

1. This is one of the panchams in the Zemindari of Senaganga. It appears from the Zemindar's accounts of a recent date that this was granted for the support of the Kelabharma Raja Kowil in Singanganga. The proceeds of this village are appropriated for the use of the temple from time - immemorial, as appears by the traditions and by the verbal statements of some of the respectable persons of Senaganga. But by some mistake or other, this village is inserted as pancham in the account of Pusli 1211.

This village is not inserted in the subsequent accounts on the ground that the ginsams are not to be interfered with.

An account submitted by the zamindars of A. S. 1800 of the puitan village, this village is entered as the one granted for the support of the temple of Hela barmaraja Koni in 1802-03. As this is proved, it is satisfactory that this village is 5

As per account of F. F. 12/11

Stamp (Chirayadi)	5-0-0	3-50
Excess 50	10-0-0	7-00
	15-0-0	10-50

Sediment Poranulikes	74.00
Bed of Tank Kuantam	20.00 11.20
Pool B.	20.00 1.12
Burial ground B.	20.00 11.20
Threshing ground B.	20.00 1.12

Remainder		24.64
		69.86
Marija Kirayadi		
Cultivations	5-0-0	3.50
Excess B^2 B^2	3-0-0	2.10
B^2 waste B^2	7-0-0	4.90
	15-0-0	10.50

Rumija Kurukam	
Cultivation	60.00 - 33.60
Waste L ^y	46.00 - 25.76
Total	106.00 - 59.36
Grand total	69.86

100-040

the support of Hela Blama Raja
in Suvaanga now efficiently
kept up.
Jodi as per Btminor account 0-9-19
7-15-19

Permanent

The name of painter and the date are unknown.

Account of 7. 12. 11. Maxilla account of
7. 12. 23. Board's Register of A. S. 1815
Boundary account of Musby 1244
ple patta -

No fatta -

At per Lander's statement to Mr. Mar
kell 1. Kettering. Pictari
in 1211. Pictari. Pictari. Pictari.
Kettering 5-10. A.C. 3.50. L. Marcell.
account of 1211. 50. 50.

Volume of 1811

⑤ The Sellers are not in any way related to the person in C^o 13 or the persons in C^o 15. One of the Sers Agomawigan who sold 4 writties to the person in C^o 16 appeared before me and said that his ancestors purchased them ^{or} ago and failed to prove the same. One Sublaiman sold writties in A. S. 1842 to the father in A. S. 1842 of the person ^{no} 7 in C^o 16. Bill of Sale on a plain Cadjan leaf. From this it is evident, that some of the persons in C^o 16 viz., Nos 1 to 8 in C^o 16 members of one family are in possession of a portion of the village. Since long. However, as it is not proved how the Sellers related to the persons in C^o 15 to that C^o 13, I recommended to inform the village upon

the persons in C & L 16 or 1/4 assessed
valuations. IV. Wet lands depend upon one small tank. It is in
repair. It is fed by jungle stream - the wet lands classed
as waste are said to be of low ^{uncultivated} lands. Wet lands are
not cultivated land time. Wet soil is an inferior quality.
Generally. The contiguous area with is Palungam. The
average wet assessment of that will come to 3-13-6 per acre.

The Mahajans say that the improvement
settlement may be placed on par with those of the other lands.
The average income of the village is 18 Rupees per annum. Is not true. In Considera-
tion of the fertility of soil etc. I frame valuation of the village
as follows:—

Acres 11 met at 4 Rupees per a — 44-0-0
Acres $1\frac{3}{4}$ met waste etc, at — 1-0-0
45-0-0

f- A. Indaršana Rao
by Collector, P. C.

14-2-6

Sheet No. 404 (Ex tract)

Register (No.

Register of Inams in the Village of

(No.),

in the Taluk of

in the District of

Name, Rank and Title of Inam				Rank				Description of Inam				Value				Remarks			

