

Note The Trustee is to follow Madama Chinala's
 opinion & Tundareswarer
 it is conveyed to
 where they ~~are~~ ^{are} going to the
 streets & this is also said.
 Due in the Maratta Account
 of 17213 as one of the objects of

I There are the 3 Villages in the zemisary of Perungudi - These were granted by Rana Pongya Rajah for the support of Tirugayana Sammandam -
- Pondarum Matham at Madure. These are permanent grants - No Pottu - The Matham is efficiently kept up - In the Matham the Duty of Slander
- The Meevatchy Sankaravaram is worshipped daily - Gurus Pujah & all other Pujahs are made once in a year - Daily several Pondsams are
- are fed in the Matham - These Villages are entered in the name of the Matham in all the accounts - The object of the grant is fulfilled by doing
the above things - No Talk was about the area or about the boundary line on the part of the Rang's Vakeel - In examining the boundaries of these Villages,
inserted in the account of 1726 ~~which~~ I found that Pudukkottai, one of the 3 Villages under settlement, ~~which~~ is bounded by some of the Villages in
the Goud Taluk of Madurai & that the boundary line ~~given~~ stated to be between the Village of Pudukkottai & the Goud Villages is not discernible
because it is said that the line runs through the stones removed & concealed by the ryots of the Goud Villages - I summoned the Village officers
- of the Goud Villages to enguire them & define the boundary line, but they failed to appear though I waited for them for months -
- This I found ^{to be} attributable to the influence of the Trustees of the Matham - Some time after this, the Vakeel of the Trustee appeared before me
& stated that the ryots or Village officers of the adjoining Goud Villages refused to attend this office by reason that their lands were not in any

As per ac of H 1211 — *the ground is in*
 Kanya (Virayadi) — 297 1-4 202 38 *about*
 297 1-4 147 38 *more*
 499 12-2 249 91
 Kanya (Kushnaw) — 44 — 44 — 44
 2597 11 1654 32
 2641 — 1479 96
 1828 87
 E d u c t the lands proved to belong
 to the Government Village of —
 Kallur, at an investigation held
 by the Collector of the District
 Kanya (Virayadi) — 409 9 328 18
 Kanya (Kushnaw) — 116 18 60 03
 43 57
 Remainder
 Kanya (Virayadi) — 459 24 321 43
 Kanya (Kushnaw) — 2524 78 1413 93
 1735 36
 Deduct from 100% —
 Temple site (Kushnaw) 3 — 1 68
 Village site — (Do) — 22 — 12 32
 Bed of Tank — (Do) — 697 — 390 32
 Pond — (Do) — 8 — 4 16
 Patto — (Do) — 17 — 9 52
 Threshing ground (Do) — 4 — 2 24
 Burial ground (Do) — 2 — 1 18
 Channels — (Do) — 12 — 6 72
 Rocky place — (Do) — 33 — 18 48
 799 — 447 44

Remainder 1287-92

Sedona & Service Indian —

Panja (Virayadi) — 27-11-1/2 19-46

Panja (Kacham) — 44 — 24-64

44-10

Remainder 1243-82

Panja (Virayadi) — 177-10-5 124-44

Waste — (Do) — 83-7-3/4 58-48

261-4-2 182-92

Excess Do — (Do) — 170-1-1/4 119-05

431-5-2 301-97

Panja (Kacham) — 594 7/8 333-13

Partly waste (Do) — 1087 — 608-72

Total 1681 7/8 941-85

Grand Total 1243-82

Shaw 1474

[illegible]

One Polter is
recommended -

(Wise men's words)
 To be confirmed to the
 Mattam in 1816
 for all the 3
 villages named
 in the Register.
 Wm
 49-128 15/2/60
 1573

Swanburne Road
 Rushmore
 13-9-84.

7 Palayan Gharat
 Gilam La Villere
 1991 = 2 Tada
 = Kilaad Katar
 lair 3 Palayan
 1 Tada Katar
 too Nulan
 area Simghit
 moue yasa
 Pandorom

IV As it appears from an enclosure of the collector's letter dated 12 August /64 N° 825 just entered above, that the ~~dispute of the boundaries~~ ^{the following} ~~the following~~ ^{the following} lands forming the boundary dispute between the Ayer Village Nallur & the two Inam Villages of Pusukotai & Valayangolam - out of the 3 under settlement, consist of the following items -

Sheet No. 1475

	Nangal -	Pungul -	Total -
1 Lands cultivated by the ryots of the Ayer Nallur Village - or Pond Nallur Village	3-6-3	3-1	6-5-3
1 Lands cultivated by the Trustees of the Mattam	0-8-1	5-8-3	5-13
1 Lands cultivated by ryots of Valayangolam	0-0-0	0-0-0	0-0-0
1 Balance waste	17-14	40-8-1-58	6-1
	Total Rannies		70-9-0

as per collector's calculation - 119-5-0

& that these lands ~~have~~ ^{been} added to the Ayer Village of Nallur, the same is deducted from the Ayer of the 3 Villages under settlement which is given brought on the Register on lump sum of the boundaries as corrected by the collector are confirmed here & the 1735 Acre & 38 fents situated within the paper boundaries of the Villages under settlement are registered here - the boundaries of ~~the Ayer of Nallur~~ ^{the Ayer of Nallur} & Valayangolam & Yarakkalavallur correspond to those given in the Account of 1726 - the 70 Rannies & 9 Fents, incorporated with the Pond Village of Nallur are equal to 93 Acre & 51 fents - - - - -

V - - - The 3 Villages of Pusukotai, Yarakkalavallur, & Valayangolam are brought on one & the same Register as the Ayer Area of the same is entered on lump sum all the Accounts as the Villages are situated contiguous to each other & as the Trustees Vakeel entered only one Register for these 3 Villages -

VI Porpoise of Rupees 49728 is paid on lump for the 3 Villages under settlement -

VII - - - The average income of these Villages is 1200 Rupees per annum as per statement of the Vakeel of the Trustees. This is not true - I raise it to 2500 Rupees with reference to the fertility of soil &c

VIII The Mattam & the Villages appertaining thereto are under the management of the Trustees since long - These Trustees or Priest must be always an unmarried man - ^{as the present incumbent}

[Signature]

Runnaker
13-9-64

P. I The Pungul lands with their Ryots are ~~being~~ ^{being} held for the support of the Mattam from time immemorial. The zemindar has no possession of the same since the date of the grant of these Villages. The Rann's Vakeel argued that the Pungul lands were not deducted from the lands upon which the Permanent Settlement was based - It is proved that these lands were deducted from the same. The marginally noted correspondence shows the lands are confirmed to the Mattam - Porpoise of Rupees 49728 are being collected from these immemorial - *[Signature]*

Copy of the boundary Account of 1726, as much as it relates to the Village of Pusukotai is placed with this for the information of the Revenue Officer - *[Signature]*

[Signature]

For Deputy Collector's Office

10-11-64