122 Register — Village of Mattapprayur No. 48 Taluq of Polur

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Register No. 122

Tahsirayi 16 Sept. 1861

From the report of the Tahr on the points on which information was called for it appears clearly that the claim set up by the Jaghirdar of Arni to this Inam is founded simply on two advent[itious] registry of the Inam as his in the accounts of F1223 & 1255. In all the other accounts it is registered as Nirkali Inam and the absolute possession of the Inam & the rendering of the stipulated service have been for the last three generations with the Shrotriemdar of the village of Votari, who is also the ~~Inam~~ sole Mirasi holder of the village. The Jaghirdar of Arni has failed at the enquiry before the Tahr to show his ~~title~~ enjoyment of or control over the Inam or the service connected with it in any way, & the alleged entries in the accounts of ~~the~~ the two faslies are also shown to have been made under suspicious circumstances. In 1842 when a dispute arose between the two villages on the subject, Mr. ~~Blundell~~ Sub Collector settled the matter by a compromise ~~fix~~ the stipulations of which the obligations of both the ~~vill~~ villagers as regards the supply of water & enjoyment of the Inam ~~for~~ in return are clearly laid down. On this occasion, the Jaghirdar of Arni had nothing to say & the Shrotriemdar of Votari settled with the Circar village on his own behalf. It is also in evidence that the repairs of the Votari Tank are executed by ~~the~~ its own Shrotriemdar & not by the Arni Jaghirdar. Under these circumstances, I consider the claim of the Arni Jaghirdar to be very weak against the absolute right & enjoyment of the Shrotriemdar for the last 3 generations & I therefore confirm the Inam as Sannad[?] to the latter.

4 Aug 64 — AS — J.R. Cop[?] — App^d WMS 8/10/64

1	Personal	Dry land	According to Payment Assess^t — Land No. A-C Acres	Assess^t	according to Revision rate	For the Support of the family	Case free	Here ditary	Not known	No Documents	Inv F1215 Nirkuli Inam	Inv F1236 Nirkuli Inam	Magaram	
	S. V.	No 37, 36-34, 35-38, 39-40, 41	9-4-8 12^a 37	23-14-2	13-4-3						No. A-C 12-0-0	No. A-C 11-5-12	~~Ahdama Row~~ 70 yrs	3 drs ~~1 Grandson~~ 4th Son
		Wet No 77, 88-90, 107-110, 156	2-1-4 2^a 77	22-2-9	15-14-10						F1223 Tirumal Rao	F1255 Srinivasa Rao ~~[illegible]~~ Subet Son	Tirumala Rao 22 yrs, Son of the man in F1255	2 drs
			11-5-12 15^a 14	46-0-11	29-3-1						No. A-C 12-0-0	No. A-C 12-0-0		
												F1269 Nirkuli Inam No. A-C 12-0-0		

Sheet No. 150

Case 1

Vide Remark above AS

It would appear that this Inam was originally granted (for the services rendered in procuring water to this village from the tank of Votari village) to the Jaghirdar of Arni who transferred it to the Renter of Votari being his relation. From the commencement, the Inam is entered in the accounts in the name of the Jaghirdar though the enjoyment has been in the family of the ~~[illegible]~~ Renter the present actual holder. When questioned, stated that the Jaghirdar had no objection to enter his name in the accounts — but on reference, the Jaghirdar requested that his name should be entered as usual — but that he or his ancestors X

To be Confirmed

Q. R = 3-12-0 with ~~[illegible]~~ Wet ~~[illegible]~~ Dep^y Coll^r

2^d Jany/61

allowed to enter this family to enjoy the Inam this relationship — as a temporary measure. I thought it then fit expedient to enter in Col: 16, the name of the Jaghirdar as usual — ~~Lafford~~ ~~[illegible]~~ to give the title deed to the actual holder of the Inam — but he (Ketchum Row) declined and said that the deed may be sent to Jaghirdar.

S. No. 292

Confirmed to Lakshman Rao son of Madhava Rao, the actual holder of the Inam ~~[illegible]~~ so long as the service continues to be rendered i.e. so long as water is allowed from the Votari Tank to the lands of Mattaprayur. Vide Remark xxx

4 Aug 64 — AS

78

App^d WMS 8/10/64

T.D.P.

T.R. Copied 48

Pet^n from Tirumala Rao a[?] Inam Jaghirdar No 72 of 1863 & 1023 of 1864 asking for a reply ~~to~~ in the matter of his claim to the Inam.

Answered that his claim cannot be recognized 26/1/65 WMS

G.H.

T.R. Copied

No more Cases

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]