of Marudádu No. 54 Taluq of Wandawásh

Sheet No 52

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Dévastánam	Dry land No. 29 Wet land Nos. 1550 1553 & 1555	According to Paymásh Land H. Ac. "–8–" "–8–" 1–"–"	Acres 0·66 0·66 1·32	Assest R. A. P. 1–14–10 8–6–9 10–5–7	Assest according to Revision rate R. A. P. 1–1–" 4–12–" 5–13–"	For the Support of the Temple	Tax free	Permanent so long as the Temple is kept up	Not known	No Documents Do	Purandi Ísvarar	In F. 1216 Ambiká Pati Gurukkal the manager of the Temple H. Ac 1–"–" In F. 1223 Rámalinga Gurukkal the manager of Ísvaran Koil H. Ac 1–"–"	In F. 1236 Rámalinga Gurukkal Viddi Gurukkal managers of Ísvarar Inániem H. Ac 1–"–" In F. 1255 Appáji Gurukkal manager of Ísvaran Koil H. Ac 1–"–" In F. 1269 Adangal account Ísvarar Inániem H. Ac 1–"–"	Marudádu Purandi Ísvarasvámi Koil.		Present Manager Sámi Gurukkal		17th Dec/60	To be confirmed Deputy Collector	confirmed [illegible] 7 T.D.R. 83
Dévastánam	Dry land No. 1144 Wet land No. 1551	According to Paymásh Land H. Ac "–8–" "–8–" 1–"–"	Acres 0·66 0·66 1·32	Assest R. A. P. 1–14–10 6–4–7 8–3–5	Assest according to Revision rate R. A. P. 1–1–" 4–4–" 5–5–"	For the Support of the Temple	Tax free	Permanent so long as the Temple is kept up	Not known	No documents Do	Ádimúlapperumál	In F. 1216 Ádimúlapperumál H. Ac 1–"–" In F. 1223 Ramanayangár Manager of the Temple H. Ac 1–"–"	In F. 1236 Naranayangár H. Ac 1–"–" In F. 1255 Párthasáradhi Ayyangár manager of the Temple H. Ac 1–"–" In F. 1269 Adangal account Perumál Inániem H. Ac 1–"–"	Marudádu Ádimúlapperumál Koil.		Present Manager Krishnamáchári		17th Dec/60	To be confirmed Deputy Collector	confirmed [illegible] 7 T.D.R. 84

46 Marudádu (Continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
			According to Paymash Land H. A C · Acres	Assessment R A P	Assessment according to Revision rate R A P																
5.	Service	Dry land No. 291 Wet land No. 877 & 1322	1-"-" 1° 32 "-15-4 1° 26 1-15-4 2° 58	3-"-7 11-8-8 14-9-3	1-12-" 7-9-2 9-5-2	For the Service of teaching or reading Védás —	Tax free —	As long as the Service is regularly rendered —	Not known —		No document —	Rágava Bhattar —	In F. 1216 Rágavaiyar H. A C 2-"-" In F. 1223 The same H. A C 2-"-"	In F. 1236 Rámaiyar H. A C 1-15-4 In F. 1255 Védavirti Rámaiyar H. A C 2-"-" In F. 1269 Adangal account Védavirti manium H. A C 2-"-"	Marudádu 1. Ráma Bhattar 60 years 2. Minátchi Ammal 60 years 3. Lakshmana Iyar 50 years		Son of the man in col: 13 Daughter in law to the man in col: 13 Son to the man in col: 13	3 Sons — 1 Wife 1 Son	To be Confirmed in the Deed 17th Dec 1860	Confirmed [illegible]	
			According to Paymash Land H. A C · Acres	Assessment R A P	Assessment according to Revision rate R A P																
~~10~~ 4	~~Personal~~ Service	Dry land No. 954 Wet land No. 1067, 1188, 1269 & 1270	1-"-" 1° 32 1-9-4 2° 07 2-9-4 3° 39	5-2-3 26-9-4 31-11-7	2-8-" 14-15-11 17-7-11	For the ~~support of the family~~ Service (ఊ8 ౯౦50) ~~[illegible]~~ Parichárakam in the Temple of Conjeevaram	Tax free	~~[illegible]~~ So long as the Service is regularly rendered —	Not known		Ehrogapattah Varadnatnah 1849 7/2 Tasildar's Takid of 1844 1/3 These were issued to enable the present holder to enjoy his Inam without interruption after his father's death.	Lakshmi Náranaiyangár	In F. 1216 Varada Rájaswá Iniyar Manium H. A C 2-8-" In F. 1223 Appanaiyangár & afterwards Varadan for the Temple H. A C 2-8-"	In F. 1236 Ashádri Aiyangár for the Temple H. A C 2-9-4 In F. 1255 Venkatáchalattaiyangár Brother for the Temple H. A C 2-8-" In F. 1269 Adangal account Venkatáchalattaiyangár for the Temple H. A C 2-8-"	Kanchipuram 1 Venkatáchalattaiyangár 45 y. 2 Rukmaniammal		Great grandson to the man in col. 13. brother's widow to No 1	1 Mother 1 Wife 2 Daughters	To be Confirmed 18th Dec 1860	Confirmed [illegible]	
5.	Personal	Wet land No. 1291	1-"-" 1° 32	12-9-3	8-8-"	For the support of the Family	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	—		Kistna Chári —	In F. 1216 Histnamá Cháriar [illegible] H. A C 1-"-" In F. 1223 The same H. A C 1-"-" F. 1232 Kistna Chari	In F. 1236 Histnamá Chári H. A C 1-"-" F. 1255 The same H. A C 1-"-" F. 1269 Adangal account Histnamá Chári Sannad manya H. A C	Tiruvattiyur 1- Appanachari 50 yrs 2 Dhondachari 25 3. Rangachari Miscellaneous 2- Narasimha Chari 27 yrs supposed to be a [illegible]		daughter's son to original grantee 2&3 [illegible]	1. W 12 D. 3 D. 2 W 5 3 W 5 D			

A Pet. No of 1863 from Kappanaiyangar complaining that he has not yet recd the extract applied for

Endorsed [illegible]

Extract granted to Rukmaniammal on the 8th September 1862

Rukmaniammal the widow of the deceased brother Venkatachellaiyangar claims a half share in this Inam and holds a decree of the Court in support of it. The parties have now executed a Razinama to the effect that ~~[illegible]~~ they will share the Inam equally, Rukmaniammal waiving all claims to past produce. The Inam is accordingly confirmed ~~on~~ to the two ~~[illegible]~~ both ~~the present incumbents~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ the ~~hereditary~~ the entire Inam ~~[illegible]~~

Confirmed [illegible]

17th [illegible] 1861

A reference [illegible] of Inams [illegible] 1216 [illegible]

This Inam has never been under cultivation at least from F. 1216 – the incumbent was a resident of Madras – he left no [illegible] but a daughter's son – who ~~never~~ seems never to have taken possession of the Inam – in short, the Inam lay an unclaimed waste for a long period – perhaps from the commencement of the present century. In F. 1267, the [illegible] put a stranger (as proved from the present enquiry) Narasimha Chari in possession of the Inam without any report to the Tahsildar or Collector – A claimant Appanachari reported this to the Deputy Collector [illegible] – from in support [illegible] and produces a Mahazarnama [illegible] It is marked [illegible]

Case 5.

Inam uninterrupted ~~[illegible]~~ in the present case, absence of cultivation, however long, ought not I think bar ~~[illegible]~~ title of the claimants because the Inam ~~[illegible]~~ of the Inam in the records has continued to the present day ~~[illegible]~~. I therefore ~~Confirm~~ the Inam as in the other village, to 1 Appanachari, 2 Dhondachari – 3 Rangachari who are the daughter's son & daughter's son's sons of the late Kistna Chari. The claim set up by Narasimha Chari is rejected as untenable.

[illegible] April 1861

1/8 = 1 rupee

0. For the facts given elsewhere I am of opinion that the Inam should be fully taxed & incorporated with the Govt. Lands. [illegible] had [illegible]

The acquirement by No 2 is undoubtedly fraudulent & his name should be struck off the Register. No 1 may have a feeble claim – in consequence of the long period during which he never came forward [illegible]

In the villages of Palaveri [illegible] Case No 1, & Kalayur [illegible] Taluq, Case No 3, the Inams registered to the present day in the name of Kistna Chari [illegible] and [illegible]

[illegible] Attachment [illegible]

408

200 : 347

86

85