

Read Letter from the Collector of North
Arcot dated 20th May 1873 with Enclosure

The evidence in this case is exceedingly
conflicting.

The old accounts and Registrar's
decrees (1834) clearly support the right of
Moiden Sahib to ~~the~~ registration to the
exclusion of the descendants of the
2^d disciple, Dilbersha, (one entry
respecting Kallur only excepted).
The local evidence as respects common
right and equal title is as conclusive
by the other way. Monagas &c depose
that all four persons do the duty and
equally enjoy the Sham.

I am not prepared however
to trust the oral testimony in the teeth
of the more reliable documentary and
ancient evidence.

The names of Murad Beg and
Musa Beg must therefore be erased from
the Register recently prepared without
prejudice to any right or enjoyment
which may be established in a Court
of Law!

J. D. M. S.

Sham Commissioner

21st July 1873.

Read letter from the Secretary of
North West dated 21st May 1873
with enclosures.

The evidence in this case is
exceedingly conflicting.

The old accounts and Registrars
decrees (1834) clearly support the rights
of Moideen Sahib to registration to the
exclusion of the descendants of the
3 disciples, Dillarsha, (one entry respecting
Kallian only excerpted). The local
evidence as respects common rights and
equal title is so conclusively the other
way. Murad's &c. deposes that all
four persons do the duty and equally
enjoy the share.

I am not prepared however
to treat the oral testimony in the
light of the more reliable documentary
and ancient evidence.

The names of Murad Beg
and Hussa Beg must therefore
be erased from the Register
recently

and to preserve unto me justice
to any right or enjoyment which
may be established in a Court
of Law.

J. W. Mason

21st July 1873.

Chimney