[illegible]

Sarva [illegible]

Revised Inam Register of Bil[illegible] Agrahar No. 147 [illegible] Daram[illegible] Taluq of the Salem District

Amani —

Register No. 28

Sheet No. 147

This village was originally a Sarva Inam village in 12 vritties. [illegible] 5½ Vs were gifted & 6½ Vs were attached as Circar. In F. [illegible] 1¾ V were further gifted. The remaining 4¾ V are now enjoyed as Inam by the [illegible] Record. The gifted fields were separated from the Jari Agrahar lands & individual enjoyment of the gifted fields were also recorded & the value & number of vritties gifted in each individual [illegible] was confirmed by the [illegible] but the Pattas [illegible] the fields for which the ~~Pattas~~ represent. This is owing to the non-distribution of the gifted fields among the Vrittidars at the survey & owing to the Inamdars enjoying the Jari & gifted fields indiscriminately as we [illegible] their individual possession [illegible] the gifted fields as a [illegible] upon the entire [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~. Hence the owners of Jari vritties in some cases enjoy a portion of the gifted fields without paying any tax, but the tax is paid by the other Agrahardars whose vritties were gifted but who enjoy the Jari fields. The following statement shows the ~~[illegible]~~ vritties, [illegible] & the number of Vs Jari & Inam.

There is a [illegible] on old Inam with the Karnam

Name	No. of Vs gifted	No. of Vs Jari
1 Bijapur Ramachary	½	
2 Jaya Subbabhat	1	
3 Srinivasa Chary	½	
4 Sambabhat Amayachary & in the possession of [illegible] Ahobala	½	
	3½	"
5 Narainaswamy	½	½ V
6 Naini Narasimha Chary	½	½ V
7 Amaya Chary	9/16	7/16 V
8 Tirumabhat	9/16	7/16 V
9 Appagunda Chary	6/16	10/16 V
10 Lakshmi Narainabhat	6/16	6/16 V
11 Sambabhattar Amayachary	6/16	6/16 V
12 Kuppabhat	"	[illegible]
13 [illegible]	"	[illegible]
Total	3¾ V	4¼ V
Gifted	¼	4¾ V

The recommendation of the Jari Vrittidars and that the entire extent of Inam fields may be settled in their names to the exclusion of gifted Vrittidars enjoying Inam fields — for the owners of gifted vritties, whatever fields they might be enjoying, pay the value of the gifted vritties, but there would then be a [illegible] of the actual enjoyment. I proposed that all the whole village [illegible] the gifted vritties might be confirmed as Inam in different title deeds according to the actual enjoyment of [illegible] to some of the [illegible] But the Agrahardars did not agree to the proposal as they have no [illegible] satisfaction of the [illegible] as to the same that ought to be [illegible] have requested the Collector for a survey & distinguishment of the Jari & gifted fields separately & so that the Revenue Patta might [illegible] to [illegible]. This has not been [illegible] the Inam fields settled to village [illegible] whether as Jari Vrittidars or gifted Vrittidars. The Register having been returned for Revision, the present joint settlement is recorded.

[illegible] Inam Tahsildar

Sub Register No. 28

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

Survey [illegible]

Extent [illegible] as per survey

Total Dry 100-7-11 ~~100.0~~

Re distribution of Permanent & Inam

Particulars

Dry	80-1-1	80.03	79-9-11
Wet	18-27-4	18.68	136-15-5
Total Cultivated	98-28-5	98.71	186-9-4
Uncultivable waste	1-13-6	1.33	Charge at 7/8 Inam 9-5-4
Total	100-7-11	~~[illegible]~~ 100.04	175-14-8

Sar[illegible] Inam [illegible] Charity [illegible]

To whom granted: Brahmins [illegible] to [illegible]

& stating that Appagunda Chary & Sambabhat Amayachary [illegible] 1¼ & 1¼. But it appears from the accounts that Appagunda Chary's V was gifted one half & he was allowed as Jari only 10/16 V; & that Sambabhat Amayachary had 1¼ V, out of which ½ V was fully gifted at the time of Ardamanium, & the remaining ¾ V was reduced by [illegible] a moiety to 6/16 V. I have therefore excluded such gifted vritties from settlement & recorded only parts of Jari vritties to which each of the Inamdars is entitled. I have however shown on the back of the Register the persons of gifted vritties who enjoy Jari lands wholly or in part. Total [illegible] the Commutation ⅛ 2 R or 24 Rs

[illegible]

11 Kuppabhat's heirs Kuppabhat [illegible] about 1¼ V —

Total No. of Vs

Entered gifted 3½ V

Gifted as per Ardamanium 3¾ V

Total 7¼

Remaining Jari Vritti:

On Ardamanium 3¾ V

On Sarva Inam 1 V

Total 4¾ V

Jari Vrittidars

1 Narainaswamy ½ V

2 Naini Narasimha ½ V

3 Amachary 7/16 V

4 Tirumabhat 7/16 V

5 Appagunda Chary 10/16 V

6 Lakshmi Narainabhat 6/16

7 Samachar Amayachary 6/16 V

8 Kuppabhat 1¼ V

Total 4¾ V

Present Inamdar

Kambam Seshadri Chary 30 — [illegible] Amayachary — [illegible]

10/16 V Jari

2 Naini Narasimha Chary 40 — [illegible] — [illegible]

½ V Jari

4 Tirumala Amayachary 52 — [illegible] — [illegible]

6/16 V own ~~[illegible]~~

5 Pidakala Krishnachary 50 [illegible] — Appagunda Chary's brother [illegible]

6 Gundadri Vasudeva Chary 38 — [illegible]

7 Narasimha Chary 28 — [illegible] — Sambabhat Amaya

¼ V [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~

8 Gundadri Subbachar — [illegible]

9 Ramachary

8 Subbramania Iyer 42 — [illegible] Jaranga — [illegible]

9 Seshaya 35 ½ V

10 Rangaya 40 — Tirumabhat [illegible]

Enjoys the Jari Vritti 9/16

[illegible]

Enjoys ½ V

Enjoys [illegible]

[illegible] enjoys land [illegible] value of 9-2-11 & the remainder is enjoyed by [illegible] [illegible]

The Jari vritties of the village are 4¾ V. The enjoyers of the Jari vritties according to [illegible] are as stated at col. 16. But [illegible] lands are [illegible] enjoyed [illegible] Iyer & Inam lands. The Inams at Kuppabhat's heirs [illegible] present ~~[illegible]~~ few or no Inam fields in their enjoyments, but they enjoy Patta lands & other lands for them. The Vritti [illegible] as present enjoyment are not at all distinct. The Inamdars became accustomed to enjoy the Iyer & Inam lands indiscriminately & they are not able to show their enjoyments in terms of Jari vritties alone. Nor are they able to state the actual Inam fields they enjoy [illegible] a number of fields as to their enjoyment, both Iyer & Inam.

2. One Sarvadri Subbachar & [illegible] for Inam fields [illegible] their enjoyment, but their [illegible] was gifted [illegible] & they pay for the lands [illegible] gifted [illegible]

Confirmed as recommended in the S. D. [illegible] 2 R of Rs 24 —

10/2/66

2 [illegible]

1739

1—4

[illegible]

Register No. 28

Lent of [illegible] who occupy these lands
[illegible] to the [illegible] but where any [illegible]
were gifted [illegible] as to [illegible]
[illegible]

A Pro of Ahobila
Sethayya claiming the
share confirmed to
Garudadri Subbachari
& Ramachari
Endorsed to produce
the documents mentioned
5/6/66
[illegible]

In the Bally [illegible]
shares among
1/4 [illegible]
Jagaha [illegible]
1- fully
gifted

In the Nayan
Doruk [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Appears
a [illegible]
[illegible]
said [illegible]
in the [illegible] or
[illegible]
in the name of
Appu [illegible]
An [illegible] 3
[illegible]

Garudadri
[illegible]
Rangamma [illegible]
[illegible] 2 8 0 0
1- 23- 8 0 6-

[illegible]

One Bellamma
[illegible]
claims the
fields as an
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] has no document
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Vijayapur [illegible]
Govindachari of
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Extract granted to
Subba Chari on the
24th March 1866.

Extract granted
to Garudadri Narasamyya
on the 18th Jan 1866

In [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
gifted
[illegible]

[illegible]
Nayan
[illegible]
not
found.
This land [illegible]
been included
in same case

same land
which [illegible]
is not [illegible]
[illegible]

In the gifted
Pate
Ahobalachary [illegible]
which he had [illegible]
from [illegible]
was fully gifted

[illegible]
32
5 [illegible]
28
fields No 138
& 140

[illegible]
[illegible]
of
Rangamma
Ahobalachari
[illegible]

A [illegible]
to [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] for the same
[illegible]
holds a document
[illegible]
executed [illegible]
[illegible]
Rangamma

In the
Nayan
[illegible]
not [illegible]
[illegible]

Other [illegible]
who may have such
[illegible] are not
present & their
[illegible] cannot be
ascertained

[illegible]

Z. a

× Appeal [illegible] dated 26th March 1867 No. 41 from No. 485
praying that their relation to Ahobalachary may be
corrected as they are his daughter's sons endorsed
that no alteration is required & that the mistake
if there be any, will not affect their rights under
the document by Rangamma which they produced
before the Dy Recor

T. R.
19/2/67
[illegible]

× [illegible] Copied 24-4-68