[illegible] gister Village of Amúr No. 66 Taluq of Pallikonda

Regr No. 45
Sheet No. 98

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
			According to Payimash Land		Assesst	Assesst according to revision rates													
1	Personal	Dryland No. 198	R-A-C 5-11-4	Acres 7.54	R-A-P 17-1-9	R-A-P 14-4-2	For the Support of the family	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	Documents Tasildár's Tákid of the year 1824 — 1 This was to register the names of the men in F. 1255 — on the death of their father in F. 1229 — The old documents & Bogavatta are said to have been stolen away about 15 years ago —	Ágiya tharakar	In F1215 Rághavén-dra Chári Son R-A-C 6-2-0 F1223 the same R-A-C 6-2-0	In F1233 Rághavén-dra Chári R-A-C 5-11-4 F1255 Narasimha Chári Kristna Chári Ramal Chári Sons R-A-C 6-2-0 F1269 Rághavén-dra Chári Maniem R-A-C 6-2-0	Tuttipattu 1 Narasimha Chári 50 years 2 Ráma Chári 45 years 3 Kishtna Chári 40 years	Grandson to the man in Col: 13 Do Do	4 Sons 1 Son 1. Daughter	To be confirmed in the [illegible] — 2 R = 1-12-0 at ½ of assest agreed to — [illegible] Offg [illegible] 3rd Sept/60	confirmed [illegible] 27th Oct T-D-248 [illegible]
			According to Payimash Land		Assesst	Assesst according to revision rates.													
2	Do	Dryland No. 421	R-A-C 3-10-12	Acres 4.89	R-A-P 11-0-3	R-A-P 9-2-11	For the Support of the family	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	Bogavatta of F1211 — 1 ~~Persian~~ Takid of F1216 — 1 Másúl chits of F1217 & 1252 4 It would appear that this Inam was originally granted for reading Panchangam or Calendar — there was no restriction — it was nominal — the [illegible] has been for upwards of 50 years & is such — it [illegible] it as Maniem — but [illegible]	~~Unknown~~ Kamakshi Bhattar —	In F1215 Kamatchi Chotta R-A-C 3-1-0 F1223 Panchangam Chengam Chattar Grandson R-A-C 3-1-0	In F1233 Subba Chattu adopted son or daughter's son to the man in F1223 R-A-C 3-10-12 F1255 the same R-A-C 3-1-0 F1269 Panchanga Maniem R-A-C 3-1-0	Gadámúr Subba Chattu 55 years No. 1 has an elder brother who enjoys the Natural property exclusively — he being allowed to enjoy this as the adopted son in this family —	4th Descendant from the man in F1215 in Col: 14	1 Son 1 Daughter	To be confirmed 2 R = 1 Rs [illegible] ½ of assest Charge [illegible] [illegible] Offg [illegible] 4th Sept/60	T.D. No. 249 confirmed [illegible] 27th Oct [illegible]

45 Amúr (continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	7	18	19	20	
3	Dévastanam	Dryland No 278 Wet No 56	According to Payimásh Land K A C 0-9-0 0-4-12 0-13-12	Acres 0·75 0·40 1·15	Assesst R A P 1-11-0 5-6-10 7-1-10	Assesst according to revision rates R A P 1-6-6 3-4-3 4-10-9	For the Support of the Temple	Tax free	Permanent So long as the temple	Not known	No Documents –	Gráma Dévadé Yellammal	In F1215 Venkata Manager of Yellammal K A C 0-12-0 F1223 Pápa son & Manager of Do K A C 0-12-0	In F1233 Yellammal Tarabadi Maniem K A C 0-13-12 F1255 Parasuráma son Manager of Yellammal K A C 0-12-0 F1269 Grámadévadé Yellammál Kovil Maniem K A C 0-12-0	Amúr Grámadévadé Yellammál Kovil –	–	Present manager Pujáli Venkataramada Brother of the man in F. 1255 Regr No 145 Title No 98	–	To be confirmed – 3d Sept/60 Dep. Collr	confirmed 27th acts T.D. No 250
4	Personal	Dryland No 407 Wet No 91	According to Payimásh Land K A C 2-12-12 0-13-4 3-10-0	Acres 3·71 1·11 4·82	Assesst R A P 8-6-3 15-2-3 23-8-6	Assesst according to revision rates R A P 6-15-11 9-1-9 16-1-8	For the Support of the family	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	Tasildár's Tákid of the year 1826 – 1 This was to register the name of the adopted son to the [illegible]	Appava-dháni	In F1215 Appava dhani K A C 3-15-4 F1223 Sankara & Sravati ~~Somayaji~~ Great Grandson K A C 3-15-4	In F1233 Appava dhánis Maniem K A C 3-10-0 F1255 name illegible [illegible] K A C 3-15-4 F1269 Appava-dhánis Maniem K A C 3-15-4	Amúr Krishtnapuram Venkatráma Sómayaji 40 years or adopted son of the man in F. 1223	4 Descendant from the party in col: 13	3 Sons The other share-holders members of this family have their Inam in other villages belonging to this said family–	~~To be Confirmed –~~ 2·R = 2 Rent [illegible] asst agreed to– 3d Sept/60 Dep. Collr	confirmed 27 acts T.D. No 251
5	Do	Wet No 75	According to Payimásh Land K A C 0-10-8	Acres 0·87	Assesst R A P 11-15-10	Assesst according to revision rates R A P 7-3-6	Do	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	Tákid of F1211 – 1 Tákid of F1221 – 1 & 1233 – 1 Registered Tákid of F1232 – 1 Bógaratta of F1232 – 1 Tákid of F1232 – 1 These documents show the continuation of the Inam in the family–	Vitthata Chári	In F1215 Sésha Chári Grandson K A C 0-14-8 F1223 the same K A C 0-14-8	In F1233 Srinivása Chári son of the man in F1215. K A C 0-10-8 F1255 the same K A C 0-14-8 F1269 Srinivása Chári Maniem K A C 0-14-8	Tuttippatta Srinivása Chári 85 years	Great Grandson to the man in Col: 13	2 Sons The old man's son Seshachala appeared for the settlement –	To be confirmed – 2·R = 14 Asst ½ of asst agreed to– 3d Sept/60 Dep. Collr 2 – 14 –	T.D. No 25 confirmed 27th act 14

4.46
2.38
6.84
27-15-11

Amur (continued) Sattaconda taluq

1 2 3 4 Do Sheet 5 6 7 8

Sheet No 99. Rept No 45 – Amur Village Vellore Taluq

6 ~~Personal~~ Mattam

According to Payimash: Land, Dryland No 437 1-3-0, Acres 1·58 —

Assesst according to revision rates. R-A-P 2-15-6

Taafree. For the Support of the ~~family~~ Mattam or Byyi's Chuttry

~~Here-ditary~~ Dy [illegible] as long as the Mattam is kept up –

Not known

No Documents

Macayan —

In F1233 Macayan Matham

K-A-C 1-3-0

F1269 Macayan Matham

K-A-C 1-3-0

Amur

1 Chennagan 45 years — Grandson to the man in col: 13 — 1 Son

2 Macayan 25 years — Do — Wife.

The keepers of the Mattam are the priests of the Canarese people – A portion 0-11-4 only of the Inam is under Cultivation – the rest is occupied by the Mattam & its premises –

This is a Ponbok Inam – it does not appear in any of the Inamati accounts – for the first time, it is entered in the Payimash account of F. 1233 – but the present holders state ✕ that their family have been in its enjoyment for more than 50 years – tho' they could produce no documents for support – this very unsatisfactory but the attempt to know the origin of this Inam – but the enjoyment by the Mattam keepers since F. 1233 is doubtless – the Gazetteer states that no proper or regular account was kept of the Inams of Ponbok attached to Mattams Chuttry &c – previous to the Payimash effected in F. 1233 –

To be Confirmed – but as the Inam does not appear to have been granted by proper authorities – it is subject to a charge of quit rent – Again there is a probability of its age being more than 50 years – ¼ would be fair –

∴ 2·R = 12 As. [illegible]

[illegible] Dep Colr 7th Sept /60

Being for a chuttry it is cut free of [illegible] 1 Atl

S.D. No 419

---

7 Do Tomb

According to Payimash: Dryland No 483 5-13-0 Acres 7·68

Assesst according to the revision rates R-A-P 34-14-0

Do for the support of the Tomb

Taafree

Heredi-tary Dy as long as the Tomb is kept up –

Not known

No Documents

Ata Hullasha

In F1233 Ata Hullasha tomb Maniem

K-A-C 5-13-0

F1269 the same

K-A-C 5-13-0

Trichinapali 1 Nurulla Asharaf 26 years	4th Descendant from the party in col: 13		—
Amur 2 Sayithabi 16 years	Grandson's Granddaughter		—
3 Amirbi 13 years	Do		—
4 Thathibi 10 years	Do		—
5 Hayezulla Asharaff 60 years	3rd Descendant		—
6 Bachaba 13 years	4th Descendant		—
7 Ibadulla Asharaf 40 years	Great Grandson	2 Sons	
8 Babiyan 65 years	Do	3 Sons 1 Daughter	
9 Madinsa 50 years	Do	1 Son 2 Daughters	
10 Dastagirsa 30 years	Do	Mother	
11 Asuthulla Asharaf 12 years	Do	Do	
12 Khuthavathulla asharaf 8 years	Do	Mother	
13 Buddanbi 10 years	Grandson's Grand daughter	—	
14 Achamiya 45 years	Great Grandson	Wife	
15 Azizulla asharaf 13 years	Do	Mother	
16 Asadulla asharaf 11 years	Do	Mother	
17 Hussenbi 8 years	Grandson's Grand daughter	Mother	
18 Barkatulla 4 years	Grandson's Daughter's Son	Mother	
19 Ahamadsa 23 years	Great Grandson	1 S	

This is a Ponbok Uncert Inam – It does not therefore appear in any of the Inamati accounts – for the first time – it is seen entered in the account of F. 1233 – nor could the Inamdars produce documents or other evidence to prove that it is of longer date – [illegible] tho' it suggests

✕ the death of Ata (in Col: 15) Hullasha for whom the tomb was erected – to the present time – corroborates the probability that the Inam is old – I would therefore charge the intermediate quit rent – (⅙ of assest) [illegible]

To be Confirmed in one Dep

R-A-P

2·R = 8-0 quit rent

¼ Assest Charged

[illegible]

Dep Colr

10th Sept /60

confirmed as [illegible] [illegible] 1 Atl

S.D. No 420

Rept No 45

Sheet No 99

Pa[illegible]da taluq

Amúr (continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16–17	18	19	20	21
			According to Payimash Land R A C	Acres	Assest R A P	Assest according to revision rates R A P													
8	Personal	Dryland No 199	4-10-4	6.18	13-14-9	11-9-8	For the Support of the family	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	No documents produced — they are said to be with the cousins of the present holder	Ramalinga Ayar	In F1215 Vayideyar Kuppayar 4th descendant R A C 6-6-0 F1223 the same R A C 6-6-0	In F1233 Vayidiyar Kuppayar the same in F1223 Raghunayakulu 3rd descendant R A C 6-7-12 F1255 Kuppayar Maniem Ramayar Sitaramayar Gopalayar Sons of the man in F1223 Subbayar R A C 6-6-0 F12.69 Vayidiya Maniem R A C 6-6-0	Enamdar Subbayar 45 years	4th Descendant from the party in Col: 13 or son of the Raghunayakulu in F. 1233	2 Sons	The cousins of the present holder or the sons of Kuppayar in F. 1233 — have their shares in Gorentla taluq in the same wholly transferred to them according to agreement or conditions of a division effected about 3 years ago — The Maniyagar states that ~~the~~ No 1 is the only man that enjoys the Inam since 3 years — ~~the~~ No 1 has given a statement well authenticated — that none shares with him in this Inam —	To be confirmed — Q.R. = 4 Rs at 1/8 Assest apptd — [illegible] 3rd Sept/60 Dy Coll The cousins alluded to there — have not appeared before me — W.
		Wet No 20 26/27	1-13-8	2.46	33-10-10	20-4-6													
			6-7-12	8.64	47-9-7	31-14-2													
			According to Payimash Land R A C	Acres	Assest R A P	Assest according to revision rates R A P													
	Do	Dryland No 274	3-0-0	4.0	13-15-2	9-0-0	Do	Tax free	Hereditary	Not known	Persian Parvana of F1167 — 1 Persian Mahazarnama of 1187 Hijiri — 1 2 1 These documents produced in support of the validity of the Inam — show clearly that this family have been enjoying it without any interruption —	Mir Mahommed Hayath Darvesh	In F1215 Hatman Sayidani Bibi Daughter R A C 3-1-0 F1223 the same R A C 3-1-0	In F1233 Hatman Sayidani Bibi the same in F1223 R A C F1255 Mishkinsha & Bibisha Grandson's wives to the man in F1215 R A C 3-1-0 F1269 Hatman Sayidani Bibi Maniem R A C 3-1-0	Amúr 1 Bibisha 60 years 2 Mishkinsha 50 years 3 Syed Jamál 40 years 4 Syed Khádar 13 years 5 Syed Madár 9 years	Daughter's sons Grandson's wife to the man in col: 13 Do 5th Descendant from the party in Col: 13 6th Descendant Do	No children 3 Sons Mother Do	Nos 1 & 2 live together — No 3 lives separately — Nos 4 & 5 live together but all enjoy the proceeds according to shares — they have not divided the Inam land —	To be confirmed in one deed — Q.R. = 1 Rs at 1/8 of Assest apptd — [illegible] 3rd Sept/60 Dy Coll
			11.11 2.46 13.57																
10	[illegible]	Dryland No 480	According to Payimash Land R A P 0-11-4	Acres 0.93	Assest —	Assest according to revision rates R A P 4-3-6	[illegible]	Tax free	~~Hereditary~~	Not known	No Documents This is what is called [illegible] Inam — Its origin is unknown — but the first time [illegible] in the account of F. 1233 — [illegible]	Mir Mahommed Hayath Darvesh		In F1233 Hatman Sayidani Bibi R A C 0-11-4 F1269 the same R A C 0-11-4	Amúr 1 Bibisha 60 years 2 Mishkinsha 50 years Do 3 Syed Jamál 40 years 4 Syed Khádar 13 years 5 Syed Madár 9 years	Daughter's sons Grandson's wife to the man in Col: 13 5th Descendant to Do Do 6th descendant	3 Sons Mother Do		To be confirmed in one deed — For the reasons stated in case No 6 of this village — however the income being [illegible] Q.R. = 1 Rs at 1/4 of a [illegible] 1-0-0 [illegible] 7th Sept/60 Dy Coll

I.D. No 416

Conf

1 At

V.D.

I.D. No 417

Conf

1 At

V.D.

I.D. No 418

Conf

1 At

Taluq

Amúr (Continued)
3rd Sheet

N.A.

North Arcot Dt., Amur village Pallikonda or Vellore Taluq

Regr. No. 45
Sheet No. 100

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16–17	18	19	20	21	22
		According to Payimash Land R A C	Acres	Assesst R A P	Assesst according to revision rates R A P														
Personal	Wet No. 44. 45-57.	1-11-0	2.25	26-6-5	16-0-9	For the support of the family	Taxfree	Hereditary	Not known	No Documents – The present holder states that he has no docts [illegible] nor does he remember that there were any relating to this Inam –	Gópálayar	Sn. F. 1215 Venkatésa Ayar Son R A C 1-12-8 F. 1223 Venkatésa Ayar Son R A C 1-12-8	F. 1233 Venkatésayar Son Arunáchalayar Son R A C 1-11-0 F. 1255 Venkatésa Ayar ~~Great grandson~~ Same as in F. 1223 R A C 1-12-8 F. 1269 Venkatésayar mániem R A C 1-12-8	Timmampéta 1. Venkatésayar age 30	Great grandson to the man in Col. 13.	Wife.		To be Conf.d – 2 R = 2 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Depy Collr 17th Septr /60	T.D. No 516 – conf [illegible] 1 Apl gr [illegible]
		According to Payimash Land R A C	Acres	Assesst R A P	Assesst according to revision rates R A P														
Dévattanam	Wet No 58	0-2-12	0.23	3-2-9	1-14-3	For the support of the Temple	Taxfree	Permanent so long as the Temple is kept up.	Not known	No Documents.	Hanumantharáyasawmi.	F. 1215 Hanumantharáyaswámi mániem R A C 0-2-12 F. 1223 Hanumantharáyaswami mániem Pújáli Anantan R A C 0-2-12	F. 1233 Hanumantharayaswami. mániem R A C 0-2-12 F. 1255 Hanumantharayaswami manager Sanjivi Son R A C 0-2-12 F. 1269 Hanumantharayaswamiyar maniem R A C 0-2-12.	Amúr Hanumantharáya Swami Kóvil		Pent. manager Pujáli Chennappa – on behalf of Nachárámma latter's mother of Sanjivi in F. 1255 who died childless about 6 months ago –		To be Conf.d – [illegible] Depy Collr 12th Septr /60	conf [illegible] 1 Apl T.D. No 519 – gr [illegible]
		According to Payimash Land R A C	Acres	Assesst R A P	Assesst according to revision rates R A P														
Personal	Wet No 73	0-13-8	1.13	15-5-7	9-4-6	For the support of the family	Taxfree	Hereditary	Not known	No Documents None of the accounts could show that this Inam was originally granted for the purpose of reading the village [illegible] — But the man in F. 122[illegible] [illegible]	Kamátchi Bhattar.	F. 1215 Chengam Bhattar grandson R A C 0-14-4 F. 1223 the same R A C 0-14-4	F. 1233 Rámá Bhattar son of Chengam Bhattar R A C 0-13-8 F. 1255 Rámá Bhattar Great grandson [illegible] R A C 0-14-4 F. 1269 Panchangam [illegible]	Amúr Krishnápuram. 1. Subbá Bhattar age 30.	4th Descendant from the original grantee.	Wife. X all these Vaidikas read panchangam and live upon it. I do not think [illegible] was obligatory or it was [illegible]		To be Conf – 2 2 R = ~~1-4~~ [illegible] 1/8 Assesst	T.D. No 518 conf [illegible]

27, 3 6

Amír (continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
14	Service	Dryland No. 271-272 Wet No. 142	According to Payimash Land K. A. C. Acres 5-9-4 7.38 3-„-12 4.02 8-10-„ 11.40	Assessment R. A. P. 25-14-11 55-10-6 81-9-5	Assessment according to revision rates R. A. P. 16-11-9 33-8-3 50-4-„	For doing services in the times of Marriage	Taxfree	Permanent so long as the services are continued	~~Not k~~ by Mokalikhan fattah of Gadambur	A. B. C.	Persian Sanad of ~~F~~ 1170 — 1 Persian Hayalkami of F 1207 — 1 Takid of the Tasildar registering the names of the Inamdars of the year 1850 — 1 3	Kázi Mahammed Isaf	In F 1215 Mahammed Abdulkádar son K. A. C. 9-11-„ F. 1223 Gulám Hayidar son K. A. C. 9-11-„	In F 1233 Kázi Mahammed Isaf sanad maniem K. A. C. 8-10-„ F 1255 Ahammad Sahib. Shékmohadin Mahammed Musafar. K. A. C. 9-11-„ F 1269 Kázi Mahammed Isaf maniem K. A. C. 9-11-„	Gadámúr 1. Gulám Hayidar age 55 Beriyánkuppam 2. Gulám Mohadin aged 50 3 Abdulkhádar aged 45 Gadámúr 4. Mahammed Isaf age 16 5 Abdulkádar age 12 6. Sultán mohadin age 35 7 Shek mohadin age 40 8. Mahammed Ibráhim age 35 9. Shékbuddan age 30	Grandson to the man in col. 13. Do Do. Great grandson to do Do. Grandson to do Do Do. Do.	4 Sons 1. Son 2 Daughters — — 1 Son 2 Sons 2 Sons 1 Son

The service of Kazi is rendered – his office & appointed by Govt. officer – ~~the~~ Kazi of the village of Gadamur – for the services he enjoys this Inam besides fees on authority –

1. According to document A. this Inam wd. appear to be Personal – granted for the support of the grantee for life –

2. According to document B, it wd. appear to be for service to be rendered in the Mosque – situated in Amur – ~~[illegible]~~ & not in this village – so that document cannot be considered valid in regard to this Inam –

3. According to document C – the Inam was [illegible] by the Collr's office as one of service –

The extent in the Sanad produced amounts to 4 K – but this is according to a certain standard of measurement – ~~[illegible]~~ it comes to the present extent of Kanies owing to a smaller standard of measurement without affecting the limit of the original land –

To be Confirmed –

W. [illegible]
Depy Collr
18th Sept/60

No. 7 sets forth his claim for half of the Inam – which No. 1 denies – this could be more properly & legally settled before the judicial court – but the Authorities are of opinion that the individual who renders the service (in this case No. 1) shd. be allowed the full enjoyment of the Inam – this must be [illegible] as opportunities occur of lapses of share holders –

Confirmed as service Inam
[illegible]
Collector
1 [illegible]

T.D.
T.D.N 519

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
15	Personal	Dryland No. 248 & 93	According to Payimash Land K. A. C. Acres 9-3-12 ~~[illegible]~~ 12.17	Assessment R. A. P. 42-14-9	Assessment according to revision rates R. A. P. 27-11-3	For the support of the family	Taxfree	Hereditary	Not known		Takid of the Tasildar registering the names of the Inamdars in the year 1850 — 1	Bábulláshá Fakir	F 1215 Bábulláshá Fakir Sanad maniem K. A. C. 9-3-„ F 1223 Shákir allisha Greatgrandson K. A. C. 9-3-„	In F 1233 Bábulláshá Fakir Sanad maniem K. A. C. 9-3-12 F 1255 Shekunder allisha. Syed Buddan Syed Jilál K. A. C. 9-3-„ F 1269 Bábulláshá Fakir maniem K. A. C. 9-3-„	Amír 1. Buddan allisha aged 60 2. Syed Imám aged 50 3 Syed Kamarodin age 30 4. Syed Khádar age 20 5 Syed Jalál age 24. 6 Hésibi age 18. 7 Batchabi age 16. 8. Syedábi age 15 9 Abdulnabi age 40. 10. Syed Tippu age 30 11. Syed Sultán age 15 12 Syed Nadir age 8.	4th Descendant from the original grantee. Do. Do. Do. Do. Grandsons Grand daughter to do Do. Do. 4th Descendant to do. 5th Do. Do. Do.	3. Daughters 3 Sons 2 Sons — — 3 Sons 1 Son 1 Daughter 4 Sons. 2 Sons — —

No. 5 disputes the right of the other share holders as having no claim whatever to the Inam – they being descendants in an illegal connection – he further adds that while he was young – they managed to have their names entered in the accounts – & to take possession of portions of the land – the Complainant is of 24 years of age – he does not appear to have preferred any charge of the kind before any of the authorities previous to this – the opponents are in possession of the shares of the [illegible] for the last at least 15 years – as accounts show – it can't be disturbed [illegible]

To be Confirmed in [illegible] –

Q. R. = 3-8-„ at ½ Assessment
Chargeable

W. [illegible]
Depy Collr
13th Sept/60

Confirmed as Personal as recommended
Collector
1 [illegible]

7 D.C.
T.D.N 520

The mother of No. 12 complained that her share was not regularly given by the others – On enquiry it was found that her share consists of ⅓ of ½ (half) ~~[illegible]~~ of the Inam – [illegible] have settled [illegible] [illegible]

The accounts & documents show that this Inam is Personal – but No. 10 advocates it to be for service – on the score that he has certain religious place at which he performs certain service – this can't be the reason – to be taken – for almost all Mussulmans whether they have Inams or not have some religious buildings [illegible] ceremonies – ~~[illegible]~~ the party asserting the latter – thinking that to call the Inam (Nashroothi) service till [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ it were permanent [illegible] the last 50 years enjoyed as Personal in

Appendix (Continued) 4th Sheet

Pallikonda Taluk N. Arcot Dt.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9					14	15				
			According to Paimash Land K A G	Acres	Assessment R A P	Assessment according to revision rates R A P												
16	Service in the Mosque	Dryland No. 518. Wet No. 15	2-10-0 1-5-12 3-15-12	3.50 1.81 5.31	12-3-3 11-0-1 23-3-4	7-14-0 8-13-5 16-11-5	For doing services in the Mosque i.e. perfg the calling prayer on every evening	Taxfree	Permanent so long as the services are continued	Not known	Takid of the Tahsildar registering the names of Inamdars of the year 1850-1 This determines that the Inam is one of service	Kázi Shékmíra	F1215 Kázi Shékmíra K A G 4-13-8 F1223 Mahammed Husen son K A G 4-13-8	F1233 Kázimíra Sanad maniem K A G 3-15-12 F1255 Mahammed Isaf. Mahammed Siléman Great grandson K A G 4-13-8 F1269 adangal account Kázi Shékmíra maniem K A G 4-13-8	Pétámír 1 Mahammed Kásim age 35 2. Mahammed Sáhib age 30 Narasingarayapéta 3. Mahammed Isaf age 25 4. Mahammed Siléman age 12 Pétámír 5. Mahammed Kásim age 50	Great Grandson to the man in Col 13. Do. Do. Do. Grandson to do.	Wife Do. — Mother —	To be confirmed in Inam - [illegible] Dy. Colr 10th Sept 60 confirmed [illegible] T.D. No 246
			According to Paimash Land K A G	Acres	Assessment R A P	Assessment according to revision rates R A P												
17	Personal	Dry No. 456 K 4-8-6	2-6-12	3.24	11-3-11	7-4-3	For the support of the Family	Taxfree	For one life	Not known.	Tahsildar's Takid of the year 1851-1. Parvána of Hijiri 1200 — 1	Niragen masthan.	F1215 Masthan Fakir Sanad maniem son K A G 9-3-0 F1223 Hithayath Hussen Great grandson to original grantee K A G 9-3-0	F1233 Masthan Fakir Sanad maniem K A G 2-6-12 F1255 Hithayath Hussen Great grandson K A G 9-3-0 F1269 adangal account Masthan Fakir Sanad maniem K A G 2-6-12	Amir 1 Hassen Khan age 15 2. Kijeskhán age 25 3. Mannékhán age 18	Great grandson Do. Do.	2 sons Mother	To be confirmed 2 R 3-8 1/2 Assmt Apd. Dy. Colr 15th Oct 60 confirmed [illegible] 17th Oct T.D. No 247

One Shek Mohaddin complains that the individuals in Col:16 do not in person perform the service - but that they have engaged a gumasta in one Gulam Hayder who he said never did the duty - but on enquiry it was found that the agent ~~[illegible]~~ has been regularly performing the services -

Reg. No 45
Sheet No 101

This Inam was subsequently determined to be for life only by the Rev. Bd. -

On a consideration of the ages of these members & [illegible] right of Govt, I recommend that it should be granted on half assessment - to which the parties agree -

1.74
1.81
4.55

23-15-8

3-8

No. 75 Amur (continued) Pallikonda

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Statement shewing the Inams in the Village of Amur Pallikonda Taluq 15 October 1860

Description of Inam	Dryland				Wet				Garden				Total Kanies			Total Acreage	Total assesst			Total Quit rent & Kattubadi				Compulsory		Optional			
	K	A	C	Acres	K	A	C	Acres	K	A	C	Acres	K	A	C	Acres	R	A	P	Former		Newly proposed		R	A	agreed		Declined	
Devastanam	10	14	14	14·44	1	13	4	2·44	0	0	0		12	11	8	16·88	65	5	5	0	0	10	4	10	4	0	0	0	0
Personal	31	7	8	41·79	5	13	12	7·82	0	0	0	0	37	5	4	49·61	147	15	2	0	0	20	14	0	0	20	14	0	0
Kaji Service	5	9	4	7·38	3	0	12	4·02	0	0	0	0	8	10	0	11·40	50	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	47	15	0	63·61	10	11	12	14·28	0	0	0	0	58	10	12	77·89	263	8	7	0	0	31	2	10	4	20	14	0	0

None of the Inams of this Village are omitted in the Register nor are any of the Inams (which, having by reason of death, absence, poverty or other causes been abandoned, should more properly become the property of Government in default of heirs) clandestinely or unlawfully transferred by false sale or other transaction concocted for the purpose.

Depy Colr

~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]