1282/84 Hallayan Ramayan Marliappa — In F1248 Hallaya Ramaya

Date of Appln. for attest. } 7 [illegible] 1863

Dismissed for default

S.D. No. 1754 — 23/10/65

Settled [illegible] entd. Register [illegible] 21-1-67

[illegible] Camp No. 30

R. No. 267 Inam Register of Jerugu No. 203 in the Dharmapuri Taluk Salem Dist.

The Village consists of 12 villages — [illegible] 2 Inams exist

No. 1 Dry No. 169 10 1 2 10·16 12 8 — 10 10 8 — For the support of Ranganatha at Jerugu The [illegible] is performed — For ever — Permanent — Not known — Inamdar — It appears in all the accts from F1205 as Inam

In the Kadrodgari Agakat accts of F1218 the total extent of Inam entered in Jerugu viz 32 fuli in which the Dasadayam Inam also included

In F1248 [illegible] entered Pagan Venkatayan

In F1280 Rangaswamy Pujari Chalayan 12 fuli — In F1284 Jerugu Rangaswamy Yellappa Burgalli Rangaswamy Yella Ranga Kamakali

Jerugu Rangaswamy Pujari Velappa 45

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Z. D. No. 525

Confirmed [illegible]

10/10/63

Case No. 1

No. 2 Dasadayam Dry No. 170 2 21 9 2·54 3 8 — 2 10 8 — For the support of Ranganatha at Burgalli The [illegible] is performed — Do — Do — Do — Inamdar — Do

Do No. 86

In F1218 Inam entered — In F1248 Inam entered

In F1280 Burgalli Rangaswamy Pujari Chalayan 3 fuli — In F1284 Inam entered

Burgalli Rangaswamy Pujari ~~Do~~ Velappa 45

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Confd

10/10/63

Case No. 2

Inam Register of Jarugu No 203 in the Daramapuri [illegible]

Jarugu Village

3/ 67	Devadayam Dry 77 D No 86	1 27 11 1·69 2/	1 12 6	For the support of Yella Perumal at Kanisi Nayakanahalli. The temple is in good order Case No 3	Rent free	Permanent	Not known	Unknown. It appears to have been granted previous to 1213 as Inam	In 1213 Inam entered In 1230 Yella Perumal Pujari Ohalagan	In 1234/48 Inam entered	Kanisi Nayakanahalli Yella Perumal Pujari Velappa 45		To be conf Tax free Devastanam to be held [illegible] 19/4/63	Confirmed [illegible]	
2/	Devadayam Dry 72 D No 86	1 27 11 1·69 2/	1 12 5	For the support of Yella Perumal at Lalihalli. The temple is in good order R. No. 267 Case No. 4	D	D	D	Unknown Do	In 1213 Inam entered	In 1230 Lalihalli Yella Perumal Pujari Ohalagan	In 1234/48 Inam entered	Lalihalli Yella Perumal Pujari Pujari Velappa 45		To be conf Tax free Devastanam to be held 19/4/63	Confirmed [illegible] 10/1/63 528	
5/	Devadayam Dry 178 D No 86	1 27 11 1·69 2/	1 12 5	For the support of Hariappan at ~~Vanjam~~ Banjam. The temple is in good order Case No. 5.	D	D	D	Unknown Do	In 1213 Inam entered in [illegible]	In 1230 Banjam ~~Vanjam~~ Hariappan Pujari Ohalagan	In 1234/48 Inam entered	Banjam Hariappan Pujari Velappan 45		To be conf Tax free Devastanam to be held 19/4/63	Conf[illegible] 529	
6 3/	Devadayam Dry 179 D No 88	9 12 5 9·30 11/	9 12 7	For the Mari Karchu at Jarugu. The Trust is conducted by the leading members of the village community Case No. 6	D	D	D	Unknown Do	In 1213 Inam entered	In 1230 Mari Karchu	In 1234/48 Inam entered	Jarugu Mari Karchu Darmakarta Papachi Gounden 41		To be conf Tax free Devastanam to be held [illegible] 19/4/63	531	
	Perumal Wet 77 D No 31	1 24 10 1·61 15 3/4	7 7 7	Bala Narki Case No. 7	Half 0 15 6	D	D	Unknown Do	In 1213 Bala Narki Inam Inr 68 3/4 Pal	In 1230 Cholapadi Venkatayan Purchaser [illegible]	In 1234 Cholapadi Venkatayan In 1248 Venkatayan Chikka [illegible] Ramanujam Marliyan	Admankotta Gopalachetti Chikka Venkata Ramanaja 84 = Purchaser 2 [illegible] 37	1 Dw 149	Purchaser Venkata Produces a [illegible] dated [illegible] (1249) by Cholapadi Venkatayan to Admankotta Laxmaya for 70 Rs 19/4	To be confirmed [illegible] Cholapadi was [illegible] of this village [illegible] to the present [illegible] As the assessment [illegible] is a majority [illegible] to Inam [illegible] 21 8 0	Dated [illegible] 10

Inam Register of Ikkalahalli No. 204 in the Daramapuri Taluk Salem

	Devadayam Dry 136 D No 106	2 27 9 2·54 3/	2 10 8	For the Mari Karchu at Ikkalahalli. The Trust is conducted by the leading members of the village community Register No. 268	Rent free	Permanent	Not known	Unknown. It appears to have been granted previous to 1213 as Inam	In 1213 Inam entered in [illegible] including with Bala Narki Inam	In 1230 Mari Karchu	In 1234 Mari Karchu In 1248 Mari Karchu	Ikkalahalli Mari Karchu Darmakarta Kethana Gounden 45		To be conf Tax free Devastanam to be held 19/11/63	Confirmed 531	
	Perumal Dry 16 D No 38	6 20 4 6·50 7 11/16	6 13 4	Bala Narki	Half 0 6 10	D	D	Unknown Do	In 1213 Inam entered In [illegible]	In 1230 Venkataya Brother	In 1234 Venkatayan brother In 1248 Rukkayan [illegible]	Admankotta Akkumalle Ramabhat 60	Dw [illegible]	[illegible] from [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]	To be conf Tax 6 10 [illegible] 2 12 0 1 4 2	Confirmed 532 19/11/63	

Reg. No 158 Mullahalli Village [illegible] Taluq [illegible]

[illegible] subsistence [illegible]	[illegible]	Guntas 37 13/16	1.44	8-6-6 ..-7-3 reduction of assessment	7-15-3	Bhattavrithi Inam	Jodi 1-9-3	Hereditary by repute	Granted by a former Government. Date of grant unknown	No sanad. The Inam is entered in the name of Vittagarpam Ramachar in the Kayam Tirva account of Fasli 1207. According to the accounts furnished to the Inam Deputy Collector the Inam is entered in the name of Vittagarpam Ramachar in the accounts of Fasli 1230. The same name appears in the accounts of 1232 & 34. In the accounts prepared in Fasli 1248 the name of Vittagarpam Ramachar is entered under the head of "Original Inamdar" and the name of Vittagarpam Narasimmachar as the person in enjoyment. Copy of an old Inam Patta granted by the "Sirkar" produced.	Not known	Vittagarpam Ramachar entered in the Kayam Tirva account of [illegible] entered in the account in [illegible] previous enjoyment	Vittagarpam Varanna-chari age 38	Mootagiri	great grandson of the brother of the party entered in the Kayam Tirva account of 1207.	Wife — 1 Son — 1 2	The Inam is of an older date than 50 years. It is therefore recommended to be confirmed to the party named in the 16th Column at 1/8th Quit Rent which has been agreed to. Existing Jodi — Rs 1-9-3 additional Quit Rent — ..-12-.. 2-5-3 The Inam was attached for non-attendance of the Inamdar before the Inam Deputy Collector but having since appeared within the required time the Inam is recommended to be released from attachment. Sub Collector

Supplimental Sheet No 224

Reg. No 158

Case No. 1

Suppl. Sheet No 3

Collector written to to release 29/3/67

Supplemental

[illegible]

Appeal from the party in Cd 16 No 90 dated in Septr 1867 asking for past Proceedings endorsed —

Pr has no right to the rents collected before he appeared for settlement. He should apply to [illegible] for ~~any~~ those collected after if there be any —

T. K.

12/10/67

Confirmed as recommended on a Cd Q R of Rs 2-4 & to be released from attachment

21-1-67

2-4

Entd for 1751

Reg. No 20 Settigaré Village

Chiktikere (as per Regr)

Bhatavrithi or Subsistence [illegible]	Dry No 2 alla pange	6 2/16	5.18	7-1-9 ..-10-1 Kharam Kammi	6-7-8
	[illegible] pange	Do 2 10/16	2.22	2-1-2	2-1-2
	[illegible] Rangapange	6 11/16	5.39	5-10-1	5-10-1
	No 172 Sowlpange	15 1/16	15.29	12-2-5	12-2-5
	No 170 Hangalada [illegible] pange	4 [illegible]/16	3.63	8-4-8	8-4-8
	Total pange	[illegible] 8/16	37.71	35-4-1 ..-13-1 Kharam Kammi	34-10-..
	Wet No 230 Sanaboge Inam Bali [illegible]	8 15/16	1.99	3-6-6	3-6-6
	Total		39.70	38-10-7 ..-10-1 Kharam Kammi	38-..-6

Kyrathi Inam	Sarva Inam or Tax free	Hereditary	Granted by Tippu Sultan in the year Vikari (Fasli 1189)	Original sanad produced in which it is stated that the Inam is granted to Shabootha Sha (Fakeer) for subsistence hereditarily. The above name appears in the [illegible] of [illegible] accounts. In the accounts of 1232 the same name appears but entered as deceased and the name of his son Babil Shaw entered as the person in enjoyment. The same entries appear in the accounts of 1234. In the Karnam's accounts of 1242 the names of Sabootha Sha and Babil Sha are entered as "deceased" (the first in Fasly 1220 and the second in Fasly 1245) and the names of Hassain Shaw (1) Hissab Sahib (2) Pariem Sahib (3) the sons of Babil Shaw as the persons in enjoyment. In the accounts of 1242 (in the Taluq) the name of Sabootha Shaw (Fakeer) is entered as deceased in 1220 and Babil Shaw to be in enjoyment from 1221 though without registry. An old Inam Patta more than 60 years old produced. Copy filed.	Sabutha Shaw	The name of Sabutha Shaw entered in Chimaich Kayam Tirva account. [illegible] is the same person entered in the 13th column	I Sayad Saifudin age 65 II Sayad Shalar Saib age 20 III Sayad Pir age 15 IV Mastan Kadir age 55	Krishnapur Taluq Village Jagalur	Grandson Great Grandson Do Grandson's wife	I Wife — 1 Sons — 2 Daughter in law — 1 Grand daughter — 1 II Mother — 1 III Brother — 1 Mother — 1 2 IV No male issue	The Inam being of an older date than 50 years is recommended to be confirmed to the parties named in the 16th column at 1/8 Quit Rent Rupees 6-12-.. which has been agreed to. The Inam was attached for non appearance of the Claimants before the Inam Deputy Collector but having since appeared within the required time the Inam is recommended to be released from attachment. Sub Collector

Case No. 12

Confirmed as recommended on a Q R of Rs 4..12.. & be released from attachment

Entd for 1752

4 Case
2 deeds original Regr

Regr No 241 Maragandahalli Village 10 Dorampuri Taluq – Salem Dt

Bhatavati ? Sustenance Inam

No 23 Sarangapani Aunji

acres 10 3/16

8·62

11-13-6
1-1-–
Tharam Kammi

10-12-6

Bhatavati Inam

Jodi 1-7-9

Hereditary by repute

Known as having been granted by Getti Mudaly to the Sarangapany family. Time unknown in consequence of the absence of Sanad

No Sanad. The name of Kaverisperam Ramayan appears in the Zarib Chitta prepared in Fasli 1206. According to the accounts received from the Huzoor records from which a vernacular memo was prepared the name of Kallian appears in Fasli 1230 as the person who acquired the Inam by purchase from the Sampalli Sarangapani Family to whom the Inam was originally granted by Getti Mudali. The same entries appear in the accounts of 1232. In Fasli 1234 the name of Kallian appears as "deceased" with the remark that the Inam was mortgaged by him to his Brother in law Samayan. In the account of 1248 (in the Taluq) Kallian is entered as the Pymaish Inamdar and Samayan as the party in possession with the remark that the Inam was under mortgage to Samayan. In the Jamabandi Chitta the name of Kallian appears until 1235 and subsequent to that date "Samian for Kallian".

Documents

A Deed executed by Kallian stating that this Inam and another in Bantheruhalli has been mortgaged to Samayan for Rs 200 — 1

A Deed of Sale executed by Kuppamal to Samayan — 1

Copies of these documents have been filed by Kuppamal alias Muthamal who is in possession of the Inam.

Not known

The name of Kaverisperam Ramayan is entered in the Zarib Chitta prepared in the year Kala (Fasli 1206). No entries in the accounts with regard to the previous holders of the Inam.

Muthammal alias Kuppammal age 48

Koneripatti in Sankigiri

Granddaughter's wife of the party in column 15. She is also the daughter of the purchaser of the Inam Samayan

No heirs

Acquired the Inam by alienation

The Inam is of an older date than 50 years but the Inam having been acquired by alienation and the party named in the 16th Column being a childless widow the Inam is recommended to be confirmed to her subject to a Quit Rent equal to one half of the value of the Inam which in addition to the existing Jodi amounts to Rupees 6-1-9

Former Jodi — 1-7-9
New Quit Rent — 4-10-–
6-1-9

The Inam was attached for non-attendance of the Inamdar before the Inam Deputy Collector but having since attended within the required time the Inam is recommended to be released from attachment.

Sub Collector

Confirmed as recommended on a Combined QR of Rs 6-1-9 & be released from attachment
W.W. ?
21-1-67

TDN 1753

8–

17

Maragandahalli Village
Regr No 241
Case No. 2

Tenure confirmed

Regr No 26 Bantheruhalli Village Bandaruhalli Coupled with Regr 1 Dharampuri Taluq – Salem Dt

11 6/16

9·31

12-7-1
–12-11
Tharam Kammi

11-10-2

Granted for Subsistence

Jodi 1-8-10

Hereditary by repute

Granted by Getti Mudaly to the family of Muthamma Naranappan. Time unknown in the absence of Sanad

No Sanad. The name of Thirumalayan appears in the Zarib Chitta prepared in the year Kala (Fasly 1206). According to the accounts received from the Huzoor of which a Vernacular memo was prepared for the Inam Deputy Collector the Inam is entered in the name of Kallian in Fasly 1230. This Inam is entered as having been granted to the family of Muthamma and Narayan by Getti Mudaly and as having been subsequently alienated by them to Kallian by Sale. In Fasly 1234 the name of Kallaya appears with the remark that the Inam was mortgaged by Kallayan to his brother in law Samayan. In Fasly 1248 Kallayan is entered as the Pymaish Inamdar and Samayan as the party in possession in virtue of a deed of mortgage executed in 1228 by Kallian to Samayan acknowledging the receipt of 100 Rs on this Inam.

In the Jamabandi Chitta the entry "Samayan for Kallayan" appears from Fasli 1262.

Documents

Copy of a deed of mortgage executed by Kallayan to Samayan 1

Copy of a deed of Sale executed by Kuppammal alias Muthammal to Samayan — 1/2

These two documents are the same as referred to in the case in Maragandahalli.

Not known

Same Thirumalayan appears in the Pymaish Chitta prepared in the year Kala (Fasli 1206). No entries in the accounts in regard to previous enjoyment &c.

Muthammal alias Kuppammal age 48

Koneripatti in Sankigiri

Great grandson's wife of the party in the 15th Column. She is also the daughter of Samayan the purchaser of the Inam

No heirs

Acquired by alienation

The Inam is of an older date than 50 years but as it has been acquired by alienation and the party named in the 16th Column being a childless widow the Inam is recommended to be confirmed to her subject to a Quit Rent equal to one half of the assessment of the Inam which together with the former Jodi amounts to Rupees — 6-8-10

Former Jodi — 1-8-10
Additional Quit Rent 5-–
6-8-10

The Inam was attached for non-attendance of the Inamdar before the Inam Deputy Collector but having since appeared within the required time the Inam is recommended to be released from attachment.

Sub Collector

Confirmed as recommended on a combined QR of Rs 6-8-10 & to be released from attachment
W.W. ?
21-1-67

8

Z
1754

Case No. 2

Tenure confirmed