Register of Devadayam Village of Ygediemuricha — and Puliyangolam — in the Taluq of Tirppuvanam of Sivaganga Zamindari

Register No. 1353

Ygediemuricha — Puliyangolam

I This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivaganga. This was granted for the remuneration of the office of Stanikam of the Temple of Sree Meenakshi Amm
& Sundareswarah in Madura. The nature of the service is to Worship the Bodi, Clean the inner parts of the Temple where the Swamy is situated, to lit lights there-
& to attend the Sateheeries when any information is required by the Collectors other authorities regarding the Temple — Again the holders of the office of the "Stanikam"
have the Custody of the Jewels of the Swamy & Ammen — They are responsible for the preservation & or security of the same — The Managers will have to take the cons-
-ent of these, when any extraordinary Charges are to be made — The holders of this Village are punctual in their duties — as reported by the Managers & of the Temple
Hence the grant falls under Rule III Clause one — & it is treated as exempt. The holders of the Village have not any personal interest therein — They are not to perfor-
the Village any way. They are just to hold it as long as they are punctual & able to perform duties satisfactorily —

The average income of the Village is 70 Rupees per annum — This is quite false — The income was reduced to above that sum in consequence of the
pubsidies to 400 Rupees per annum with reference to the fertility of soil &c — (Vide next cover)

Sheet No. 1549

Taluq Rule III Clause one
Can Fee
Zemindari Dept. 25-3-11

Madura Sree Meenakshi Sundareswarah Worshippers

As per zamindari's accts of Fs 1211

Vanja — (Viayade)	79-10-0	55-80					
Cheesp — (Do)	32-13-1	23-06					
	112-9-1	78-86					
Punja — (Kurkam)	14 25/64	8-14					
Cheesp — (Do)	45 —	25-20					
	59 25/64	33-34					
		112-20					
Deduct Poramboke — (Kurkam)	24-0-0	13-44					
Remainder		98-76					
Vanja — (Viayade)	33-10-2	23-62					
Ko-maste (Do)	45-13-4	32-18					
Cheesp Ko — (Do)	32-13-1	23-06					
	112-9-1	78-86					
Punja — (Kurkam)	14 25/64	8-14					
Cheesp — Ko — (Do)	15 —	8-40					
Ko-maste — (Do)	6 —	3-36					
Total	35 25/64	19-90					
Grand Total	98-76						

For the Sodi Pert Service as per Jodi:- Istimi strips, viz the Deshmukh in Madura Sathe Menakshi Sundareswarah Temple Court at Madura

The name of the Village is Ygediemuricha Puliyangolam and Mana endal Pukkolam lump Ko.

Subsequent Karnam 7-6-8

Total 49-13-10 Remuneration

400-0-0

Police Ygediemuricha Sodi Puliyangolam 25-3-11

Acct of Fs 1211 Meenakshi Sundareswarah Boards Grant of Fs 1224 with Boundaries Acct of Fs 1244 Arpakat Acct of Fs 1263 Board Regr Fasli 1815

In Fs 1211 Devadayam Ygediemuricha Puliyangolam area Inam Fs 1244 and Inam Madura Gomukhali Devasthanam Stanikam Vikkiramaiyan 5 Vanja — Sodi — (Viayade) 175-0-0 A C 129-05 Punja — Ygediemuricha Puliyangolam area — Inam (Kurkam) 14 35/64 A C 8-14 Total A C 131-19

In Fs 1224 Devadayam Ygediemuricha Puliyangolam area Inam Fs 1244 and Inam Madura Gomukhali Devasthanam Stanikam Vikkiramaiyan 5 Vanja — Sodi — (Viayade) Bhatter 6 Muttu Sundaram Sivagangai Zamindar 40 Punja — Ygediemuricha Puliyangolam area — Inam (Kurkam) In Fs 1263. 1 A C Inam 8-14 Total A C 131-19 Inam Regr Fasli 1815

In Fs 1224 Devadayam Ygediemuricha Puliyangolam area Inam Fs 1244 and Inam Madura Gomukhali Devasthanam Stanikam Vikkiramaiyan 5 Vanja — Sodi — (Viayade) Bhatter 6 Muttu Sundaram Sivagangai Zamindar 40 Punja — Ygediemuricha Puliyangolam area — Inam (Kurkam) In Fs 1263. 1 A C Inam 8-14 Total A C 131-19 Inam Regr Fasli 1815 Inam Devadayam

Haliyans Batter 52 Suppa Bhatter 45 Villus Bhatter alias Chanda Gadanudaya Bhatter 44 Ponnammal 35

Madura 16-12-11

The whole village Confirmed in pre- tenure. The alie- 1/4th of the whi- Exists at the rece-

15/9/85

Fasli 1499

of Fr 124 Leo Bo—

richan Puliyan golam For the subsistence of Vikkira Pandi— Bhatten of Minakshi Pagoda

III In the English Register of A.D 1815, though the Village is entered under the head of the endowments of the Temple of Minatchy Ammen, it is said therein that this was granted for the subsistence of Vikra Pandy Batter, one of the ancestors of the present holders of the Village. This is not a subsistence grant. This is a service grant & ought to be entered in the said Account of A.D 1815, that Village is entered as Devastanam endowment. Hence the Village is treated under Rule III / case one

IV No Talkarar about the case is about the boundaries on the part of the Rajah's Vakeel

The boundaries now asserted in the permanent settlement correspond with those in the Account of F. 1246. Hence the boundaries as confirmed here & the 112 Acres & 20 Cents situated therein are registered here.

IV One Rangiera Bhutter & Sannakanna Bhutter alias Rayalu Bhutter claim 1/4 of the Village by reason that they purchased it in A.D 1857 for Rupees 491 8 a from one Ponnammal. Title deed is on stamped foolscap Engagement paper. Hence it appears that the Temple Committee of the Temple of Minatchy Ammal by Power of Attorney from the Members of the management Committee of the Temple of Minatchy Ammal at Madura argue that this Village was granted to Worshippers as an endowment of the Office of Worshipper and that Ponnammal, Widow of the one of the deceased Worshippers sold 1/4 of the Village which was in her husband's possession to the Claimants ~~and that the Temple~~ and that the Temple should be entitled to the transactions of the Worshipper's title should be annulled & the Village restored to 1/4 Village should be registered either in the name of the Temple or in the name of Ponnammal. & that the services of Ponnammal is being conducted by other Worshippers. The Committee of Management appear to have entered into the Claimants & recovered the 1/4 Village from Ponnammal. It appears that the Judge upon the same. As it is clear that this is one of the Brahmin Inyeen Villages, I would recommend to cancel the transaction of sale & Register the 1/4 Village in the name of Ponnammal, who sold it improperly & the Committee of Management may sue Ponnammal for the right in selling the 1/4 Village & the purchaser for his right in purchasing it improperly. Parties is not doing duty for settlement.

A Sudarsanam

Madura
16-12-64

V The Rajah's Vakeel argues that the Rajah's lands were not deducted from the assets upon which Permanent Settlement was based, they cannot be brought under the settlement. The Rajah's lands are in possession of the Worshippers from time immemorial as admitted by the Rajah's Vakeel - Under the instructions conveyed in the Proceedings dated 5 December 64 No 68, Rajah's lands are confirmed to the Worshippers & the Claim of the Rajah is rejected.

16-12-64

A Sudarsanam Asst Sr