Register of Jivitam Village of Sittalur in Tenuir Poligy of Sivaganga Zemindary in Madura District

Register No 1195 Sittalur D 9985 E

1 Pie is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivaganga - It is not known from any of the Circumstances by whom, when, for what purpose & to whom was the Jivitam portion of this Village ever granted - In the account of F 1211 - 21 Amy Cist are said to be the Jivitam portion & the rest is Ayen - Fas 21 & the Cowle Begnd to Y6 Village Jivitam

Now the same is brought under the settlement, as there is not any other Account than that of F 1211 to shew the original Ayen of the Jivitam portion - In an account forwarded to the Collector of D 1809, it is said by the Ex-zemindar that the Jivitam portion was granted some Generations Sengili as an emolument of the service of Poon the renders Cyphers - & that it was resumed (when it is not stated) as the income thereof was insufficient to cover the Pomps & the wages of the Poon - The remark is not worthy of reliance - It is written for all the Villages indiscriminately - The Zemindar did not resume the Jivitam arbitrarily - The Ryot's Vakeel pleaded to prove that the Jivitam portion reverted to the Zemindar legally -

The ryots therefore would have the Jivitam held in possession of the Zemindar - From the Jamabundy Accounts of F 1212 - to F 1216, it appear that the Jivitam portion was in possession of the pay'd 13 Ms in three years - In the Jamabundy Account of F 1217 it is said that the person held Ley Villages of this Village in that year - In the Account of F 1218, it is said that, 20 Kallies good lands of the Amy Cist were continued in possession of the pay'd 13 & the rent was resumed under the orders of the Zemindar - In F 1219, these 14 Ms were in possession of the Ryot Grantee as appear from the Jamabundy Account of that year & in F 1220 they were resumed as stated in the Account of that year - From the Jamabundy Accounts of F 1221 to F 1232 it appears that in that Fasly the whole Village was let by Gowry, a relation of the Zemindar - From the Jamabundy Accounts it appears that the Village was granted as Jivitam to one Nattagudi Mapulai

As per Zemindar's Cist of F 1212	The Account of the original Grantee Kindive & date Account is not known of F 1223	As per Cist of F 1211 & D 1809 Chinna Sengili	In F 1211 Ayen Sittalur Zemindary	In F 1223 Sivaganga Nattamcoil Raleman Nayo or Averegal Nayo of Sivaganga	1 Son 1 Unmarried daughter 2 Widowed daughters	40
Nayo Varoydi 236-2-3 165-32						
Excess Punja Karak 79-- 44-24						
209-56						

Dedact Deduct Poramboke	Boundary Cist of F 1218	As per Cist of Under Jivitam Head	In F 1211 Ayen Sittalur Zemindary	In F 1223 None		
Bed of Sunk Karak 60-- 33-60						
Village site do 8-- 4-48						
Temple site do 1-- -56						
Threshing ground do 2-- 1-12						
Pasture land do 1-- -56						
Unarable land do 1-- -56						
73-- 40-88						
Remainder 168-68						
Deduct Present Cist Nayo Varoydi 71-64-98	Ryakat Cist of F 1263	In F 1246 Ayen Sittalur Zemindary	Nayo Varoydi 30-- 236-2-3 A 21-- 165-32	Nayo Varoydi		
Deduct Ayan Remainder 167-70						
Nayo Varoydi 204-13-3 143-47						
Punja Karak 5-- 2-80						
Total 146-27						
Remainder 21-43						
Jivitam	Zemindar's Jivitam Jamabandi Cists from F 1212 to 1216	None	In Sittalur Village Chinna Sengili Jivitam Varoydi 30-- A 21--	In Cists from F 1212 to 1216 - Chinna Sengili Varoydi 30-- A 21--		
Nayo Varoydi Cultivated 11-1-2 7-76						
Waste 18-10-1 13-11						
Total 29-11-3 20-87						
Excess Punja Stark Cultivated 1-- -56	do do F 1216 to 1218	In F 1217 to 1218				
Grand Total 21-43	do do F 1218 to 1220	do Varam ½ Village Varyabo 118-1-121				
	Zemindar's Ayen Jamabandi Cists from F 1266 to 1270					

Sheet No 1356

Conformed in 1/2 agreement - The original Jodi 1 is 36-5-6 title taken

Zemindar Cist 26 -- -- 22/6/65

Cost 29½ = 30 Rupees

No 1748

A Swamy Aiyar

Rupees 9 11 64

Rates of Government Salage per Acre

	Max	Min
Dry	3-9-9	0-1-7
Wet	10-13-3	0-5-7

Average rates of Government Salage per Acre

Dry 1-5-6

Wet 4-6-1

Average value of grain of Rectorum on wet lands in Valär Pargonnam consisting of Ayan Villages in Karur Salay for the period of 16 years-

A

From Fasli 1256 to Fasli 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Gross cattam	Which Zamindar share at 45 per Cent	Average prices of grain	Value of the quantity of grains given in Kist	Rate per Acre
Rs. A. P.	A. C.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
4568-3-3	3197-77	32943-9-4	14450-10-1	1-7-5	21337-5-4	6-15-11

(X) W.C. 13 Nanjullandi &c 6 Rupees 78-0-0
W.C. 7 do 3 Gulis Wet at 1 Rupee 7-7-14

83-7-14

Dry 50 Gulis at 1 Rupee 50-0-0
Wet 3 Gulis at 1 Rupee 3-0-0

53-0-0

Remarks 9-11-14

P. Subramania Rao L.

D-1220 Do Piram Varayidi 20-0-0

In Fasli 1228 & 1229 Nilagodi Mappilla Cowli 1/8 Village Varayidi 236-2-3

In Fasli 1232 Kerattu Pillai Varayidi 236-2-3

Q

On the whole it is proved that the Pantaram portion of 1/8 Village is in possession of the Zemindar improperly since the 42 years- Hence I would recommend to Government to assent to the present Rates of Sarvamanya portion only.

II The Ryot's Vakeel pleads that the sum of Rupees 36-5-6 entered in the Accounts of Fasli 1211 as Porapu of the Pantaram may be adopted in the settlement as it would have been collected if it were in possession of other strangers- In examining the Zemindars Counterpart Accounts, the following entries appear thereon-

Years	Porapu	Collection	
Fasli 1214	26-12-8-	26-4-0	For Pantaram portion 1/8 Village which is deducted from the Accounts of the whole Village-
Fasli 1217	125-0-0	58-14-6-	
Fasli 1218	120-7-0	106-4-0	

As the entries in the Account of Fasli 1211 are not worthy of credence, I would recommend to adopt them in the settlement the sum of Rupees 26-4- collected in Fasli 1214 - actually-

III No talk arose about the boundaries on the part of the Ryot's Vakeel- The boundaries now inserted in the remarks stated for the entire Village correspond to those in the Account of Fasli 1246- Hence the boundaries are confirmed here & the 289 Acres 50 Cents situated therein are regulated here as the area of the entire Village-

Valuation of the Pantaram portion-

IV The Soil lands in the Village depend upon one Tank- It contains 2 branch channels of Puppa- The Tank is filled annually- The Cumbu crop raised 7½ of the Soil & 13 of the Wet lands are Dry & Wet as Waste- Ayan 56 Cents of Dry are classed as fallow lands- The Vakeel says that the waste lands are about 10 years uncultivated lands & that they are fit to be cultivated- Ayan he says that the large extent of waste lands may be [illegible] the Ayan Village of the Zemindar is attributable to the same cause- administration- & that the Soil waste in possession of the Zemindar is attributable to the same cause- He says that the Zemindar spend no money in repairing Tanks- All this is true- At the same time the matter of waste is exaggerated- Some of the Wet lands are cultivated 2d time- Soil as of medium character generally- The average in some of the Pantaram portion is said to be 64 Rupees as practised by the Curnam & the Ryot's Vakeel- The average Wet assent of the Ayan portion of the Village is 7-15-3- per acre- The contiguous Ayan Village in Muttatur the average Wet assent of that Ayan Village for a period of 15 years previous to Fasli 1270 comes to 5-0-0 per acre- I think the average Wet assent of the Ayan portion of the Village undisputed is high- In consideration of the fertility of soil &c I propose valuation of the Village as follows- The average Dry assent of the Ayan portion of the Village is 2½ per acre-