Register of Dévádayem Village of Pichanádamandiyendal &c in the Táluq of Trippattur of Sivaganga Zamindari Estate in Madura District

Register No.
1097
1098
1099

Pichanádamandiyendal,(1) Amappanáyakenyéndal,(2) Villavaráyanéndal.(3)

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

This Temple has these 3 Villages —

1 These 3 Villages are situated within the limits of the zemindary of Sivaganga. They were granted for the support of the Temple of Stree Ninda Narayana Perumal in Tirpattur. This is a permanent grant. No Putta. These villages are entered in all the Accounts in the name of the Temple. The names of these villages are given correctly in the Accounts of F 1211 of Ad 18— The recognized boundaries are confirmed here. No Tellaver about the Area or about the boundaries on the part of the Ranys Vakeel. The boundaries of these villages are not recorded in any Account previous to this. The 123 Acre & 80 [illegible] situated within the said boundaries are registered here.

II —— The Rany's Vakeel & the [illegible] of these Villages produced statement, Register for writing a remark thereon that the Temple is efficiently kept up & that the Deity is being worshipped twice a day. As I generally place no confidence upon the statement of the zemindars Vakeels, &c, I wrote to the Sub-Magistrate of Tirupattur, who lives close to the Temple, to enquire into & report upon the state of the Temple. His report dated 1st October /64 No 25 shews that the Temple & the villages appertaining thereto were under the management of the Worshipper Narayana Iyengar up to F. 1248; that in F 1249 the zemindar placed them under his own management; that in F 1261 these Villages were added to the endowments of [illegible] Temple & A 0-1-3 per diem was paid from the proceeds thereof to the use of this Temple named Ninda Narayana Perumal Covil, for a period of [illegible] years; that since F 1261 the proceeds of these villages are being remitted to the zemindars Treasury & nothing was paid to the use of the Temple [illegible] Temple is efficiently kept from the funds of the endowments [illegible]; that in last year the Worshipper Narayana Iyengar is [illegible].

As per acct of F 1211

Nanja (Virayadi) — 75-13-3	53-17
Punja Excess (Kurkam) 126-2	70-63
	123-80
Deduct Porampok	
Punja (Kurkam) — 11-0	23-24
Remainder —	100-56
Nanja (Virayadi) 38 —	26-60
Partly waste (Do) 37-13-2	26-57
75-13-3	53-17
Punja (Kurkam) — 64 —	36-12
Partly waste (Do) — [illegible]	11-27
Total [illegible]	47-39
Grand Total	100-56

80 —

For the Iódi Pest support as per of Pagoda Istimi of Ninda Naraya acct Náráya na Peru Rs. =mál C 2-1-2 in Trip Inapa =pattur 10-6-5 Not 47-9-7 appeg [illegible]

Adichen Drapán =diyen date is unknown

Acct of F 1211 Marata acct of F 1223 Bounday acct of F 1244 Áyakat acct of F 1263 Board Regr of Ad 1815

Ninda Náráya =na Peru =mál C

In F 1211 Tiruppa =ttur Ninda Náraya na Peru =mál koril 1 Pichaná =damand =diyandal Hamlet of Trippattur Nanja Virayadi 15-5-3 1 Amappa =náyaka =neidal Virayadi 6-10- 1 Villava Ráyanen =dal Virayadi 53-12- Total Virayadi [illegible]-43-3 I,8

In F 1223 Trippat =tur Ninda Nára =yana Peru =mál Kovil Villava =rayanen =dal in Trippat =tur Annap =panáya ka =endal 1 Nanja náyaken =yendal Virayadi 50 — A 6 35 — Punja (Kurkam 45 — A 6 25 20 Total A 6 60 20 [illegible] 2

Trippattur Ninda Nára =yana Peru =mál Trustee Stree 1 Háttama Nachiyar Nargal Rani of the Sivaganga Zamindari 40

(Vide reverse)

To be confirmed under Rule III Clause one Son Free

Zemindar Tax 47-9-7

A. Seshagiri Rao [illegible]

Renewed 26 10 64

Confirmed on present tenure. It is the duty of the recently appointed Committee to see that the proceeds of the Inam are not misappropriated

[illegible]

8/12/64

Z am 1449 [illegible]

Searched

Register Nos. 1097, 1098, 1099.

Sheet No. 1247

worshipped the Deity at his own expense & that he being unable to do the same [illegible]
he is worshipping the Deity once in a Week — Again he repeats that these [illegible]
are in ~~a~~ ~~deep~~ dilapidated state; but the proceeds thereof are insufficient to [illegible]
the Poruppu of the Zemindar; that it is not known what sum of Poruppu is due [illegible]
Zemindar & for how many years; that the Worshipper Narayana Iyengar is [illegible]
to improve the villages, pay the Poruppu annually to the Zemindar & to ~~[illegible]~~
fulfill the object of the grant by worshipping the Deity in the Temple [illegible]
The Rajah's Vakeel says that he does not know what sum of Poruppu is due to the
Zemindar & for how many years — to the Zemindar [illegible] the Temple — The
Worshipper who is Kalloor of the Temple is worshipping the Deity since the last year [illegible]
~~[illegible]~~ as suited to his condition — Hence I [illegible]
recommend to register these villages as usual in the joint names of the
Deity & the Worshipper Narain Ayengar & to make the villages ~~[illegible]~~ which are
in ruined state to him — He will be responsible to the Zemindar for Poruppu
& to the public ~~[illegible]~~ for the efficient state of the Temple —
The Rajah's Vakeel says that he will endeavour to restore the Temple
& begin [illegible] to fulfill the object of the grant within [illegible] months [illegible]
I have no confidence that the Rajah ~~[illegible]~~ would do this — ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ of the Rajah's [illegible], I do not consider
it is just to deprive the [illegible] from the management of the Temple, as [illegible] from
his own exertions, ~~[illegible]~~ the object of the grant is now being [illegible] the villages [illegible]
to a trifling extent — & as the Zemindar [illegible]
for a period of above 20 years — Hence I enter the name of the Worshipper [illegible]
As the Curnum of the Villages states that the average income of the Villages [illegible]
~~[illegible]~~ 80 Rupees per annum up to this day, it is evident that the [illegible]
of these Villages ~~[illegible]~~ is not in arrear — & that the Villages are not [illegible]
~~[illegible]~~ entirely in a ruined state —

III I place with this, the report of the Sub Magistrate & [illegible]
with its enclosures for the perusal of the Reviewing Officer —

Remarked
26/10/[illegible]

J. Sudarshana Rao