Register of Inams in the Village of Vanni-kudi in the Talug of Varuvatti in Sivaganga Zemindari Estate of Madura District

## Vanni-kudi

Ayan Village [illegible]

Register No. 134

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ada<br>aum | Nanja<br>Virayadi<br>1 Ayyan<br>patti<br>chei | 6 3/4 34 | Income as per statement of Inamdar<br>0 12 | For the Jodi support 7 6 of Pagoda of Kaduvarudaya Ayyanar in Kaduvattam | Rent | The name of granter and the Date is unknown | Acct of Fusli 1211 | Kaduvarudaya Ayanar | Inam Fusli 1211 Ayyanar in Vanni Akkudi Nanja Virayadi 6 3/4 A 6 34 | — | Kaduvattam<br>1 Kaduvarudaya Ayanar<br>Worshipper<br>1 Periyakaruppa Velan<br>30 | Tolu of Rule III Clause 6<br>Far<br>Rs. 0 7 6<br>11 Feb 59 | T.D. No. 210<br>Confd P.C.<br>18/2/66 |

Completed

Inams Copied

Sheet No. 1741

Register of Chultry & Darmasanam Village of Vannikudi in Partipanur Taluq of Sivagunga Zemindary in Madura District 1/2 16

# Vannikudi. வண்ணிகுடி

| 1177 | Shares of the Darmasanam portion | Shares of the Chattram portion | Total shares |
|---|---|---|---|
| No 1 is enjoying | 7 - 1/2 | 10 - 1/2 | 18 - |
| No 2 Do | 2 - 19/32 | 6 13/32 | 9 - |
| No 3 to 5 Do | 4 - 13/32 | 4 19/32 | 9 - |
| Total | 14 - 1/2 | 21 1/2 | 36 - |

All these shares are enjoyed by the persons of 16.

I This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivaganga. A portion of the Village was granted for the support of a Chuttrum in Sivaga[illegible] in AD 1794 by Maruda Servaygar, Dewan of the predecessor of the present Zemindar & the rest to certain Bramins as Darmasanam or personal grant. There is a Potta on a plain Palmyra leaf for the Chuttrum portion. The genuineness of the document is admitted by the Zemindar's Vakeel ~~[illegible]~~ N.G. In the Potta it is said that the extent of the Chuttrum portion is 110 Kalleys, which are equal to 77 Acres. In the Tamil account of F 1211 & the Marratta Account of F 1223 the extent of the Chuttrum & Darmasanam portions is given at random. This Village consists of 36 equal Vritties of which 21½ Vritties are considered to belong to the Chuttrum & 14½ shares to the Darmasanam. The figures in the Accounts of F 1211 & 1223 shew this to be true notwithstanding ~~[illegible]~~ the entries in the Zemindar's accounts. Some of the deeds of sales that are produced by the persons of 16 [illegible], dated so far back as 20 years shew that the Chuttrum portion which is styled as "Vettakadai Darmasanam" consists of 21½ Vritties & the Darmasanam consists of 14½ Vritties.

II The 36 Vritties in the Village are enjoyed as shown in the marginally noted statement by the persons of 16. No portion of this Village is in possession or enjoyment of the Chuttrum. The entire Village is held as personal grant. The persons No 1 & the Widow No 2 of 16 are not related to each other nor are ~~they~~ related in any way to the persons No 3-4 & 5 of 16. ~~The persons~~ The persons No 3-4 & 5 of 16 are undivided brothers. The Widow No 2 of 16 is the elder sister of the persons No 3-4 & 5 of 16.

IL The persons No 1 of 16 is NL

N.G.

**Sheet No. 1329**

**Register No. 1177**

As per Acct F 1211
Nanja Varayidi — 179-11-1/2 125-81
Excess — 61-1- 42-75
240-11-1/2 168-56
Excess Punja Kurukku 320- 179-20
347-76
Deduct Poramboke
Temple site Kurukku 24- 13-44
Bed of Tank Do 200- 112-
Tank Do 6- 3-36
Paths Do 6- 3-36
236- 132-16
Remainder 215-60
Deduct Service Inam
Nanja Varayidi — 5-7- 3-88
Remainder 211-72
21½ Shares of Chaultry
Nanja Varayidi Cultivated 104-4- 73-00
Excess Do Waste 36-6-3/4 25-53
Total 140-10-3/4 98-53
Excess Punja Kurukku Cultivated 21-8- 12-04
Waste 28-12- 16-10
Total 50-4- 28-14
Grand Total 126-67
14½ Shares Darmasanam
Nanja Varayidi Cultivated 69-12-1/2 48-94
Do Do Waste 24-8-1/4 17-21
Total 94-7-3/4 66-15
Excess Punja Kurukku Cultivated 14-8- 8-12
Do Do Waste 19-4- 10-78
Total 33-12- 18-90
Grand Total 85-06

Deduced from the statement & papers produced by the Inamdars

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Encroachments of Sivaga Chuttrum as per old accounts Now — Darmasanam | Godi Per as per Ishwara Acct for all the Villages of this Chattram 228-14- As per Inamdar's statement and Zemindar's acct on the portion of the Village Rs 84-4-6 | Tharee as per Potta Sivaga Kovil Dates Salivahana Sacom 1715 AD 1793 As per Potta produced by the Inamdars leaf Maruda Servakaran Dated Salivahana Sacom 1716 AD 1794. | Acct of F 1211 Hindwi Acct of F 1223 Boundary Acct of F 1246 Board's Letter of AD 1815 1 Potta on Palmyra leaf | As per Acct of F 1223 Chadiyur Chattrom Venkata Subbo vadhani As per Potta Do Do | In F 1211 Chadiyur Chattrom Vanni Kudi Nanja Varayidi 179-11-1/2 A 49-80 Remainder Chaultry Varayidi 108-8-1/2 A 76-01 In Hindwi Acct of Do Area Do. | In F 1223 Vanni Kudi 1 Village of which Chadiyur Chattram Nanja Varayidi 110-6- A 77 30 In F 1246 Vanni Kudi Area none | Partipanur<br>1 Sillasetti 38 } Al<br>2 Vitchayi alias Parvatam 36 } Al<br>3 Vellasami Setti 30 } Al<br>4 Sockalingam Setti 26 } Al<br>5 Nagalingam Setti 20 } Al | (1) 1 Wife 2 Married Daughters 1 Unmarried Daughter<br>(2) 1 Married Daughter<br>(3) 1 Wife 2 Sons 1 Mother<br>(4) 1 Wife 1 Mother 2 Unmarried Daughters<br>(5) 1 Mother | Alienated Family & Lands are divided The Potta is [illegible] |
| Darmasanam | Godi as per Ishwara Acct 61-11-4 Punja 1-5-7 Total 63-1-6 | Do Do | Do Do Do | No Potta | The name of the original Grantee is unknown | In F 1211 Darmasanam Vanni Kudi Nanja Varayidi 179-11-1/2 A 125-81 of which deduct Chadiyur Chattrom Varayidi 108-8-1/2 | In F 1223 Darmasanam Vanni Kudi Nanja Varayidi 181-8- A 127-10 of which deduct Chadiyar Chattrom Varayidi 110-6- | | |

614-7-6

414-6-6

N.G. Jan 1823

N.G. 1724

Fair Copy N.G.

Annamalai 22/9/64

To be confirmed under Rule VI.
(Chattram portion of 21½ Vritties)
Zemindar's Rent - 84-4-8

Govt ¼ = 132-8-0
at 72 265

To be confirmed under the same Rule
~~Chattram~~ Darmasanam 14½ Vritties
Zemindar's Rent - 63-7-6

Govt 1/8 = 44-0-0

The whole Village is confirmed in 2 [illegible] to the parties in Col 16. One is to be given [illegible]. The shares formerly held by the Chattram and alienated by and which Hakdars are charged with ¼ assesst as the alienation took place within the last 50 years. The other shares separately to be given were granted as Dharmasanam and which are charged with 1/8th assesst.

12/2/65

Abstract
Total Rs - 147-6-2-
Total Rs - 176-8-0
323-14-2-

A. Gunasekara A.S.O.

Rates of Government Teerway per Acre

Max Min

Dry 3-9-9 „-1-7

Wet 10-13-3 „-5-9

Average rates of Government Teerway per Acre

Dry 1-5-6

Wet 4-6-1

Average value of the grains of Melwarum on wet land in Melpaselai Maganam containing Ayen Villages in Perlipatur Taluq for the period of 15 years —

A

| From F1256 to F1270 | Cultivated area in Acre | Gross outturn | Of which Zemindar's share at 45 per cent | Average prices of Grains | Value of the quantity of Grains given in Col | Rates per Acre |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| K M O | A C | K M O | K M O | R A P | R A P | R A P |
| 2145-7-1 | 1501-86 | 15898-2-5 | 6756-„-5 | 1-8-„ | 20208-8-7 | 6-12-8- |

| Remarks | Remarks |
|---|---|
| Dermasanam Varayidi 71-2-„ A 49-80 | Dermasanam Varayidi 71-2-„ A 49-80 |
| In Hindu Act of Do Area Do | In Regr of A.D 1816 Dermasanam Vanni Nadi — In F1244 Dermasanam Vanni Nadi |

III The lands entered opposite to the person No 6 of 16 were purchased partly by himself & partly by his father in A.D 1840-1848 & 1855 for Rupees 3100 from Sevasenkaran Setty; Venkatesawaryen alias Narayanayyer; Krishna Dikshalar; Venkateswara Iyer alias Venkatachi Iyer; Ramayen; Kulani Setty; Venkaralingam Pillai; & Ramaswamy Setty — Nine bills are on plain Cadjan leaves. Of the sellers, Kulani Setty & Venkaralingam Pillai purchased the 4 Vellies & 7/16 of a Velly — which they sold, previously in A.D 1839 & 1847 for Rupees 347 from one Venkateswaryen & Palanisawmy Pillai — Two bills are on plain Cadjan leaves —

IV The Widow No 2 of 16 — The lands entered opposite to her name were purchased by the Elder Brother of her late Husband in A.D 1846 — for Rupees 3070 from the person No 1 of 16 — Bill of sale is on a plain Cadjan leaf. The seller purchased these lands previously in A.D 1848 for Rupees 3000 from one Nagaranayyen & Venkaralingam Setty — Two bills are on plain Cadjan leaves —

V The lands entered opposite to the persons No 3, 4 & 5 of 16, the person No purchased them in A.D 186 in the month of January for R 2990 from the Widow No 2 of 16 — Bill of sale is on 3 stamped papers worth 30 Rupees — The Elder Brother of the Husband of the Widow No 2 of 16 (seller) purchased the said lands previously in A.D 1843-1844-1847 & 1849 for Rupees 1048 from one Ramayen; Venkata Subba Dikshalar alias Nagamma Vayen; Venkammal; Venkaramammal; Sinnakuppammal & Venkaralingam Pillai — Four bills are on plain Cadjan leaves —

VI — Of the sellers above alluded to, some are descendants of the Orgl grantee or Orgl Hakdars & others are those who purchased lands from them —

VII The descendants of the Hakdars of the Chuttrum portion say that, their ancestors granted in gift the Chuttrum portion of 21½ Vellies to certain Bramins about 60 years ago who sold them to others —

VIII The Ranee's Vakeel pleads that the 21½ Vellies belonging to the Chuttrum portion is not in possession or under enjoyment of the Chuttrum since the last 40 years, & that the Hakdars sold these Vellies fraudulently to others whilst the Chuttrum was under their management — & that these Vellies should be transferred to the Chuttrum — The Poruppu of these Chuttrum portion of 21½ Vellies is 86-4-8. The holders of the same pay the Poruppu annually in the Chuttrum Dept —

(Vide next side) —

2 Sheet of Vannikudi Zemindary of Shevagunga - Parthiphanur Taluq of Madura District -

Register No 1177

Sheet No. 1330.

IX ---- In the Inglish Account of A D 1815, this Village is entered under the head of "Shrotriems or Villages held on fixed rent" - In the remarks Co

it is said that the holders of this Village failed to appear agreeably to the frequent proclamations, the Poruppu paid by them is therefore not known -

In the Mahratta Account of F 1223, a remark is written to the effect that, some of the lands in this Chuttrum Villages, were granted to Bramins as Agra

or but it is not mentioned the villages in which these such grants were made -

X It is clear that the 21½ Vritties of the Chuttrum ~~was granted~~ property were granted originally for the support of the Institution & that they were sold by the Hakdars whilst the Chuttrum was under their management about 40 years ago - From the Collector's letter to the Board dated 30 November 61 No 308, it appears that this Chuttrum & the endowments appertaining thereto are under the management of the Zemindar since A D 1820 - During these 44 years the any portion of this Village was not brought ~~on~~ to the Jamabundy Accounts of the Zemindar, while the other Villages that are ~~in possession~~ under the management of the Zemindar are settled annually by the Zemindar & the Accounts are prepared - Though the 21½ Vritties are not in possession of the Chuttrum since the last ×49 years, still, as they ~~are~~ were granted originally for the support of the Chuttrum, I recommend to confirm them upon the present holders thereof on ¼ of assessment. The Darmasanam portion of 14½ Vritties fall under Rule III -

× In the register of A D 1815, this Village is entered as Shrotriem -

XI All the transactions of sale above alluded to, proved to be bonafide - Enjoyment proved.

XII Vide particulars ~~re~~ regarding Poruppu & the preliminary remarks which are written on separate registers & placed with this.

XIII. Sree Surya Narayanayen claims to ~~all~~ the 3 Vritties ~~which~~ out of 21½ belonging to the Chuttrum by reason that they were granted to his Grand Father 70 years ago ~~to~~ by the then Hakdar & that his father mortgaged them about 30 years ago to the person No 1 of 16 -

He admits himself that he has neither documents nor Witnesses to prove ~~the grant~~ the grant & the transaction of mortgage.

The Vakeel of the person No 1 of 16 pleads that these Poruppus were purchased by his Client & that the Claim of the Claimant is not true.

The lands are in possession of the person No 1 of 16. Hence the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to the Civil Court.

The Hakdars of the Chuttrum also deny every knowledge of the transaction - The Claimant says that he was told by his father's younger brother who died ~~in~~ 15 years ago the fact of these lands having been mortgaged to the person No 1 of 16 - & that his father died about 26 years ago when he was 4 years old. It is evident that the Claim is false - If it were true, he would ~~not~~ not have kept silent so long

XIV Though the name of the Orgl Grantee of the Darmasanam portion is not known - & though the names of the holders of the same ~~is~~ are not given in any of the periodical Accounts, still the ~~holders~~ persons who sold the 14½ Vritties composing the Darmasanam portion proved to be the rightful owners of the same, by the Hakdars of the Chuttrum & the genuineness of the bills of sales is proved.

## Valuation

XV ---- Wet lands in this Village depend upon two Tanks - of which one is large & another is ~~one~~ small - They are in repair - They are fed by ~~a~~ one of the branch channels of Vaigayar - one of the influential rivers in the District - Scarcity of Water is not felt generally - The Vakeel Streenivasaiengar, who appeared for the settlement on behalf of the holders of this Village, claimed 164 acres & 68 cents of Wet & 20 acres & 3½ cents of Dry as cultivated lands, & 42 acres & 74 cents of Wet = & 20 acres & 16 cents of Dry as Waste - At the time of the settlement, the Vakeel says that, the Dry ~~[illegible]~~ waste lands are of long uncultivated lands - Vide

-that these lands are fit to be cultivated & that the Wet lands classed as waste are not uncultivated ~~un~~ lands in reality, & that they are cultivated partly with wet

~~on~~ in crops & partly with Dry - From the figures in fol 4 & 5 of this Register (first side) it would appear that the Vakeel classed the excess in wet as waste

- in his statement, Register &c - He states now that they are not uncultivated lands in reality. Thus there is much exaggeration in the matter of Waste -

Some of the lands are cultivated annually 2d time - Nunjah & Punjah lands are of a superior quality generally - Wet soil is Karisal - Dry soil also is of

the same description - The average income of the Village as per statement of the Vakeel of the per of 16 is 5 7 3 per annum - This is not true -

The contiguous Ayan Villages are Porthevanam & Seerambur. The average Wet assessment of these Villages calculated for a period of 15 years from

F 1256 to 1270 is 6 12 7 & 8 12 - per acre respectively - The average Dry assessment varies from 0 13 8 to 0 9 3 per acre

The Vakeel says that the soil of this Village may be classed on par with that of the adjoining Villages.

Some of the Wet lands are cultivated with garden lands - In consideration of the fertility of soil &c I frame the valuation of the Village as follows -

| | |
|---|---|
| Wet - 140 Acres cultivated at 7 Rupees per acre - | 980 - 0 - 0 |
| Wet 24 - Acres 68 Cents Waste &c at 1 Rupee per acre | 24 - 10 - |
| Total | 1004 - 10 - 0 - |
| Dry 20 Acres cultivated at 14 as per acre | 17 - 8 - 0 - |
| Dry 26 Acres 88 Cents Waste at 1/4 Rupee | 6 - 12 - |
| Total | 24 - 4 - 0 |
| | 1028 - 14 - 0 - |

Ramnad
22-9-64

A. Swaminatha Row Dy

XVI --- No Talk arose about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Ranee's Vakeel.

- The boundaries now inserted in the vernacular statement by the vakeels of the per of 16 are said to be the same as those in the Zemindari account of F 1246 - though there are some difference in wordings - Hence the boundaries are confirmed here & the 347 Acres & 76 Cents situated therein are registered here -

- This Village consists of 36 equal Vritties - They are enjoyed as shewn in the margin of the first side of the first sheet of this Register -

The lands are divided permanently - Poruppu is paid on the number of Vritties equally & permanently -

Ramnad
23-9-64

A. Swaminatha Row Dy