

Sheet ~~66~~ 1027

Register No. 888

II The Trustee pleaded that one "Rarichy Wansel" consisting of 168 Acre^s, in the Hamlet of the Village and
within the limits of the antient or the Account of F7246 & that the Hamlet being a portion of that Village, the 168 Acre^s of Dry, so partly waste & partly under cultivation,
should be registered in the name of the Temple from a Taluk issued by the Collector on the 22 April 1838 to the Agents of the Taluk of Annuravaty ~~that~~ It appears
that the Zamindar granted the "Rarichy Wansel" on Darumassam Coule to one Ranna Swamy Pharyat, ^{in AD 1839-40} that the Coule was annulled & the Hamlet or Wansel was
transferred to the Temple in the same year on a complaint preferred thereby the
Trustee - (The next entry)
Confirmed in present Rule III. This area of

Punya (kurkum) — 320 — 516-80.
Waste (Ls) — 700 — 692-0

1020 — 1208-80
Total 1208-80

Nagara =
 Konil
 Sluppaga
 = di
 area is
none
 In 17th
 1263
 Diwadinyu
 Sluppaga
 = di Konil
Sluppaga
 Panga
 Virayadi
 25—00
 A Co
 17—00
 In Reg
 of A. S.
 1815
 Sluppa
 roundi

transferred to the people in the same manner as the
Grand Fattah the present Trustee - (vide next page)
To be confirmed under Rule III. Confirmed in present
form - this area of 16
Hassan one Ten free a as has been
Jumma. Dec. 12-66 "Kurish
properly excluded
from the Settlement
as it was granted
subsequent to the
Permanent Settlement
1784/85

726
2.00
944

& that in A.D. 1838 the Grand Jethay of the Trustee having preferred a complaint to the Collector stating that ^{the} ~~some of the~~ fowlesar Raminaswamy Bhieraty is permitting him from appropriating the proceeds of the Temple & that upon this the Collector instituted an enquiry through the Barmen & Amravathy Taluk & conferred at the said Barvel upon the Temple. From the Report on which the Collector based his decision or taken ~~then~~ it appears that this Raminaswamy Bhieraty was granted about A.D. 1833 & 1834 - in consequence to the permanent Settlement - to the Temple. The Rang's Talukal pleads that this Ghissel was granted subsequently to the Permanent Settlement as appears by the Proceedings held by the Collector. Hence the oney Raminaswamy Ghissel is not added to the area of the Village - under settlement. The Trustee himself admits that the lands of Raminaswamy Ghissel are not situated within the limits inserted in the account of A.D. 1764 for the Village of Mungunoy under settlement.

III The average income of the Village is 2825 Rupees per annum as per statement of the Trustees. There is a fair income of Rs. 2000 per annum from the land.

Annals
20784.

Edward Ross Jr