Register of Dharmasanam Viradeviyendal in Saka Taluq of Sivaganga Zemindary in Madura District

Viradeviyendal

Register No. 69

Sheet No. 66

1 This is one of the Dharmasanams in the Zemindary of Sivaganga. It was granted by the Rajah of 11 to the person of 13 for subsistence. There is no Pottah. It was lost.
- It is a hereditary grant. It is in uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant. There is no Pottah. It was lost.
- The village is supported by all the accounts of 12 - No talk over about the area nor about the boundaries on the part of the Zemindars Vakeel.
- Hence the area of 29 Acres & 40 Cents is confirmed here. The boundaries now ~~stated~~ [illegible] inserted in the vernacular Register correspond to those in the account of F1244. The excess now inserted above the area in the standard accounts is a marked one. But it seems to be within the proper limits of the Village. The entries in the standard accounts are not accurate. The inhabitants of the neighbouring Villages & the Poligar(?) Ameen of the Taluq say that all the lands inserted in 10 to 15 are situated within the proper limits of the Village.
- The Village was consisting formerly 2 equal Pongoos(?) - The person No 1 of 16 purchased the entire Village in AD 1833 for pagodas 168-1½
- from one Virazee Royer, son of Subbanu Rao, the person in the account of F1223. The seller is not any way related to the Original Grantee.
- The person of 16 who is the present holder of the Village is unable to say how the said Subban Row a seller got the Village. The bill of sale produced by the person of 16 is not attested by any witness but scribe. The scribe says that the transaction did take place. The son of the seller Virazee Row
- who is still surviving says that the Village was purchased by his ancestors from the descendants of the Original Grantee. This is proved by the fact of the name of the father of the seller being inserted in the account of F1223. Hence the ~~title~~ right of the person No 1 of 16 proved to be valid. (Vide next side)

Purpose Mutt at 5 pagodas per Annum

Slaghupart(?) Long: No Lokam now about it. Hence the sum of 50 pagodas ~~[illegible]~~ in the [illegible]

Dharmasanam As per Statement 1-14-9; Tofo 3-1-3; Total 5 " "

Sale Sitto(?) Naroyah(?) Date is unknown

Acct of F1211; Hundevi Acct of F1223; Boundary Acct of F1244; Board's Register of AD 1815

Kesavayan Do

In F1211 Dharmasanam Viradeviyendal; Hamlet of Yedu(?) yenvayal(?); Nanja Varayidi 2 " 3 A " " 63; Nanja Karuka 1-2 " A " 63; Total

In F1223 Dharmasanam Viradevi; Nanja Varayidi 5-3-3 A 3-68; Nunja Karuka 1 " " A " -56; Total 4-24

1 Subbanarow Do; 2 Kayila(?) samayen(?)

In Hundevi Acct of do do Area do do; In F1244 Dharmasanam Viradeviyendal Area none

In Register of AD 1815 Viratheny yendal Subbo row Do

1 Sawaka rakal 55 } Al

(1) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter unborn } Alone

To be confirmed under Rule VII

Zemindars Do 1.5 —

Govt Do ½ — 7 pagodas —

Confirmed in one [illegible] 2 R Rs 7 —

W B

25/2/64

T Sett 4 36

ED

A Veerashunu Rao(?)

Rankadi(?) 27 11 63

R

As per Acct of F1223

Nanja Varayidi	5-3-3	3-68
Excess do	20-10	3 14-52
	26 " "	18-20
Ninja Karuka	1 " " "	56
Excess do	19 " "	10-64
	20 " "	11-20
		29-40

Deduct Poramboke

Unarable land Varadi(?)	1-10 "	1-20
Bed of Tank Karuka	13 " "	7-28
		8-48

Remainder

Nanja Varayidi Cultivated	5-3-3	3-68
Excess do do	9-10-3	6-82
do do Waste	9-4 "	6-50
	19 " 3	13-32
Total	24-4	17- "
Ninja Karuka Cultivated	1 " " "	56
Excess do do	6 " "	3-36
Total	7 " "	3-92
Grand Total		20-92

Declared from the Statement & other papers produced by the Pulagaru(?)

61-8-0

A L D

61-8-0 / 56-8 / Rs 7-4 Subsist.

Fair copied

Rates of Government Taluq per Acre

	These	Rice
Dry	3-9-9	"-1-7
Wet	10-13-3	"-5-9

Average rates of Government Taluq per Acre

Dry 1-5-6

Wet 4-6-1

Average Value of Grain of the Common wet lands in Seka Nagavara consisting of Ayen Villages in the Taluq of same name for a period of 15 years.

A

From Fs 1246 to Fs 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Grass cut torn	Gunkuli Equivalent share at 45 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of the quantity of Grains given in Kind	Rates per Acre
A M S	A C	K K S	K Rs S	Rs S	Rs S	Rs S
4 81-1-"	4536-75	40767-9-5	19463-3-"	1-8-3½	29565-15-7	6-8-3

Grain rates

Valuation

The Wet lands are irrigated by one Tank, which is small in size. They are also irrigated by the Tank in the contiguous Ayen Village of Kodavagal. The Tank is fed by the waste water of the Tanks & fields in the adjacent Ayen Villages. The Taluq of this Village is forming about 1/4 th Kallen of grain which is worth of about 2 Pgds of the Government as Rabee Vettee or assessment charges of the Tank, for the water which they receive from the Ayen Tank. No scarcity of water is felt. The period of 16 crops that there is no waste or Dry area & that 6½ Acres of Wet is treated as waste are only of 2 or 3 years uncultivated lands from want of water. The contiguous Ayen Village of Kodagal is waste since the last 40 years & it is said from the ravages of devils which kill the cultivators. There is more superstition. The other adjacent Villages are also in good condition. No Wet lands are cultivated 2 times. Soil is of an inferior quality generally. It is sandy. The Village is in Payment of the Pangles. I have no remarks to enter here to average assessment of the adjacent Wet lands. The average assessment of the Village under all cultivated is given in the margin. The average assessment is best compared with the inferiority of the soil & the Dry area entering the adjacent Ayen Villages vary from 0-7-3 to 3-0-3 Acres. The average income of the Village after deducting the period of 16 & 27 Crops. This is not true. For consideration of the state of the Village & from the valuation of the Villages as follows. No Varlois raised in this Village.

1 Wet cultivated lands 12 Acres at 4 Rs per Acre			48
1 Wet waste	5 Acres at 2 Rs per Acre	10	58
This of 2 or 3 years waste			
1 Dry 4 Acres cultivated annually at 1 Rs per Acre			3
		Total	61 8-0

Kankaly
27 1163

H Swamy Rao