No. 4 Register — Village of Virinjipuram No. 123 Taluq of Pallikonda

Re No. 64

Sheet No. 128

Pallikonda Tk
N. Arcot Dist

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		According to Paimash			Assessment according to revision rates														
		Land R. A. C.	Acres	Assessment R. A. P.	R. A. P.														
Devastanam	Dry land No. 37	3-7-8	4.79	11-6-5	9-6-11	For the support of the Temple	Tax free	Permanent so long as the Temple is kept up	Not known	No Documents	Gramadé~~vata~~ Ponni ammál	In F 1215 Ponniammal Manium Pujali Kandasawmy R A C 7-6-8 In F 1233 Gramadévata Ponniammal Manium R A C 5-13-4	In F 1223 Pujali Ponnan R A C 7-6-8 ~~In F 1233 Pujali Appu~~ ~~R A C~~ In F 12[illegible] adangal account Ponniammal R A C 7-6-8	Virinjipuram ~~Gramadevata~~ ~~Ponniammal~~ Gráma devadé Ponni Ammál. Present Managers Pujali Séshan & Appasámi.					To be confirmed D[illegible] Aug/60 [illegible] confirmed [illegible] 17th Oct T.D. No. 361
	Wet No. [illegible] 500 + 501	2-3-12	2.98	21-5-2	17-4-0														
		5-7-4	7.77	32-11-7	26-10-11														
		According to Payimash Land R. A. C.	Acres	Assest R. A. P.	Assest according to revision rates R. A. P.														
Wetland No. 332		—11-8	0.96	11-10-1	7-3-0	For Medicinal treatment to the Villagers	Tax Free	Hereditary	Granted by Gulam malli Rayakhán Báidar Syed yeddin Hussein in F 1150	Parvana of F 1150 — 1 Taked of the Tasildar in the year 1839 — 1 Taked of the year 1857 — 1 3	Doctor Ramalinga Ayar	In F 1213 Doctor Ramalinga Ayar Manium ~~[illegible]~~ Mangasahayar ~~[illegible]~~ grandson R A C 1-2-0 F 1223 Mangasahayar grandson of Ramalingayar R A C 1-2-0 x	F 1233 Ramalingayar Inamdar R A C 0-11-8 F 1255 Venkatrayar son of the man in F. 1223 R A C 1-2-0 F 1269 adangal account Ramalingayar Inamdar R A C 1-2-0	Vellore Kuppayar 18 years Daughter's son of the man in F. 1255.	Great Grandson's Daughter's Son to the man in Col: 13	Father & Mother	The Inam has been in this family without interruption for upwards of 50 years — & there are also legal heirs to succeed to the Inam — the alleged service was almost nominal — the present holder is moreover [illegible]		To be Confirmed 2.R = 14 As at ½ of assessment charged — Edward [illegible] Deputy [illegible] 17th Augt/60 confirmed [illegible] 17th Oct T.D. No. 362

4.79
3.94
8.73

33-13-11

x no longer exists & the latter practically ceased to be performed — tho' the incumbent asserts that he does render the service — moreover this Inam appears among the "Personal" in the accounts — [illegible] also wishes them [illegible]

The original document produced shows that ~~it was~~ the Inam was issued for 2 objects — the prayer for the welfare of the Mofussil Govt, & for affording medical aid to the Brahmins & others — It is quite obvious that the former x

64

Virinjipuram (continued) — [illegible] taluq

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
			According to Payimash Land K-A-C	Acres	Assessment R-A-P	Assessment according to revision rates R-A-P															
3	Personal	Wet No. 242 308	3-0-0	3·96	38-11-11	27-7-9	For the Support of the family	Tax free	Hereditary	Granted by Gulam Murta Jákán in F 1164	Parvána of 1171 Heziri 1 Copy of the Chitta of F 1196 — 1 Copies of the Decision of the Munsifs Court 2	Muthugal Koppare Narasimha Chari	In F 1213 Muthugal Narasimhachari Maniem — Kundachari &ca Son of Koppare Narasimha chari K-A-C 4-8-0 F 1223 Kundachari Same K-A-C 4-8-0	In F 1233 "Muthugal Koppare Narasimha chari Maniem" K-A-C 3-0-0 F 1255 ~~Latchmi~~ Chari Atchanachari Kistnachari grandsons of the orig. grantee K-A-C 4-8-0 F 1269 "adangal account Muthugal Koppare Narasimha Chári Maniem" K-A-C 4-8-0	Pallikonda 1 Atchanachari 2 Ramachari 3 Kistnachari	40 years 33 years 35 years	Grandson to the man in col: 13 Do Do	Wife Wife Wife	The parties who [illegible] divided have not yet had division of land — the proceeds derived from the land are divided into 2 portions — one is taken by No 1 & 2 (Brothers); & the other by No 3 — in Col: 16 — The parties do not wish division of land —	To be Confirmed in one lot — Q.R = 3-8-0 at 1/2 of assmt — agreed — Depy Collr 17th Augt /60	confirmed [illegible] 17th Oct T.D. No 358

The first documents speak to the validity & possession & enjoyment of the Inam by the present holders' family — being legally recognized by authorities —
The second — connected with the Court — contains transactions or disputes between the members of the family — who differed in regard to the amount of shares.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
			According to Payimash Land K-A-C	Acres	Assessment R-A-P	Assessment according to revision rates R-A-P															
	Personal	Wet Nos 389. 392-397. 514.	7-0-8	9·38	82-10-7	59-11-0	For the support of the family	Taxfree	Hereditary	Granted by the Nabob Walaja of F 1182	Persian Parvána of F 1182 — 1 Tasildárs Takids of F 1214 & 1232 — 2 Bhogavatta of F 1230 — 1 4	Shahasham durvesh.	In F 1213 Banthigi Hussen modin Son of Shahasham durvesh K-A-C 11-4-0 F 1223 Banthigi Hussen modin Same K-A-C 11-4-0	In F 1233 Banthigi Hussen modin Son of Mirasham durvesh with the name of the orig. grantee K-A-C 7-0-8 F 1255 Banthigi Hussen &ca Do K-A-C 11-4-0 F 1269 adangal account Mirasham durvesh Fakir K-A-C 11-4-0	1 Shammed Hussen Modin aged 90 Virinjipuram 2. Bishabibi aged 64		Son to the man in Col 13 Daughter in law to the man in Col 13	1 Adopted son.	— X She argues that she has adopted a son — Mir Ashin her sister's son — which ~~was~~ is not proved to my satisfaction — perhaps she has brought him up — in consequence of her more wealthy circumstances.	To be Confirmed — Q.R = 30 Rs at 1/2 assmt — not agreed — 22nd Augt /60 Depy Collr	confirmed for life [illegible] 27th Oct T.D. No 359

See Collr's letter of 10/1/90 No 60

As the quit rent imposed on this Inam is being collected from the date of the issue of Title Deed the Inam will be confirmed as freehold.

W. L. Whiteside
Inam Comr.
April 22. 90.

No 1 seems to have left the country about 60 years ago — evidently he is [illegible] — very little expectation is entertained of his ever returning — the original holder of the Inam is No 2 — who is a childless widow — X

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
			According to Payimash Land K-A-C	Acres	Assessment R-A-P	Assessment according to revision rates R-A-P															
5	Devastanam [illegible]	Dryland No 43 & 44.	2-9-12	3·48	9-1-10	7-1-5	For making services to the Mosque What mosque	Tax free	Permanent so long as the Mosque is kept up	Persian sanad of F 1170	Persian sanad of 1170. 1 Tasidars Takid of the year 1835 — 1 2 These confirm the valid title of the Inam	Shakálé Masthan durvesh	In F 1213 Shákálé Masthan durvesh K-A-C 5-0-0 F 1223 Syed Jamál son of Shakálé Masthan durvesh K-A-C [illegible]	In F 1233 Shákálé masthan musjid [illegible] K-A-C 2-9-12 F 1255 Syed Jamál Shakalle Allisa Syed Haydar Syed Faridu K-A-C 5-0-0 F 1269 Shakale [illegible]	Virinjipuram 1 Syed Haydar aged 60 2. Syed Faridu aged 50 3. Syed Yásin age 5 4. Hussenbibi aged 42		Grandson to the man in Col 13 Do Great Grandson to the man in Col 13 Grandsons wife to the man in Col 13	2 Sons 2 Sons Mother 2 Daughters	—	To be Confirmed in one lot. Depy Collr 22nd Augt /60	confirmed [illegible] 27th Oct T.D. No 360

Pallikonda taluq

No. 64 Virinjepuram (Continued)
2nd Sheet

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Pallikonda Taluq now Vellore

வட ஆற்காடு — பள்ளிகொண்டா
(வேலூர்)

R. Dis No. 64

1	2	3	According to Paymash Land R A P Acres	Assest R A P	Assest according to revision rates R A P	7	8	9	12	13	14	15
6	Dêvastanam or for the Mosque	Wet No 430 432	1-15-8 2.63	31-13-10	19-11-0	For making services in the mosque	Tax free Permanent so long as the services are continued	Granted by Abdullakhan bakaralli khan in F. 1149	Persian Mahazar nama of F. 1185 — 1 Persian Chitta Takid of F. 1201 — 1 Family devision Document of F. 1237 — 1 Will of the year 1855 — 1 Total 4	Syed Mera Pêshimâm mulla	In F. 1215 Syed Peer son R A P 2-4-0 F. 1223 the same R A P 2-4-0	In F. 1233 Syed Iman Pêsh Imam R A P 2-4-0 1-15-8 F. 1255 Syed Peer 's sons R A P 2-4-0 F. 1269 Mullamanum. R A P 2-4-0

16	17	18
Mêlkayini		
1 Lalbibi age 45.	Grandsons Daughter to the man in Col. 13	4 Sons
Triplikêni		
2. Rahanbi aged 57	Do.	1 Daughter
Mêlkayini		
3 Syed bâhudin aged 75	Grandson	1 Grandson
Sâttukadi		
4 Syed bibi aged 50	Grandsons wife to do.	—
5. Sharafudin age 36	Grandson to do.	—
Mêlkayini		
6. Râbubi age 50.	Great Grandsons wife to do.	1 Son
7 Nannibi age 48	Do.	1 Daughter
8 Musthafa Sâhib. aged 60	Grandsons Daughter's son to do.	1 Son
9 Syed Mohadin aged 75	Great Grandson to do.	3 Sons
Bangalore		
10. Ahamad Hussen age 25	4th Descendant to do.	Wife
Nagpore		
11 Bejonbibi age 23	Great Grandsons Daughter to do.	3 Sons
Mêlkayini		
12 Khâdubi age 9	Do.	—
13. Chundâbibi age 7	Do.	—
Bangalore		
14 Syed Jafir age 50	Great grandson to do.	1 Son
Mêlkayini		
15 Syed Ibram sahib age 45	Do.	Wife
Sâttukadi		
16 Syed Ibrahim age 10	4th Descendant to do.	Mother
Mêlkayini		
17. Syed Nijâm age 38	Great grandson to do.	1 Son
18. Syed Kosim age 40	Do.	2 Daughters
19 Meer Jynilabdin age 38	Do.	Do.
Vâniambâdi		
20. Sayidâmiya aged 76	Grand son to do.	1 Son
21. Syed Abdul Razak		
22. Ahmed ulla Sahib		

28-64
9-32
19-26

Were and what permitted,
CM

The 1st two documents do not clearly show that the land was for service — but the latter ones do so —

Sheet No. 129

To be confirmed
[illegible]
31st Augt/60
Dep. Colr

I. D. No. 411

confirmed
[illegible]
[illegible]
T. D. P.

Vide appeals recorded in Arakkattu Case
[illegible]

Colr written to to amend the Regr
1 - Feby /66

Names 21 & 22
Added as per [illegible] dated
10 Nov 1863 - No. 6
27 May 65
[illegible]

[illegible] (continued)

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

5|11|80|58

7
Choultry
Wet
No 364
479.471
According to Payimash
Land R A C 4-13-0 Acres 6'42
Assesst R A P 97-1-11
Assesst according to revision of the rates R A P 55-9-0
For the Tax free Support of the Choultry
Permanent Granted for the time So long as E. I. Co. the Company Choultry in F1226 is kept up
As stated in the acct of F.1228
–
Gopalrow
Register: In F1233 Gopalrow Choultry Manium
In F1228 R A C 4-13-0 Gopalrow Choultry Manium
F1255 the same
Gumasta Kuppasastri R A C 5-0-0
R A C 2-0-0 F1269 Gopalrow Choultry Manium R A C 5-0-0
~~[illegible]~~
Gopalrow Choultry
Present Manager Gangadhara Sastri Son of Kuppa Sastri entered in F.1228

The first bestowal consisted of 2 R as apparent from ~~the Statement~~ entry in F.1228 – & the second was 3 R – in addition – making 5 in all – as shown in F.1255 –

The party failed to produce documents or orders to show that this Inam was intended to be free of all taxes – We [illegible] not have any ground for delay (2 weeks') – ~~[illegible]~~ to procure such documents if there were any – as he is an officer employed in the Collr's Cutcherry –

He presented himself before me – The choultry is situated in Virinjipuram of this village – I believe this Inam was granted under Board's Rules for choultry; it is registered in the Inam accounts of F.1255 –

To be Confirmed as a [illegible] –
2 R = 27 Rs at ½ of assest
Changed with [illegible]
[illegible] Dy Assistant Collr
8th Sept/60

confirmed free of rent. It will be strange if an [illegible] be changed for not [illegible] documents to [illegible] delay – But as the Depy Collector believes it was given [illegible] [illegible] them as shown for public choultries [illegible] continue free of rent [illegible] be confirmed for the support of the choultry free of rent [illegible]
W.H.

[illegible] / 1408

8
Personal
Wet
No 438
440
According to Payimash
Land R A C 0-13-4 Acres 1'10
Assesst R A P 13-6-3
Assesst according to revision of rates R A P 8-4-6
For the Support of the family
Tax free
Hereditary
Not known
No Documents
Abdul Islam
In F1215 Hatmabibi Daughter of Mahommedbibi ~~Daughter~~ [illegible] Abdul Isalam Navab Musilim R A C 1-2-0
F1223 Hatmabibi Daughter [illegible] R A C 1-2-0
In F1233 Abdul Islam Navab Musilim R A C 0-13-4
F1255 ~~Hatmabibi~~ Gulam Hussen ~~Daughter~~ Son of do alias Gulam Hussen R A C 1-2-0
F1269 Abdul Islam's Manium R A C 1-2-0
Melkayini
1. Marimsabibi 50 years
2 Gulam Hussen 40 years
Grandson's Daughter to Rahman [illegible] 13
Great Grandson do
2 Sons 1 Daughter
1 Son 1 Daughter

They are [illegible] Islam & have been [illegible] Nawab during a marriage Musilim [illegible] 20 years

This was an Inam reported to have been bestowed upon the original grantee for embracing the Muslim religion – he being in the first instance a Hindu of this village –

father [illegible] of the person in F.1255 left 1 Son in No 2 – & 3 Daughters – named No 1 – & 2 others residing in [illegible] taking without enjoying this Inam – a share of which they do not appear to have [illegible]

To be Confirmed in one [illegible] –
2 R = 11 Rs at ½ of assest
[illegible]
[illegible] Dy Assistant Collr
6th Sept/60

confirmed
W.H.
1 W.H.

F 23[illegible] / 409

Tomb Inam
Wet
No 626
According to Payimash R A C 0-14-8 Acres 1'21
–
Determined for assessing fields
6-7-3
1-9-9
4-13-6
Occupied by the Tomb
Tax free
Permanent so long as the Tomb is kept up
Not known
Mahazarnama of F1251 — 1
Copy of the decision of the Collector in the year 1852 — 1
Copy of the Takid written on the cadjanleaf in the year 1852 — 1
~~[illegible]~~
~~Takid [illegible] 1852~~ 2
~~[illegible]~~ 2
~~[illegible]~~
Decision of 1853 1
A [illegible]
Shaiad Ahammed
–
In F1233 Allisultan Gorestoram
R A C 0-14-8
F1269 adangal account the same
R A C 0-14-9 Ordinary [illegible]
Virinjipuram
[illegible] Lalbi age 60
Brothers Daughter-in-law to [illegible]
[illegible] 13
1 Daughter

These documents show that the present holder was confirmed in her possession [illegible]

673
No 11-36
10/11

As the land is not under cultivation – & is partly occupied by the tomb – a deduction from the assest of ¼ – might be considered a fair allowance –

4) 6-7-3
1-9-9

One Syed Farid a distant relation complained that he has no share in the Inam – & that for the last few years – he was not allowed to have anything to do with it –
On enquiry – it was found beyond doubt that his kinsmanship did not entitle him to a share claimed – & that he never had any [illegible] the complaint – [illegible]

The Inam as little as it is – is wholly occupied by the Tomb & none of it is left for cultivation – but Tamarind & other trees are scattered here & there – which might yield some income of a precarious nature –

To be Confirmed
2 R = 2-4-0 at ½ assest
Chargeable –
[illegible] Dy Assistant Collr
28th Sept/60

confirmed [illegible]
W.H.
1 W.H.

[illegible]

Register No. 64 Village of Virinchipuram No. 113 Taluq of Pallikonda

1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Sheet No 130

Sheet No 130

10	Dêvastânam	Dry land Nos 52 & 63	4-48	65 5 ~~4~~	21-14-4	13-10-9	For the support of the Temple	Tax free	Permanent so long as the Temple is kept up.	Not known	No documents	Márgabandu Swámiyár	In F 1214 Dêvastânam H-A-C 94-22-40	In F 1233 Virásámi H A C 3-15-4 Valittonai Pandáram
		Wet land Nos 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 667, 668, 670, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 680, 14, 630, 727, 465.	69-12-4	92 ~~04~~	279 5-3 Deserting asst.								F 1221 Inam Toppu K-A-C 11-4-0 Iluppa Toppu K-A-C 17-8-0 H A C 78-12-4	H A C 3-12-4 Srimárgabandu Swámiyár K-A-C 0-5-4 Srimárgabandu Swámiyár Iluppa Toppu K-A-C 69-1- The same K-A-C 19-11-12 Nandavanam Toppu K-A-C 0-15-8 K-A-C 74-0-12 F 1269 Adangal account Inam Márgabandu Swámiyár Kurukshetra K-A-C 74-0-12
			97-74 W											
			74-4-12	~~99~~	21-14-4	~~73-11-9~~								
				293										

Virinchipuram

Srimárgabandu Swámiyár Kovil

J. H.

The Secretary of the Devastanam Managing Committee produces an authenticated copy of an account of F 1227 which shows that the ~~trees~~ Tope belongs to the Pagoda & that the produce of the trees was enjoyed by the Pagoda -

There is not the slightest doubt that from the information given by the Sub Collector & the accounts produced by the Secy that the whole is held by the Pagoda for more than 50 years, & ~~that it is part cultivated with plantains trees in the extent any liability to tax~~ The garden consists of Cocoanut & other XX

XX valuable ~~fruit~~ trees - ~~[illegible]~~ There is also a small flower garden in the Tope, which is also enjoyed free of tax by the Pagoda -

Under these circumstances I see no objection to confirm this as Inam Tope, though it is entered in the accounts ~~as a~~ simply as a Poramboke deduction. -

~~The Inamdar [illegible] irrigation, though in the accounts it is classed Poramboke as Dry [illegible]. The [illegible]~~

We have confirmed similar Topes in Madras & the Dt. as Inams. - The classification of the Inam as Devastanam is adopted according to the entry in the accounts; but, excepting to a very small extent of land occupied by flower garden, the Tope does not appear to have ×a

×a Very recently converted into ~~[illegible]~~ cultivation. - He has sunk wells - It must be understood that the settlement does not confer any new rights.

× The extent appears to have been progressively increased. These are deducting.

This Register was forwarded to the Collr. of the Dt. for verification, & for certain other information. - He sends a copy of his Sub Collr's letter + dated 10 Jan 1866 No 21 which runs as follows. -

"The Tahsildar reports that the accounts of F 1214 & F 1221 quoted in col 14 of the Regr. furnished by the Inam Dy. Collr. are not forthcoming but that on referring to the older Karnam accounts of Faslees 1197, 1204, 1205, 1217 & 1226 which the Karnams produced Poramboke deductions as in the margin are entered in them. The villagers assert that these Topes have belonged to Margabandu Swamy's Pagoda & are identical with the present ones. The extent of the Tope as given in Col 14 of the Dy Collr's Regr. corresponds with that given in the Curnum's accounts referred to."

"The extent of the Topes as entered in Col 15 of the Regr. is correct ~~[illegible]~~"

"When Poramboke Topes are cultivated, the whole assessment should be charged, unless the Tope consists of two or more numbers - in that case the numbers in part-cultivated only, are fully charged."

"In the case of Virinchipuram Tope however, it is clear from the villagers' statement that the portion of it which has been cultivated has always been enjoyed ~~always~~ ~~rent~~ free" XX

77-12-12
~~3-12-0~~
74-0-12

It Ins. to be classed more suitable to what it is entitled ~~as~~ ~~Devastanam~~ according to merit.

9/7/66

B. No. 531.

Entered

Eluppa Topes 67½
Thennam topes 11¼

Confirmed to the Pagoda tax free. The whole of the land will be classed as Dry as they have never received irrigation - There is however no objection to the Inamdar receiving water for the flower garden as usual. - 12/3/66

Extract granted to [illegible] Secretary to the Devastanam Committee dated 14th March 1866

Pallikonda Taluq

64 Virinjipuram (continued) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

R. No. 64

Statement shewing the Inams in the Village of Virinjipuram Taluq of Pallikonda 22d October 1860

Sheet No. 131

Description of Inam	Dryland K A C	Acres	Wet K A C	Acres	Garden K A C	Acres	Total Kanes K A C	Total acreage acres	Total Assessment R A P	Total Quit-rent & Kattubadi Kanee	Newly proposed	Compulsory R	A	Optional agreed to	Declined
Devastanam	6 3 4	8.27	5 1 12	6.82	. . .	.	11 5 0	15.09	59 14 7	0 0	2 4	2	4	0 0	0 0
Personal	. . .	.	16 6 4	21.83	. . .	.	16 6 4	21.83	158 3 3	0 0	62 6	57	0	5 6	0 0
	6 3 4	8.27	21 8 0	28.64	. . .	.	27 11 4	36.91	218 1 10	0 0	64 10	59	4	5 6	0 0

None of the Inams of this Village are omitted in the Register nor are any of the Inams (which, having by reason of death, absence, poverty or other causes been abandoned, should more properly become the property of Government in default of heirs) clandestinely or unlawfully transferred by false sale or other transaction concocted for the purpose.

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]