Register No: 541

Viramudiyendal

[illegible]

1 This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivaganga — This was granted by Mahabalivana Rajah to whom it is not known [illegible] — In the Maratta Account of F 122[illegible] Account — In the Hoozoor Account of F 1211, half of the Village is entered as Darmasanam — & the remaining half as Ayen — In the Zemindari Account of F 1211 the entire Village is entered as Darmasanam — & in the English Account of A D 1815, the entire Village is entered as Darmasanam — & it is said therein that half of the Village being waste, Poruppu was charged only on the remaining half Village — Now Poruppu is collected on the whole Village since long. In the Zemindari Account of F 1212 the Village is entered as Ayen — The Ranys Vakeel pleads for the sake of formality that half of the Village should be classed as Darmasanam & the rest as Ayen by reason that half of the Village is entered as Ayen in the Hoozoor Account of F 1211 — The Account of F 1211, is not the Ayen Account of that year — As the Village was granted by Mahabalivana Rajah, this must be at least 3 centuries old — This Village being entered as entire Darmasanam both in the Account of F 1223 & of A D 1815 — in addition to the Zemindari Account of F 1211, I classed the entire Village as Darmasanam — or the one deducted from the assets upon which Permanent settlement was based — & treated it as such

(Vide next side)

Sheet No. 715

As per acct of F 1211

Nanja (Virayadi)	30 —	21 —
Punja Excess (Kurkam)	10 —	5 — 60
Total		26 — 60
Deduct Porampoke		
Do Do (Kurkam)	10 —	5 — 60
Remainder		21 —
Deduct ½ of the Village Ayan classed as Darmasanam now		
Nanja (Virayadi)	15 —	10 — 50
Remainder ½ of the Village Dharmasanam		
Nanja (Virayadi) Cultivation	15 —	10 — 50
Grand Total	21 —	126 —

[illegible] [illegible]

Deduced from the Statement [illegible] prepared by the [illegible]

Dharmasanam	Jodi	Ner	Mahalakinana	Acct of F 1211	The name of original grantee	In F 1211	In F 1223	Sivagengei
	as per Istimrar acct Rs 13 — Jizya 13-10-9 / 26-10-9		Raja — Date is unknown from the acct of F 1225	Maratta acct of F 1223 — Boundary acct of F 1246	is unknown from the acct of F 1223	Dharmasanam Viramudiyendal of which half Village Ayan	Dharmasanam Viramudiyendal area is none	1 Kottava Rayan Servei 55
			As per Patta Sinna virappa Vadayar Dated S S 1729 A D 1807	Board Regr of A D 1815 2 Pattas to 2 Dharmasanam inams leaves Dated 1807	As per Patta 1 Dhoraisa mayengar 2 Kristna yen	Nanja (Virayadi) 15 — A C 10 — 50 Remainder half of the Village Nanja Virayadi 15 — A C 10 — 50 In Maratta acct of F 1211 Do Do	Kuppa nayyengar & ca In F 1246 Dharmasanam Viramudiyendal Nanja Virayadi 30 — A C 21 — In Regr of A D 1815 Dharmasanam Viramudiyendal Kuppa nayyengar & ca	

[illegible]

Al } 1 wife 1 son } Alienation } To be confirmed under Rule VII (Entire Village) [illegible]

Zemindari Jodi for ⅓ of the Village } — 8 — 14 — 3 —

Confirmed in a TD on 1/8th R 2 R. Rs 12 exclusive of the Jodi payable to the Zemindar W. H. 8/12/64

Govt Q. R. 1/64 — 8 Rupees

Zemindari Jodi for ⅔ of the Village } 17 — 12 — 6 —

Govt Q. R. 1/8 — 8 Rupees

[illegible]

T D No. 1427

Fair Copied

[illegible]

Mardani [illegible] 27 — 11 — 64

Rate of Government Taluqs per Acre

	Max	Min
Dry	3-8-9	1-1-7
Wet	6-13-3	5-9

Average Rate of Government Taluqs per Acre

Dry 1-5-6

Wet 4-6-1-

Average Value of grain of Melvaram or wet land in Irunjeri Mathiyam consisting of 4 Pyew Villages in the Taluq of Mannad for a period of 15 years

From Fy 1256 to Fy 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Gross produce	Gross produce deducting Seed at 45 per cent	Average prices of grain	Value of quantity of grain given in Col 4	Rate per Acre
Kg m p	A c	Kg m p	Kg m p	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
3902 13 3	2732 1 07	27983 9 4	12344 8 2	1 4 8	15630 15 0	5 11 6

II. No Tankies about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Ryots is stated. The boundaries now inserted in the vernacular pottah correspond to those in the Accounts of Fy 1246. Hence the area of 26 Acres 60 Cents is accepted here.

III. The persons No 1 of 16 purchased the entire Village with two other Villages of Puvarkary & Pachiyandal in AD 1861 & 1863 for Rupees 5236 from one Padma Nayagen, Periya Nayaky Ammal & Chenna Sawmya alias Padma Nayagen. Three bills are now stamped papers. Sellers admitted the transactions. Enjoyment proved. The ancestors of the sellers purchased the Village previously in AD 1838 for Rupees 416-8-0 from one Poolakalingen Pillai who purchased the Village for Rupees 350 from one Muttu Vaiyanadha Tever. The latter purchased the Village in AD 1812 from Daravarayngen & Krishnayen. These two individuals acquired the Village in gift in AD 1807 from one Vemfa Nayagen by decree and by the ministers of the Nawab. The ministers is said to have purchased the Village previously from the descendants of the Ogle Grantee whose name is unknown. Four bills of sales are on plain Cadjan leaves & two deeds of gifts are on plain Cadjan leaves.

IV. Of the persons from whom the persons No 1 of 16 purchased the Village, Periya Nayaky Ammal widow. She sold 1/3 of the Village. The sellers of 2/3rds of the Village are two Husband's divided Elder brothers sons. Recommended charge of 1/8 assessment for 1/3 of the Village sold subsequent to AD 1848 by the Village. 1/8 assessed for 2/3rds of the Village sold by those who have title. I think that I am prohibited to charge more than one rate of 2½ on a Village or Stream as well by more than one shareholder. Where a entire Village is held by one individual I think there is no objection to charging him with more than one rate of 2½.

II. Wet lands depend upon one Tank of moderate size. It is in repair. It is fed by a Single Stream & by the Waste Water of the adjoining Ayen Village. The Tank is filled annually. Security of Water is not felt generally. Wet lands are cultivated annually. Some times are fallowed 2 times annually. Nanjah lands are cultivated annually at all times at this Village. Average incomes of this Village as per statement of the Patteal of Fy 16 is 60 Rupees per annum. This is not true. The contiguous Ayen Village in Irunjeri an important Ayen Village. The average Wet assessment of that Village calculated for a period of 15 years previous to Fy 1270 comes to 6 Rupees per acre. In consideration of the fertility of soil the Grameste valuation of the Village as follows.

Wet 21 Acres 60 Rupees per acre 126

Kanakkus } Ryots }

A Sundaram Pandy